



2018
Annual
Report

Monroe Circuit
Court

MONROE CIRCUIT COURT

DIVISIONS I - IX
OFFICE OF COURT SERVICES
MONROE COUNTY PROBATION SERVICES
MONROE YOUTH SERVICES BUREAU

PREPARED BY:

Staff *Office of Court Services*
Linda Brady *Chief Probation Officer*
Victoria Thevenow *Executive Director, YSB*

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|-----|
| Personnel..... | 2 |
| Board of Judges | 6 |
| Office of Court Services | |
| Introduction | 21 |
| Financial Management..... | 21 |
| Security Management | 24 |
| Jury Management..... | 24 |
| Case Management..... | 25 |
| Family Court | 29 |
| Court Support Program..... | 31 |
| Appendix..... | 35 |
| Probation | |
| Mission Statement..... | 47 |
| Introduction..... | 48 |
| Financial Information | 57 |
| Juvenile Division | 62 |
| Adult Division | 75 |
| Community Corrections..... | 87 |
| Adult Pretrial Services..... | 104 |
| Problem Solving Courts..... | 114 |
| Appendix..... | 135 |
| Youth Services Bureau | |
| Mission & History..... | 174 |
| Accreditations..... | 174 |
| Our Services..... | 175 |
| Organizational Structure..... | 176 |
| Y S B A G E N C Y R E P O R T S | |
| Executive Director Report..... | 177 |
| Financial & Personnel Coordinator..... | 178 |
| Program Coordinator Report..... | 180 |
| Binkley House Shelter Program..... | 182 |
| Binkley House Shelter Report..... | 183 |
| YSB Service Inquiries..... | 185 |
| YSB Services Provided & Placement types..... | 186 |
| Safe Place Coordinator Report..... | 191 |
| Clinical Coordinator Report..... | 193 |
| Prevention Coordinator Report..... | 195 |
| Safe Place Events & Locations Appendix A..... | 198 |
| R E C O G N I T I O N | |
| Community Collaborations Appendix B..... | 209 |
| O U R V O I C E | |
| Youth Served..... | 211 |
| Parent/Guardian Opinion..... | 212 |

MONROE CIRCUIT COURT PERSONNEL

MONROE CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION I

| | |
|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Beth Reardon | Official Court Reporter |
| Kyla Stogsdill | Associate Court Reporter |
| Taylor McGlocklin | Associate Court Reporter |

MONROE CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION II

| | |
|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Cindi Deckard | Official Court Reporter |
| Ann Lettelleir | Associate Court Reporter |
| Brandie Martindale | Associate Court Reporter |

MONROE CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION III

| | |
|---------------|--------------------------|
| Kelli Hartman | Official Court Reporter |
| Kyrstin Saft | Associate Court Reporter |
| Tiffany Shupe | Associate Court Reporter |

MONROE CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION IV

| | |
|------------------|--------------------------|
| Karina Brikmanis | Official Court Reporter |
| Kari Gause | Associate Court Reporter |
| Rachel McMasters | Associate Court Reporter |

MONROE CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION V

| | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|
| Katie Oliver | Official Court Reporter |
| Cassie England | Associate Court Reporter |
| Monica Bartlett | Associate Court Reporter |

MONROE CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION VI

| | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Hope Harding | Official Court Reporter |
| Deana Clingerman-Young | Associate Court Reporter |
| Jennifer Kalkanoff | Associate Court Reporter |
| Colleen McPhearson | Family Court Coordinator |

MONROE CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION VII

| | |
|------------------|--------------------------|
| Connie Crohn | Official Court Reporter |
| Jessica Elkins | Associate Court Reporter |
| Charity Sullivan | Associate Court Reporter |

MONROE CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION VIII

| | |
|----------------|--------------------------|
| Melissa Starry | Official Court Reporter |
| Kelly Hutchens | Associate Court Reporter |
| Kathryn Dodd | Associate Court Reporter |

MONROE CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION IX

| | |
|---------------|--------------------------|
| Kathy Pointer | Official Court Reporter |
| Sara Lee | Associate Court Reporter |
| Amy Burkins | Associate Court Reporter |

MONROE CIRCUIT COURT COMMISSIONER

| | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|
| Cathy Hash | Official Court Reporter |
| Wendy Crohn | Associate Court Reporter |
| Andrea Nickless | Associate Court Reporter |

OFFICE OF COURT SERVICES

| | |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| Lisa Abraham | Court Administrator |
| Jama Chandler | Deputy Court Administrator |
| Michelle Pritchard | Court Programs Coordinator |
| Shannon Guidry | Case Management Coordinator |
| Bernice Luck | Financial Coordinator |
| Lisa Wesemann | Public Service Coordinator |
| Robert Thomas | Bailiff |
| Warren Ramage | Bailiff |
| Richard Stacy | Bailiff |
| Danny Boruff | Bailiff |
| John Brashaber | Bailiff |
| Michael Schmaltz | Bailiff |
| Jeff Alwine | Bailiff |
| William White | Bailiff |
| Michael Krebs | Bailiff |
| Kelly Landrum | Associate Court Reporter |
| Mary Baker | Associate Court Reporter |

MONROE COURT PROBATION SERVICES

Linda Brady, Chief Probation Officer

Thomas Rhodes, Assistant Chief Probation Officer / Community Corrections Director

Troy Hatfield, Deputy Chief Probation Officer

ADULT SUPERVISION

| | |
|------------------|-------------------|
| Valerie Collins | Supervisor |
| James Adcock | Probation Officer |
| Heath Adkins | Probation Officer |
| Leah Baker | Probation Officer |
| Jill Barnett | Probation Officer |
| Ken Bugler | Probation Officer |
| Eric Chambers | Probation Officer |
| Andrew Chandler | Probation Officer |
| Megan Davin | Probation Officer |
| Nikki Faletic | Probation Officer |
| Jessica McCammon | Probation Officer |
| LaRae Powers | Probation Officer |
| Julie Robertson | Probation Officer |
| Rachael Scott | Probation Officer |
| Erin Werner | Probation Officer |
| Jaime Zoss | Probation Officer |

ADULT INTAKE

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| Susan Allen | Supervisor/Court & Alcohol Drug Program Director |
| Marsha Anderson | Probation Officer |
| Dianna Johnson | Probation Officer |
| Saundra Moss | Probation Officer |
| Christy Scheid | Probation Officer |
| Brent Townsend | Probation Officer |
| Martin Wood | Probation Officer |
| Michelle Yeger | Probation Officer |

PRE-TRIAL DIVISION

| | |
|-----------------|-------------------|
| Becca Streit | CQI Supervisor |
| Bri Bartlett | Probation Officer |
| Megan Mahaffey | Probation Officer |
| Amanda Miller | Probation Officer |
| Leah Snow | Probation Officer |
| Chelsea Walters | Probation Officer |

SUPPORT STAFF

| | |
|-----------------|----------------------|
| Melissa Wallace | Office Administrator |
| Cailin Adams | Juvenile Secretary |
| Robin Burton | Cashier |
| Natalie Crider | Office Manager-Curry |
| Kyle Marcum | Adult Secretary |
| Megan Shedlak | Office Manager-CC |
| Keri Walden | Receptionist-CC |

COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS

| | |
|--------------------|--------------------|
| Christian Carlisle | CASP Supervisor |
| Desmond Blevins | CASP Field Officer |
| James Dwyer | CASP Case Manager |
| Chad Christensen | CASP Field Officer |
| Takarta Flagg | CASP Field Officer |
| Katy Garriott | CASP Case Manager |
| Troy Greene | Road Crew |
| Amy Matney | CASP Case Manager |
| Morgan Michalski | CASP Case Manager |
| Jessica Oswalt | CASP Field Officer |
| Jessica Wasson | CASP Field Officer |

PROBLEM SOLVING COURT

| | |
|------------------|-------------------|
| Steve Malone | Coordinator |
| Amy Barthold | PSC Field Officer |
| Ted Berry | Case Manager |
| Charles Cohenour | PSC Field Officer |
| Brier Frasier | Case Manager |
| Richard Greco | Case Manager |
| Kara Mahuron | Case Manager |
| Alexis Stogdill | Case Manager |
| Rhonda Welp | Case Manager |

JUVENILE DIVISION

| | |
|------------------|-------------------|
| Jeff Hartman | Supervisor |
| Nick Ackerman | Probation Officer |
| Gena Breeden | Probation Officer |
| Mandy Capps | Probation Officer |
| May Ellis | Probation Officer |
| Brittany Grenier | Probation Officer |
| Sky Kilpatrick | Probation Officer |
| Christine McAfee | Probation Officer |
| Debra Wray | Probation Officer |

PART-TIME SUPPORT STAFF

| | |
|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Daniel Alcantar | Probation Officer Assistant |
| Chelsea Carolus | Probation Officer Assistant |
| Dave Crane | Probation Officer Assistant |
| Audrey Dowden | Probation Officer Assistant |
| Tyler Forajter | Probation Officer Assistant |
| Hannah Frankle | Probation Officer Assistant |
| Rachel Gruss | Probation Officer Assistant |
| Kyler McVoy | Probation Officer Assistant |
| Landon Muzzillo | Probation Officer Assistant |
| Chelsea Thompson | Probation Officer Assistant |

2018 Youth Services Bureau Staff

Executive Director

Victoria Thevenow, M.Ed.

Deputy Director

Louis Malone IV

Finance and Personnel

Sarah Borden, Financial and Personnel Coordinator

Jill Thompson, Office Manager

Prevention:

Allison Zimpfer-Hoerr MSW, LCSW- Prevention Coordinator*

Stephanie Solomon, Prevention Coordinator

Clinical Team:

Nancy Nerad, M.Ed., LCAC, CACII- Clinical Coordinator*

Allison Zimpfer-Hoerr, MSW, LCSW- Clinical Coordinator

Shaleen Guthrie, LMSW- Clinician*

Louise Magiera, LSW- Clinician

Stacy Meadows, CSW, CYC-A- Clinician

Nicholas Ackerman- Case Manager*

Lauren Baney, Case Manager

Asja Zero, MSW Intern*

Bibi Alas-Ruiz, BSW Intern*

Programming:

Vanessa Schmidt, Program Coordinator

Project Safe Place Program

Brigitt Nasby, Safe Place/YSB Shelter Outreach Coordinator

Binkley House Staff

Bibi Alas-Ruiz*

Emily Arthur

Lauren Baney*

Ashley Barrett*

Collin Bates

Soni Blackburn

Alex Burt

Caitlin Bush*

Matt Cababie

Tykia Cantrell

Christina

Comerford*

Velti Cotton*

Pat Donaldson*

Phil Holden*

Janet Hargrave

Na'Kia Jones*

Tara Kirkpatrick

Terry Knoy

Alexxis Lara

Natalie Leon*

Patrick Littlejohn

Jessica

McCammon*

Travis Pate*

Hannah Pollock*

Alina Rahman*

Angela Reece

Diana Robertson

Renee Romanowski

Stephanie Roy*

Leslie Sanchez

Michael Shanks

Erin Smith

Michael Stribling*

Jen Vaught

Elizabeth Weiss-

Jeffries*

Riley Whisenhunt

BOARD OF JUDGES

MONROE CIRCUIT COURT BOARD OF JUDGES

BIOGRAPHICAL PROFILES

CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION I

Elizabeth A. Cure

Date Accepted Position: January 1, 2009

Family Members:

Karma Lochrie, Domestic Partner
Jennifer Cure, Daughter
Jesse Cure, Son (deceased)
Wesley Cure, Son

Undergraduate Degree:

Indiana University, Bachelor of Arts (Comparative Literature (1972)

Masters Degree:

Certified Teacher in Indiana (1973)
Indiana University, Master of Arts (Linguistics)

Law School:

Indiana University, School of Law, Doctor of Jurisprudence *cum laude* (1989)

Related Legal Experience:

Private Practice (1990 – 2008)
Indiana Legal Services (1997 – 1999)

Additional Judicial Service:

Chair of Trial Rule Committee (current)
Member Protection Order Committee (2009-2015)
Indiana Judicial College (graduated May 2015)

Professional Organizations:

Monroe County Bar Association
Indiana Bar Association
Indiana Judges Association
American Bar Association

CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION II

Marc R. Kellams

Date Accepted Position: January 1, 1981

Undergraduate Degrees:

Indiana University, Bachelor of Arts
Religious Studies

Law School:

Indiana University School of Law, Bloomington, Doctor of Jurisprudence (1978)

Related Legal Experience(s):

Legal Intern, Monroe County Prosecuting Attorney
Clerk, Baker, Barnhart & Andrews
Clerk, Mallor and Fitzgerald
Staff Attorney, Indiana University
Associate, Rogers, McDonald & Grodner
Adjunct Assistant Professor of Law, Indiana University, Bloomington (1983-present)

Related Work Experience(s):

Probate Commissioner, Monroe Circuit Court
Juvenile Referee, Monroe Circuit Court

Special Honors or Accomplishment(s):

Phi Eta Sigma (Honorary)
Graduate Indiana Judicial College

Military History:

United States Navy

Community Involvement – Previous

Bloomington Rotary Club, Board of Directors
Bloomington Rotary Foundation, Board of Directors
Monroe County Youth Services Bureau/Youth Shelter, Board of Directors
American Red Cross, Board of Directors
IU Health Bloomington Hospital Advisory Council
IU Health Bloomington Hospital Foundation Development Council
IU Health Bloomington Hospital, Board of Directors
St. Charles Borromeo Catholic Church, Parish Council

Community Involvement – Present

IU Health Bloomington Hospital Strategic Management Committee
Southern Indiana Surgery Center, Board of Directors
St. Charles Borromeo Catholic Church, Permanent Deacon
St. Meinrad School of Theology, Board of Overseers

Professional Involvement

Monroe County Bar Association
Indiana Judges Association Board of Managers

CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION III

Kenneth G. Todd

Date First Elected: January 1, 1979

Family Members:

Bonnie Todd, Wife
Erin Todd, Daughter

Undergraduate Degrees:

Indiana University, Bachelor of Arts, Economics (1967)

Law School:

Indiana University, Doctor of Jurisprudence (1970)
Graduate, Indiana Judicial College (1990)

Related Legal Experience(s):

Assistant Staff Judge Advocate, Malmstrom AFB, MT (1970-1972)
Chief Prosecutor, Second Judicial District, USAF (1972-1974)
Private Practice, Bloomington, Indiana (1974-1978)
Probate Commissioner Monroe Circuit Court (1976-1978)
Presiding Judge, Monroe Unified Courts (1984-1987, 1992-1994, 2007-2012)

Military History:

United States Air Force (1970-1974)

Community Involvement:

Community Corrections Advisory Board, President 2005- Present
Youth For Christ Board of Directors, 2000-2005
Advisory Board, Victim Offender-Reconciliation Program (1998-2003)
Board of Directors, South Central Community Mental Health Center (1991-1998); Chair 1994-1997
City Of Bloomington Safe & Civil City Task Force
Past Board of Directors, Parent-Aid Program (1990-1994)
Past Board of Directors, Ray of Love, Inc. (Amethyst House) (1981-1991)
Past Board of Directors, Shelter, Inc. (1988-1991)
Board of Advisors, Board of Honors, Big Brothers/Big Sisters (1984-1996)
Past Board of Directors, Monroe County Council on Prevention of Child Abuse (1988-1991)
Past Board of Directors, Middleway, Inc. (1981-1982)
Northside Exchange Club

CIRCUIT COURT DIVISION IV

Holly M. Harvey

Date Accepted Position: January 1, 2017

Family:

Matthew Harvey, Husband
Three children

Undergraduate Degree:

Indiana University (B.A. *high distinction*, Criminal Justice and Psychology, 1994), *Phi Beta Kappa*

Law School:

Indiana University Maurer School of Law, Juris Doctor, 1997

Related Legal Experience(s):

Associate Attorney, Bunker & Robertson, 1997-2013
Attorney/Owner, Holly Harvey Law, LLC, 2014-2016

Certifications/Specialized Training:

Certified Domestic Relations Mediator (2002-2016)
Parenting Coordinator (2014-2016)
Collaborative Professional (2013-2016)

Professional Organizations:

Monroe County Bar Association (Board of Directors, 2015-present)
Indiana Bar Association

- Board of Governors (Treasurer, Secretary, District Representative)
- Young Lawyers Section, District Representative
- Future of the Legal Profession Committee, 2016-present
- Revenue Enhancement Committee, 2015
- Wellness Committee, 2012-2013
- Budget and Finance Committee

American Bar Association

Indiana Judges Association

Monroe County Bench Bar Conference Committee

Additional Service:

St. Charles Borromeo School,

- School Commission (2012-2015)
- Assistant Coach, 8th Grade Girls Volleyball (2015-2016)
- Assistant Coach, 5th Grade Boys Basketball (2015-2016)

St. Paul Catholic Center, Member

Tri Kappa Philanthropic Sorority (2007-present)

CIRCUIT COURT DIVISION V

Mary Ellen Diekhoff

Dated Accepted Position:

January 1, 2005

Family Members:

Michael Diekhoff, Husband

Caitlin Diekhoff, Daughter

Undergraduate Degrees:

Valparaiso University, Bachelor of Arts, (1982 Honors Graduate)
Sociology/Psychology

Law School:

Indiana University School of Law, Bloomington, Doctor of Jurisprudence (1986)

Related Legal Experience:

Associate Attorney, Harrell, Clendening and Coyne

1st Deputy Attorney, Monroe County Prosecuting Attorney's Office

Adjunct Professor, Indiana University Criminal Justice Department

Adjunct Professor, Indiana University Maurer School of Law

Certifications:

Indiana Bar

Admitted, Federal District Court for the Northern and Southern District of Indiana

Certified Mediator, State of Indiana

Community Involvement-Previous:

Monroe County Parent Aid

Designated Drivers Council of Monroe County

Big Brothers/Big Sisters

Tulip Trace Council of Girl Scouts

Board of Education, St. Charles School

Community Involvement-Present:

National Volunteer Mediator, Girl Scouts USA

Professional Involvement:

Monroe County Bar Association

Indiana Judges Association

Chair, Indiana Judges Association Drug & Alcohol Program Committee

District 10 Pro Bono

Indiana Public Defender Commission

Indiana State Bar Association

Criminal Law Committee

CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION VI

Frances "Francie" Hill

Date First Elected: January 1, 2007 **Date Second Term Began:** January 1, 2013

Undergraduate Degree: Purdue University, B.A., Sociology and Secondary Education, 1970-1974, With Honors

Indiana University School of Law-Bloomington, J.D., December 1979, Cum Laude

Admitted to Indiana State Bar, 1980, Attorney No. 7958-53-A

Domestic Relations Mediation Training, 2005

EMPLOYMENT

Current: Judge, Monroe Circuit Court, Bloomington Indiana. Case load: Juvenile Court Child Abuse & Neglect (CHINS), civil jury trials, debt collection, mortgage foreclosure, plenary docket.

CURRENT JUDICIAL RESPONSIBILITIES AND COMMITTEES

Committee Member for Annual Monroe County Bench-Bar Conference

Civil Instructions Committee of Indiana Supreme Court

PRIOR EMPLOYMENT

Indiana Supreme Court Family Court Project, 1999-2006;

Clinical Associate Professor and Director Child Advocacy Clinic, Indiana University School of Law-Bloomington, 1996-1999

Adjunct Faculty in Juvenile Law, Indiana University School of Law-Bloomington, 1985-1996

Monroe County Juvenile Court Referee and Probate Commissioner, 1981-1986

Law Clerk positions, 1980-1978

- 1980 Law clerk in the Indiana Court of Appeals for the Honorable V. Sue Shields, now serving as the Magistrate Judge US District Court, Southern District of Indiana
- 1979 Summer law clerk Barrett, Barrett, and McNagny law firm, Ft. Wayne, Indiana
- 1978-1979 Law clerk in the Monroe County Superior Court for the Honorable John G. Baker, now serving as Judge, Indiana Court of Appeals
- 1978 Student law clerk internship William E. Steckler, Federal Court Southern District
- 1977-1978 Student bailiff in the Monroe County Superior Court for the Honorable R. Douglas Bridges

Caseworker, Whitley County Welfare Department, 1975-1976

Sales, Van Camp Hardware and Iron, wholesale distributors, Indianapolis 1974-1975

ADDITIONAL LEGAL EXPERIENCE AND TEACHING

- Initiated Monroe County CASA Program; ongoing CASA Attorney Trainer, 1983-2005
- Coordinated Indiana Supreme Court Pro Bono 40 hour Domestic Relations Mediation Training, June 2005
- Instructor in Child Abuse and Neglect Law for state, regional and local Division of Family and Children (now Department of Child Services), 1986-2005
- Presenter for Indiana Juvenile Judges Conference and Judicial College, 1981-2005
- Member of Juvenile Judges Benchbook Committee of the Indiana Judicial Conference, 1983-1986

LEGAL PUBLICATIONS

- Indiana Family Court Project Report, Supreme Court publication, Fall 2003.
- Frances G. Hill and Derelle Watson Duvall, CHINS DESKBOOK 2001, Children's Law Center Publication, 2001. (also original CHINS Deskbook 1986 and updates 1988, 1990, 1994, 1995, 1996).
- Frances G. Hill, "What's a Family Court, and What's in it for the Lawyer?", Res Gestae, Journal of Indiana State Bar Association, November 2000.
- Frances G. Hill, "Clinical Education and the Best Interest Representation of Children in Custody Disputes: Challenges and Opportunities in Lawyering and Pedagogy", 73 Ind. L. Journal 605, 1998.
- Frances G. Hill, "Legal Primer I and II", Training Child Welfare Attorneys and Case Managers, 1996, 1998.

PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS, BOARDS AND TASK FORCES

- Judicial Domestic Relations Committee, Current Child Support Guidelines revision, 2013 Parenting Time revisions (2008-2014)
- Indiana State Bar Association-Family and Juvenile Law Section, ADR Section
- Monroe County Bar Association
- Association of Family and Conciliation Courts
- Indiana State Child Welfare Assessment Group (2003-2004)
- Kentucky Rural Family Court Development Advisory Panel (2002)
- National CASA Divorce Custody Task Force (1998-99)

- Indiana Adoption and Safe Families Act Implementation Group (1999)
- Indiana Task Force on Legal Competency Based Training (1998)
- Governor's Council on Child Abuse and Neglect Prevention (1987)

MONROE COUNTY COMMUNITY AND RELIGIOUS AFFILIATIONS

- 100 Women Care
- First United Methodist Church
- IU Riddle Point Rowing Association
- Past Bible Study Fellowship, Hoosier Hills Emmaus Community
- Past President and Board of Directors Monroe County Big Brothers/Big Sisters
- Past Board of Directors Monroe County Family Services Association
- Past Board of Directors Hoosier Hills YFC Campus Life

CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION VII

Stephen R. Galvin

Date Accepted Position: January 1, 2005

Family Members:

Wife: Tamara Galvin

Son: Conor Galvin

Undergraduate Degrees:

Wabash College, 1978

Law School:

Indiana University, 1981

Employment History:

Attorney for the Monroe County Council, Commissioners, Auditor and Sheriff, 1990-2004

Attorney for the Monroe County Office of Family and Children, 1990-2004

Deputy Monroe County Prosecuting Attorney, 1987-1989

Public Defender, 1981-1986

Professional Organizations:

Monroe County Bar Association

Indiana State Bar Association

Indiana Judicial Conference – Former Chair, Juvenile Justice Improvement Committee

Indiana Judicial Conference - Former chair, Juvenile Bench Book Committee

Indiana State Judges Association

Former member, Indiana State Bar Association Committee on the Civil Rights of Children

Community Involvement:

Martha's House Emergency Homeless Shelter, Former President

Northside Exchange Club of Bloomington, Former President

St. Charles Borromeo Catholic Church, Former President of Parish Council

Youth Services Board, Former Secretary

Indiana Department of Corrections Juvenile Detention Standards Advisory Committee (1993)

CIRCUIT COURT DIVISION VIII

Valeri Haughton

Date Accepted Position: January 1, 2009

Family:

Frank Motley III, Husband
Five children
Nineteen grandchildren

Undergraduate Degree:

University of Iowa, Bachelor of Arts (Political Science, History)

Graduate Certificate

Women's Studies – University of Iowa

Law School:

University of Iowa College of Law, Juris Doctorate [1992]

Professional

Mental Health Counselor (1973- 1989)

Related Legal Experience(s):

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney, Marion County (1993-1997)
Deputy Prosecuting Attorney, Monroe County (1997-2005)
Consultant, Indiana University- Office of the
Vice President for Diversity & Multicultural Affairs (2005 – 2007)
Attorney, Office of the Monroe County Public Defender (2007 – 2008)

Professional Organizations:

Monroe County Bar Association
Indiana Bar Association
Indiana Judges Association
National Bar Association – Judicial Council
Sheriff's Merit Board (2007 – 2008)

Additional Service:

Member, Bloomington Human Rights Commission (former Chair)
Board of Directors:
Bloomington Playwrights Project
Community Kitchen
NAACP Lifetime Member
ACLU
Blue Ridge Neighborhood Association
Benevolent and Protective Order of Elks
Lodge #446, Bloomington, IN

CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION IX

Teresa D. Harper

Date Accepted Position: January 1, 2007

Undergraduate Degrees:

Indiana University/Purdue University at Indianapolis

Law School:

Indiana University School of Law, Indianapolis, Doctor of Jurisprudence (1982)

Legal Experience(s):

Clerk, Indiana Supreme Court, Former Chief Justice Richard M. Givan (1979-82)

Deputy, Assistant Chief Deputy, Indiana State Public Defender (1985 – 1995)

Adjunct Assistant Professor, Indiana University, Bloomington (2004, 2012-2016)

Director of Training, Editor of the *Defender*, Indiana Public Defender Council (1995-1998)

Designer/Director of Training Events, National Legal Aid and Defender Association (1999-2004)

Projector Co-Director, National Consortium on Death Penalty Training (2004-2005)

Professional Organizations:

Current

Member, Records Management Committee, Indiana Judicial Center

Member, Pre-Trial Release Committee, Indiana Judicial Center

Monroe County Bar Association

Indiana Judges Association

Previous

Member, Board of Directors, Judicial Conference of Indiana

Member, Board of Directors, Indiana Public Defender Council (1993-1995; 1999-2006)

Member, National Legal Aid and Defenders Association (1998-2005)

Community Involvement

Member, Board of Directors, Community Kitchen of Monroe County (1998-2010)

Member, Sheriff's Merit Board (2002 – 2006)

Member, Bloomington Friends Meeting

CIRCUIT COURT COMMISSIONER

Bret Raper

Date Accepted Position: July 9, 2004

Family Members:

Angela F. Parker, Spouse
Hannah Williamson, Step-Daughter
Gregory Parker, Step-Son

Undergraduate Degrees:

Indiana University, Bachelor of Arts (Secondary Ed.), 1992

Law School:

Indiana University, School of Law, Bloomington, Doctor of Jurisprudence (1995)

Related Legal Experience(s):

Associate Attorney, Riester & Strueh (1995-96)
Deputy Prosecuting Attorney, Monroe County (1997-2004)

Additional Judicial Service:

Advisory Member, Monroe County Family Court
Advisory Member, Victim-Offender Reconciliation Program

Military History

United States Air Force (1986-1990)

Professional Organizations:

Indiana State Bar Association
Monroe County Bar Association
Adjunct Professor, Ivy Tech Community College

Western Swing Album of the Year

**OFFICE
OF
COURT
SERVICES**

OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION

INTRODUCTION

Each day more than 1,000 people enter the Justice Building to file a complaint, pay traffic or probation fees, gather information about a case, or serve as a lawyer, petitioner, defendant, witness or juror to a trial. The increasing complexity of life and the scope of litigation in the United States have created a non-judicial administrative burden on the courts that the judges and traditional court staff cannot handle alone. The Office of Court Administration, under the guidance of the Board of Judges, provides administrative support for the Circuit Court. The office is responsible for the daily operations in financial management, security management, jury management, case management and court support programs. The Office of Court Administration staff reviews system operations, analyzes management problems, recommends solutions to the judges, and implements efficient change. In 2018, the Office of Court Administration successfully implemented the following administrative programs and procedures.

FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

Twenty-five budgets totaling a little over **\$9.5 million** were prepared, monitored and analyzed by the Office of Court Administration. Fiscal management of these budgets includes the preparation of the payroll for over 130 employees, the monitoring of grants received on federal, state and local levels, and the procurement of office furniture, supplies and equipment. The following is a 2018 summary of the funding sources, the amount and types of generated revenue, and the budget and expenditures for the Monroe Circuit Court.

I. FUNDING SOURCES

The Monroe Circuit Court receives funds from the following sources:

- (1) Tax Revenue: Provides funds for personnel, computers, capital outlays, supplies and operating expenses for the Court.
- (2) Program Fees: Provides funds generated by case filings, court costs, fines, infraction judgments, support fees, user fees and investment interest.
- (3) Grants/Contracts: Awarded by the State of Indiana for Community Corrections, Supreme Court Grant, JABG, Interpreter Grant, Title IV-D reimbursement; Drug Court Grant awarded by the US Dept. of Justice/Office of Justice Programs.

Tax Revenue for Courts:

| | |
|------------------------------|-------------|
| COUNTY GENERAL | \$5,296,366 |
| Juvenile LIT (formerly COIT) | \$1,126,074 |
| Public Safety LIT | \$671,551 |

Program Fees:


| | |
|---------------------|---------|
| Probation User Fees | 820,087 |
|---------------------|---------|

Grants/Contracts:

| | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|
| Federal/State Grants/Contracts | 1,438,035 |
| Title IV-D Court Reimbursement | 192,078 |
| State Interpreter Grant (Court) | 2,000 |

| | |
|-----------------------------|--------------|
| <u>Jury Pay Fund</u> | 8,946 |
|-----------------------------|--------------|

| | |
|--------------|---------------------------|
| TOTAL | <u>\$9,555,137</u> |
|--------------|---------------------------|



As indicated on the pie graph, Monroe County provides the Court over half (74%) of their annual budget. Fees and grants make up the remaining portion (26%) of the budget. In 2018, the Monroe Circuit Court received total funding of \$9,555,137.


II. EXPENDITURES

Expenditures for 2018 by the Monroe Circuit Court totaled \$9,513,572. The pie graph below shows the percentage and types of expenses incurred.

2018 Monroe Circuit Court Expenditures


| | |
|----------------------------|-------------|
| Personnel Services | \$7,946,892 |
| Other Services and Charges | 1,486,439 |
| Supplies | 65,000 |
| Capital Outlays | 15,241 |

| | |
|--------------|---------------------------|
| TOTAL | <u>\$9,513,572</u> |
|--------------|---------------------------|



III. REVENUE

In 2018, the Monroe Circuit Court generated \$2,848,532 in total revenue. The revenue generated by the Monroe Circuit Court is disbursed to three government entities. The pie graph below shows the percentage of disbursement of this revenue to state, county and local government.



STATE--Total Revenue: \$1,199,312

Sources: Court costs (filing fees, traffic/criminal court costs)
Fines & forfeitures (criminal cases)
Infraction judgment (traffic)
Overweight Vehicle Fees (infraction judgments)
User Fees (25% of drug abuse, prosecution, interdiction & correction fees;
25% of alcohol & drug countermeasures fees)
Automated Record Keeping Fee
Judicial Insurance Adjustment Fees
Child Abuse Prevention Fees (State Family Violence Victim Assistance Fund)
Domestic Violence Prevention Fees (State Family Violence Victim Asst. Fund)

COUNTY--Total Revenue: \$1,625,687

Sources: Court Costs (filing fees, traffic/criminal court costs)
Support Fees, Bond Administration Fees
Late Surrender Fees, Document Storage Fees

User Fees: SADS (Substance Abuse Division--First time minor offenses program fees:
Marijuana Eradication Program Fees)
Project Income--user fees for offender programs: Job Release, Road Crew,
House Arrest & Public Restitution
Pretrial Diversion User Fees (program fees for minor offenses)
County Drug Fee (felony & misdemeanor fines)
Law Enforcement Continuing Education (felony, misdemeanor & traffic fines)
Infraction Diversion Fees (traffic)
Adult Probation User Fees (program/treatment fees for adult offenders)
Juvenile Probation User Fees (program/treatment fees for juvenile offenders)
Supplemental Public Defender Fees (offender fees for legal representation)
Miscellaneous (jury fees, miscellaneous administrative fees)

LOCAL (Municipal)--Total Revenue: \$23,533

Sources: Court Costs (filing fees, traffic/criminal court costs)

SECURITY MANAGEMENT


Violence in this country is on the rise and concomitantly, there have been recent tragic events involving the Judiciary within court facilities. Judges, bailiffs, witnesses, lawyers, parties and the general public have been vulnerable to bombs, armed attack and hostage situations. Inadequate courtroom security or the absence of security has been identified as causative factors. By Order of the Court, all firearms, dangerous weapons and destructive devices are prohibited from being in the Justice Building. To take preventive measures, the Office of Court Services employs bailiffs, who are trained in handling weapons, bombs and serious threats, to be present in the courtrooms. In 1995 the County installed a magnetometer and X-ray machine at the entrance of the Justice Building. The implementation of this comprehensive security plan has insured the safety of litigants and other citizens conducting business in the Justice Building.

In 2018, the Monroe Circuit Court Bailiffs, in addition to their regular responsibilities of security, provided an enhanced level of security in 426 Protective Order Hearings, 113 Juvenile Detention Hearings and 14 Jury Trials. They responded to 5 separate medical incidents experienced by members of the public at the Justice Building. The bailiffs also booked 258 offenders into the Monroe County Jail as the result of either a judicial remand of custody or the service of a warrant.

JURY MANAGEMENT


The goal of the Office of Court Services is to maximize efficiency while minimizing jury system costs and inconvenience to citizens summoned for jury duty. In 2018, prospective jurors' names are randomly selected from the Bureau of Motor Vehicles and Department of Revenue lists for Monroe County. The master list contained thirty-four hundred names and addresses. These citizens receive a juror summons for a one-month term of service. To achieve cost savings, standard panel sizes of thirty-six (36) prospective jurors are summoned for a panel of twelve (12) jurors and eighteen (18) prospective jurors are summoned for a panel of six (6) jurors. In 2018, a total of 466 citizens reported for jury duty; and 27 percent of these actually served on juries. By state law, a juror received \$15.00 per day for reporting for jury service and \$40.00 per day if sworn as a member of a jury. All receive \$.36 per mile to and from the Justice Building. Prospective jurors are called one time within their one-month term of service and if empaneled to serve on a jury, their service lasts around two or three days. In 2018, the average cost per trial was \$1607.00.

In 2018, there were 14 jury trials held in Monroe Circuit Court. Of these, 29% involved felony offenses, and 71% involved civil cases.



CASE MANAGEMENT

The Office of Court Administration monitors case assignments to insure the equity of caseload between judges and provides the judges with case management information in order to reduce case delay. Case statistics are provided to the State Court Administrator's Office quarterly and analyzed by the Office of Court Administration to determine case management trends and growth of judicial workload. With the assistance of an automated case tracking system, information is available for assisting the judges, court support staff and the public more efficiently.



In 2018, 40,042 cases were before the Monroe Circuit Court. These included previously pending cases, new filings, reopened cases and venued-in cases from other counties. Fifty-five percent (55%) or 22,009 of these cases were new and venued-in cases and the remaining were reopened and previously pending cases. Reopened cases are defined as cases redocketed for further action, such as proceedings supplemental to collect money judgments, petitions to modify child custody, support or visitation, and modifications of criminal sentences. The cases included criminal, civil, domestic, protective orders, small claims, juvenile, probate, mental health, ordinance violations and infractions. The nine courts disposed of 30,314 cases in 2018.

Infractions: The staff of the Clerk and Prosecutor's Office manages infraction cases. Most of the traffic cases settle prior to court. Diversion programs are

established for first time offenders. If programs are violated, infraction cases are assigned to the judges. There were 1,173 previously pending cases and 7,248 new infraction cases filed in 2018. Approximately 2% were assigned to the judges.



Ordinance Violations: The City Attorney and staff of the Clerk's Office manage ordinance violation cases. Due to new collection procedures adopted by the City of Bloomington in 2012, the number of cases filed has declined significantly. There were 28 previously pending cases and 65 new ordinance violations filed in 2018; approximately 2% were assigned to the judges.

Case Assignment per Court: Considering the number of cases pending, new filings, redocketed cases, infractions and ordinance violations filed with the Court, the average number of cases collectively assigned to the nine divisions for 2018 was 4,449.

Case Filings and Dispositions: Criminal and Civil







During 2018, the Monroe Circuit Court consisted of nine divisions. Four divisions were randomly assigned criminal cases. Civil, Domestic Relations, Juvenile and Probate cases were randomly assigned to five other divisions. Comparing 2017 to 2018, Felony and Criminal Misdemeanor new filings have slightly decreased. Protective Order new filings, Small Claims new filings, and Domestic Relations new filings have also decreased from 2017. However, the filings for Civil cases have slightly increased from 2017. The number of reopened cases for Criminal Misdemeanor and Felonies have decreased compared to 2017, as have the number of reopened cases for Protective Orders. The number of reopened cases for Civil, Small Claims, and Domestic Relations,

have all increased from 2017. Disposition rates for Felony and Criminal Misdemeanor cases are both just under 100% and the disposition rates for Civil, Small Claims, Domestic Relations, and Protective Order cases are all over 100%.




| | NEW FILINGS (Excl. Transfers) | | DECIDED CASES (Excl. Transfers) | | DISPOSITION RATE Of New Filings | |
|--|----------------------------------|-------|------------------------------------|-------|------------------------------------|------|
| | 2017 | 2018 | 2017 | 2018 | 2017 | 2018 |
| FELONIES (A,B,C,D,MR, and Levels 1-6) | 1,359 | 1,339 | 1,769 | 1,320 | 130% | 99% |
| Redockets | 1,387 | 924 | 627 | 957 | 45% | 104% |
| MISDEMEANORS (CM) | 3,357 | 3,117 | 3,254 | 3,040 | 96% | 98% |
| Redockets | 3,518 | 712 | 1,177 | 933 | 33% | 131% |
| CIVIL (CP,PL,MF,CC,CT) | 1,162 | 1,408 | 1,177 | 1,384 | 101% | 98% |
| Redockets | 1,193 | 1,437 | 1,720 | 1,237 | 144% | 86% |
| SMALL CLAIMS (SC) | 2,588 | 2,369 | 2,516 | 2,430 | 97% | 103% |
| Redockets | 2,609 | 2,874 | 4,089 | 3,174 | 156% | 110% |
| DOMESTIC RELATIONS (DR, DC, DN) | 582 | 511 | 679 | 618 | 116% | 121% |
| Redockets | 613 | 690 | 707 | 677 | 115% | 98% |
| PROTECTIVE ORDERS (PO) | 670 | 575 | 682 | 604 | 102% | 105% |
| Redockets | 235 | 191 | 231 | 189 | 98% | 99% |

Criminal, Civil, Small Claims, Protective Orders, and Domestic Relations cases are decided by jury trial (JT), bench trial (BT), guilty plea (GP), deferral/diversion (DE), dismissal (DI), default (DF) or bench dispositions (BD). The following pie charts show how the new case filings were disposed in 2018.



Juvenile and Probate: Juvenile and Probate cases include civil commitments of mentally ill, the processing of estates and trusts, adoption of children, the establishment of paternity of children born out of wedlock, juvenile delinquency, and CHINS (Children in Need of Services). CHINS cases involve the abuse and neglect of children. All cases are disposed by bench trial, bench disposition or dismissal.



The two-year graph to the left shows Juvenile and Probate new filings in 2017 compared to new filings in 2018.

New filings for Delinquencies and Miscellaneous cases have both increased while Adoptions and Paternity cases remain relatively consistent with new filings from 2017. New filings for Estate cases, Guardianships, Trusts, CHINS, Juvenile Terminations and Juvenile Status cases have all decreased this year.

| | NEW FILINGS (Excl. Transfers) | | DECIDED CASES (Excl. Transfers) | | DISPOSITION RATE | |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|------|------------------------------------|------|------------------|------|
| | 2017 | 2018 | 2017 | 2018 | 2017 | 2018 |
| MENTAL HEALTH | 492 | 539 | 414 | 631 | 84% | 117% |
| Redockets | 1 | 1 | 22 | 16 | 22% | 16% |
| ADOPTIONS | 127 | 133 | 113 | 141 | 88% | 106% |
| Redockets | 13 | 8 | 14 | 9 | 107% | 112% |
| ESTATES | 289 | 254 | 306 | 289 | 105% | 114% |
| Redockets | 29 | 24 | 29 | 26 | 100% | 108% |
| GUARDIANSHIPS | 179 | 171 | 122 | 525 | 68% | 307% |
| Redockets | 20 | 38 | 19 | 42 | 95% | 111% |
| TRUSTS | 14 | 10 | 18 | 12 | 129% | 120% |
| Redockets | 6 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 83% | 117% |
| CHIN CASES | 333 | 249 | 386 | 433 | 116% | 174% |
| Redockets | 91 | 146 | 28 | 2 | 31% | 1.3% |
| DELIQUENCIES | 73 | 84 | 96 | 75 | 132% | 89% |
| Redockets | 91 | 23 | 98 | 39 | 108% | 170% |
| PATERNITY | 161 | 158 | 196 | 179 | 122% | 113% |
| Redockets | 353 | 322 | 414 | 413 | 117% | 128% |
| MISCELLANEOUS | 269 | 291 | 293 | 296 | 109% | 102% |
| Redockets | 0 | 1 | 47 | 2 | 0% | 200% |
| JUVENILE TERMINATION | 112 | 94 | 68 | 90 | 61% | 96% |
| Redockets | 0 | 11 | 5 | 7 | 0% | 64% |
| JUVENILE STATUS | 23 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 91% | 105% |
| Redockets | 9 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 111% | 200% |
| JUV. PROTECTIVE ORDER | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0% | 0% |
| Redockets | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0% | 0% |

MONROE COUNTY FAMILY COURT

Monroe County was selected in February, 2000 as one of three pilot counties for the Indiana Supreme Court's Family Court Project. The initial funding for Family Court was received under a two-year grant from the Indiana Supreme Court, Division of State Court Administration. The Monroe County Family Court has continued its services to families in the legal system beyond the pilot project phase. Family Court operated under the administration of Division IV of the Monroe Circuit Court in 2018.

The Monroe County Family Court has identified the following programming components as vital to the project:

I. Mediation: The Family Court Mediation Clinic was created at the request of Judge Viola Taliaferro, Division VII. In August, 2002, the Family Court Coordinator began working with the Child Advocacy Clinic of the Indiana University School of Law, and the Community Conflict Resolution Project (CCRP) to develop a method of resolving custody, visitation, and related disputes that arise within the context of paternity cases. It was envisioned that law students and other participants could be trained to provide mediation services on a volunteer basis in the paternity court. Implementation of the project began in January, 2003. This highly successful program expanded in August, 2003 to include divorce cases involving child custody and parenting time issues. Families are eligible to participate in the mediation clinic if they are unable to afford private mediation services. The collaborators in the development of the Mediation Clinic believe that the children affected by these cases will best be served by providing a forum for parents to actively negotiate parenting arrangements that protect the child's best interests.

The Family Court Mediation Clinic handles all types of disputes that may arise within the context of family law cases referred by the Court. Parties may also be referred to mediation for assistance with specific issues identified by the Court, such as completing a parenting time schedule, calculating child support, and developing co-parenting communication skills. Parties receive information and education to better understand the court process, the Indiana Parenting Time Guidelines, and the Child Support Rules and Guidelines. The Family Court Coordinator receives referrals from the court to assist parties in providing more complete and accurate pleadings and information to the court in order to expedite their cases.

In March of 2005, the **Family Court Mediation Clinic** implemented the **Domestic Relations Alternative Dispute Resolution Fund Plan** of the Monroe Circuit Court. This plan operates under the provisions of Indiana Code 33-23-6-1 to -4. An additional twenty-dollar filing fee is collected from parties filing petitions for legal separation, paternity, or dissolution of marriage. The fee is deposited into the alternative dispute resolution (ADR) fund and is used to foster domestic relations ADR services for litigants who have the least ability to pay. Litigants receiving services covered by the fund make a modest co-payment for the services based upon the litigant's ability to pay.

The Family Court Coordinator conducts mediation for the Family Court Mediation Clinic throughout the year. During the academic year, mediation services are also provided in part by IU Law students who have completed the domestic relations mediation training course and are registered mediators in Indiana. Students work under the training and supervision of Professor Amy Applegate (Director of the Children and Family Mediation Clinic at the IU School of Law) and Colleen McPhearson (Family Court Coordinator). Senior judges and private mediators may provide services under the ADR plan as well.

In 2018, 168 families were referred for services through this program. By the end of 2018, more than 2,500 families had been referred to the program since its inception.

II. Counsel in the Court (Pro Se Assistance): The District 10 Pro Bono Project began providing on-site services for self-represented parties at the Justice Building in 2010. The weekly walk-in clinic is known as “Counsel in the Court.” Funding to renovate and furnish the attorney conference rooms for this purpose was provided in part through the Family Court Project. The District 10 Pro Bono Project coordinates attorney volunteers who provide limited assistance to parties in completing forms and pleadings for family law case types. In 2018, District 10 reported 632 attorney-client conferences were conducted through this program.

III. Investigation Services: Judges making decisions regarding child custody and parenting time can receive the assistance of an experienced investigator who will gather the necessary information to help the judge make a well-informed decision regarding the child’s best interests. The Juvenile Division assists the Civil Division of the Court by conducting investigations in divorce and paternity to provide the Court with information regarding parents and their children. Examples of the information that could be included is information about the child’s school or living environment. The average amount of time spent on the reports filed in 2018 was 14 hours per report. In 2017, the probation department received eight new referrals for investigations in family law cases.

Collaboration with outside agencies: District 10 Pro Bono Project

Address: P.O. Box 8382 Bloomington, IN 47407-8382

Phone: 812-339-3610 and (800) 570-1787

Contact Person: Diane Walker

Intake: phone intake 10 to 12 p.m. Mondays and 2-5 p.m. on Thursdays

Services Provided: Provides civil legal assistance to people who could not otherwise afford it. A variety of cases accepted including family law, housing, credit issues, and public benefits.

Cost: free for income eligible

District 10 Pro Bono Project:

<http://www.in.gov/judiciary/probono/attorneys/provider/dist10.html>

IU Children and Family Mediation Clinic

Address: No Walk-ins, appointments arranged by phone

Phone: 812-855-9229

Contact Person: Ginnie Phero

Clinical Professor: Professor Amy Applegate

Services Provided: mediation of divorce and some other family law matters

Cost: Reduced cost determined on incomes of each party

IU Children and Family Mediation Clinic:

<http://www.law.indiana.edu/students/clinic/family.shtm>

COURT SUPPORT PROGRAMS

CASA

The Monroe Circuit Court has contracted with Family Service Association of Monroe County to provide volunteer Court Appointed Special Advocates to represent the best interests of children involved in CHINS cases.

GUARDIAN AD LITEM

The child advocacy clinic of the IU School of Law, opened in the Spring of 1996 to train law students to represent the best interests of children as guardian ad litem in custody and visitation cases.

CHILDREN COPE WITH DIVORCE

The Monroe Circuit Court has contracted with Visiting Nurse Service to provide a required 4-hour educational program for parents, prior to the issuance of a final divorce decree, which focuses on parenting and the needs of children. In 2018, 294 parents participated in the program. Seventy-five percent (70%) stated they had a greater understanding of the difference between children's needs and parent's needs as a result of their participation. The median age of the participants was 30-39.

PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATIONS

The Center for Behavior Health, by order of the Court, performs psychiatric evaluations on defendants to determine mental sanity at the time of the alleged offense, the defendant's comprehension to stand trial and assist in own defense, the defendant's ability to comprehend punishment for the crime at the time of sentencing, the defendant's need for treatment prior to sentencing, or the defendant's mental/emotional status while incarcerated. The Center for Behavioral Health performs these services at no cost.

MEDIATION

Parties recognize that litigation can be a long, tedious and expensive process for resolving disputes. At any time during the case process, the court can order, or one or both of the parties can request, that the case be settled by mediation. Mediation is a negotiation facilitated by an acceptable, impartial and neutral third-party who works with the parties to reach a mutually agreeable settlement to the dispute. The Office of Court Services maintains a list of State certified civil and family mediators.

AMERICAN WITH DISABILITIES ACT

The ADA requires that the courts perform an assessment of their facilities, programs and services and eliminate both architectural and communication barriers that impede a disabled person's access to the use of a court facility. The courts must "reasonably accommodate" disabled individuals. The Office of Court Services, upon request, provides auxiliary aides to disabled individuals and will consider alternative methods of making court services and programs more accessible.

COURT APPENDIX

QCSR Division 1

YTD Totals 2018
Judge Elizabeth A. Cure

| WCL Factors > | Criminal | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Juvenile | | | | | | | | Civil | | | | | | | | | | | TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------|------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------|-----------|---------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------|-------------------|-------------|----------|-----------------------|---------------|----|------|------|---|-----|-----|-----|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | | | | | |
| | MR | CF | FA | FB | FC | FD | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 | PC | CM | MC | IF | OV | JC | JD | JS | JP | JM | JT | JQ | CP | PL | MF | CC | CT | SC | DR | DC | DN | RS | MH | AD | | ES | EU | EM | GU | TR | PO | XP | TS | TP | MI | | | | | |
| Murder | Criminal Felony | Class A Felony | Class B Felony | Class C Felony | Class D Felony | Level 1 Felony | Level 2 Felony | Level 3 Felony | Level 4 Felony | Level 5 Felony | Level 6 Felony | Post Conviction | Criminal Misdemeanor | Miscellaneous Criminal | Infractions | All Ordinance Violations | Juvenile CHNS | Juvenile Delinquency | Juvenile Status | Juvenile Paternity | Juvenile Miscellaneous | Juvenile Term of Parental Rights | Juvenile Protective Order | Civil Plenary (pre 1/1/2002) | Civil Plenary | Mortgage Foreclosure | Collection Cases | Civil Tort | Small Claims | Domestic Relations | Domestic Rel - Children | Domestic Rel - No Children | Reciprocal Support | Mental Health | Adoptions | Estates | Estates Unsupervised | Estates Miscellaneous | Guardianships | Trusts | Protective Orders | Expungement | Tax Sale | Verified Tax Petition | Miscellaneous | | | | | | | |
| PART I: BEFORE COURT | 1038 | 155 | 359 | 218 | 211 | 125 | 679 | 269 | 232 | 195 | 149 | 92 | 351 | 39 | 17 | 1 | 2 | 176 | 91 | 17 | 146 | 8 | 124 | 28 | 121 | 121 | 24 | 16 | 148 | 12 | 185 | 259 | 39 | 37 | 21 | 49 | 50 | 44 | 9 | 93 | 126 | 28 | 25 | 128 | 21 | 32 | | | | | | |
| A. Previously Pending | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 130 | | | | 6 | | | | | 68 | 42 | 138 | 179 | | 2 | 17 | | | 3 | | 2 | 56 | 115 | 5 | | 2 | 2 | | | | | 9 | 776 | | | | |
| B. New Filings | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 800 | | | | | | | | X | 90 | 88 | 415 | 111 | | X | | | 3 | | | 22 | 169 | 63 | | 10 | | | | | 3 | 43 | 1817 | | | | | |
| C. Venued In | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | | 3 | 1 | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D. Transferred In | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | 31 | 2 | 11 | 13 | | 2 | 3 | | | 1 | | 1 | | | | | 452 | | 1 | | | 1 | 2 | 521 | | | | |
| E. TOTAL CASES BEFORE COURT (add A through D) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 931 | | | | 6 | | | | | 191 | 132 | 567 | 304 | | 4 | 20 | | | 7 | | 2 | 79 | 285 | 68 | 452 | 12 | 3 | | | 4 | 54 | 3121 | | | | | |
| PART II: DISPOSED CASES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F. By Jury Trial | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | | 4 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | 4 | | |
| G. By Bench Trial | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 25 | 7 | 26 | 9 | | | 3 | | | | | 5 | 2 | | | 207 | | | | | | | | | | | 22 | 307 |
| H. By Bench Disposition | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 34 | 24 | 84 | 90 | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | | | | 32 | 188 | 61 | 152 | 11 | | | | | 1 | 5 | 684 | |
| I. Dismissed | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 195 | | | | | | | | | 12 | 26 | 90 | 28 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | 7 | 359 | | |
| J. Default | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | 19 | 20 | 149 | 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | X | | | | 1 | 196 | |
| K. Deferred/Diverted | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 73 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | 73 | |
| L. Guilty Plea/Admission | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | 2 | | | | | | | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | 2 | |
| M. Violations Bureau | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | 337 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | 337 | |
| N. Closed | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | |
| O. FTA/FTP | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | 214 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | 214 | |
| P. Other | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Q. TOTAL DISPOSED CASES (add F through P) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 821 | | | | | | | | | 90 | 77 | 349 | 138 | | 4 | | | 1 | | | 37 | 191 | 61 | 359 | 11 | 1 | | | | | 1 | 35 | 2176 | | | | |
| R. Venued Out | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| S. Transferred Out | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 17 | 7 | 5 | 9 | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | 5 | 8 | | 6 | 1 | | | | 3 | 5 | 68 | | |
| PART III: PENDING AT END OF QUARTER | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| T. TOTAL (E minus Q minus R minus S) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 110 | | | | 6 | | | | | 83 | 48 | 213 | 156 | | 4 | 14 | | 6 | | 2 | 37 | 86 | 7 | 87 | | | 2 | | | | | | | | 14 | 875 | |
| PART IV: OTHER | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| U. Cases Heard By Rep Judge, as SJ, in Other Courts | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| V. Cases Heard By Other SJ in Reporting Court | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| W. Cases w/ Self Represented Litigants | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 14 | | 29 | 12 | | 8 | | | 4 | | | | 1 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | 26 | 111 | | | | |
| X. Cases Referred to ADR | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 8 | | 2 | 42 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Y. Indigent Counsel Appointed | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Z. Interpreter Services Used | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AA. GAL/CASA Appointed | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| YTD Totals 2018 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Court Business Records 6 | | | | | | | | Pro Tem Days | | | | Senior Judge Days | | | | 12.34 | | | Commissioner Days/Week (Yrly avg) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

QCSR Division 2

YTD Totals 2018
Judge Marc R. Kellams

| | Criminal | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Juvenile | | | | | | | | Civil | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------|--------------|----------------------|------------------|------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------------------|---------------|-------|-----|------|------|-----|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | | | | | |
| | MR | CF | FA | FB | FC | FD | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 | PC | CM | MC | IF | OV,OE | JC | JD | JS | JP | JM | JT | JQ | CP | PL | MF | CC | CT | SC | DR | DC | DN | RS | MH | AD | ES | EU | EM | GU | TR | PO | XP | TS | TP | MI | TOTAL | | | | |
| WCL Factors > | 1038 | 155 | 359 | 218 | 211 | 125 | 679 | 269 | 232 | 195 | 149 | 92 | 351 | 39 | 17 | 1 | 2 | 176 | 91 | 17 | 146 | 8 | 124 | 28 | Civil Penary (pre 1/1/2002) | Civil Penary | Mortgage Foreclosure | Collection Cases | Civil Tort | Small Claims | Domestic Relations | Domestic Rel - Children | Domestic Rel - No Children | Reciprocal Support | Mental Health | Adoptions | Estates | Estates Unsupervised | Estates Miscellaneous | Guardianships | Trusts | Protective Orders | Expungement | Tax Sale | Verified Tax Petition | Miscellaneous | | | | | |
| PART I: BEFORE COURT | MR | CF | FA | FB | FC | FD | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 | PC | CM | MC | IF | OV | JC | JD | JS | JP | JM | JT | JQ | CP | PL | MF | CC | CT | SC | DR | DC | DN | RS | MH | AD | ES | EU | EM | GU | TR | PO | XP | TS | TP | MI | | | | | |
| A. Previously Pending | | 1 | 9 | 6 | 14 | 12 | 46 | 11 | 17 | 19 | 21 | 57 | 200 | 21 | 492 | 8 | 139 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 26 | | 6 | 1106 | | | | |
| B. New Filings | | X | | 1 | | 2 | 6 | 8 | 16 | 23 | 47 | 250 | 2 | 806 | 510 | 927 | 6 | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 138 | | 6 | 2748 | | | | |
| C. Venued In | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 52 | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 53 | | | |
| D. Transferred In | | | 2 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 24 | | | 71 | 31 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 7 | | 3 | 168 | | | |
| E. TOTAL CASES BEFORE COURT (add A through D) | 1 | 9 | 8 | 21 | 13 | 51 | 18 | 27 | 39 | 48 | 110 | 474 | 23 | 1369 | 601 | 1068 | 6 | | | | 1 | | | | | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 171 | | 15 | 4075 | | |
| PART II: DISPOSED CASES | MR | CF | FA | FB | FC | FD | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 | PC | CM | MC | IF | OV | JC | JD | JS | JP | JM | JT | JQ | CP | PL | MF | CC | CT | SC | DR | DC | DN | RS | MH | AD | ES | EU | EM | GU | TR | PO | XP | TS | TP | MI | | | | | |
| F. By Jury Trial | | | | | | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | | | | | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | 3 | | |
| G. By Bench Trial | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | 1 | | |
| H. By Bench Disposition | | | | 1 | | 3 | | | | | 1 | | 7 | 14 | 569 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 127 | | 7 | 730 | | | |
| I. Dismissed | | | | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 10 | 74 | 3 | 279 | 2 | 229 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | 2 | | 1 | 614 | | | |
| J. Default | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | X | | | | | |
| K. Deferred/Diverted | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 188 | | 80 | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | 268 | |
| L. Guilty Plea/Admission | 1 | | 1 | 3 | 1 | | 3 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 35 | 156 | X | | 279 | 3 | | | | | | | | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | 501 |
| M. Violations Bureau | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | 372 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | 372 |
| N. Closed | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | 1 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 2 |
| O. FTA/FTP | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | 246 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | 246 |
| P. Other | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Q. TOTAL DISPOSED CASES (add F through P) | 1 | | 1 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 11 | 47 | 230 | 10 | 760 | 573 | 930 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 129 | | 9 | 2737 | |
| R. Venued Out | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| S. Transferred Out | | | | | 1 | 2 | | | | | 5 | 4 | 24 | 1 | 34 | 9 | 1 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | | 1 | 91 |
| PART III: PENDING AT END OF QUARTER | MR | CF | FA | FB | FC | FD | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 | PC | CM | MC | IF | OV | JC | JD | JS | JP | JM | JT | JQ | CP | PL | MF | CC | CT | SC | DR | DC | DN | RS | MH | AD | ES | EU | EM | GU | TR | PO | XP | TS | TP | MI | | | | | |
| T. TOTAL (E minus Q minus R minus S) | | 9 | 7 | 16 | 9 | 45 | 13 | 19 | 29 | 32 | 59 | 220 | 12 | 575 | 19 | 137 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 40 | | 5 | 1247 | |
| PART IV: OTHER | MR | CF | FA | FB | FC | FD | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 | PC | CM | MC | IF | OV | JC | JD | JS | JP | JM | JT | JQ | CP | PL | MF | CC | CT | SC | DR | DC | DN | RS | MH | AD | ES | EU | EM | GU | TR | PO | XP | TS | TP | MI | | | | | |
| U. Cases Heard By Rep Judge, as SJ, in Other Courts | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3 | |
| V. Cases Heard By Other SJ in Reporting Court | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| W. Cases w/ Self Represented Litigants | | | | | | | 2 | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 13 | | 3 | 31 |
| X. Cases Referred to ADR | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Y. Indigent Counsel Appointed | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Z. Interpreter Services Used | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AA GAL/CASA Appointed | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

YTD Totals 2018

| | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----|--------------|-----|-------------------|----|-----------------------------------|--|
| Court Business Records | 28 | Pro Tem Days | 0.5 | Senior Judge Days | 14 | Commissioner Days/Week (Yrly avg) | |
|------------------------|----|--------------|-----|-------------------|----|-----------------------------------|--|

QCSR Division 5

YTD Totals 2018
Judge Mary Ellen Diekhoff

| | Criminal | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Juvenile | | | | | | | | Civil | | | | | | | | | | TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|----------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------------------|---------------|------|------|-----|
| | MR | CF | FA | FB | FC | FD | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 | PC | CM | MC | IF | OV | OE | JC | JD | JS | JP | JM | JT | JQ | CP | PL | MF | CC | CT | SC | DR | DC | DN | RS | | MH | AD | ES | EU | EM | GU | TR | PO | XP | TS | TP | MI | | |
| WCL Factors > | 1038 | 155 | 359 | 218 | 211 | 125 | 679 | 269 | 232 | 195 | 149 | 92 | 351 | 39 | 17 | 1 | 2 | | Juvenile CHNS | Juvenile Delinquency | Juvenile Status | Juvenile Paternity | Juvenile Miscellaneous | Juvenile Terms of Parental Rights | Juvenile Protective Order | Civil Penary (pre 1/1/2002) | Civil Penary | Mortgage Foreclosure | Collection Cases | Civil Tort | Small Claims | Domestic Relations | Domestic Rel - Children | Domestic Rel - No Children | Reciprocal Support | Mental Health | Adoptions | Estates | Estates Unsupervised | Estates Miscellaneous | Guardianships | Trusts | Protective Orders | Expungement | Tax Sale | Verified Tax Petition | Miscellaneous | | | |
| PART I: BEFORE COURT | MR | CF | FA | FB | FC | FD | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 | PC | CM | MC | IF | OV | JC | JD | JS | JP | JM | JT | JQ | CP | PL | MF | CC | CT | SC | DR | DC | DN | RS | MH | AD | ES | EU | EM | GU | TR | PO | XP | TS | TP | MI | | | | |
| A. Previously Pending | | | 31 | 11 | 40 | 26 | 112 | 3 | 18 | 13 | 34 | 65 | 259 | 7 | 603 | 12 | 157 | | | | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | | | 4 | | | | 1 | | | | | 1 | | | | 43 | | 8 | 1450 | | | |
| B. New Filings | 1 | X | | | | | 3 | 7 | 12 | 13 | 33 | 197 | 2 | 672 | 683 | 799 | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 110 | | 9 | 2541 | | |
| C. Venued In | | | | 1 | | | | | 3 | | 1 | 15 | | | 56 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 76 |
| D. Transferred In | 1 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | | 3 | | 3 | 13 | 47 | 2 | 63 | 14 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | | 4 | 161 | | |
| E. TOTAL CASES BEFORE COURT (add A through D) | 2 | 31 | 12 | 42 | 27 | 117 | 6 | 28 | 28 | 50 | 112 | 518 | 11 | 1338 | 765 | 957 | | | | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | 4 | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | 155 | | 21 | 4228 | | |
| PART II: DISPOSED CASES | MR | CF | FA | FB | FC | FD | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 | PC | CM | MC | IF | OV | JC | JD | JS | JP | JM | JT | JQ | CP | PL | MF | CC | CT | SC | DR | DC | DN | RS | MH | AD | ES | EU | EM | GU | TR | PO | XP | TS | TP | MI | | | | |
| F. By Jury Trial | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | |
| G. By Bench Trial | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | 1 | |
| H. By Bench Disposition | | | | 2 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | 1 | 2 | 35 | 729 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 107 | | 8 | 887 | | |
| I. Dismissed | | | | 1 | 2 | 5 | | 2 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 70 | | | 250 | 1 | 171 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 520 | |
| J. Default | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | X | | | |
| K. Deferred/Diverted | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | 128 | 58 | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | 187 |
| L. Guilty Plea/Admission | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | | 3 | 4 | 18 | 24 | 150 | X | 245 | 3 | | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | 453 |
| M. Violations Bureau | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | 363 | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | 363 |
| N. Closed | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | |
| O. FTA/FTP | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | 233 | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | 233 |
| P. Other | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Q. TOTAL DISPOSED CASES (add F through P) | | | 1 | 4 | 4 | 9 | | 5 | 6 | 23 | 34 | 222 | 2 | 658 | 731 | 829 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 107 | | 9 | 2644 | |
| R. Venued Out | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| S. Transferred Out | | | 1 | 1 | | 2 | 2 | | 2 | 2 | 1 | 14 | | | 35 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 12 | | 1 | 79 |
| PART III: PENDING AT END OF QUARTER | MR | CF | FA | FB | FC | FD | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 | PC | CM | MC | IF | OV | JC | JD | JS | JP | JM | JT | JQ | CP | PL | MF | CC | CT | SC | DR | DC | DN | RS | MH | AD | ES | EU | EM | GU | TR | PO | XP | TS | TP | MI | | | | |
| T. TOTAL (E minus Q minus R minus S) | 2 | 31 | 10 | 37 | 23 | 106 | 4 | 23 | 20 | 25 | 77 | 262 | 9 | 645 | 28 | 128 | | | | 1 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | 4 | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | 36 | | 11 | 1505 | |
| PART IV: OTHER | MR | CF | FA | FB | FC | FD | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 | PC | CM | MC | IF | OV | JC | JD | JS | JP | JM | JT | JQ | CP | PL | MF | CC | CT | SC | DR | DC | DN | RS | MH | AD | ES | EU | EM | GU | TR | PO | XP | TS | TP | MI | | | | |
| U. Cases Heard By Rep Judge, as SJ, in Other Courts | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | 2 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4 |
| V. Cases Heard By Other SJ in Reporting Court | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| W. Cases w/ Self Represented Litigants | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | 2 | 2 | | 6 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 7 | | 5 | 24 |
| X. Cases Referred to ADR | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Y. Indigent Counsel Appointed | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Z. Interpreter Services Used | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AA. GAL/CASA Appointed | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| YTD Totals 2018 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Court Business Records | | 1 | | Pro Tem Days | | 2.55 | | Senior Judge Days | | 4 | | Commissioner Days/Week (Yrly avg) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

QCSR Division 6

YTD Totals 2018
Judge Frances G. Hill

| | Criminal | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Juvenile | | | | | | | | Civil | | | | | | | | | | | | TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----|------|------|---|---|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|
| | MR | CF | FA | FB | FC | FD | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 | PC | CM | MC | IF | OV | JC | JD | JS | JP | JM | JT | JQ | CP | PL | MF | CC | CT | SC | DR | DC | DN | RS | MH | AD | ES | | EU | EM | GU | TR | PO | XP | TS | TP | MI | | | | | | | | | |
| WCL Factors > | 1038 | 155 | 359 | 218 | 211 | 125 | 679 | 269 | 232 | 195 | 149 | 92 | 351 | 39 | 17 | 1 | 2 | 176 | 91 | 17 | 146 | 8 | 124 | 28 | 121 | 121 | 24 | 16 | 148 | 12 | 185 | 259 | 39 | 37 | 21 | 49 | 50 | 44 | 9 | 93 | 126 | 28 | 25 | 128 | 21 | 32 | | | | | | | | | | |
| PART I: BEFORE COURT | MR | CF | FA | FB | FC | FD | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 | PC | CM | MC | IF | OV | JC | JD | JS | JP | JM | JT | JQ | CP | PL | MF | CC | CT | SC | DR | DC | DN | RS | MH | AD | ES | EU | EM | GU | TR | PO | XP | TS | TP | MI | | | | | | | | | | |
| A. Previously Pending | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 115 | 97 | | | 7 | 4 | 24 | | 32 | 67 | 29 | 376 | 110 | 1 | 42 | | | 3 | | 6 | | | | | 4 | | 19 | | | 4 | 21 | 961 | | | | | | | | |
| B. New Filings | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 802 | 121 | | | 1 | 16 | 38 | 5 | X | 70 | 56 | 496 | 82 | | | | | 8 | | 1 | | | | | | | | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 30 | 1736 | | | | | | | |
| C. Venued In | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | | | 1 | | | | | | | 1 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 7 | | | | | | | |
| D. Transferred In | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 18 | | | 6 | 2 | | | | 28 | 7 | 10 | 9 | | | 1 | | 1 | | | | | | | | 2 | | 3 | | | 4 | 2 | 94 | | | | | | | |
| E. TOTAL CASES BEFORE COURT (add A through D) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 917 | 238 | | | 15 | 22 | 62 | 5 | 32 | 165 | 92 | 883 | 203 | 1 | 43 | | | 12 | | 7 | 1 | | | | 6 | | 25 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 53 | 2798 | | | | | | | | |
| PART II: DISPOSED CASES | MR | CF | FA | FB | FC | FD | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 | PC | CM | MC | IF | OV | JC | JD | JS | JP | JM | JT | JQ | CP | PL | MF | CC | CT | SC | DR | DC | DN | RS | MH | AD | ES | EU | EM | GU | TR | PO | XP | TS | TP | MI | | | | | | | | | | |
| F. By Jury Trial | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | 4 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | 4 | | | | | | |
| G. By Bench Trial | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 115 | | | 1 | 1 | 10 | | | 21 | 9 | 99 | 15 | | | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3 | | | X | | 2 | 20 | 299 | | |
| H. By Bench Disposition | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 32 | | | 3 | 16 | 5 | | | 43 | 24 | 295 | 54 | | | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3 | | 2 | | 1 | 6 | 18 | 505 | | |
| I. Dismissed | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 167 | 1 | | | | | | | 1 | 3 | 4 | 20 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 205 | | | |
| J. Default | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | 9 | 15 | 105 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 133 |
| K. Deferred/Diverted | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 76 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | 76 | | | |
| L. Guilty Plea/Admission | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | 5 | | | | | | | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | 5 | | | | |
| M. Violations Bureau | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | 351 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | 351 | | |
| N. Closed | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | |
| O. FTA/FTP | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | 212 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | 212 | |
| P. Other | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Q. TOTAL DISPOSED CASES (add F through P) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 812 | 148 | | | 4 | 17 | 15 | 1 | 76 | 52 | 519 | 81 | | | 3 | | | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1790 | |
| R. Venued Out | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3 | | |
| S. Transferred Out | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 18 | | | 3 | | 14 | 4 | | | 15 | 2 | 6 | 12 | | | 6 | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 91 |
| PART III: PENDING AT END OF QUARTER | MR | CF | FA | FB | FC | FD | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 | PC | CM | MC | IF | OV | JC | JD | JS | JP | JM | JT | JQ | CP | PL | MF | CC | CT | SC | DR | DC | DN | RS | MH | AD | ES | EU | EM | GU | TR | PO | XP | TS | TP | MI | | | | | | | | | | |
| T. TOTAL (E minus Q minus R minus S) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 105 | 71 | | | 8 | 5 | 33 | 1 | 31 | 74 | 38 | 358 | 108 | 1 | 34 | | | 7 | | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 914 | | |
| PART IV: OTHER | MR | CF | FA | FB | FC | FD | F1 | F2 | F3 | F4 | F5 | F6 | PC | CM | MC | IF | OV | JC | JD | JS | JP | JM | JT | JQ | CP | PL | MF | CC | CT | SC | DR | DC | DN | RS | MH | AD | ES | EU | EM | GU | TR | PO | XP | TS | TP | MI | | | | | | | | | | |
| U. Cases Heard By Rep Judge, as SJ, in Other Courts | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| V. Cases Heard By Other SJ in Reporting Court | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | | | |
| W. Cases w/ Self Represented Litigants | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 2 | | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | 13 | | 49 | 6 | | 7 | | | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 104 | | | | |
| X. Cases Referred to ADR | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3 | | | |
| Y. Indigent Counsel Appointed | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Z. Interpreter Services Used | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AA. GAL/CASA Appointed | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| YTD Totals 2018 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Court Business Records | | 3 | | Pro Tem Days | | 7.35 | | Senior Judge Days | | 20.75 | | Commissioner Days/Week (Yrly avg) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

**PROBATION
DEPARTMENT**

MONROE CIRCUIT COURT PROBATION DEPARTMENT

MISSION

The mission of the Monroe Circuit Court Probation Department is to promote a safer community by intervening in the lives of offenders, holding them accountable, and serving as a catalyst for positive change.



The Curry Building

214 West 7th Street, Suite 200
Bloomington, Indiana 47404
(812) 349-2645



Community Corrections Office

405 West 7th Street, Suite 2
Bloomington, Indiana 47404
(812) 349-2000

www.co.monroe.in.us/probation

CHIEF'S REPORT

By Linda Brady, Chief Probation Officer

The Monroe Circuit Court Probation Department (hereafter "Department") will remember the year 2018 for developing dozens of policies/procedures in preparation for a program audit, re-organizing adult and juvenile probation caseloads for improved supervision of higher risk offenders, Problem Solving Court Program state certification, and the end of the Road Crew Program.

The Indiana Department of Correction (DOC) is a significant funding source for the Probation Department through the annual **Community Corrections Program Grant**. As a condition of grant funding, the **Community Corrections Program** must undergo audits by the DOC to determine if the program meets criteria as an "**evidence-based organization**." The requirements of passing such an audit are numerous and detailed. Although only the Community Corrections Division must undergo the DOC **programmatic audit**, the unified Monroe Circuit Court Probation Department's leadership determined that the entire department would work to meet the established criteria for being an evidence-based organization. The Probation Supervisors worked diligently throughout 2018 to develop and/or update dozens of policies/procedures required by the DOC for the audit. The DOC programmatic audit occurred in August 2018, with a final audit score of 99 (out of 100) for meeting criteria as an evidence-based organization. Monroe County's audit scores for the past two years have been highest in the state when compared to other programs. The many new and revised policies/procedures developed prior to the DOC audit were implemented throughout the department during the last four months of 2018.

During 2018, a restructuring of workloads and duties occurred within the **Juvenile Division** to better align with evidence-based practices (EBP) and balance caseload/workloads across the division. By the end of 2018, the re-structuring of Juvenile Probation caseloads and workloads was finalized, to be implemented starting in January 2019.

In an effort to align workloads and caseloads to follow EBP more closely, starting in January 2018, the **Adult Division** re-allocated cases by risk level. This reallocation decreased the number of probationers supervised by probation officers (POs) assigned to the Moderate/High Risk caseloads. This caseload assignment accomplished a number of improvements including: allowing POs to develop case plans targeting the highest risk and need areas to reduce recidivism; allowing more time per client contact to specifically target criminogenic need areas; allowed for more time for field supervision (homes and workplaces) of high risk clients; and allows the department to follow EBP principles by truly supervising offenders with the level of intensity required according to risk factors.

In 2014, the Indiana Office of Court Services (IOCS) awarded the Monroe Circuit Court a grant to expand the **Problem Solving Court Program**. The Problem Solving Court (PSC) Program added the following components: Mental Health Court; Re-entry Court; and Veterans Court. In 2018, IOCS conducted a site audit of the PSC Program and re-certified the Drug Treatment Court Program and granted initial certification to all three of the new PSC components.

In 2018, user fee funds dipped to dangerously low levels such that they could not support the employees' salaries that were paid from the funds. Therefore, several changes had to be made to save the funds from being fully depleted during the year. The difficult decision was made to end the **Road Crew Program** as this program was funded solely from Community Corrections User Fees and could not be sustained. The Road Crew Program's last day of operation was August 11, 2018. Special Road Crew may be conducted for special occasions such as clean up after the annual Little 500 event.

ADDITIONAL 2018 DEPARTMENTAL HIGHLIGHTS

2018 Herb Kiesling Award Recipient Juvenile Probation Officer Brittany Grenier: Presented by Community Justice and Mediation (CJAM).

Case Plan Implementation: The Department began using a new state-wide case plan application in the INcite system to create case plans for clients.

Narcan: The Monroe County Health Department provided training for all departmental staff regarding how to administer Narcan if a drug overdose is suspected. The Health Department provided trained probation officers and community corrections officers with 54 doses of Narcan following the training.

Employee Safety Tracking Software: The department replaced the TeleNav units with AT&T's new Workforce Manager platform for Community Corrections field officers to track their whereabouts for safety purposes.

Research Project: Probation and Court Services worked with Indiana University (IU) Professor Miriam Northcutt Bohmert on a research project funded by the Arnold Foundation. The project will examine how fees and fines affect the operation of community corrections and how they impact the ability of individuals to succeed on community supervision.

Replacement of Community Corrections Office Entry Ramp/Deck: After many years of planning, the Monroe County Board of Commissioners replaced the aging ramp/deck with a safer concrete ramp.

Effective Practices in Community Supervision (EPICS): The Department continued its ongoing investment in EPICS by utilizing trained peer coaches to coach probation officers in EPICS skills.

Indiana Risk Assessment System Pretrial Assessment Tool (IRAS-PAT): The Monroe County Program was the first Indiana pretrial pilot program to undergo a validation study.

Community Corrections Grant State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2018 – 2019: Base Grant = \$747,597 + “1006” Grant Award \$538,600 + NEW \$6,700 (Fringe Benefit increase) = **TOTAL \$1,292,897**

JDAI Grants State Fiscal Year 2018-2019: Awarded \$10,000 to coordinate local JDAI efforts plus \$26,119 to fund alternatives to detention. Total: \$36,119.

Veterans Court Grant (SFY 2018-2019): Indiana Office of Court Services awarded \$68,606 in continued funding for Veterans Court probation officer.

Supreme Court Grant (SFY 2018-2019): \$9,998 awarded for Problem Solving Court incentives, chemical tests, and participant transportation.

Pretrial Grant (SFY 2018-2019): \$80,500 plus carryover from SFY 2017-2018 \$76,040. **TOTAL= \$156,540** to fund Pretrial Probation Officer and Public Defender (salaries and fringe benefits).

CARES Grants: \$5,229.82 awarded (\$4,399.32 for drug testing supplies and \$830.50 for portable breath test units).

Grant for Scanners: The Indiana Supreme Court Office of Court Technology awarded 15 scanners to the department to support the state-wide “paperless initiative.”

Community Supervision/Forensic Diversion Grant: Grant extension for 2018. Pays for Transitional Housing and Centerstone Psychiatric evaluations.

DEPARTMENTAL EDUCATIONAL ACTIVITIES

2018 Media Coverage:

- **Indiana Daily Student (IDS) Article About Sex Offenders** - Probation/community supervision info included in this article.
- **Indiana University Media** - In April 2018, Judge Stephen Galvin and Juvenile Probation Supervisor Christine McAfee were interviewed for a story about youth in detention which was initiated due to nationally-acclaimed photographer Richard Ross' visit to Bloomington. The article was published online and contains hyperlinks to brief video interviews with Richard Ross, Judge Galvin, and Ms. McAfee.
<http://mediaschool.indiana.edu/iunewsnet/photographer-brings-stories-of-juveniles-in-justice/>
- **Herald Times (HT) Article About End of Road Crew Program.**
https://www.heraldtimesonline.com/news/local/funding-issues-eliminate-road-crew-program/article_d7b16fb9-fbec-50b4-9f4f-ffb22785ab.html
- **HT Article about Text Message Reminders.**
https://www.heraldtimesonline.com/news/local/text-messages-help-defendants-remember-court-dates/article_34bf22b8-2cee-589f-a1b1-cd2b7da0e2c1.html
- **HT Article about 2019 County Budget/User Fee Decline.**
https://www.heraldtimesonline.com/news/local/declining-fee-revenue-forces-probation-officials-to-seek-alternative-funding/article_45473c22-958b-58b5-8bc8-0da06a0fcaea.html
- **Monroe County Council** - In May 2018, the Probation Department (Chief Probation Officer Linda Brady, Assistant Chief Probation Officer Tom Rhodes, and Deputy Chief Probation Officer Troy Hatfield) and Presiding Judge Mary Ellen Diekhoff made a presentation to the Monroe County Council to explain probation services and the financial status of user fee funds. This presentation was recorded on CATS and remains available for streaming.
<http://catstv.net/government.php?isearch=govt&webquery=&searchonly=&minyear=2018&minmonth=5&maxyear=2018&maxmonth=6&meeterid=>

Indiana General Assembly Presentation – Troy Hatfield made a presentation before the Indiana Corrections and Criminal Code Study Committee regarding the impact of criminal code reform (HEA 1006) on probation in Indiana.

Monroe County Citizens Academy – The Probation Supervisors conducted a session of the academy discussing the department's programs and services.

Leadership Bloomington – Linda Brady gave a presentation about the department's programs.

Criminal Justice Networking Night – Troy Hatfield attended the Criminal Justice Networking Night focused on allowing students studying Criminal Justice at Indiana University the opportunity to meet and learn from professionals in the field.

Indiana University and Ivy Tech – The department routinely provided guest speakers for classes to talk about probation and corrections.

Training Treatment Providers on Indiana Risk Assessment System (IRAS) – Court Alcohol and Drug Program Director Susan Allen and Probation Officer Marsha Anderson conducted IRAS training for local treatment providers (Centerstone, Amethyst House, Meng Ai, Bloomington Meadows).

Bloomington Meadows Lunch and Learn – Susan Allen and Troy Hatfield presented at the monthly “Lunch and Learn” about how probation officers promote public safety and strive to reduce recidivism using new programs and tools.

2nd Annual South Central Opioid Summit – Susan Allen was a panelist for the New-Beginnings: 90 Day Re-entry Program presentation.

Indiana 10th Annual Recovery Month Symposium (In ARMS) - Susan Allen was a panelist for the New-Beginnings: 90 Day Re-entry Program presentation.

Community Justice and Mediation Annual Open House - Judge Stephen Galvin and Christine McAfee were the presenters at this community meeting discussing the Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI).

Indiana Criminal Justice Association (ICJA) Regional Conference – Probation Supervisor Becca Streit presented at the conference about cognitive behavioral tools for changing “criminal thinking.

Pretrial Presentation – Becca Streit and Judge Mary Ellen Diekhoff presented at the 2018 Monroe County Bench-Bar Training about the local pretrial release program.

Indiana Pretrial Presentation: Becca Streit served on a panel at the 2018 Indiana Association of Community Corrections Act Counties (IACCAC) annual conference re: pretrial services.

Website – Monroe County Government created a new website for all county departments in 2018. The department’s webpages were updated to provide enhanced information for the community.

DEPARTMENT LEADERSHIP

Chief Justice Appointment - Chief Justice Loretta Rush nominated Chief Probation Officer Linda Brady to serve as a member of the Indiana team to the Midwest Pre-Trial Summit sponsored by the National Center for State Courts. The Indiana team was comprised of all Indiana Supreme Court Justices and three appointees (including Chief Brady).

National Association of Probation Executives (NAPE) – Linda Brady was re-elected to serve on the Board of Directors representing the Central Region of the United States.

Indiana Corrections and Criminal Code Study Committee – Linda Brady was re-appointed as a member of this legislative committee.

Indiana Evidence Based Decision Making (EBDM) Initiative - Linda Brady continued to serve as a member of the Indiana EBDM Policy Team.

Indiana Evidence Based Decision Making (EBDM) Initiative Sub-committees - Probation Supervisors served on several sub-committees of Indiana's EBDM Initiative including: Deputy Chief Probation Officer Troy Hatfield, Assistant Chief Probation Officer/Community Corrections Executive Director Tom Rhodes; Court Alcohol and Drug Program Director Susan Allen; Adult Probation Supervisor Valerie Collins; Pretrial Release Program Supervisor Becca Streit; and Community Corrections Supervisor Jeff Hartman.

Probation Officers Professional Association of Indiana (POPAI) – Troy Hatfield served as Vice-President and Linda Brady served as Past-President of the state-wide association.

Justice Reinvestment Advisory Council (JRAC) – As POPAI Vice-President, Troy Hatfield participated in council meetings and sub-committees.

State Probation Officer (PO) Academy – Troy Hatfield served as an instructor at this state academy.

Case Plan Technology Committee – Troy Hatfield served on a committee that is developing a state application to complete case plans.

Indiana Association of Community Corrections Act Counties (IACCAC) – Tom Rhodes was re-elected as West Central District Chair, serving on the Executive Board. He also is a longtime member of the association's legislative committee.

Community Corrections Advisory Council of Indiana Department of Correction – Tom Rhodes serves on this statewide committee.

National Institute of Justice (NIJ) Correctional Advisory Panel – Tom Rhodes served on the Correctional Advisory Panel dealing with technology.

Indiana Coalition of Court Administered Alcohol and Drug Programs (ICCADS) – Susan Allen was the former President of ICCADS and served as the organization's Treasurer in 2018.

Court Alcohol and Drug Program Advisory Committee (CADPAC) – Susan Allen served on the education and policy sub-committee.

Monroe County CARES Board – Problem Solving Court Director Steve Malone serves as President of the local CARES Board.

Problem Solving Court Task Force on Performance Measures – Steve Malone served on this task force. Steve also serves on the Education Committee for Problem Solving Courts.

Monroe County Opioid Advisory Commission - Steve Malone served on this commission.

Statewide Opioid Summit - The Indiana Supreme Court sponsored a statewide Opioid Summit. Judges brought local teams to the summit including probation officers from the department.

Monroe County Domestic Violence Coalition – Probation Officer Julie Robertson served on this committee.

Indiana Risk Assessment System (IRAS) Training – Probation officer Marsha Anderson conducted two IRAS training sessions for the State. She was also certified to serve as a Continuous Quality Improvement training instructor for the IRAS and serves as a remediation coach for the State when a person does not pass the IRAS certification or re-certification exam.

Commercially Sexually Exploited Children (CSEC) Task Force – Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI) Coordinator Christine McAfee served on this state-wide task force.

Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI) State Team – Christine McAfee served on this state leadership team.

Indiana Collaborative Communication Committee - Christine McAfee served on this state committee.

Child Fatality Review Team and the Monroe County Child Protection Team – Probation officer Brittany Greiner represented the department on these teams.

A NOTE ON THE 2018 ANNUAL REPORT

At the beginning of 2014, the Probation Department migrated from a locally networked case management database to an Internet-based database with more robust capabilities to provide greater statistical information.

Prior to 2015, the Department’s previous annual reports were written with data collected “by hand” or from the old database. Beginning with the 2014 annual report, the Department streamlined the data and other information to provide meaningful data. Any data tables that show prior years’ data are areas where comparisons to those previous years are possible. If a table includes only data from 2014 and beyond, we are reporting the data in a new way so comparisons to previous years cannot be made.


To better understand information in tables, the word “supervision” needs to be defined. A “supervision” is a community-based supervision requirement that an offender must fulfill as part of a court order. For example, one ‘supervision’ could be a term of probation and another ‘supervision’ could be a specified length of time on court-ordered home detention. One offender could be required to complete multiple ‘supervisions.’ These ‘supervisions’ could also be required in multiple cases where the offender could be convicted of multiple crimes. Thus, one offender could be referred to the Department in multiple cases and be required to complete multiple ‘supervisions’ in each of these cases. Though we will include the unique number of offenders referred to each program, for the 2018 report, we will mostly focus on the characteristics of the supervisions.

PROBATION DEPARTMENT EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 2018

- 3,173 - Individuals referred, supervised, monitored, and/or assessed
- 3,777 - Criminal and juvenile cases referred, supervised, monitored, and/or assessed
- 31 - Civil cases referred for investigation
- \$1,606,644.82 - Grant monies obtained
- \$98,835 - Restitution collected on behalf of victims
- \$777,796 - User fees collected; 58.1% overall user fee collection rate
- 22% - Positive rate for drug tests
- 10,417 - Community service hours completed


| | Individuals | Supervisions / Referrals | Offenses | Supervisions / Referrals Closed | Successful Percentage |
|--|--------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--|------------------------------|
| Juvenile Referrals | 371 | 537 | 732 | N/A | N/A |
| Juvenile Probation | 64 | 66 | 88 | 73 | 55% |
| Adult Probation | 1,165 | 1,205 | 1,336 | 1,352 | 54% |
| Court Alcohol and Drug Program | 658 | 666 | 739 | 827 | 58% |
| CASP Level 1 (Work Release) | 4 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 43% |
| CASP Levels 2-5 (Electronic Monitoring/Home Detention) | 309 | 504 | 533 | 433 | 61% |
| CASP Levels 6, 8-9 (Curfew/Exclusion Monitoring) | 19 | 27 | 72 | 20 | 90% |
| CASP Level 7 (Alcohol Detection) | 22 | 36 | 47 | 38 | 76% |
| CASP Level 10 (Day Reporting) | 378 | 651 | 888 | 656 | 44% |
| CASP Levels 11-12 (Pretrial Only) | 827 | 1,213 | 2,547 | 1,014 | 54% |
| Juvenile Home Detention | 20 | 30 | 30 | 25 | 72% |
| Community Transition Program | 13 | 17 | 17 | 15 | 87% |
| Community Service | 720 | 777 | 1,098 | 768 | 76% |
| Drug Treatment Court | 43 | 99 | 106 | 83 | 55% |
| Reentry Court | 20 | 31 | 33 | 25 | 40% |
| Mental Health Court | 9 | 17 | 19 | 11 | 9% |
| Veterans Court | 6 | 10 | 12 | 8 | 50% |

DEPARTMENTAL PROGRAMS SUCCESS RATES*



*Successful completions pursuant to program rules.

ORGANIZATIONAL CHART



STAFFING, FUNDING SOURCES, AND BUDGETS

The department is funded by various sources including local property and income taxes, user fees, and grants. As of December 31, 2018, the department employed 80 persons, 55 of whom were probation officers (46 line probation officers and 9 supervisory/management-level probation officers). In 2018, four (4) probation officers left their employment with the department.

2018 Staff Summary:

- 1 Chief Probation Officer
- 2 Assistant Chief Probation Officers plus 6 Probation Supervisors
- 46 Line Probation Officers (includes one part-time PO)
- 8 Community Corrections Field Officers (Community Alternative Supervision Program, Problem Solving Court)
- 8 Support Staff
- 9 Part-time Probation Officer Assistants

TOTAL STAFF 80 employees (70 full time)

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

VICTIM RESTITUTION COLLECTED

The Department assists the court in collecting victim restitution by enforcing restitution orders. When a court places an offender under the Department's supervision, the offender may be ordered to reimburse the victim for any loss incurred. Probation officers ensure that this money is paid by the probationers; however, restitution is collected by the Clerk's Office and is disbursed directly to the victim. The following table indicates the amount collected and disbursed in victim restitution.

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|
| TOTAL | \$141,126 | \$129,703 | \$161,529 | \$201,804 | \$98,835 |

PARENTAL REIMBURSEMENTS COLLECTED

The Juvenile Division enforces court order for parental reimbursements for the cost of care provided to youth placed outside the home. This includes secure detention and other out-of-home placement costs. The total below indicates the total amount of parental reimbursements collected.

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2018 | 2018 |
|-------|----------|----------|---------|---------|----------------|
| TOTAL | \$20,452 | \$14,559 | \$5,461 | \$6,748 | \$2,338 |

DEPARTMENTAL FUNDING PLAN

The Department works diligently to maintain staffing levels despite fluctuations and downturns in the user fee collections.

After the Monroe Circuit Court initiated a cash bond system, most defendants placed under probation supervision agreed to use released bond money to make fee payments. For many years, user fee collection rates hovered near the 80% mark on average.

In 2008, concurrent with the recession in the United States, user fee collection rates began to decline and have continued a steady decline since that time. In 2016 when the Monroe County Pretrial Pilot Project started, the use of cash bonds has greatly declined. Starting in early 2017, user fee collection rates have declined at unprecedented rates. The decline in fee collection rates has had a significant impact on user fee funds.

In 2018, two specific user fee funds dipped to dangerously low levels: the Court Alcohol and Drug Services (CADS) Fund and the Community Corrections User Fee Funds (Project Income). Both funds dipped to such low levels such that they could not support the employees' salaries that were paid from the funds. Therefore, several changes had to be made to save the funds from being fully depleted during the year. When two (2) probation officers resigned, their positions were not immediately re-filled to save these endangered user fee funds. Additionally, the difficult decision was made to end the Road Crew Program as this program was funded solely from Community Corrections User Fees and could not be sustained. The Road Crew Program's last day of operation was August 11, 2018.

In May 2018, the Probation Department and Presiding Judge made a presentation to the Monroe County Council to explain probation services and the financial status of user fee funds. Shortly thereafter, the Monroe County Council agreed to pay the salaries and fringe benefits for the two (2) unfilled probation officer positions for the remainder of 2018 and for 2019. The County Council also agreed to pay one-half of the electronic monitoring costs for the remainder of 2018 and for the year 2019. This change in funding has helped to stabilize the user fee funds for the Department.

For 2018, the Department was awarded a large number of grants totaling **\$1,611,589** (12% increase in grant funding from 2017). The grant-award highlights include:

- **Community Corrections Grant State Fiscal Year 2018 – 2019: \$1,292,897**
[Base Grant \$747,597 + “1006” Grant \$538,600 + NEW \$6,700 fringe benefit increase]
- **JDAI Grants State Fiscal Year 2018-2019: \$36,119**
[\$10,000 to coordinate local JDAI efforts plus \$26,119 to fund alternatives to detention]
- **Veterans Court Grant (SFY 2018-2019): \$68,606**
[Continued funding for Veterans Court probation officer]
- **Indiana Supreme Court Grant (SFY 2018-2019): \$9,998**
[Problem Solving Court incentives, chemical tests, and participant transportation]
- **Pretrial Grant (SFY 2018-2019): \$80,500 plus \$76,040 carryover = TOTAL \$156,540**
[Funds Pretrial Probation Officer and Public Defender salaries and fringe benefits]
- **CARES Grants: \$5,229.82**
[\$4,399.32 for drug testing supplies and \$830.50 for portable breath test units]
- **Community Transition Program (CTP): \$42,200**
[Reimbursement from Indiana Department of Correction for CTP supervisions]
- **Grant for Scanners:** The Indiana Supreme Court Office of Court Technology awarded 15 scanners to the department to support the state-wide “paperless initiative.”
- **Community Supervision/Forensic Diversion Grant:** Grant extension for 2018. Pays for Transitional Housing and Centerstone Psychiatric evaluations.

DEPARTMENTAL FUNDING SOURCES

The Department works diligently to find innovative funding opportunities to provide programs and services without having to dip into the strapped county tax funds. The table and chart below outlines the Department's budget and sources of funds. For 2018, the Department was funded approximately half from taxed based funds, a quarter from grants, and a quarter from user fees.

| | Taxes (52%) | User Fees (22%) | Grants (26%) |
|--|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| County General Tax | \$2,154,057 | - | - |
| Special Purpose Local Income Tax (Juvenile) | \$813,078 | - | - |
| Public Safety Local Income Tax | \$184,351 | - | - |
| Adult Probation User Fees | - | \$317,350 | - |
| Juvenile Probation User Fees | - | \$18,882 | - |
| Problem Solving Court User Fees | - | \$35,123 | - |
| Court Alcohol and Drug Program Fees | - | \$291,709 | - |
| Community Corrections User Fees (Project Income) | - | \$687,780 | - |
| County Offender Transportation | - | \$3,000 | |
| Community Corrections Grant | - | - | \$1,292, 897 |
| Community Transition Program | - | - | \$42,200 |
| JDAI Coordination & Alternatives Grants | - | - | \$36,119 |
| Veterans Court Grant | - | - | \$68,606 |
| Pretrial Project Grant | - | - | \$156,540 |
| Indiana Supreme Court Grant | | | \$9,998 |
| CARES Grant | - | - | \$5,229 |
| TOTALS – \$6,116,919 | \$3,151,486 | \$1,353,844 | \$1,611,589 |

PROGRAM AND USER FEES

In addition to paying probation officer salaries, user fees collected by the Department pay for many innovative rehabilitative programs which otherwise would not be possible from the limited county tax funds. A sample of public safety and rehabilitative programs funded through user fees includes:

- Barrier busters, such as bus passes for public transportation to aid clients in reducing barriers to successfully completing requirements of their supervision;
- Electronic monitoring equipment for home detention (radio frequency anklets, alcohol detection units, and GPS monitoring devices);
- Moral Reconciliation Therapy (MRT) and other juvenile programs; and
- PRIME for Life substance abuse education classes and Alcohol and Marijuana Education classes.

Probation user fees also are used to pay for County expenses that would otherwise have to be paid from County tax-based funds, such as:

- Replacement of office equipment;
- General operating expenses such as postage and office supplies. The county tax-based funds do are not a primary source of general operating expenses for the department; such general operating expenses are supported primarily generally from grants and user fees; and
- Training: Probation officers are required to have 12 hours of continuing education per year to remain certified in Indiana.

USER FEE COLLECTIONS

The Department is responsible for collecting adult and juvenile probation user fees, problem solving court user fees, and Community Corrections program fees. The Monroe County Clerk collects Court Alcohol & Drug (A&D) Program fees, Alcohol and Marijuana Education School (AES) fees, PRIME for Life fees, Drug Court Fees (prior to a 2010 statute changing the fee to a Problem Solving Court fee), and Pretrial Diversion (PDP) Road Crew fees. The table below indicates the amount of user fees collected.

In 2017, the total annual user fee collection of \$962,721 was the first time since 1999 that the Department's user fee collections dipped below \$1 million. In 2018, user fee collections dipped further, to a total of **\$777,796** (20% decrease from 2017 fee collection).

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Court A&D/AES/PRIME | \$237,597 | \$249,183 | \$266,345 | \$246,069 | \$168,815 |
| Problem Solving Court | \$16,682 | \$17,080 | \$13,309 | \$18,338 | \$16,011 |
| Adult Probation | \$308,755 | \$316,996 | \$284,952 | \$257,423 | \$239,955 |
| Juvenile Probation | \$9,264 | \$7,137 | \$4,476 | \$4,960 | \$3,124 |
| Community Corrections User Fees | \$434,108 | \$462,866 | \$453,918 | \$435,879 | \$349,891 |
| TOTALS | \$1,006,765 | \$1,069,165 | \$1,023,000 | \$962,721 | \$777,796 |

USER FEE COLLECTION RATES

Despite efforts by the Department to collect all fees assessed by the court, some offenders do not pay the user fees, program fees, and restitution as directed. In 2018, \$649,337 of various fees were unpaid with most of the remaining fees ordered as a judgment against the offender.

The following table represents collection rates for all cases that were closed in 2018 (includes only fees collected in the Department, does not include fees collected by the Monroe County Clerk). Of these closed cases, all assessments were totaled by account and all fees paid or waived were totaled by account to establish a collection rate for each individual account.

| | 2014* | 2015* | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Adult Felony Administrative | 30.2% | 56.0% | 59.2% | 57.4% | 54.5% |
| Adult Felony Initial and Monthly | 42.8% | 48.5% | 51.9% | 47.2% | 47.8% |
| Adult Misdemeanor Administrative | 70.4% | 85.1% | 84.3% | 79.7% | 81.5% |
| Adult Misdemeanor Initial and Monthly | 67.2% | 81.9% | 80.7% | 73.1% | 75.8% |
| Juvenile Formal Administrative | 46.2% | 37.4% | 44.0% | 25.3% | 27.3% |
| Juvenile Formal Initial and Monthly | 34.8% | 25.1% | 28.9% | 23.1% | 33.5% |
| Juvenile Informal Monthly | 73.9% | 60.9% | 51.9% | 48.2% | 39.7% |
| Problem Solving Court | 62.1% | 59.9% | 69.0% | 89.7% | 80.0% |
| CASP Levels 2 & 3 (Old); 2-5 (New in 2016) | 48.6% | 67.8% | 72.7% | 64.6% | 64.3% |
| CASP Level 4 (Old); 6 (New in 2016) | 100% | 47.6% | 76.1% | 92.4% | 62.9% |
| CASP Level 5 (Old); 10 (New in 2016) | 19.1% | 32.9% | 31.0% | 33.3% | 33.7% |
| CASP Level 7 | - | - | 85.2% | 56.2% | 54.3% |
| CASP Levels 8 & 9 | - | - | 60.0% | 0% | 10.5% |
| CASP Level 11 | - | - | 31.7% | 27.5% | 33.3% |
| CASP Enhancement | 73.0% | 66.4% | 65.9% | 69.4% | 65.3% |
| CASP Initial | 41.5% | 48.3% | 53.6% | 66.8% | 58.3% |
| Community Corrections Transfer | 53.8% | 60.2% | 69.2% | 39.4% | 44.8% |
| Interstate Compact | 0% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| Intrastate Compact | 43.5% | 69.2% | 54.5% | 47.5% | 62.8% |
| Community Service | 72.6% | 84.9% | 84.7% | 80.7% | 76.8% |
| Drug Screen (Regular Panel) | 55.5% | 53.0% | 46.5% | 50.7% | 44.3% |
| Drug Screen (Enhanced) | 52.9% | 37.5% | 30.2% | 34.1% | 30.3% |
| Drug Screen (Problem Solving Court Instant) | 65.0% | 53.3% | 59.7% | 77.9% | 67.1% |
| Drug Screen (Probation Instant) | 38.5% | 41.8% | 25.2% | 24.1% | 16.3% |
| Drug Screen (Problem Solving Court Saliva) | 71.4% | 58.3% | 67.6% | 72.6% | 54.2% |
| Drug Screen (Probation Saliva) | 16.0% | 32.5% | 30.8% | 24.6% | 29.9% |
| OVERALL COLLECTION RATE | 51.5% | 61.9% | 63.1% | 59.5% | 58.1% |

*Corrected data.

JUVENILE DIVISION

The Juvenile Division of the Monroe Circuit Court Probation Department is responsible for the investigation and supervision of juveniles referred to the Monroe Circuit Court. A juvenile is typically a youth under age eighteen at the time of the alleged offense.

Unlike the adult probation system where adult offenders are not generally introduced to the probation system until *after* a conviction, probation is the *starting* place for a juvenile's interaction with the juvenile justice system. All juvenile cases processed through the juvenile justice system begin with a written report, or referral. The Juvenile Division receives referrals from various sources, including law enforcement, parents, schools, businesses, and the public. Juveniles are referred to the department for committing delinquent acts or status offenses. Delinquent acts are defined as acts that would be crimes if committed by an adult. Status offenses are acts of delinquency that are not crimes for adults, and include truancy, incorrigibility, curfew violation, and runaway.

After the Juvenile Division receives a new referral, a determination is made by the Prosecutor if legal action could be taken. For those referrals where legal action could be taken, the Juvenile Division completes a Preliminary Inquiry investigation into the delinquent act by formally interviewing the juvenile and parents, guardians, and/or custodians. At the conclusion of this investigation, a Preliminary Inquiry report is filed with the court which includes recommendations from the juvenile probation officer regarding how the referral should proceed. The recommendations could include a request to waive the case to adult court, request formal filing of a delinquency petition against the juvenile, informally adjust the case, refer the juvenile and/or family to another agency for services, or recommend to dismiss the case.

Supervision of a juvenile occurs if the juvenile's case is approved for an informal adjustment, which is considered an informal probation. Supervision can also occur after a juvenile is found to be delinquent (guilty) by a court and placed on formal probation supervision. Finally, the Juvenile Division supervises juveniles who have been court-ordered to a placement facility in the best interest of the juvenile.

During 2018, a restructuring of workloads and duties occurred within the Juvenile Division. In February, 28-year probation officer Pam Cain resigned as the co-coordinator of the Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI) to pursue a career change. Then-Juvenile Probation Supervisor Christine McAfee, who also served as JDAI co-coordinator, requested to be moved to JDAI coordination duties full time, leaving a vacancy for Juvenile Probation Supervisor. Long-time Community Corrections Supervisor Jeff Hartman (who formerly served as a juvenile probation officer) transferred to serve as Juvenile Probation Supervisor. With new leadership, the Juvenile Division staff proposed changes in caseload and workload allocation to better align with evidence-based practices and balance caseload/workloads across the division. By year end-2018, the re-structuring of Juvenile Probation caseloads and workloads was finalized, to be implemented starting in January 2019.

The Juvenile Division ended 2018 with eight (8) full time juvenile line probation officer positions, and a probation supervisor. The probation officer line staff included:

- two (2) probation officers assigned to the Juvenile Intake unit;
- one (1) probation officer assigned to supervise the Pre-adjudication and Pre-disposition caseload (cases pending court and those participating in detention alternatives);
- four (4) probation officers supervising a mixed caseload of formal and informal supervisions at all risk levels (per Indiana Youth Assessment System, IYAS); and
- one (1) probation officer who served as the Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI) Coordinator.

At year-end 2018, there were 39 youth under the supervision of the Juvenile Division.

In 2014, the Juvenile Division became involved with the Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI). This initiative was created by the Annie E. Casey Foundation and is a bipartisan movement for juvenile justice reinvestment. The initiative involves the reallocation of government resources away from mass incarceration and toward investment in youth, families, and communities. Goals of the JDAI include promoting positive youth development and enhancing public safety by eliminating unnecessary or inappropriate confinement.

JDAI Detention Facility Assessment Standards: Since its inception, JDAI has emphasized the importance of maintaining safe and humane conditions of confinement in juvenile detention facilities. The JDAI juvenile detention facility standards represent the most comprehensive set of publicly available standards for juvenile detention facilities. Indiana JDAI sites are required to use these standards and the facility assessment process to improve policies and practices to ensure that facilities reflect evolving standards of practice in the field. In 2018, the local JDAI Steering Committee started the process of preparing for assessing the conditions of confinement in the juvenile detention facility used by Monroe County, Southwest Indiana Regional Youth Village (SWIRYV) in Vincennes, Indiana.

Though driven primarily by the Monroe Circuit Court and the Juvenile Division of the department, JDAI is a community initiative that requires participation from resources in the community to be effective.

JDAI Project Committees: (all committees meet quarterly)

- Steering Committee – Discusses progress of the entire JDAI project and the work of all JDAI committees.
- Detention Alternatives and Admissions – Committee was formerly Purpose of Detention and Alternatives to Detention Workgroup. The Alternatives and Admissions Committee monitors the use of the Detention Risk Assessment Instrument and Alternatives to Detention.
- Data Workgroup – Monitors statistics and provides information to the committees in order to make data-driven decisions.

JDAI Alternative Program Highlights:

- Day Reporting Program – Implemented in partnership with Family Solutions. Target population is primarily youth who are awaiting final disposition of their case.
- Day Treatment Program – Utilizes Moral Reconciliation Therapy in the curriculum. Target population is primarily youth who have been court-ordered to the program as part of a disposition (post-adjudication).
- High School Equivalency Classes – Partnership with Adult Basic Education, a Division of Monroe County Community School Corporation.
- 2018 Melanie & Christopher Lowenkamp – Nationally renowned criminal/juvenile justice experts provided on-site training and consultation to the Juvenile Division and Juvenile Day Reporting/Treatment Programs at Family Solutions.
- JDAI Conditions of Confinement Kickoff: The kickoff event was held on September 21, 2018 at the Ellettsville Town Hall building. More than 20 representatives from Monroe County, Owen County, and the State’s JDAI Team attended. Meeting participants learned about JDAI as a national model, about the state-wide impact of JDAI, and were presented with local JDAI outcome data. Additionally, attendees were invited to participate in the combined Monroe / Owen County Conditions of Confinement Facility Assessment Team and Conditions of Confinement Work Group.
- JDAI Facility Assessment Training for Conditions of Confinement Facility Assessment Team. Training included presenters from the Indiana Youth Team, Indiana Department of Correction, and State JDAI Team. This training was required for all those participating in the Facility Assessment.
- JDAI Conditions of Confinement Facility Assessment Team Site Visit to SWIRYV in December 2018.

JUVENILES REFERRED


A referral is a written report received from various sources, including law enforcement, parents, schools, businesses, and the public. Juveniles are referred to the department for committing delinquent acts or status offenses when they are under the age of 18 at the time of the alleged offense. Delinquent acts are defined as acts that would be crimes if committed by an adult. Status offenses are acts of delinquency that are not crimes for adults, and include truancy, incorrigibility, curfew violation, and runaway.

The table below shows the number of individual juveniles on which the department received a referral. If a juvenile was referred more than once or in more than one case, the juvenile is categorized by the highest level of referred offense. The table below indicates the total number of referrals received during the year; 371 individual juveniles were referred for 537 referrals (delinquent acts and/or status offenses).

| | INDIVIDUALS REFERRED | | | | | NUMBER OF REFERRALS | | | | |
|--------------|----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| Delinquency | 314 | 231 | 235 | 252 | 203 | 381 | 332 | 358 | 368 | 316 |
| Status | 131 | 169 | 173 | 192 | 168 | 196 | 233 | 219 | 272 | 221 |
| TOTAL | 445 | 400 | 408 | 444 | 371 | 577 | 565 | 577 | 640 | 537 |

JUVENILE REFERRALS RECEIVED BY AGE AND SEX

The chart below indicates the total number of referrals received during the year broken down by age, sex, and case type.




OFFENSE TYPES FOR JUVENILE REFERRALS RECEIVED

Some juveniles are referred for more than one offense at the time the referral was made to the Juvenile Division. The table and chart below illustrate the types of offenses for which a juvenile was referred. A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Weapon | 4 | 9 | 14 | 6 | 5 |
| Violent/Person | 106 | 93 | 124 | 99 | 154 |
| Drug | 151 | 158 | 178 | 173 | 89 |
| Property | 190 | 151 | 138 | 168 | 148 |
| Other | 75 | 82 | 81 | 75 | 78 |
| Status | 213 | 257 | 269 | 313 | 258 |
| TOTAL | 739 | 750 | 804 | 834 | 732 |


DELINQUENCY AND STATUS OFFENSE TYPES FOR JUVENILE REFERRALS RECEIVED



DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE REFERRALS RECEIVED AND PRELIMINARY INQUIRIES

Of the 537 referrals received in 2018, some will be carried over into the next year (33 referrals) depending on when the referral was received. Referrals can be disposed in a number of ways; some are disposed prior to action from the Juvenile Division at the discretion of the Prosecutor, some are disposed after the completion of a preliminary inquiry. The chart below shows how the remaining 504 referrals received in 2018 were disposed.

JUVENILE REFERRALS DISPOSED



2018 PRELIMINARY INQUIRIES COMPLETED

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|-----------------------|------|------|------|------|------------|
| Preliminary Inquiries | 252 | 270 | 323 | 349 | 298 |

JUVENILE INTAKE TEAM

The Juvenile Division Intake Team is comprised of juvenile probation officers who meet weekly to review the investigative reports completed on each new referral received and discuss recommendations. The purpose of this review is to address questions or concerns about cases and to ensure consistent application of the risk assessment instrument. The Intake Team review process assists and supports juvenile probation officers as they strive for creative, cost effective, evidence-based responses to address delinquent behavior. The chart below shows the number of cases reviewed by the Intake Team.

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|------------|
| Cases Reviewed | 229 | 218 | 205 | 252 | 240 |

JUVENILES RECEIVED FOR SUPERVISION


The chart below shows the number of individual juveniles placed on formal and informal probation supervision in 2018. If a juvenile was placed on probation more than once or in more than one case, the juvenile is categorized by the highest level of supervision and highest level of delinquent offense. Juveniles may be placed under probation supervision multiple times or in multiple cases.

| | INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED | | | | | SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED | | | | |
|----------------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| Formal Delinquency | 50 | 30 | 39 | 37 | 28 | 55 | 33 | 41 | 37 | 30 |
| Formal Status | 6 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 6 |
| Informal Delinquency | 32 | 29 | 26 | 28 | 17 | 34 | 29 | 26 | 32 | 17 |
| Informal Status | 20 | 36 | 17 | 20 | 13 | 22 | 36 | 17 | 20 | 13 |
| TOTAL | 108 | 99 | 89 | 91 | 64 | 118 | 102 | 91 | 95 | 66 |

JUVENILE PROBATION SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED BY AGE AND SEX

| | Male | | | | Female | | | | TOTAL |
|--------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| | Formal | | Informal | | Formal | | Informal | | |
| | Delinq. | Status | Delinq. | Status | Delinq. | Status | Delinq. | Status | |
| 12 and Under | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| 14 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 11 |
| 15 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 15 |
| 16 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 12 |
| 17 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 8 |
| 18 and Up | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 14 |
| TOTAL | 22 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 66 |

JUVENILE PROBATION SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED BY SEX




OFFENSE TYPES FOR JUVENILE SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some juveniles are found delinquent (guilty) for more than one offense at the time supervision begins. The table and chart below illustrate the types of offenses for which a juvenile was placed on formal or informal supervision. A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| Weapon | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Violent/Person | 19 | 17 | 13 | 23 | 11 |
| Drug | 27 | 10 | 27 | 21 | 21 |
| Property | 40 | 35 | 28 | 31 | 12 |
| Other | 20 | 14 | 13 | 11 | 16 |
| Status | 34 | 49 | 22 | 36 | 28 |
| TOTAL | 141 | 127 | 104 | 122 | 88 |

DELINQUENCY AND STATUS OFFENSE TYPES FOR JUVENILE SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED




JUVENILE PROBATION SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

The following represents the number of juvenile probation supervisions closed in 2018 by the type of discharge. Juveniles could have been discharged from multiple supervisions in multiple cases and each case could have a different type of discharge depending on the final disposition given by a court.

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|-----------|
| Formal Delinquency | 66 | 41 | 42 | 48 | 32 |
| Formal Status | 13 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 3 |
| Informal Delinquency | 35 | 30 | 29 | 33 | 22 |
| Informal Status | 26 | 35 | 20 | 17 | 16 |
| TOTAL | 140 | 109 | 95 | 107 | 73 |

TOTAL JUVENILE PROBATION SUPERVISIONS CLOSED



YEAR END OPEN JUVENILE PROBATION SUPERVISIONS

The following represents the total number of juvenile probation supervisions open at the end of 2018.

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Formal Delinquency | 47 | 40 | 35 | 24 | 29 |
| Formal Status | 2 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 4 |
| Informal Delinquency | 14 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 5 |
| Informal Status | 4 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 67 | 63 | 58 | 43 | 39 |

YEAR END JUVENILE PROBATION SUPERVISION CASELOADS

The following represents the average number of juveniles each juvenile probation officer was supervising at the end of 2018.

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|----------------------------------|------|------|------|------|-----------|
| Non-specialized General Caseload | 22 | 20 | 19 | 15 | 14 |

PREDISPOSITIONAL REPORTS

Predispositional Reports (PDRs) are generally completed after a finding of delinquency (guilt) to provide information to a court regarding the juvenile's risk and needs. The information included consists of the juvenile's delinquency history; personal and family history; school involvement; physical, mental, and substance use history; and an evaluation of the risk the juvenile poses to the community. The chart below provides information on the number of PDRs completed over the past five years.

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|-------------------------|------|------|------|------|-----------|
| Predispositional Report | 45 | 27 | 38 | 31 | 22 |

CIVIL DIVISION INVESTIGATIONS

The Juvenile Division assists the Civil Division of the Court by conducting investigations in divorce and paternity to provide the Court with information regarding parents and their child(ren). Examples of the information that could be included is information about the child's school or living environment. The average amount of time spent on the reports filed in 2018 was 14 hours per report.

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| Divorce | 12 | 11 | 11 | 3 | 2 |
| Paternity | 16 | 15 | 13 | 4 | 6 |
| TOTAL | 28 | 26 | 24 | 7 | 8 |

JUVENILE DETENTION

Juveniles placed in secure detention are transported to a detention facility in another Indiana county. Monroe County typically utilizes the Southwest Indiana Regional Youth Village (SWIRYV) in Vincennes. Used less frequently are detention facilities in Bartholomew, Johnson, Hamilton, and Jackson counties. The costs listed in the table below were paid in 2018, however these costs could have been for services delivered the previous year due to billing times. The table below shows the total juveniles admitted to secure detention; each juvenile could have been securely detained multiple times.

SECURE DETENTIONS

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Male | 77 | 37 | 34 | 44 | 33 |
| Female | 9 | 8 | 16 | 11 | 16 |
| Total Admissions | 123 | 61 | 71 | 100 | 68 |
| Total Days | 1,364 | 910 | 1,368 | 1,688 | 1,002 |
| Costs* | \$168,510 | \$121,591 | \$134,550 | \$269,915 | \$163,732 |

A table indicating the daily population of juveniles held in detention can be found in the appendix.

*Does not include ancillary costs such as: transportation to/from detention and court hearings; medical expenses incurred while in detention; and the payment of staff to supervise youth prior to transport/court.

JUVENILE SHELTER PLACEMENT

At times the need arises to remove children from their home, but securely detaining the youth is not necessary. When these circumstances arise, the Monroe County Youth Shelter is often utilized though other shelters within Indiana are used when necessary. In 2018, the Juvenile Division of the Monroe Circuit Court authorized 34 individual youth to be placed in a youth shelter. These 34 (15 male and 19 female) youth represent 51 separate placements for a total of 523 days. A table indicating the daily population of juveniles held in shelter can be found in the appendix.

JUVENILE RESIDENTIAL PLACEMENT

In addition to the times when a juvenile must be securely detained or placed at a youth shelter, some juveniles require longer-term care outside of their home. These placements include foster care, group homes, residential treatment centers with specialized programming, and inpatient hospital settings. In all, 19 juveniles were ordered into out-of-home residential placements by the Court.

JUVENILES WAIVED TO ADULT COURT

In 2018, there was zero (0) juveniles waived to an adult court.

JUVENILE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION COMMITMENTS

In 2018, there was zero (0) juveniles committed to the Indiana Department of Correction.

INDIANA YOUTH ASSESSMENT SYSTEM AND JUVENILE PROGRAM REFERRALS

As required by the Judicial Conference of Indiana, the Juvenile Division has been utilizing the Indiana Youth Assessment System (IYAS) since 2011. The IYAS is the risk assessment system made up of six (6) instruments to be used at specific points in the juvenile justice process to identify a juvenile participant's risk to reoffend and criminogenic needs, and assist with developing an individualized case management plan. [NOTE: Criminogenic needs are attributes of offenders that are directly linked to criminal behavior. Effective correctional treatment should target criminogenic needs in the development of a comprehensive case plan. Any treatment not targeting criminogenic needs is counter-productive to efficiency and effectiveness.]

Diversion Tool - designed to assess a youth's risk to reoffend within the next 12 months and is best used at initial contact for the instant offense to assist in making diversion decisions

Detention Tool - designed to assess a youth's risk to reoffend within the next 12 months and is best used prior to detention to assist in making hold/release decisions and can also be used in making decisions regarding releases from detention.

Disposition Tool - designed to assess a youth's risk to reoffend and identify criminogenic needs to assist in making decisions regarding post-adjudication supervision to assist in creating a supervision case plan for the youth. The Disposition Tool also has a screening tool to quickly identify youth who are low-risk and determine if a full risk assessment should be completed.


Residential Tool - designed to assess a youth's risk to reoffend and identify criminogenic needs to assist in making decisions regarding level of placement, case planning, and length of stay recommendations.

Re-entry Tool - designed to assess a youth's risk to reoffend and identify criminogenic needs to assist in making decisions regarding release, case planning, and length of stay in residential placements.

The following table represents IYAS assessments completed by the type of tool and the percentage of juveniles risking at each level. More than one risk assessment could have been completed on a juvenile during the time a case is open and depending upon the status of each case.

| | Assessments Complete | Percentage at Overall Risk Level | | |
|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|----------|-----|
| | | High | Moderate | Low |
| Diversion Tool | 229 | 6 | 166 | 57 |
| Detention Tool | 42 | 19 | 22 | 1 |
| Disposition Screening Tool | 44 | 16 | | 28 |
| Disposition Tool | 48 | 5 | 31 | 12 |
| Residential Tool | 16 | 7 | 6 | 3 |
| Reentry Tool | 21 | 5 | 6 | 10 |

Juveniles placed on supervision are assessed using the Disposition Tool. This tool provides a risk level in each of the seven life domains the tool reports. After the completion of the tool, case plans are formulated to address a juvenile’s risk and needs in order to reduce the likelihood the juvenile will reoffend and/or violate the terms of his/her supervision. The following chart represents the number and percentage of assessments scoring in each of the risk levels – high, moderate, and low for the Disposition Tool.



Upon the completion of a case plan, juveniles, and often their families, are referred to various services and programs in our community. The following table shows the programs juveniles and the families were referred to and the life domains these programs address.

| Program | Domain(s) Addressed | Referrals Made |
|---|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Alcohol and Drug Education Class | 6 | 1 |
| Big Brothers Big Sisters | 3, 5, 7 | 1 |
| Carey Guides | 5, 6, 7 | 4 |
| Case Management Services (Mental Health) | 6 | 35 |
| Change Companies (Interactive Journals) | 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 | 7 |
| Counseling – Family Outpatient | 2 | 11 |
| Counseling – Individual Outpatient | 6 | 33 |
| Counseling – Substance Use Outpatient | 6 | 4 |
| Evaluation – Inpatient / Psychological | 6 | 9 |
| Evaluation – Outpatient Psychiatric / Psychological | 6 | 23 |
| Evaluation – Outpatient Substance Use | 6 | 6 |
| Extracurricular Activity | 3, 5 | 4 |
| Family Centered Therapy | 2 | 6 |
| Graduation Coach Services | 4 | 1 |
| High School Equivalency Classes | 4 | 7 |
| Intercept Program | 2 | 7 |
| Nurse Family Partnership | 2 | 1 |
| Parent Education | 2 | 2 |
| Prime for Life Substance Education Class | 6 | 3 |
| Project Wolf | 3, 5, 7 | 1 |
| Sex Offender / Maladaptive Treatment | 6 | 3 |
| STEP – Shoplifting Theft Education Program | 5, 7 | 2 |
| Victim Offender Restoration Program | 5, 7 | 16 |

ADULT PROBATION DIVISION

The Adult Division of the Monroe Circuit Court Probation Department is responsible for the supervision of adult offenders placed on probation and/or referred to the Court-administered Alcohol and Drug Program. Additionally, the Adult Division conducts investigations, evaluations, and assessments on offenders sentenced to supervision and when ordered by a court on defendants prior to a finding of guilt or innocence and/or sentencing.

During 2018, the Adult Division was comprised of 23 probation officers with 17 adult probation officers assigned to the Supervision Unit and six (6) probation officers (including one part-time probation officer) assigned to the Intake Unit.

Of the six (6) probation officers assigned to the Intake Unit, two (2) probation officers were assigned to complete Presentence Investigations. All members of the Intake Unit provide an initial screening risk assessment to newly sentenced offenders. This initial screening assessment aided in identifying lower and higher risk offenders in order to prioritize how quickly supervision should be initiated. The remaining four (4) probation officers conducted formal evaluations, which included a substance use assessment and risk assessment on newly sentenced offenders. The purpose of these evaluations was to determine an offender's risk and needs and begin making appropriate referrals for services to promote an offender's successful completion of supervision.

The Supervision Unit has one probation officer (PO) who supervises a high-volume Administrative Caseload which consists of offenders whose supervision has been transferred out to other jurisdictions and offenders directly sentenced to administrative/non-reporting probation.

Four POs supervise offenders assigned to the Enhanced Supervision Unit (ESU) for high/intensive supervision of serious violent felons, sex offenders, chronically mentally ill offenders, and domestic violence offenders. The POs assigned to this unit have smaller caseloads in order to permit more intensive supervision. One (1) probation officer within ESU is assigned to supervise sex offenders in addition to other violent offenders, enabling the department to make significant strides toward improving community safety by consolidating and providing a higher level of monitoring and supervision for one of the highest risk offender populations. Two (2) probation officers within ESU supervise persons convicted of domestic violence in addition to supervising other violent offenders. The chronically mentally ill population of offenders (who do not qualify for the Mental Health Court) is supervised by one (1) probation officer.

The remainder of the Adult Probation caseload is supervised by 12 POs known as "Court Teams." In January of 2018, a major caseload/workload change was implemented in the Adult Division with the "Court Teams." At the end of 2017, each "Court Team" PO supervised an average caseload of **91** offenders (range 90-100). These caseloads were comprised of a mix of probationers who were assessed by the Indiana Risk Assessment System (IRAS) as high, moderate, and low risk.

In an effort to align workloads and caseloads to follow evidence-based practices (EBP) more closely, starting in January 2018, the Adult "Court Teams" re-allocated cases by risk level. This required combining Court Teams, moving from one Team of three (3) POs per each Criminal Division Court to one Team of six (6) POs per two Criminal Court Divisions. Each new Court Team now has four (4) POs supervising a high/moderate caseload and two (2) POs supervising a low/administrative caseload for two Criminal Court Divisions.

The caseload reallocation for Adult Supervision Unit, assigning cases by risk level, decreased the number of probationers supervised by POs assigned to the Moderate/High Risk caseloads. This caseload assignment:

- Allows time for POs to complete a behavioral analysis and a very specific case plan targeting the highest risk and need areas to reduce recidivism;
- Allows POs more time per client contact to specifically target criminogenic need areas identified by the IRAS;
- Allows for intentionality with client appointments to utilize skill-building and practice with clients;
- Allows the department to begin shifting toward ‘dosage probation’ (strategy which links “the duration of probation supervision to the optimal amount of intervention an offender needs in order to reduce risk of re-offense”);
- Allows for more time for field supervision (homes and workplaces) of high risk clients;
- Allows POs to oversee caseloads that support the individual POs’ strengths;
- Allows for additional coverage options (during PO absences) within teams as more POs will have working knowledge of cases within each team;
- Allows the Supervisor to target coaching toward the needs of each caseload (training and coaching approach will differ based on a high/moderate caseload versus low/administrative caseload);
- Allows the department to follow EBP principles by truly supervising *low* risk offenders with *low* risk supervision practices, which has been shown by research to reduce the likelihood of increasing the risk of low risk offenders; and
- Smaller caseloads of Moderate/High risk offenders allows for more immediate responses to violations and utilization of intermediate sanctions as well as immediate use of reinforcing incentives for positive changes.

At year-end 2018, the Adult Supervision Unit caseload averages (persons being supervised per PO):

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| High-volume Administrative Caseload | = 303 |
| Enhanced Supervision Unit (ESU) | = 43 |
| *Low/Administrative Caseloads | = 167 |
| *High/Moderate Caseloads | = 41 |


*[NOTE: If all Court Team non-ESU cases were to be combined, an average caseload per each of the 12 POs would be 83 probationers per PO.]

ADULT PROBATION OFFENDERS AND SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

The chart below shows the number of individual offenders placed on probation supervision in 2018. If an offender was placed on probation more than once or in more than one case, the offender is categorized by the highest level of convicted offense. Offenders may be placed under probation supervision multiple times or in multiple cases.

| | INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED | | | | | SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED | | | | |
|--------------|----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| Misdemeanor | 952 | 1,004 | 807 | 729 | 570 | 976 | 1,028 | 840 | 770 | 594 |
| Felony | 451 | 440 | 478 | 570 | 595 | 476 | 456 | 500 | 595 | 611 |
| TOTAL | 1,403 | 1,444 | 1,285 | 1,299 | 1,165 | 1,452 | 1,484 | 1,340 | 1,365 | 1,205 |

ADULT FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR PROBATION SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED BY SEX AND AGE




OFFENSE TYPES FOR ADULT PROBATION SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some offenders placed on probation supervision are convicted of more than one offense. The table and chart below illustrate the types of offenses for which an offender was placed on probation supervision.

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Weapon | 8 | 10 | 9 | 26 | 27 |
| Violent/Person | 480 | 506 | 393 | 426 | 313 |
| Drug | 521 | 544 | 538 | 519 | 475 |
| Property | 332 | 266 | 266 | 256 | 262 |
| Other | 280 | 353 | 286 | 280 | 259 |
| TOTAL | 1,621 | 1,679 | 1,492 | 1,507 | 1,336 |

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

MISDEMEANOR AND FELONY OFFENSE TYPES FOR PROBATION SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED




ADULT PROBATION SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

The following represents the number of adult probation supervisions closed in 2018 by the type of discharge. Offenders could have been discharged from multiple supervisions in multiple cases and each case could have a different type of discharge depending on the final disposition given by a court.

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Misdemeanor | 1,006 | 961 | 1,027 | 883 | 744 |
| Felony | 465 | 467 | 482 | 548 | 608 |
| TOTAL | 1,471 | 1,428 | 1,509 | 1,431 | 1,352 |

TOTAL ADULT PROBATION SUPERVISIONS CLOSED



YEAR END OPEN ADULT PROBATION SUPERVISIONS

The following represents the total number of adult probation supervisions open at the end of 2018.

| | 2014* | 2015* | 2016* | 2017 | 2018 |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Misdemeanor | 1,010 | 1072 | 885 | 776 | 634 |
| Felony | 938 | 919 | 927 | 978 | 974 |
| TOTAL | 1,948 | 1,991 | 1,812 | 1,754 | 1,608 |

*Corrected data

YEAR END ADULT PROBATION SUPERVISION CASELOADS

The following represents the average number of offenders each adult probation officer was supervising at the end of 2018 by the unit assigned. In 2018, the Adult Division realigned caseloads to assign supervision by risk. Thus, the non-specialized general caseload below is now being assigned into a high/moderate caseload and a low/administrative caseload.

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|----------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------------|
| Non-specialized General Caseload | 117 | 115 | 99 | 91 | N/A |
| High / Moderate Caseload | - | - | - | - | 41 |
| Low / Administrative Caseload | - | - | - | - | 167 |
| Enhanced Supervision Unit | 35 | 45 | 43 | 44 | 43 |
| Administrative Caseload | 328 | 370 | 360 | 418 | 303 |

ADULT PROBATION SUPERVISION TRANSFERS

The Adult Division provides courtesy supervision to felons as well as misdemeanor probationers sentenced in other counties or states and transfers cases to other jurisdictions for courtesy supervision. The division also accepts transferred cases and send cases to other Indiana Court Alcohol and Drug Programs. The following represents the number transfer cases by type received or sent during 2018.

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|-------------------------|------|------|------|------|------------|
| Intrastate Transfer Out | 271 | 255 | 275 | 237 | 177 |
| Interstate Transfer Out | 23 | 21 | 19 | 16 | 20 |
| Intrastate Transfer In | 155 | 110 | 140 | 158 | 170 |
| Interstate Transfer In | 18 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 9 |

PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATIONS

Presentence investigations (PSI) are conducted when ordered by a court. A PSI can be completed prior to a finding of guilt or innocence or may be conducted subsequent to a finding of guilt. PSIs are required to be completed prior to sentencing in all felony cases except the lowest level felonies, Level 6 (for offenses committed after June 30, 2014) and D Felony (for offenses committed prior to July 1, 2014).

A PSI is a formal report that gives pertinent information to a court regarding the defendant's risk and needs. The information included consists of the defendant's criminal history; personal and family history; physical, mental, and substance use history; and an evaluation of the risk the defendant poses to the community.

PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATIONS CONDUCTED

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|--------------|------|------|------|------|-------------|
| Misdemeanor | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Felony | 166 | 166 | 165 | 186 | 147 |
| TOTAL | 167 | 168 | 165 | 186 | 147 |

POST-SENTENCE INTAKES CONDUCTED

Post-sentence intakes are conducted after an offender has been sentenced to some form of supervision by the Department. These formal evaluations include a substance use assessment and risk assessment. The purpose of these evaluations are to determine an offender's risk and needs and begin making appropriate referrals for services to promote an offender's successful completion of supervision.

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|--------------|-------|-------|-------|------|--------------|
| Misdemeanor | 800 | 901 | 725 | 556 | 636 |
| Felony | 288 | 299 | 305 | 376 | 392 |
| TOTAL | 1,088 | 1,200 | 1,030 | 933 | 1,028 |

COURT ALCOHOL & DRUG PROGRAM

The Monroe Circuit Court Alcohol and Drug Program is an integral part of the Adult Division of the Monroe Circuit Court Probation Department. The Court Alcohol and Drug Program is certified by the Indiana Office of Court Services (IOCS). In 2015, the Program was granted a four year re-certification by the IOCS.

The Court Alcohol and Drug Program is administered by the Director who is responsible for the daily operation of the Adult Intake Unit and who is also responsible for ensuring that all staff members receive ongoing training regarding substance related issues. All adult probation officers within the Department are certified as either substance abuse professionals or maintain a Certified Substance Abuse Management credential and must complete a minimum of 12 hours of alcohol/drug and criminal justice education every year in order to maintain their certification.

Probation officers hired after January 1, 2005 who supervise adult offenders as part of the Court Alcohol and Drug Program must obtain and maintain a Court Substance Abuse Management Specialist credential (CSAMS) within two years. To obtain the credential, the staff member must have a baccalaureate degree from an accredited university; must complete and document at least 1,500 hours of experience in the assessment of people with substance abuse problems; complete at least 500 hours of a supervised practicum in the areas of assessment, referral and case management of substance abuse clients; complete required training; submit a signed statement to adhere to a code of ethics; must be at least 21 years of age; and take and pass a written exam.

Adult probation officers conduct substance abuse screenings on all new cases referred by the courts for probation, regardless of case type. If the referring offense involved drugs or alcohol, or the offense was somehow related to the use or abuse of such substances, the adult probation officers perform more extensive substance abuse evaluations and these cases are then considered referrals to the Court Alcohol and Drug Program.

Following the completion of the substance abuse assessment, the probation officer develops an individualized service plan for each offender. This service plan typically includes a referral to a substance abuse education or treatment program. The probation officer then monitors the probationer's compliance with the terms of substance abuse education or treatment. The Court Alcohol and Drug Program does not provide any direct treatment services.

ADULT COURT ALCOHOL & DRUG PROGRAM OFFENDERS AND SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

The Court Alcohol and Drug Program is integrated into the Adult Division of the Probation Department. Thus, most adult offenders on probation supervision are also considered referrals to the Court Alcohol and Drug Program for supervision. Some cases transferred into Monroe County are only referred for court alcohol and drug program services and are not under probation supervision; in 2018, eight (8) such cases were received by the Department.

The chart below shows the number of individual offenders referred for Court Alcohol and Drug Program supervision in 2018. If an offender was placed on Court Alcohol and Drug Program supervision more than once or in more than one case, the offender is categorized by the highest level of convicted offense.


Due to the integration of the Court Alcohol and Drug Program with the Adult Division of the Probation Department, many probation supervisions are also considered referrals to the Court Alcohol and Drug Program for supervision. Some offenders may be placed on supervision multiple times or in multiple cases.

| | INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED | | | | | SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED | | | | |
|--------------|----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| Misdemeanor | 616 | 715 | 560 | 500 | 388 | 629 | 721 | 573 | 511 | 394 |
| Felony | 216 | 211 | 248 | 301 | 270 | 220 | 216 | 256 | 303 | 272 |
| TOTAL | 832 | 926 | 808 | 801 | 658 | 849 | 937 | 829 | 814 | 666 |

*Includes eight (8) offenders and eight (8) supervisions for court alcohol and drug program supervision only.

ADULT FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR COURT ALCOHOL AND DRUG PROGRAM SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED BY SEX AND AGE

The table and chart below indicates the number of Court Alcohol and Drug Program offenders received and supervisions received in 2018, both felony and misdemeanor, broken down by sex and age. This represents the characteristics of the offender at the time supervision began, which may be reported more than once if the offender was placed on probation supervision multiple times or in multiple cases.




OFFENSE TYPES FOR COURT ALCOHOL AND DRUG PROGRAM SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some offenders placed on court alcohol and drug program supervision are convicted of more than one offense. The table and chart below illustrate the types of offenses for which an offender was placed on court alcohol and drug program supervision.

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|----------------|------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Weapon | 1 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 9 |
| Violent/Person | 298 | 314 | 245 | 265 | 194 |
| Drug | 506 | 532 | 525 | 505 | 427 |
| Property | 39 | 27 | 24 | 14 | 20 |
| Other | 108 | 170 | 127 | 98 | 89 |
| TOTAL | 952 | 1,045 | 922 | 891 | 739 |

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

MISDEMEANOR AND FELONY OFFENSE TYPES FOR COURT ALCOHOL AND DRUG PROGRAM SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED




COURT ALCOHOL AND DRUG PROGRAM SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

The following represents the number of Court Alcohol and Drug Program supervisions closed in 2018 by the type of discharge. Offenders could have been discharged in multiple cases and each case could have a different type of discharge depending on the final disposition given by a court.

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Misdemeanor | 713 | 621 | 722 | 590 | 509 |
| Felony | 206 | 218 | 237 | 272 | 318 |
| TOTAL | 919 | 839 | 959 | 862 | 827 |

TOTAL COURT ALCOHOL AND DRUG PROGRAM SUPERVISIONS CLOSED



ALCOHOL AND MARIJUANA EDUCATION SCHOOL

The Court Alcohol and Drug Program operates a six-hour substance abuse information class, Alcohol and Marijuana Education School, known as AES. The AES curriculum targets minor first-time alcohol and marijuana offenders and is utilized by the Prosecutor's Office for Pre-Trial Diversion Program participants.

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| IU Student | 463 | 390 | 406 | 471 | 278 |
| Non-IU Student | 170 | 122 | 142 | 156 | 126 |
| TOTAL | 633 | 512 | 548 | 627 | 404 |

PRIME FOR LIFE

The Department provides a 12-hour substance abuse education program utilizing the cognitive-based Prime for Life Indiana (PRI) curriculum. PRI is offered to second time Pre-Trial Diversion participants being charged with marijuana and minor alcohol-related offenses and probationers who have been determined to need substance education. The program began in September 2003.

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| Prosecutor Referrals | 324 | 294 | 234 | 193 | 140 |
| Probation Referrals | 162 | 151 | 114 | 95 | 67 |
| TOTAL | 486 | 445 | 348 | 288 | 207 |

COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PROGRAM

The Community Corrections Program is a division of the Monroe Circuit Court Probation Department. The Community Corrections Director is also an Assistant Chief Probation Officer. Community Corrections is primarily responsible for pretrial monitoring and post-sentence supervision of individuals placed on electronic monitoring (adult and juvenile), home detention, day reporting, and work release (transfers out-of-county). The division also includes the Community Transition Program, Community Service Program, and the Drug Testing Program. Additionally, supervision of offenders participating in Monroe County's Problem Solving Court Program falls under the Community Corrections Program.

The Community Corrections Program employs probation officers who serve as case managers, supervising caseloads of offenders who are court-ordered to complete home detention and/or day reporting through the Community Alternative Supervision Program (CASP). Additionally, Community Corrections employs field officers to conduct field supervision of offenders at their homes and elsewhere.

Funding for Community Corrections comes from a variety of sources including the Indiana Department of Correction (IDOC) community corrections grants, user fees, local taxes, and other grants. In 2018, Monroe County completed its 35th year of receiving grant funding from the IDOC. For July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019, the IDOC awarded Monroe County **\$1,292,897**.

In 2018, the IDOC awarded Monroe County a score of 13/15 on the annual Community Corrections Grant application and a score of 22/23 on the Probation/Community Corrections Collaboration Plan.

The IDOC is a significant funding source through the annual Community Corrections Program Grant. As a condition of grant funding, the Community Corrections Program must undergo audits by the IDOC to determine if the program meets criteria as an "evidence-based organization." The requirements of passing such an audit are numerous and detailed. The IDOC programmatic audit occurred in August 2018, with a final audit score of 99 (out of 100) for meeting criteria as an evidence-based organization.

In 2018, the Community Corrections User Fee Fund (Project Income) dipped to a dangerously low level such that it could not support the employees' salaries that were paid from the funds. Therefore, several changes had to be made to save the funds from being fully depleted during the year. The difficult decision was made to end the Road Crew Program as this program was funded solely from Community Corrections User Fees and could not be sustained. The Road Crew Program was one of the first programs provided by the local Community Corrections Program starting at the program's inception in 1983. The Road Crew Program's last day of operation was August 11, 2018.

In 2018, a change in Community Corrections Program leadership occurred. Long-time Community Corrections Supervisor Jeff Hartman transferred to the Juvenile Division of the Department to serve as Juvenile Probation Supervisor. Adult probation officer Christian Carlisle was promoted to serve as Community Corrections Supervisor, overseeing the Community Alternative Supervision Program and the Community Service Program.

Effective July 1, 2018, HEA 1034 changed laws related to home detention in Indiana. The new law eliminated the minimum 60 days of home detention as condition of probation restriction, added cellular devices as alternative to a landline telephone for program participants, and added that a home detention could allow a home detention participant to participate "**in any other activity approved for the offender by the court**" (IC 35-38-2.5-6). This created the opportunity to allow use pro-social time away from home to be used as an incentive/reinforcer for good behavior. The Board of Judges approved new language to be added to the Home Detention Order to permit participants to earn pro-social time away from home.

COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS ADVISORY BOARD

The Monroe County Community Corrections Advisory Board (CCAB) was established in 1982. In 2018, Judge Ken Todd continued as CCAB chair until his retirement in October at which time vice-chair, Chief Probation Officer Linda Brady, began serving as acting-chair.

The CCAB meets quarterly (January, April, August, and October).

The CCAB consists of the statutorily required members (per IC 11-12-1-2 such as judges, prosecuting attorney, public defender, etc.) as well as appointed members representing local law enforcement, schools, social service organizations, victim, and former offenders.

The CCAB monitors and approves Community Corrections funding, programs, and services. Copies of the minutes from all CCAB meetings may be requested from the Community Corrections Director.

COMMUNITY ALTERNATIVE SUPERVISION PROGRAM (CASP)

The Community Alternative Supervision Program (CASP) incorporates a continuum of incentives and sanctions approach to supervision. This continuum allows program staff to administratively move offenders/defendants through various levels of supervision intensity, allowing the participant to experience immediate rewards for appropriate conduct and immediate consequences for violation of program and probation rules. Such immediate incentives and sanctions help to motivate individuals to successfully complete the required programming in less time, thereby maximizing the staff resources available to supervise existing caseloads.

Historically the CASP was comprised of six (6) levels of supervision. Due to the revised Indiana criminal code and with additional staffing proved by IDOC grant funds, in 2016 the CASP was expanded to 12 levels.

The CASP levels were modified in 2017. All CASP supervision levels listed below are informed by the risk scores as determined by the Indiana Risk Assessment System (IRAS).

Only CASP levels one (1) through five (5), are eligible for the client to earn time credit against his/her sentence.

CASP LEVELS

Level 1 (Work Release) – Out-of-county Work Release may be Court-ordered on a limited pre-approved basis. **Targeted Risk:** Moderate to High. Participants must provide own transportation to employment and must pay program fees. Greene County & Morgan County Work Release programs are available for pre-screen acceptance.

Level 2 (Therapeutic Home Detention with Residential Placement) – **Targeted Risk:** Moderate to High. **Placement Factors:** Need for residential treatment; need for sober living environment; homeless or unsuitable housing; sanction for CASP noncompliance; prior CASP noncompliance history. **Considerations for Level Reduction:** Treatment completion; specified by Court Order; upon suitable residence being secured. **Housing:** Facility costs are participant responsibility; fee assistance may be possible through health insurance and/or state-sponsored programs.

Level 3 (Enhanced Home Detention with Day Reporting) – **Targeted Risk:** Moderate to High. **Placement Factors:** Alcohol abuser; chronic unemployed; job search; multiple work/school locations; sanction for CASP noncompliance; prior CASP noncompliance history. **Considerations for Level Reduction:** Secured employment/enrolled in school; negative substance tests; case plan progress. **Day Reporting:** Participants report Monday through Friday between 7 am and 9 am or as directed (see Level 10). **Level Status Review:** High Risk = every 30 days; Moderate Risk = every 2 weeks; Low Risk = weekly.

Level 4 (Intermediate Home Detention) – Level 4 = PRESUMPTIVE starting level for Home Detention (HD). **Targeted Risk:** Moderate to High. **Considerations for Level Reduction:** Maintaining employment; maintaining school enrollment; negative substance tests; case plan progress. **Level Status Review:** First review after participant completes 1/2 executed HD sentence; thereafter, reviews conducted every 30 days.

Level 5 (Basic Home Detention) – **Targeted Risk:** Low to Moderate. **Placement Factors:** In lieu of incarceration; condition of probation; community corrections/ probation violator. **Level Status Review:** If on court-ordered HD, cannot move to lower level to receive credit time.

Level 6 (Electronic Monitored Home Curfew) – **Targeted Risk:** Low to Moderate. **Placement Factors:** Condition of probation or pre-trial release; sanction for probation violation. **Presumptive Curfew:** between 9 pm and 6 am or as directed. **Equipment:** Radio Frequency (RF) monitoring with landline or cellular phone.

Level 7 (Alcohol Detect Electronic Monitoring - Soberlink) – **Targeted Risk:** Moderate to High. **Placement Factors:** Alcohol abuser; Condition of probation or pre-trial release; sanction for a probation violation involving alcohol consumption.

Level 8 (Electronic Monitored Exclusion Zones) – **Targeted Risk:** Moderate to High. **Placement Factors:** Condition of probation or pre-trial release; sanction for probation violation. **Exclusion Zones:** Participants ordered to not travel to or be at designated exclusion locations. **Equipment:** GPS.

Level 9 (Drive-by Curfew) – **Targeted Risk:** Low to Moderate. **Placement Factors:** Sanction for probation violation or as a condition of pre-trial release. **Presumptive Curfew:** Participants are placed on curfew between 9 pm and 6 am (or as directed). **Equipment:** RF electronic monitoring anklet with randomized drive-by checks.

Level 10 (Day Reporting) – **Targeted Risk:** Low to Moderate. **Placement Factors:** Sanction for probation violation or as a condition of pre-trial release.

Level 11 (Pre-Trial Case Management) – **Targeted Risk:** Moderate to high.

Level 12 (Kiosk Reporting) – **Targeted Risk:** Low. **Placement Factors:** Condition of probation or pretrial release. **Check-in:** Participants report to Kiosk as directed, answer set of standard questions.

ADULT WORK RELEASE INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS RECEIVED

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Misdemeanor | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Felony | 3 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 4 |
| TOTAL | 5 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 4 |

ADULT WORK RELEASE SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

| Age | Male | | Female | |
|--------------|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|
| | Felony | Misdemeanor | Felony | Misdemeanor |
| 20-29 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30-39 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 40-49 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

OFFENSE TYPES FOR ADULT WORK RELEASE SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some offenders placed on work release supervision are convicted of or charged with more than one offense.

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| Weapon | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Violent/Person | 2 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 |
| Drug | 2 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| Property | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| Other | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| TOTAL | 6 | 10 | 16 | 9 | 6 |

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

ADULT WORK RELEASE SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

| | Felony | | | | | Misdemeanor | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| Absconded | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Successful Completion | 6 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Revoked Due to Technical Violations | 1 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Revoked Due to New Offense | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 9 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |


ADULT CASP LEVELS 2-5 OFFENDERS AND SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

The chart below shows the number of individual offenders placed on CASP Levels 2-5 (electronic monitoring/home detention where credit time could be earned) supervision in 2018. If an offender was placed on CASP Levels 2-5 more than once or in more than one case, the offender is categorized by the highest level of convicted offense. Some offenders placed on CASP Levels 2-5 supervision are under supervision for more than one case.

| | OFFENDERS RECEIVED | | | | | SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED | | | | |
|--------------|--------------------|------|------|------|------------|-----------------------|------|------|------|------------|
| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| Misdemeanor | 60 | 54 | 39 | 69 | 43 | 98 | 102 | 97 | 141 | 113 |
| Felony | 154 | 186 | 223 | 448 | 266 | 206 | 240 | 362 | 606 | 391 |
| TOTAL | 214 | 240 | 262 | 517 | 309 | 304 | 342 | 459 | 747 | 504 |

ADULT FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR CASP LEVELS 2-5 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED BY SEX AND AGE

The table below indicates the number of CASP Levels 2-5 supervisions received in 2018 broken down by sex and age. This represents the characteristics of the offender at the time supervision began, which may be reported more than once if the offender was placed on CASP Levels 2-5 multiple times or in multiple cases.




OFFENSE TYPES FOR ADULT CASP LEVELS 2-5 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some offenders placed on CASP Levels 2-5 are convicted of or charged with more than one offense. The table below illustrates the types of offenses for which an offender was placed on CASP Levels 2-5.

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|----------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------|------------|
| Weapon | 5 | 28 | 21 | 52 | 16 |
| Violent/Person | 118 | 107 | 118 | 198 | 144 |
| Drug | 146 | 210 | 277 | 476 | 202 |
| Property | 146 | 120 | 122 | 291 | 122 |
| Other | 64 | 78 | 94 | 155 | 79 |
| TOTAL | 479 | 543 | 632 | 1,172 | 533 |

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

MISDEMEANOR AND FELONY OFFENSE TYPES FOR CASP LEVELS 2-5 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED




ADULT CASP LEVELS 2-5 SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

The following represents the number of adult CASP Levels 2-5 supervisions closed in 2018 by the type of discharge. Offenders could have been discharged from multiple supervisions in multiple cases and each case could have a different type of discharge depending on the final disposition given by a court.

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|--------------|------|------|------|------|------------|
| Misdemeanor | 90 | 83 | 87 | 112 | 89 |
| Felony | 205 | 225 | 273 | 382 | 344 |
| TOTAL | 295 | 308 | 360 | 494 | 433 |

TOTAL ADULT CASP LEVELS 2-5 SUPERVISIONS CLOSED



ADULT CASP LEVELS 6, 8, 9 INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS RECEIVED

| | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|--------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Misdemeanor | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Felony | 2 | 13 | 18 |
| TOTAL | 5 | 16 | 19 |

ADULT CASP LEVELS 6, 8, 9 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

| Age | Male | | Female | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|-------------|
| | Felony | Misdemeanor | Felony | Misdemeanor |
| 19 & Under | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 20-29 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 30-39 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 40-49 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 50-59 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 23 | 3 | 1 | 0 |

OFFENSE TYPES FOR CASP LEVELS 6, 8, 9 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Offenders placed on CASP Levels 6, 8, and 9 may be convicted of/charged with more than one offense.

| | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|----------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Weapon | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Violent/Person | 2 | 7 | 34 |
| Drug | 3 | 5 | 3 |
| Property | 1 | 19 | 21 |
| Other | 2 | 3 | 11 |
| TOTAL | 9 | 34 | 72 |

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

ADULT CASP LEVELS 6, 8, 9 SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

| | Felony | | | Misdemeanor | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|----------|----------|
| | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| Absconded | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Successful Completion | 0 | 7 | 16 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Unsuccessful Completion | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Revoked Due to Technical Violations | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Revoked Due to New Offense | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 1 | 11 | 18 | 3 | 4 | 2 |

ADULT CASP LEVEL 7 INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS RECEIVED

| | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Misdemeanor | 5 | 14 | 6 |
| Felony | 7 | 18 | 16 |
| TOTAL | 12 | 32 | 22 |

ADULT CASP LEVEL 7 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

| Age | Male | | Female | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|-------------|
| | Felony | Misdemeanor | Felony | Misdemeanor |
| 20-29 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| 30-39 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| 40-49 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 50-59 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 70 & Up | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 17 | 11 | 6 | 2 |

OFFENSE TYPES FOR CASP LEVEL 7 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Offenders placed on CASP Level 7 may be convicted of/charged with more than one offense.

| | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Weapon | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Violent/Person | 5 | 27 | 9 |
| Drug | 7 | 28 | 23 |
| Property | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Other | 5 | 7 | 11 |
| TOTAL | 20 | 65 | 47 |

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

ADULT CASP LEVEL 7 SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

| | Felony | | | Misdemeanor | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| Absconded | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Successful Completion | 2 | 12 | 20 | 4 | 12 | 9 |
| Unsuccessful Completion | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Revoked Due to Technical Violations | 1 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Revoked Due to New Offense | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 3 | 16 | 25 | 7 | 15 | 13 |

ADULT CASP LEVEL 10 INDIVIDUALS AND SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED


CASP Level 10 (day reporting) participants must report to Community Corrections daily, Monday through Friday, to check in and be tested for alcohol. CASP Level 10 participants are also subject to drug tests, but have no required curfew or other restrictions on their day-to-day freedom. Courts may place individuals directly on CASP Level 10 supervision. CASP Level 10 supervision is most often used as a condition of pre-trial release or a condition of probation supervision.

The chart below shows the number of individuals placed on CASP Level 10 supervision in 2018. If an individual was placed on CASP Level 10 more than once or in more than one case, the individual is categorized by the highest level of referred offense. Individuals may be placed on CASP Level 10 multiple times or in multiple cases.

| | INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED | | | | | SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED | | | | |
|--------------|----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------------------|------------|--------------|------------|------------|
| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| Misdemeanor | 172 | 182 | 197 | 161 | 95 | 325 | 417 | 481 | 393 | 225 |
| Felony | 245 | 274 | 319 | 361 | 283 | 349 | 415 | 536 | 558 | 426 |
| TOTAL | 417 | 456 | 516 | 522 | 378 | 674 | 832 | 1,017 | 951 | 651 |

ADULT FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR CASP LEVEL 10 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED BY GENDER AND AGE

The table and chart below indicates the number of CASP Level 10 supervisions received in 2018, both felony and misdemeanor, broken down by gender and age. This represents the characteristics of the individual at the time supervision began, which may be reported more than once if the individual was placed on CASP Level 10 multiple times or in multiple cases.




OFFENSE TYPES FOR ADULT CASP LEVEL 10 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some individuals placed on CASP Level 10 supervision are convicted of or charged with more than one offense. The table and chart below illustrate the types of offenses for which an individual was placed on CASP Level 10 supervision.

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|----------------|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| Weapon | 4 | 11 | 28 | 24 | 20 |
| Violent/Person | 190 | 248 | 259 | 242 | 152 |
| Drug | 321 | 384 | 569 | 583 | 329 |
| Property | 238 | 274 | 327 | 286 | 223 |
| Other | 176 | 219 | 295 | 291 | 164 |
| TOTAL | 929 | 1,136 | 1,478 | 1,426 | 888 |

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

MISDEMEANOR AND FELONY OFFENSE TYPES FOR CASP LEVEL 10 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED




ADULT CASP LEVEL 10 SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

The following represents the number of adult CASP Level 10 supervisions closed in 2018 by the type of discharge. Individuals could have been discharged from multiple supervisions in multiple cases and each case could have a different type of discharge depending on the final disposition given by a court.

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|--------------|------|------|------|------|------------|
| Misdemeanor | 281 | 435 | 463 | 396 | 229 |
| Felony | 311 | 424 | 504 | 535 | 427 |
| TOTAL | 592 | 859 | 967 | 931 | 656 |

TOTAL ADULT CASP LEVEL 10 SUPERVISIONS CLOSED



ADULT CASP LEVELS 11-12 INDIVIDUALS AND SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED


CASP Levels 11-12 (pretrial monitoring) individuals must report as needed for case management or kiosk reporting. Courts typically place individuals on CASP Levels 11-12 during the pretrial period while their case is being processed.

The chart below shows the number of individuals placed on CASP Levels 11-12 in 2018. If an individual was placed on CASP Levels 11-12 more than once or in more than one case, the individual is categorized by the highest level of referred offense. Individuals may be placed on CASP Levels 11-12 multiple times or in multiple cases.

| | INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED | | | SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED | | |
|-------------|----------------------|------|------|-----------------------|------|-------|
| | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| Misdemeanor | 40 | 117 | 115 | 62 | 217 | 265 |
| Felony | 58 | 394 | 712 | 69 | 475 | 948 |
| TOTAL | 98 | 511 | 827 | 131 | 692 | 1,213 |

ADULT FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR CASP LEVELS 11-12 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED BY GENDER AND AGE

The table and chart below indicates the number of CASP Levels 11-12 supervisions received in 2018, both felony and misdemeanor, broken down by gender and age. This represents the characteristics of the individual at the time supervision began, which may be reported more than once if the individual was placed on CASP Levels 11-12 multiple times or in multiple cases.




OFFENSE TYPES FOR ADULT CASP LEVELS 11-12 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some individuals placed on CASP Levels 11-12 supervision charged with more than one offense. The table and chart below illustrate the types of offenses for which an individual was placed on CASP Levels 11-12 supervision.

| | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|----------------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| Weapon | 1 | 42 | 67 |
| Violent/Person | 32 | 263 | 582 |
| Drug | 79 | 450 | 869 |
| Property | 43 | 276 | 571 |
| Other | 63 | 264 | 458 |
| TOTAL | 218 | 1,295 | 2,547 |

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

MISDEMEANOR AND FELONY OFFENSE TYPES FOR CASP LEVELS 11-12 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED




ADULT CASP LEVELS 11-12 SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

The following represents the number of adult CASP Levels 11-12 supervisions closed in 2018 by the type of discharge. Individuals could have been discharged from multiple supervisions in multiple cases and each case could have a different type of discharge depending on the final disposition given by a court.

| | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|--------------|------|------|--------------|
| Misdemeanor | 24 | 214 | 227 |
| Felony | 13 | 396 | 787 |
| TOTAL | 37 | 610 | 1,014 |

TOTAL ADULT CASP LEVELS 11-12 SUPERVISIONS CLOSED



JUVENILE HOME DETENTION INDIVIDUALS & SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Community Corrections supervises juveniles placed on home detention (electronic monitoring). The juvenile's whereabouts are restricted by the supervising probation officer or by a court's order. The chart below shows the number of individual juveniles placed on home detention supervision. Juveniles may have been placed on home detention multiple times or in multiple cases.

| | INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED | | | | | SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED | | | | |
|--------------|----------------------|------|------|------|-----------|-----------------------|------|------|------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| Delinquency | 14 | 23 | 17 | 44 | 19 | 20 | 33 | 26 | 64 | 29 |
| Status | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 15 | 23 | 19 | 47 | 20 | 21 | 33 | 28 | 67 | 30 |

JUVENILE DELINQUENCY AND STATUS HOME DETENTION SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED BY GENDER AND AGE

| | Male | | Female | |
|--------------|-------------|--------|-------------|--------|
| | Delinquency | Status | Delinquency | Status |
| 12 and Under | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 16 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 |
| 17 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 18 and Up | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 19 | 0 | 10 | 1 |

OFFENSE TYPES FOR JUVENILE HOME DETENTION SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|-----------|
| Weapon | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Violent/Person | 7 | 10 | 17 | 46 | 21 |
| Drug | 4 | 12 | 0 | 18 | 12 |
| Property | 19 | 37 | 14 | 58 | 16 |
| Other | 1 | 9 | 7 | 16 | 8 |
| Status | 1 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 3 |
| TOTAL | 32 | 72 | 40 | 158 | 63 |


A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

JUVENILE HOME DETENTION SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

The following represents the number of juvenile home detention supervisions closed in 2018 by the type of discharge. Juveniles could have been discharged from multiple supervisions in multiple cases and each case could have a different type of discharge depending on the final disposition given by a court.

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|--------------|------|------|------|------|-------------|
| Delinquency | 21 | 34 | 26 | 65 | 24 |
| Status | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 21 | 35 | 28 | 68 | 25 |

TOTAL JUVENILE HOME DETENTION SUPERVISIONS CLOSED



ADULT PRETRIAL SERVICES

On September 7, 2016, the Indiana Supreme Court issued an Order adopting Criminal Rule 26. The Rule in its entirety was effective immediately in the pretrial pilot courts.

Monroe County volunteered to serve as one of Indiana's 11 Pretrial Pilot Project counties to assist development of statewide Pretrial Services policy and procedure. The Monroe County Pretrial Pilot Project officially started October 1, 2016.

Through this project, a formalized assessment process was created utilizing the Pretrial Tool of the Indiana Risk Assessment System (IRAS) for those individuals newly arrested and not currently under community supervision. At the defendant's Initial Hearing before the court, Pretrial Services staff (probation officers) present recommendations for community monitoring to the court for consideration.

Pretrial Services is integrated into the Community Corrections division of the Probation Department. The court may order a pretrial defendant to be monitored on a level of community corrections programming while awaiting the disposition of the defendant's criminal case.

As part of Indiana's Pretrial Pilot Project, Monroe County Pretrial Services unit is involved in research that will help validate the use of the IRAS pretrial tool and the pretrial process in general. The Pretrial Services Coordinator/Supervisor is an active participant in the state Pretrial Site Coordinators Work Group.

At the end of 2018, the Pretrial Services unit was comprised of a Supervisor and five (5) line probation officers.

The information in the following sections represents the suggested measures from *A Framework for Pretrial Justice: Essential Elements of an Effective Pretrial System and Agency* published by the National Institute of Corrections in February 2017.

PRETRIAL ASSESSMENTS COMPLETED

The chart below shows the number of individuals assessed for pretrial risk in the target population since the pretrial pilot project began in October 2016. Risk level is measured by the Indiana Risk Assessment System – Pretrial Assessment Tool (IRAS-PAT). The target population consists of any individual not community supervision at the time of arrest and placement in our local jail for a new felony or misdemeanor offense.

| | ASSESSMENTS COMPLETED | | |
|--------------|-----------------------|-------|--------------|
| | 2016* | 2017 | 2018 |
| Misdemeanor | 271 | 1,162 | 1,230 |
| Felony | 154 | 768 | 844 |
| TOTAL | 425 | 1,930 | 2,074 |

*The Pretrial Program Started October 1, 2016.

CONCURRENCE RATE

The following table shows the concurrence rate which is calculated by whether the initial pretrial monitoring level ordered by a court upon release corresponds with the Pretrial Probation Officer's recommendation.

| | CONCURRENCE RATE | | |
|---|------------------|-------|--------------|
| | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| Monitoring Level Agrees with Recommendation | 79.3% | 88.4% | 84.8% |
| Monitoring Level Lower than Recommendation | 6.7% | 3.1% | 3.0% |
| Monitoring Level Higher than Recommendation | 14.0% | 8.6% | 12.1% |

APPEARANCE RATE BY RISK LEVEL

The following indicates the appearance rate for defendants by risk level. The appearance rate is calculated based on the year in which the hearing occurred for those who have completed their pretrial period. The percentage is based on the number of monitored pretrial defendants who make all scheduled court appearances.

| | APPEARANCE RATE | | |
|----------------|-----------------|-------|--------------|
| | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| High Risk | 90.5% | 87.1% | 88.7% |
| Moderate Risk | 92.7% | 90.9% | 94.3% |
| Low Risk | 95.2% | 94.1% | 96.9% |
| OVERALL | 93.4% | 91.2% | 94.0% |

SAFETY RATE BY RISK LEVEL

The chart below shows the safety rate for defendants by risk level. The safety rate is based on the percentage of monitored defendants who have completed their pretrial period in the year listed below and were not charged with a new offense over the entire pretrial period.

| | SAFETY RATE | | |
|----------------|-------------|-------|--------------|
| | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| High Risk | 88.9% | 67.9% | 52.2% |
| Moderate Risk | 76.5% | 82.1% | 73.9% |
| Low Risk | 100.0% | 94.6% | 89.3% |
| OVERALL | 88.6% | 86.0% | 77.6% |

SUCCESS RATE BY RISK LEVEL

The chart below shows the success rate for defendants by risk level. The success rate is based on the percentage of monitored defendants who have completed their pretrial period in the year listed below and who (1) do not have court filed technical violations of the conditions of their release, (2) appear for all scheduled court appearances, and (3) are not arrested for a new offense during the pretrial period.

| | SUCCESS RATE | | |
|----------------|--------------|-------|--------------|
| | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| High Risk | 77.8% | 55.7% | 37.2% |
| Moderate Risk | 64.7% | 70.5% | 57.6% |
| Low Risk | 100.0% | 88.3% | 82.6% |
| OVERALL | 81.8% | 76.8% | 65.4% |

AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY IN JAIL BY RISK LEVEL

The following shows the average length of stay in jail for defendants by risk level. The length of stay is based on the initial jail stay after arrest and includes released defendants.

| | AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY IN DAYS | | |
|----------------|--------------------------------|------|-------------|
| | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| High Risk | 58.7 | 29.4 | 9.3 |
| Moderate Risk | 13.3 | 11.2 | 6.8 |
| Low Risk | 6.9 | 4.1 | 3.2 |
| OVERALL | 26.3 | 14.9 | 6.4 |

ADULT COMMUNITY TRANSITION PROGRAM OFFENDERS RECEIVED

Community Transition Program (CTP) is the assignment by a court from the Department of Correction (DOC) to a community corrections program. The offender may be placed on CTP for 60 to 180 days, depending on the offender's highest convicted offense, in order to complete the offender's prison sentence in their county of residence. This early transition from prison provides structure, supervision, and support for the offender to encourage successful reentry to our community. Offenders assigned to CTP are generally placed on community corrections supervision, typically CASP Levels 2-5 (Home Detention). Some are also accepted into the Reentry Court. Data regarding CTP participants are also reported in the programs assigned.

Only felony offenders may be sent to the DOC, thus the highest level of offense for each offender participating in CTP will be a felony. There were 13 individual offenders and 17 supervisions on CTP supervision in 2018.

OFFENSE TYPES FOR ADULT COMMUNITY TRANSITION PROGRAM SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some offenders placed on Community Transition Program (CTP) supervision are convicted of or charged with more than one offense. The table and chart below illustrate the types of offenses for which an offender was placed on CTP supervision. All are felony offenses.

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Weapon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Violent/Person | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Drug | 4 | 10 | 12 | 10 | 9 |
| Property | 9 | 6 | 7 | 17 | 5 |
| Other | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 17 | 19 | 24 | 31 | 17 |

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

ADULT COMMUNITY TRANSITION PROGRAM SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

Offenders completing the adult Community Transition Program (CTP) could have been discharged from multiple supervisions in multiple cases and each case could have a different type of discharge depending on the final disposition given by a court. There were 15 felony supervisions closed in 2018 and all but two (2) were closed successfully.

COMMUNITY SERVICE INDIVIDUALS REFERRED


The Community Service Program is comprised of Public Restitution and Road Crew. Public Restitution participants are assigned to a local non-profit agency to complete the community service hours required by a court or another approved agreement.

Prior to the program's end August 11, 2018, the Road Crew Program operated five days per week. Until the program ended, individuals referred to community service from the Monroe County Prosecutor's pretrial diversion program completed their community service hours on Road Crew.

The chart below shows the number of individuals referred for community service (Public Restitution and Road Crew) in 2018. Individuals may have been referred multiple times or in multiple cases.

| | INDIVIDUALS REFERRED | | | | | REFERRALS RECEIVED | | | | |
|--------------|----------------------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| Misdemeanor | 998 | 978 | 832 | 723 | 536 | 1,085 | 1,045 | 895 | 786 | 562 |
| Felony | 216 | 214 | 229 | 204 | 184 | 249 | 264 | 281 | 241 | 215 |
| TOTAL | 1,214 | 1,192 | 1,061 | 927 | 720 | 1,334 | 1,309 | 1,176 | 1,027 | 777 |

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR COMMUNITY SERVICE REFERRALS RECEIVED BY GENDER AND AGE



OFFENSE TYPES FOR COMMUNITY SERVICE REFERRALS RECEIVED

Some individuals are convicted of or charged with more than one offense.

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Weapon | 2 | 43 | 18 | 18 | 10 |
| Violent/Person | 397 | 445 | 356 | 424 | 224 |
| Drug | 721 | 833 | 534 | 783 | 498 |
| Property | 219 | 271 | 173 | 209 | 141 |
| Other | 249 | 315 | 244 | 323 | 225 |
| TOTAL | 1,588 | 1,907 | 1,325 | 1,757 | 1,098 |

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix

COMMUNITY SERVICE REFERRALS CLOSED

Individuals may have been discharged from multiple community service referrals in multiple cases.

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|
| Misdemeanor | 1,071 | 970 | 911 | 633 | 562 |
| Felony | 217 | 272 | 245 | 227 | 206 |
| TOTAL | 1,288 | 1,242 | 1,156 | 860 | 768 |

COMMUNITY SERVICE HOURS ASSESSED AND COMPLETED

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Hours Assessed | 34,872 | 28,075 | 26,496 | 22,486 | 20,059 |
| Hours Completed | 20,845 | 16,298 | 16,019 | 13,043 | 10,417 |

COMMUNITY SERVICE HOURS COMPLETION DETAILS

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Local Non-profit Organizations | 10,588 | 7,387 | 7,648 | 6,318 | 5,610 |
| Local Government Entities | 7,437 | 5,901 | 4,996 | 3,867 | 2,650 |
| Indiana University – Bloomington | 1,511 | 954 | 1,420 | 1,009 | 792 |
| Other Agencies | 1,309 | 2,056 | 1,955 | 1,849 | 1,365 |
| TOTAL | 20,845 | 16,298 | 16,019 | 13,043 | 10,417 |

DRUG TESTING

The Community Corrections Program operates the departmental Drug Testing Program. Currently, the Department employs three methods of testing for substances in the body: urine, saliva, and breath.

The most frequent method of testing is through Portable Breath Tests (PBT) that tests only for the presence of alcohol. To test for the presence of substances in addition to alcohol, the Department utilizes various methods to test urine and saliva. Because testing urine provides an extended window of time for detecting substances in a person's body, it is used more frequently than saliva. The Department utilizes 'instant' tests along with lab testing for the most frequently abused substances. Probation officers also have the discretion to request enhanced testing for substances not routinely tested for in the regular panels provided.

In 2018 the Department completed 41,297 portable breath tests, 3,259 instant drug tests, 1,643 saliva tests, and 9,160 lab drug tests. This includes tests in some civil cases where a party may be ordered by the Court to complete drug testing. The tables below show the substance testing by supervision areas within the department. Individuals tested could be counted in more than one category, for example a person could be in a problem solving court and on a community corrections supervision level at the same time.

DRUG TEST TYPES CONDUCTED BY MAJOR SUPERVISION AREAS

| | Juvenile Probation | Adult Probation / Community Corrections | Problem Solving Courts | TOTALS* |
|---------------|--------------------|---|---------------------------|---------|
| Urine Instant | 7 | 1,299 | 3,117 | 3,259 |
| Urine Lab | 120 | 6,157 | 3,933 | 9,160 |
| Saliva Lab | 19 | 1,079 | 840 | 1,643 |
| TOTAL | 146 | 8,535 | 7,890 | 14,062 |

*Total column represents the number of tests conducted in the department. The total column does not equal the total by major supervision area as individuals tested could be counted in more than one category.

PORTABLE BREATH TESTS (PBT) FOR ALCOHOL

| | Juvenile Probation | Adult Probation / Community Corrections | Problem Solving Courts | TOTALS* |
|--------------|--------------------|---|---------------------------|---------------|
| Negative | 147 | 28,745 | 20,469 | 41,256 |
| Positive | 0 | 41 | 0 | 41 |
| TOTAL | 147 | 28,786 | 20,469 | 41,297 |


*Total column represents the number of tests conducted in the department. The total column does not equal the total by major supervision area as individuals tested could be counted in more than one category.

NEGATIVE AND POSITIVE DRUG TESTS BY MAJOR SUPERVISION AREA

| | Juvenile Probation | Adult Probation / Community Corrections | Problem Solving Courts | TOTAL* |
|--------------|--------------------|---|---------------------------|---------------|
| Negative | 77 | 5,821 | 7,517 | 10,966 |
| Positive | 69 | 2,714 | 373 | 3,096 |
| TOTAL | 146 | 8,535 | 7,890 | 14,062 |

*Total column represents the number of tests conducted in the department. The total column does not equal the total by major supervision area as individuals tested could be counted in more than one category.

PERCENTAGE OF NEGATIVE AND POSITIVE DRUG TESTS BY MAJOR SUPERVISION AREA




NEGATIVE AND POSITIVE DRUG TESTS BY PROBLEM SOLVING COURT

| | Drug Treatment Court | Reentry Court | Veterans Court | Mental Health Court |
|--------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Negative | 4,099 (94%) | 2,078 (97%) | 952 (99%) | 340 (95%) |
| Positive | 268 (6%) | 60 (3%) | 13 (1%) | 18 (5%) |
| TOTAL | 4,367 | 2,138 | 965 | 358 |


PERCENTAGE OF DRUGS DETECTED IN LAB CONFIRMED POSITIVE TESTS BY MAJOR SUPERVISION AREA

The charts below represents the percentage of drugs detected in the positive drug tests for each supervision level. Positive test samples may have been positive for more than one substance.


JUVENILE PROBATION




ADULT PROBATION/ COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS



PROBLEM SOLVING COURTS



DEPARTMENT TOTAL



**PERCENTAGE OF DRUGS DETECTED IN LAB CONFIRMED
POSITIVE TESTS BY PROBLEM SOLVING COURT**

| | Drug Treatment Court | Reentry Court | Veterans Court | Mental Health Court |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| Marijuana | 19.4% | 9.2% | 6.7% | 26.3% |
| Amphetamine/Methamphetamine | 31.6% | 66.2% | 6.7% | 5.3% |
| Alcohol | 2.8% | 6.2% | 20% | 15.8% |
| Opiates | 36.8% | 9.2% | 20% | 26.3% |
| Benzodiazepines | 6.6% | 0% | 40% | 21.1% |
| Cocaine | 0.3% | 1.5% | 0% | 0% |
| Synthetic Marijuana | 0% | 4.6% | 6.7% | 0% |
| Other | 2.4% | 3.1% | 0% | 5.3% |

PROBLEM SOLVING COURT PROGRAM

Problem solving courts began in the 1990s to accommodate individuals with specific needs and problems that were not or could not be adequately addressed in traditional courts. Problem solving courts seek to promote outcomes that will benefit not only the offender, but the victim and society as well.

Among the ways problem solving courts differ from regular courts are focus, collaboration, and judicial involvement. For example, a problem solving court typically has a team of individuals including a judge, prosecutor, public defender, probation, law enforcement, and treatment providers who routinely collaborate on each case throughout the duration the offender is involved as a participant. The team discusses many issues regarding each case and works to reduce barriers to an offender's success.

The Monroe Circuit Court developed a drug treatment court in 1999 as the county's first problem solving court. The drug treatment court has been certified by the Indiana Office of Court Services (IOCS) as a problem solving court.

The drug treatment court is organized around the [10 Key Components of Drug Courts](#) which research has shown provide the basic elements that define drug courts. The program is a minimum of two years and involves the following components:

- A plea of guilty to a felony offense with no agreement to sentencing should the offender fail to successfully complete drug court. Should the offender complete drug court successfully, the charges are dismissed or reduced.
- Program participants must attend weekly court/status hearings as directed by the Problem Solving Court Program Team.
- Participants are required to obtain and maintain appropriate employment for the duration of the program.
- Participants will be required to complete high school/GED/TASC or vocational training if they have no apparent marketable job skills.
- Participants are required to submit to frequent random drug/alcohol tests.
- Participants must complete substance abuse treatment and any additional counseling/programming that is deemed necessary by the treatment provider.
- Participants must pay all program fees, drug test costs, and treatment costs associated with completion of this program.

Program participants must have one year of documented sobriety in order to be eligible for successful program completion.

The Problem Solving Court (PSC) Program added three (3) new program components:

- 2014 - **Reentry Court Program (RECP)** was implemented. The majority of RECP participants served time with the Indiana Department of Correction immediately prior to beginning the program. RECP applies many of the key components of drug courts to promote positive behavior change and aid in reintegration to the community.
- 2015 - **Mental Health Court (MHC)** was implemented. MHC addresses the unique needs of people diagnosed with a serious mental illness who are involved in the criminal justice system.
- 2016 - **Veterans Treatment Court (VTC)** was implemented. A grant was obtained from the Indiana Office of Court Services to begin the program. The VTC is a district court that can accept participants from Monroe, Owen, and Lawrence Counties.

In 2018, IOCS conducted a site audit of the PSC Program and re-certified the Drug Treatment Court Program and granted initial certification to all three of the new PSC components - Reentry, Veterans, and Mental Health courts - effective March 2018 for three years.

MONROE COUNTY PROBLEM SOLVING COURT PROGRAM INFORMATION

- The local Drug Treatment Court Program started in November 1999.
- During the 10-year Anniversary celebration in December 2009, the program became 1 of only 10 of the over 2,300 Drug Courts in the nation to receive the Community Transformation award from the National Association of Drug Court Professionals for “tireless efforts to foster community transformation through reducing drug addiction and crime, restoring hope and reuniting families.”
- As of December 31, 2018, **391** participants have completed the Drug Court Program; **20** have completed Reentry Court; **3** have completed Veterans Treatment Court; and **11** have completed Mental Health Court.
- Graduation rate of **62% for Drug Court** compared to national average of close to **52%**.
- As of December 31, 2018, **119** participants currently enrolled in all the four (4) Problem Solving Court Program components.
- **65** drug free babies born to Problem Solving Court Program participants (all four program components combined, from November 1999 through year-end 2018).
- In 2018, of all drug tests completed on Problem Solving Court Program participants, **only 2.5% positive drug tests** compared to about 32% positive drug test rate for “traditional” adult probation in Monroe County.

RECIDIVISM DATA FOR MONROE COUNTY DRUG TREATMENT COURT


- In 2006, the Drug Treatment Court Program participated in a state-wide outcome evaluation and cost benefit analysis research project conducted by Northwest Professional Consortium (NPC) of Portland Oregon.
- This outcome study found that the Monroe County Drug Treatment Court (DTC) Program **reduces recidivism by 67%** and saves taxpayers money.
- NPC research showed that recidivism rate for DTC participants (including dropouts) was **17%** while the rate for the comparison group was **33%**.
- DTC participants (regardless of graduation status) were found to be **half as likely to have had any arrests** in the 2-year follow-up period relative to the comparison group.
- DTC graduates had an even lower recidivism rate of **11%**.

DRUG TREATMENT COURT OFFENDERS RECEIVED

The chart below shows the number of individual offenders placed on drug treatment court supervision in 2018. Offenders may be placed on drug treatment court supervision more than once or in more than one case.

| | INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED | | | | | SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED | | | | |
|--------------|----------------------|------|------|------|-----------|-----------------------|------|------|------|-----------|
| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| Misdemeanor | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 31 | 18 | 15 | 23 |
| Felony | 41 | 58 | 42 | 32 | 42 | 64 | 94 | 87 | 49 | 76 |
| TOTAL | 41 | 58 | 42 | 32 | 43 | 79 | 125 | 105 | 64 | 99 |

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR DRUG TREATMENT COURT SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED BY GENDER AND AGE




OFFENSE TYPES FOR DRUG TREATMENT COURT SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some offenders placed on drug treatment court supervision are convicted of or charged with more than one offense. The table below illustrates the types of offenses for which an offender was placed on drug treatment court supervision.

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|----------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Weapon | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Violent/Person | 37 | 44 | 30 | 11 | 11 |
| Drug | 50 | 127 | 82 | 28 | 44 |
| Property | 74 | 101 | 105 | 31 | 29 |
| Other | 25 | 42 | 38 | 7 | 22 |
| TOTAL | 186 | 315 | 256 | 77 | 106 |

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

MISDEMEANOR AND FELONY OFFENSE TYPES FOR DRUG TREATMENT COURT SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED




DRUG TREATMENT COURT SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

The following represents the number of drug treatment court supervisions closed in 2018 by the type of discharge. Offenders could have been discharged from multiple supervisions in multiple cases and each case could have a different type of discharge depending on the final disposition given by a court.

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|--------------|------|------|------|------|-------------|
| Misdemeanor | 25 | 22 | 16 | 23 | 17 |
| Felony | 74 | 62 | 68 | 95 | 66 |
| TOTAL | 99 | 84 | 84 | 118 | 83 |

TOTAL DRUG TREATMENT COURT SUPERVISIONS CLOSED



REENTRY COURT OFFENDERS RECEIVED

The chart below shows the number of individual offenders placed on Reentry Court supervision in 2018. If an offender was placed on Reentry Court more than once or in more than one case, the offender is categorized by the highest level of convicted offense.

| | INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED | | | | | SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED | | | | |
|--------------|----------------------|------|------|------|------|-----------------------|------|------|------|------|
| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| Misdemeanor | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Felony | 3 | 15 | 19 | 13 | 20 | 4 | 18 | 25 | 20 | 30 |
| TOTAL | 3 | 15 | 20 | 13 | 20 | 4 | 18 | 26 | 20 | 31 |

REENTRY COURT SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

The table and chart below indicates the number of Reentry Court supervisions received in 2018.

| Age | Male | | Female | |
|--------------|--------|-------------|--------|-------------|
| | Felony | Misdemeanor | Felony | Misdemeanor |
| 20-29 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 30-39 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 40-49 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 50-59 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 25 | 0 | 5 | 1 |

OFFENSE TYPES FOR REENTRY COURT SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some offenders placed on Re-entry Court supervision are convicted of or charged with more than one offense. The table below illustrate the types of offenses for which an offender was placed on Reentry Court supervision in 2018.

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Weapon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Violent/Person | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Drug | 4 | 14 | 17 | 9 | 13 |
| Property | 6 | 4 | 7 | 18 | 14 |
| Other | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 2 |
| TOTAL | 10 | 20 | 32 | 33 | 33 |

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

REENTRY COURT SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

There were 25 reentry court supervisions closed in 2018. Ten (10) were closed successfully, 13 were unsuccessful, and two (2) were closed as a result of death.

MENTAL HEALTH COURT OFFENDERS RECEIVED

The chart below shows the number of individual offenders placed on Mental Health Court supervision in 2018. If an offender was placed on Mental Health Court more than once or in more than one case, the offender is categorized by the highest level of convicted offense.

| | INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED | | | | SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED | | | |
|--------------|----------------------|------|------|------|-----------------------|------|------|------|
| | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| Misdemeanor | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Felony | 24 | 6 | 4 | 9 | 30 | 7 | 6 | 14 |
| TOTAL | 25 | 7 | 4 | 9 | 37 | 8 | 6 | 17 |

MENTAL HEALTH COURT SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

The table and chart below indicates the number of Mental Health Court supervisions received in 2018.

| Age | Male | | Female | |
|--------------|--------|-------------|--------|-------------|
| | Felony | Misdemeanor | Felony | Misdemeanor |
| 20-29 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 30-39 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 2 |
| 40-49 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 5 | 0 | 9 | 3 |

OFFENSE TYPES FOR MENTAL HEALTH COURT SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some offenders placed on Mental Health Court supervision are convicted of or charged with more than one offense. The table below illustrate the types of offenses for which an offender was placed on Mental Health Court supervision in 2018.

| | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|
| Weapon | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Violent/Person | 14 | 10 | 6 | 5 |
| Drug | 16 | 6 | 0 | 1 |
| Property | 18 | 3 | 0 | 7 |
| Other | 14 | 11 | 3 | 6 |
| TOTAL | 63 | 30 | 9 | 19 |

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

MENTAL HEALTH COURT SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

There were 11 mental health court supervisions closed in 2018. One (1) was closed successfully, five (5) withdrew, four (4) were closed unsuccessfully, and one (1) was closed as a result of death.

VETERANS COURT OFFENDERS RECEIVED

The chart below shows the number of individual offenders placed on Veterans Court supervision in 2018. If an offender was placed on Veterans Court more than once or in more than one case, the offender is categorized by the highest level of convicted offense.

| | INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED | | | SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED | | |
|--------------|----------------------|------|----------|-----------------------|------|-----------|
| | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
| Misdemeanor | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 |
| Felony | 4 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| TOTAL | 4 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 11 | 10 |

VETERANS COURT SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

The table and chart below indicates the number of Veterans Court supervisions received in 2018.

| Age | Male | | Female | |
|--------------|--------|-------------|--------|-------------|
| | Felony | Misdemeanor | Felony | Misdemeanor |
| 20-29 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 30-39 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 40-49 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

OFFENSE TYPES FOR VETERANS COURT SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some offenders placed on Veterans Court supervision are convicted of or charged with more than one offense. The table below illustrate the types of offenses for which an offender was placed on Veterans Court supervision in 2018.

| | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|----------------|------|------|-----------|
| Weapon | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Violent/Person | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Drug | 5 | 7 | 7 |
| Property | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Other | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 11 | 12 | 12 |

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

VETERANS COURT SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

There were 8 (eight) veterans court supervisions closed in 2018. Four (4) were closed successfully and four (4) were closed unsuccessfully.

INDIANA RISK ASSESSMENT SYSTEM AND ADULT PROGRAM REFERRALS

In 2010, the Judicial Conference of Indiana adopted policies that required all probation departments in the state to use a newly adopted risk assessment system for adult offenders in the criminal justice system. In 2011, all appropriate adult risk tools were fully integrated into departmental practices.

The adult risk assessment instrument is called the Indiana Risk Assessment System (IRAS). The IRAS is the risk assessment system made up of five (5) instruments to be used at specific points in the criminal justice process to identify an adult participant’s risk to reoffend and criminogenic needs, and assist with developing an individualized case management plan. [NOTE: Criminogenic needs are attributes of offenders that are directly linked to criminal behavior. Effective correctional treatment should target criminogenic needs in the development of a comprehensive case plan. Any treatment not targeting criminogenic needs is counter-productive to efficiency and effectiveness.]

Community Supervision Screening Tool - designed to quickly identify low risk offenders and determine if a full risk assessment should be completed.

Community Supervision Tool - designed to assess an offender’s risk to reoffend and identify criminogenic needs to assess in making decisions regarding community supervision.

Pretrial Tool - designed to assess an offender’s risk for failure to appear and risk to reoffender while on pretrial supervision.

Prison Intake Tool - designed to assess an offender’s risk to reoffend and identify criminogenic needs to assist in making decisions regarding services.

Static Tool - designed to assess an offender’s risk to reoffend based solely on static factors.


Supplemental Reentry Tool - designed to reassess an offender’s risk to reoffend prior to an offender’s release from prison.

The following table represents IRAS assessments completed by the type of tool used by the Department and the percentage of adults risking at each level. More than one risk assessment could have been completed on an adult during the time a case is open and depending upon the status of each case.

2018 IRAS ASSESSMENTS COMPLETED

| | Assessments Completed | Percentage at Overall Risk Level | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------|-----|
| | | High | Moderate | Low |
| Community Supervision Screening Tool | 740 | 43% | | 57% |
| Community Supervision Tool | 1522 | 36% | 39% | 25% |
| Pretrial Tool | 2275 | 15% | 43% | 42% |
| Static Tool | 2 | 50% | 50% | 0% |
| Supplemental Reentry Tool | 6 | 33% | 67% | 0% |

Adults placed on post-sentence supervision are assessed using the Community Supervision Tool. This tool provides a risk level in each of the seven life domains the tool reports. After the completion of the tool, case plans are formulated to address an offender’s risk and needs in order to reduce the likelihood the adult will reoffend and/or violate the terms of his/her supervision. The following chart represents the number and percentage of assessments scoring in each of the risk levels – high, moderate, and low for the Community Supervision Tool.



PROGRAMS REFERRED TO & DOMAINS THESE PROGRAMS ADDRESS

| Program | Domain(s) Addressed | Referrals Made |
|--|------------------------|----------------|
| Anger Management Counseling | 7 | 18 |
| Batterers / Domestic Violence Group | 3, 7 | 41 |
| Case Management Services | 2, 3, 4, 7 | 39 |
| Change Companies / Carey Guides | 3, 5, 6, 7 | 68 |
| Community Support Services and Treatment (Mental Health) | 3, 5, 6, 7 | 32 |
| Counseling (General Individual) | 7 | 103 |
| Dual Diagnosis / Co-occurring Treatment | 5, 7 | 20 |
| Employment (Classes, Coaching, and/or Obtaining) | 2 | 54 |
| Health / Dental / Vision (Insurance and Care) | 2 | 19 |
| High School Equivalency and Other Education Programs | 2 | 22 |
| House, Food, Legal, Financial Services and Assistance | 2, 3, 4 | 2 |
| Impaired Driving Impact Panel | 5 | 3 |
| Moral Reconciliation Therapy (MRT) | 6, 7 | 97 |
| New Beginnings – Jail Program & Aftercare | 5 | 133 |
| Parenting Classes | 3 | 16 |
| PRIME for Life | 5 | 80 |
| Psychiatric Assessment (Medication Evaluation) | 7 | 13 |
| Psychological Assessment | 7 | 184 |
| Recovery Coach | 5 | 112 |
| Residential – Halfway House (Substance Use) | 5 | 84 |
| Sex Offender Assessment and Treatment | 7 | 15 |
| STEP – Shoplifting Theft Education Program | 7 | 8 |
| Substance Use Education Programs | 5 | 14 |
| Substance Use Evaluation | 5 | 705 |
| Substance Use Medication Assisted Treatment | 5 | 161 |
| Substance Use Treatment (Groups and Aftercare) | 5 | 398 |
| Substance Use Treatment (Individual) | 5 | 109 |
| Substance Use Treatment (Inpatient) | 5 | 26 |
| Substance Use Treatment (Transferred Out) | 5 | 113 |
| Support / Self Help Groups | 5, 7 | 49 |
| Thinking for a Change | 6, 7 | 1 |
| Veterans Administration Services | 2, 3, 4 | 10 |

SUPPORT DIVISION

The Support Division provides service that is vital to the efficient functioning of the department. Support staff members provide receptionist services, bookkeeping, cashiering, filing, data entry, and numerous other functions.

Support staff is typically the first contact for clients and the public. In this role, support staff members serve a unique function of setting the tone for how clients and the public will be served by the department. In recognition of this unique position, support staff members participate in departmental training to enhance positive experiences for those with whom we come into contact. Because of this unique position within the Department – serving as the first contact with the office – starting in 2016, support staff members are now trained in evidence based practices (EBP) and Effective Practices in Community Supervision (EPICS).

Because the probation department's offices occupy two separate locations, the Curry Building and the Community Corrections office, support staff functions must be highly coordinated in order to effectively serve both locations. The primary location of the majority of the probation department functions is the Curry Building, directly adjacent to the Justice Building. The Community Corrections office is located at 405 West 7th Street in Bloomington.

The Community Corrections office has been in operation at the location above since 1995. The Community Corrections support staff consists of an office manager, receptionist, and part-time probation officer assistants. With such a small support staff, all Community Corrections staff members are cross-trained to substitute for absent support staff when needed.

The Curry Building support staff consists of an office administrator, an administrative assistant, a bookkeeper/cashier, adult probation secretary, juvenile probation secretary, and receptionist.

The Curry Building support staff also includes part-time probation officer assistant positions. These staff members assist with managing “walk-in” traffic from court. These staff members also perform data entry functions that assist both the Curry Building support staff and the Community Corrections support staff.

Most misdemeanor offenders and Level 6 felons are sentenced by the court without presentence investigation reports. These sentenced offenders report to the Department for their first contact immediately after sentencing. These “walk-in” probationers are dealt with first and foremost by support staff members who obtain demographic information, create physical and electronic client files, and provide basic information to these “walk ins.” In 2018, there were 797 “walk-ins” processed by support staff.

In addition to “walk-ins”, the support staff coordinate criminal records checks requested by departmental staff. The tables below indicate the type of requests made, the total requests made each month, and the average days in takes to receive the results of the records checks.

| | 2018 Total Requests |
|--------------|----------------------------|
| Criminal | 3,293 |
| Expungement | 0 |
| Employment | 266 |
| TOTAL | 3,559 |

| | Total Requests | Average Days to Return |
|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| January | 222 | 3.5 |
| February | 223 | 3.5 |
| March | 311 | 3.5 |
| April | 280 | 3 |
| May | 275 | 4 |
| June | 306 | 2.5 |
| July | 246 | 2.5 |
| August | 310 | 4 |
| September | 242 | 3.5 |
| October | 338 | 4.5 |
| November | 290 | 4 |
| December | 270 | 5 |
| TOTAL / AVERAGE | 3,313 | 3.62 |

OTHER PROBATION PROGRAMS, TRAINING, & COMMITTEES

INTERN PROGRAM

The department continues to operate an internship program in cooperation with Indiana University (IU) and other colleges and universities from around the state of Indiana. Although these internships are unpaid, the students receive college credit. The department has supervised student interns from various departments at IU including Criminal Justice, School of Social Work, School of Public and Environmental Affairs, and general studies. In 2018, the department supervised three (3) student interns who each contributed a minimum of 150 volunteer hours.

STAFF TRAINING

The Judicial Conference of Indiana mandates that certified probation officers complete a minimum of 12 hours of continuing education per year, with six (6) of these hours related to evidence based practices. Court Alcohol and Drug Program staff must complete a minimum of 12 continuing education hours each year, 10 of which must be specific to drug/alcohol/mental health issues. Probation officers assigned to problem solving courts are required to complete a minimum of 20 hours of continuing education each year.

In 2018, the Probation Department partnered with the Monroe County Youth Service Bureau for training purposes. The Youth Services Bureau (YSB) is supervised by the Monroe Circuit Court. YSB offered to include probation staff members in their trainings where space permits. YSB trainings that probation staff may attend include: Red Cross training (CPR, AED, and first aid); Implicit Bias;

During 2018, the following trainings were provided to staff:

- 2018 Justice Services Annual Conference
- 2018 Probation Officer Professional Association of Indiana Management Institute
- 2018 Probation Officer Professional Association Fall Training Conference
- 2018 Indiana Association of Community Corrections Agencies
- 2018 Indiana Coalition of Court Alcohol and Drug Services Annual Training
- Probation Officer Academy
- National Association of Drug Court Professionals Annual Conference
- Court Alcohol and Drug Programs Staff Orientation
- Assessment and Interviewing
- Substance Abuse Characteristics
- Effective Practices In Corrections, Skill Building
- Effective Practices In Corrections, Coaching
- Effective Practices In Corrections, Booster Sessions
- Monroe County Bench Bar Conference
- Indiana Risk Assessment System Booster Session
- Mental Health and Criminal Justice Summit
- Effective Risk Reductions Practices
- On the Job Threats, Managing Fear, and Panic Responses
- Interstate Commission of Adult Offender Supervision
- Indiana Risk Assessment System (IRAS/IYAS)
- Battle mind to Home Summit
- BI Electronic Monitoring Equipment

- Drugs and Youth
- Effective Use of Teams in Probation and Parole
- Access and Treatment for Adolescent Marijuana Abuse and Dependence
- DOC Bookkeeping
- Evidence Based Practices, Train the Trainor Course
- DOC Credit Time and Classification
- Mental Health First Aid
- Recognizing Mental Disorders and Illness
- Emotional Intelligence for Law Enforcement
- Co-Occurring Substance Use and Mental Health Disorders
- Mental Health Conference
- Naloxone-Narcan
- Abstinence Based Cognitive Behavior Approach
- Indiana Recovery Month Symposium
- Managing Multiple Priorities, Projects, and Deadlines
- Prevention Research Institute, PRIME for Life Updates
- Fundamentals of Toxicology Testing
- Adult First Aid/CPR/AED
- The Intersection of Trauma and Poverty
- Essential Elements of Effective Pretrial System
- New Approach to the Supervision of Domestic Violence Offenders
- 2018 JDAI Inter-Site Conference
- South Central Opioid Summit
- Officer Survival For Probation and Parole Tactical Mindset
- Annual Susan Li Conference
- Understanding the Brain and Behavior
- 2018 Indiana Correctional Justice Association Southern Regional Conference
- Ending Violence Together
- Advanced Supervision Strategies
- Read, Recognize, and Respond
- Officer Survival
- Indiana Risk Assessment System Recertification
- Childhood Domestic Violence Training
- Adolescent Brain Development
- Monroe County Childhood Conditions Summit
- JDAI Annual Coordinator's Conference
- Implicit Bias Training
- OCAT (Pepper Spray Trainer Certification)
- 2017 Midwest Regional Network with Interventions with Sex Offenders
- Carey Guides and Bits User Training
- Criminal Manipulation & Field Officer Safety (sponsored by IACCAC)
- Administration of Naloxone / Narcan (Opioid Overdose Reversal Drug)
- LGBTQ+ Issues / PRISM.
- Developing Emotional Intelligence
- The Art of Conflict Resolution
- Field Officer Safety/Criminal Manipulation
- Effective Communication
- The Conference for Women
- Excel 2013

FUN COMMITTEE

The Fun Committee was formed in 2006 to coordinate departmental in-service trainings and other activities for the department throughout each year. The Fun Committee organized several activities and celebrations in 2018. The committee organized the annual departmental in-service which was held on May 4, 2018.

As part of the nationwide Probation and Parole Officer Appreciation week in July, the Fun Committee organized several activities during the week including a departmental corn-hole tournament.

GREEN COMMITTEE

In 2010, the Green Committee was created in response to employee efforts to promote recycling at both departmental offices. In 2018 the committee continued to implement recycling procedures for separating plastic, glass, aluminum, paper, and battery refuse.

EVIDENCE BASED PRACTICES ORGANIZATION REPORT

The National Institute of Corrections defines evidence-based practice (EBP) as the objective, balanced, and responsible use of current research and the best available data to guide policy and practice decisions, such that outcomes for consumers are improved. Used originally in the health care and social science fields, evidence-based practice focuses on approaches demonstrated to be effective through empirical research rather than through anecdote or professional experience alone.

The Probation Department began utilizing evidence-based practices (EBP) in 1998. Research has shown that when probation, parole, and community corrections programs are evidence-based organizations, they are more likely to be successful in reducing recidivism. However, using evidence-based programs and practices alone does not make an organization an “evidence-based organization.” The Indiana Department of Correction (DOC) requires that all programs receiving DOC grant funding shall use such funds to support an *evidence-based practices organization* as described in *Mark Carey's “Building and Sustaining an EBP Organization”* audit tool. The Community Corrections division of the Department is audited by the DOC with this audit tool to determine if the organization is utilizing programs and conducting business according to policies and procedures that could be demonstrated by research to be effective in reducing offender recidivism.

EBP organizations must do such things as: complete validated risk assessments on all offenders; train staff to effectively communicate with offenders (motivational interviewing, finding what motivates the individual offender); offer a continuum of programming especially cognitive behavioral programs which research validates are successful in reducing risk of recidivism; and measure effectiveness of programming/practices through continuous quality improvement (CQI).

Although the DOC audits only the Community Corrections division of the Department, the Chief Probation Officer decided that all units, divisions, and staff members of the department will participate in the shift to an EBP organization.

Summary of departmental 2018 EBP Organization Accomplishments:

- **Supervisor Work Group** – Developed and implemented a differential supervision policy and procedure for adult and juvenile supervisions. With this plan, higher risk offenders are supervised at an enhanced level by a probation officer who has a smaller caseload to devote to this high-needs caseload. At the same time, lower risk offenders are supervised at a lesser level by probation officers who have larger caseloads (low risk offenders take less time to supervise than higher risk offenders).
- **Assessment Committee** – Met monthly throughout 2018. The committee reviewed ancillary assessment tools utilized to screen risk and/or prevalence for areas such as mental health and domestic violence.
- **EPICS Skills Video Library** – In 2018, all Probation Officers recorded a video of themselves working through an EPICS skill with a client once per month. The videos were then uploaded into a shared video library accessible to all Probation employees.
- **EPICS Video Reviews** – Probation officers started submitting one video per month for review and peer coaches worked with select probation officers to provide timely feedback. Every probation officer received feedback a minimum of four times per year from peer coaches in 2018.

- **EPICS/Case Planning Boosters** – Two peer coaches and the CQI supervisor hosted these boosters for all probation employees to practice EPICS skills and have discussion about what a meaningful client interaction should look like.
- **Indiana Risk Assessment System (IRAS) Boosters** – Adult Probation Officers each attended one (1) booster in 2018 during which they viewed and scored an IRAS assessment interview for the purposes of checking for scoring fidelity among the department.
- **Participation on State Evidence-Based Decision Making (EBDM) Committees** – Several supervisors participated on state committees and were able to benefit from NIC technical assistance regarding national EBDM practices.
- **Audit/Evaluating New Treatment Providers in the Community** – Resulted in signed referral agreements with the treatment providers to enhance communication and cooperation regarding mutual clients/probationers.

PROBATION

APPENDIX

STATE STATISTICAL REPORTS SUBMITTED FOR 2018

YEAR END STATISTICS JUVENILE PROBATION REPORT

| | |
|---|--|
| COUNTY: Monroe COURT(S): Juvenile COURT I.D. NUMBERS: 53C07 | THIS REPORT COVERS THE PERIOD FROM: 01-01-18 TO: 12-31-18 |
|---|--|

| PART I (A) REFERRALS | Non-Status Delinquents | Status Delinquents | Other (Specify) | Total |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------|
| A. Referrals Previously Pending | 40 | 19 | 0 | 59 |
| B. New Referrals | 303 | 224 | 0 | 527 |
| C. Total Referrals before Probation Department (A & B) | 343 | 243 | 0 | 586 |

| PART I (B) DISPOSITION OF REFERRALS | Non-Status Delinquents | Status Delinquents | Other (Specify) | Total |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------|
| D. Preliminary Inquiry with Recommendation to File Petition | 62 | 19 | 0 | 81 |
| E. Preliminary Inquiry with Recommendation to File Petition and Refer for Dual Status Assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| F. Preliminary Inquiry with Recommendation for Informal Adjustment | 23 | 18 | 0 | 41 |
| G. Preliminary Inquiry with Recommendation for Informal Adjustment and Refer for Dual Status Assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| H. Preliminary Inquiry with Recommendation to Refer Another Agency/County | 83 | 59 | 0 | 142 |
| I. Preliminary Inquiry with Recommendation to Dismiss | 15 | 19 | 0 | 34 |
| J. Preliminary Inquiry with Recommendation for Waiver | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| I. Other Disposition of Referral: No Action/No Further Action | 149 | 112 | 0 | 261 |
| J. Total Referrals Disposed (Add Lines D through I) | 332 | 227 | 0 | 559 |
| K. Referrals Pending (line C minus line J) | 11 | 16 | 0 | 27 |

PART II: SUPERVISIONS

| | Post Adjudication | | Informal Adjustment | | Intra-State Accepted | Inter-State Accepted | Other (Specify) | Total Supervisions |
|--|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| | Non-Status Delinquents | Status Delinquents | Non-Status Delinquent | Status Delinquent | | | | |
| A. Supervisions Previously Pending | 31 | 2 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| B. Supervisions Received | 16 | 5 | 16 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 54 |
| C. Supervisions Re-Opened | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| D. Total Supervisions Before You (Add Line A through C) | 62 | 8 | 26 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 121 |

PART III: CLOSED AND INACTIVE SUPERVISIONS

| | Post Adjudication | | Informal Adjustment | | Intra-State Accepted | Inter-State Accepted | Other (Specify) | Total Supervisions |
|--|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| | Non-Status Delinquents | Status Delinquents | Non-Status Delinquent | Status Delinquent | | | | |
| E. Discharged (Closed Supervision) | 28 | 3 | 21 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 71 |
| F. Modified & Committed Corrections Facility (DOC) (Technical Violation) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| G. Modified & Committed to Correctional Facility (DOC) (New Offense) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| H. Other Closed Supervision | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| I. Removed from Supervision Because of New Offense | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| J. Absconded | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| K. Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| L. Total Closed / Inactive Supervisions | 36 | 3 | 21 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 79 |
| M. Supervisions Pending | 26 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 42 |

PART IV: STATUS OF SUPERVISIONS

| | Post Adjudication | | Informal Adjustment | | Intra-State Accepted | Inter-State Accepted | Other (Specify) | Total Supervisions |
|--|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| | Non-Status Delinquents | Status Delinquents | Non-Status Delinquent | Status Delinquent | | | | |
| N. Standard Supervision (Probation) | 16 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| O. Modified & Placed in an In-State Residential Facility (Technical Violation) | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| P. Modified & Placed in an In-State Residential Facility (New Offense) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Q. Modified & Placed in an Out-of-State Residential Facility (Technical Violation) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| R. Modified & Placed in an Out-of-State Residential Facility (new Offense) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| S. Placed in Community Transition Program (Actively Providing Services) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| T. Intrastate Transferred Out | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U. Interstate Transferred Out | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| V. Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| W. Total Supervised (should equal line M) | 26 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 42 |

Note – The above report represents data submitted to the State of Indiana and differs slightly in the data reported elsewhere in the annual report due to collection methods and dates in time when the data were calculated.

**YEAR END STATISTICS
ADULT MISDEMEANOR PROBATION REPORT**

| | |
|--|-------------------------------|
| COUNTY: Monroe | THIS REPORT COVERS THE PERIOD |
| COURT(S): Adult | FROM: 01-01-18 TO: 12-31-18 |
| COURT I.D. NUMBERS: 53C02, 53C03, 53C05, 53C09 | |

PART I – SUPERVISIONS

| | Pre-Trial Supervision | Post-sentence Supervision | Split Sentence Supervisions | Inter-State Accepted | Intra-State Accepted | Supervision In Lieu of Prosecution | Judgment Withheld | Other (Specify) | Total Supervisions |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| A. Supervisions Previously Pending | 41 | 722 | 3 | 2 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 835 |
| B. New Supervisions Received | 290 | 502 | 3 | 1 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 892 |
| C. Supervisions Re-Opened | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 |
| D. Total Supervised Cases Before You (Add Lines A & C) | 396 | 1,224 | 6 | 3 | 143 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 1,792 |

PART II – CLOSED AND INACTIVE SUPERVISIONS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-----|---|---|----|---|---|----|-------|
| E. Discharged (Completed Probation) | 187 | 563 | 4 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 790 |
| F. Revoked Because of New Offense | 29 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 |
| G. Revoked for Technical Violation | 56 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 95 |
| H. Absconded and/or Warrant Active | 35 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
| I. Other Closed / Inactive Supervisions | 23 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 88 |
| J. Subtotal Closed / Inactive Supervisions (Add Lines E through I) | 330 | 645 | 0 | 1 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 1,083 |
| K. Supervisions Pending (Line D Minus Line J) | 66 | 579 | 2 | 2 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 709 |

PART III – STATUS ON PENDING SUPERVISIONS

| | Pre-Trial Supervision | Post-sentence Supervision | Split Sentence Supervisions | Inter-State Accepted | Intra-State Accepted | Supervision In Lieu of Prosecution | Judgment Withheld | Other (Specify) | Total Supervisions |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| L. Under Supervision | 66 | 517 | 1 | 2 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 646 |
| M. Intra-State Transferred Out | 0 | 61 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
| N. Inter-State Transferred Out | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| O. Other Supervisions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| P. Total (Equals Line K) | 66 | 579 | 2 | 2 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 709 |

Note – The above report represents data submitted to the State of Indiana and differs slightly in the data reported elsewhere in the annual report due to collection methods and dates in time when the data were calculated.

*In 2017, the Indiana Office of Court Services required Drug Court Supervision be reduced to zero at the end of the year as these supervisions are counted in the submitted Problem Solving Court statistics.

**YEAR END STATISTICS
ADULT FELONY PROBATION REPORT**

| | |
|---|--|
| COUNTY: Monroe COURT(S): Adult COURT I.D. NUMBERS: 53C02, 53C03, 53C05, 53C09 | THIS REPORT COVERS THE PERIOD FROM: 01-01-18 TO: 12-31-18 |
|---|--|

PART I – SUPERVISIONS

| | Pre-Trial Supervision | Post-sentence Supervision | Split Sentence Supervisions | Inter-State Accepted | Intra-State Accepted | Supervision In Lieu of Prosecution | Judgment Withheld | Other (Specify) | Total Supervisions |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| A. Supervisions Previously Pending | 157 | 643 | 252 | 25 | 74 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 1,177 |
| B. New Supervisions Received | 991 | 392 | 77 | 7 | 112 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 1,606 |
| C. Supervisions Re-Opened | 170 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 178 |
| D. Total Supervised Cases Before You (Add Lines A & C) | 1,318 | 1,036 | 330 | 32 | 187 | 0 | 1 | 57 | 2,961 |

PART II – CLOSED AND INACTIVE SUPERVISIONS

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-----|-----|----|----|---|---|----|-------|
| E. Discharged (Completed Probation) | 636 | 246 | 77 | 6 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 1,041 |
| F. Revoked Because of New Offense | 81 | 52 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 |
| G. Revoked for Technical Violation | 154 | 39 | 15 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 215 |
| H. Absconded and/or Warrant Active | 66 | 34 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 111 |
| I. Other Closed / Inactive Supervisions | 176 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 239 |
| J. Subtotal Closed / Inactive Supervisions (Add Lines E through I) | 1,113 | 372 | 112 | 12 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 1,744 |
| K. Supervisions Pending (Line D Minus Line J) | 205 | 664 | 218 | 20 | 99 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 1,217 |

PART III – STATUS ON PENDING SUPERVISIONS

| | Pre-Trial Supervision | Post-sentence Supervision | Split Sentence Supervisions | Inter-State Accepted | Intra-State Accepted | Supervision In Lieu of Prosecution | Judgment Withheld | Other (Specify) | Total Supervisions |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| L. Under Supervision | 205 | 596 | 180 | 20 | 98 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 1,110 |
| M. Intra-State Transferred Out | 0 | 53 | 31 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 |
| N. Inter-State Transferred Out | 0 | 15 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| O. Other Supervisions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| P. Total (Equals Line K) | 205 | 664 | 218 | 20 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,217 |

Note – The above report represents data submitted to the State of Indiana and differs slightly in the data reported elsewhere in the annual report due to collection methods and dates in time when the data were calculated.

*In 2017, the Indiana Office of Court Services required Drug Court Supervision be reduced to zero at the end of the year as these supervisions are counted in the submitted Problem Solving Court statistics.

COURT ALCOHOL AND DRUG PROGRAM DATA REPORT

Note – The report represents data submitted to the State of Indiana and differs slightly in the data reported elsewhere in the annual report due to collection methods and dates in time when the data was calculated. Items with zeros are not reported.

1. **Reporting Period:** January 1, 2018 to December 31, 2018

2. **Race**

- A. 3 – American Indian or Alaskan Native
- B. 12 – Asian
- C. 68 – Black or African-American
- D. 20 – Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish
- E. 35 – Multiracial
- F. 2 – Not Specified
- G. 3 – Other Race
- H. 566 – White

3. **Gender**

- A. 177 – Female
- B. 530 – Male

4. **Age**

- A. 110 – 18-21
- B. 161 – 22-25
- C. 105 – 26-30
- D. 87 – 31-35
- E. 71 – 36-40
- F. 56 – 41-45
- G. 34 – 46-50
- H. 39 – 51-55
- I. 25 – 56-60
- J. 14 – 61-65
- K. 5 – 66 and above

5. **Income (Status at Intake)**

- A. 313 – Unknown
- B. 112 – Less than \$10,000
- C. 40 – \$10,000 - \$14,999
- D. 86 – \$15,000 - \$24,999
- E. 53 – \$25,000 - \$34,999
- F. 58 – \$35,000 - \$49,999
- G. 30 – \$50,000 - \$74,999
- H. 15 – \$75,000 or more

6. **Education (Status at Intake)**

- A. 127 – Less than High School
- B. 211 – High School Diploma / GED
- C. 30 – Trade / Technical School
- D. 210 – Some College
- E. 129 – College Graduate

COURT ALCOHOL AND DRUG PROGRAM DATA REPORT (continued)

7. Employment (Status at Intake)

- A. 298 – Full-time Employment
- B. 102 – Part-time Employment
- C. 207 – Unemployed
- D. 45 – Disabled
- E. 12 – Retired
- F. 42 – Student
- G. 1 – Not Specified

8. Referral

- A. 48 – Basic Substance Abuse Education
- B. 3 – Cognitive Behavioral Therapy
- C. 19 – Self-help
- D. 341 – Substance Abuse Treatment Evaluation
- E. 12 – Substance Abuse Treatment – Aftercare
- F. 64 – Substance Abuse Treatment – Group Outpatient Counseling
- G. 37 – Substance Abuse Treatment – Individual Outpatient Counseling
- H. 4 – Substance Abuse Treatment – Inpatient
- I. 68 – Transferred Out
- J. 2 – Other: Impaired Driving Impact Panel
- K. 15 – Other: Recovery Coach

9. Compliance / Disposition

- A. 21 – Absconded / FTA
- B. 67 – Closed Interest
- C. 8 – Deceased
- D. 504 – Successfully Completed
- E. 259 – Terminated Unsuccessful / Revoked

10. Risk Assessment

- A. 342 – Low
- B. 145 – Moderate
- C. 159 – High
- D. 21 – Very High

11. Charge

- A. Class A Felony
 - 1 – Offenses relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48
- B. Class B Felony
 - a. 2 – Offenses against the person under IC 35-42
 - b. 1 – Offenses involving a motor vehicle under IC 9
 - c. 1 – Offenses against property under IC 35-43
- C. Class C Felony
 - a. 1 – Offenses against property under IC 35-43
 - b. 1 – Offenses relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48
 - c. 1 – Offense against the person under IC-35-42
 - d. 1 - Miscellaneous offenses under IC 35-46

COURT ALCOHOL AND DRUG PROGRAM DATA REPORT

(continued)

- D. Class D Felony**
 - a. 1 – Offenses against property under IC 35-43
 - b. 1 – Offense against the person under IC-35-42
 - c. 2 – Offenses involving a motor vehicle under IC 9
 - d. 3 – Offenses relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48
- E. Level 2 Felony**
 - 6 – Offenses relating to controlled substances under 35-48
- F. Level 3 Felony**
 - a. 6 – Offenses against person under IC35-42
 - b. 8 – Offenses relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48
- G. Level 4 Felony**
 - a. 4 – Offenses against property under IC 35-43
 - b. 8 – Offenses relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48
 - c. 1 – Offense relating to regulations of weapons and instruments of violence under IC 35-47
- H. Level 5 Felony**
 - a. 5 - Offenses against the property under IC 35-43
 - b. 3 – Offenses against the person under IC 35-42
 - c. 1 – Offenses involving a motor vehicle under IC 9
 - d. 32 – Offenses relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48
 - e. 2 – Offense relating to regulations of weapons and instruments of violence under IC 35-47
- I. Level 6 Felony**
 - a. 4 – Offenses against general public administration under IC 35-44.1
 - b. 9 – Offenses against property under IC 35-43
 - c. 7 – Offenses against public health, order and decency under IC 35-45
 - d. 10 – Offenses against the person under IC 35-42
 - e. 83 – Offenses involving a motor vehicle under IC 9
 - f. 83 – Offenses relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48
 - g. 1 – Offense relating to regulations of weapons and instruments of violence under IC 35-47
 - h. 2 – Other: Failure to Register as a Sex or Violent Offender IC11-8-8-17(a)(1)
- J. Class A Misdemeanor**
 - a. 2 – Miscellaneous offenses under IC 35-46
 - b. 2 – Offenses against general public administration under IC 35-44.1
 - c. 1 – Offenses against property under IC 35-43
 - d. 1 – Offenses against public health, order and decency under IC 35-45
 - e. 7 – Offenses against the person under IC 35-42
 - f. 183 – Offenses involving a motor vehicle under IC 9
 - g. 22 – Offenses relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48
 - h. 1 – Offense relating to regulations of weapons and instruments of violence under IC 35-47
- K. Class B Misdemeanor**
 - a. 5 – Offenses against public health, order and decency under IC 35-45
 - b. 1 – Offenses involving a motor vehicle under IC 9
 - c. 18 – Offenses involving alcohol under IC 7.1
 - d. 27 – Offenses relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48
- L. Class C Misdemeanor**
 - a. 121 – Offenses involving a motor vehicle under IC 9
 - b. 5 – Offenses involving alcohol under IC 7.1
 - c. 7 – Offenses relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48

PROBLEM SOLVING COURTS PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Note – The report represents data submitted to the State of Indiana and differs slightly in the data reported elsewhere in the annual report due to collection methods and dates in time when the data was calculated.

| | Drug Treatment Court | Reentry Court | Mental Health Court | Veterans Court |
|---|----------------------|---------------|---------------------|----------------|
| Abstinence and Use | | | | |
| A. Number of chemical tests administered | 15,419 | 7,957 | 1,623 | 3,628 |
| B. Number of chemical tests administered with a positive test result | 277 | 79 | 17 | 21 |
| C. Number of chemical tests administered with dilute results | 17 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| D. Number of chemical tests administered in each of the following categories | | | | |
| 1. Breath | 11,071 | 5,528 | 1,275 | 2,659 |
| 2. Saliva | 512 | 196 | 25 | 109 |
| 3. Urine | 3,839 | 1,933 | 324 | 860 |
| E. Participant substance use during the reporting period | | | | |
| 1. Number of participants who tested positive | 54 | 26 | 7 | 11 |
| 2. Number of participants with dilute test results | 12 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 3. Number of times participants tested positive for each of the following substances | | | | |
| a. Alcohol | 8 | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| b. Amphetamines | 78 | 40 | 0 | 1 |
| c. Benzodiazepines | 8 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| d. Crack / Cocaine | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| e. Heroin | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| f. Marijuana | 36 | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| g. Methamphetamines | 100 | 41 | 1 | 1 |
| h. Prescription Opioids | 115 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| i. Synthetic Substances | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| j. Other | 12 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Accountability and Social Functioning | | | | |
| A. Restitution | | | | |
| 1. Number of participants with outstanding restitution orders | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. Number of participants who paid any amount (partial or total payments) of restitution | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. Child Support | | | | |
| 1. Number of participants with active child support orders | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2. Number of participants who paid any amount of child support | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

| | Drug Treatment Court | Reentry Court | Mental Health Court | Veterans Court |
|--|----------------------|---------------|---------------------|----------------|
| C. Employment | | | | |
| 1. Number of participants who went from unemployed to employed | 16 | 7 | 3 | 3 |
| 2. Total number of participants employed | | | | |
| a. Employed full time | 75 | 34 | 1 | 11 |
| b. Employed part-time (working less than 30 hours per week) | 20 | 6 | 4 | 3 |
| D. Education | | | | |
| 1. Number of participants enrolled in each of the following programs | | | | |
| a. High School Equivalency | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b. College | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2. Number of participants who completed each of the following programs | | | | |
| a. College | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Demographics | | | | |
| A. Sex | | | | |
| 1. Female | 37 | 7 | 6 | 2 |
| 2. Male | 70 | 39 | 11 | 16 |
| B. Race | | | | |
| 1. White | 97 | 39 | 13 | 15 |
| 2. Black or African American | 4 | 6 | 4 | 3 |
| 3. American Indian or Alaska Native | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Other | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5. Two or more races | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| C. Ethnicity | | | | |
| 1. Of Hispanic, Latino or Spanish origin | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. Not of Hispanic, Latino or Spanish origin | 104 | 46 | 17 | 18 |
| D. Age at Intake | | | | |
| 1. 18-21 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. 22-25 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| 3. 26-30 | 20 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| 4. 31-35 | 21 | 7 | 6 | 5 |
| 5. 36-40 | 21 | 16 | 1 | 1 |
| 6. 41-45 | 11 | 9 | 3 | 2 |
| 7. 46-50 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 8. 51-55 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 9. 56-60 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

| | Drug Treatment Court | Reentry Court | Mental Health Court | Veterans Court |
|--|----------------------|---------------|---------------------|----------------|
| 10. 61-65 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11. 66 and above | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| E. Military status - number of participants reporting current or past military service | 4 | 1 | 0 | 18 |
| F. Current offense - number of participants admitted with the most serious offense | | | | |
| 1. Level 2 Felony | | | | |
| a. Relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. Class B Felony | | | | |
| a. Against property under IC 35-43 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| b. Relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| b. Involving a motor vehicle under IC 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Level 3 Felony | | | | |
| a. Against the person under IC 35-42 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| b. Against property under IC 35-43 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| c. Against general public administration under IC 35-44.1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| d. Relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. Level 4 Felony | | | | |
| a. Against property under IC 35-43 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| b. Relating to regulation of weapons under IC 35-47 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| c. Relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 5. Class C Felony | | | | |
| a. Against property under IC 35-43 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| b. Relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| c. Other | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 6. Level 5 Felony | | | | |
| a. Against the person under IC 35-42 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| b. Against property under IC 35-43 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| c. Against general public administration under IC 35-44.1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| d. Against public health, order and decency under IC 35-45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| e. Relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 7. Class D Felony | | | | |
| a. Against property under IC 35-43 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| b. Relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| c. Involving a motor vehicle under IC 9 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| d. Other | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | Drug Treatment Court | Reentry Court | Mental Health Court | Veterans Court |
|--|----------------------|---------------|---------------------|----------------|
| 8. Level 6 Felony | | | | |
| a. Against the person under IC 35-42 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| b. Against property under IC 35-43 | 16 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| c. Against general public administration under IC 35-44.1 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| d. Against public health, order and decency under IC 35-45 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| e. Miscellaneous offenses under IC 35-46 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| f. Relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| g. Involving a motor vehicle under IC 9 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| h. Possession of hypodermic syringe or needle under IC 16-42-19-18 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 9. Class A Misdemeanor | | | | |
| a. Against general public administration under IC 35-44.1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| b. Relating to regulation of weapons under IC 35-47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| c. Involving a motor vehicle under IC 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| G. Treatment history - number of participants admitted reporting past or current treatment | | | | |
| 1. Mental health disorder | 6 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| 2. Substance dependence | 32 | 7 | 3 | 2 |
| 3. Dual diagnosis | 7 | 2 | 14 | 2 |
| H. Diagnosis history - number of participants with a diagnosis | | | | |
| 1. Schizophrenia | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 2. Bi-polar disorder | 5 | 2 | 5 | 0 |
| 3. Major depressive disorder | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 4. Substance dependence | 28 | 0 | 5 | 2 |
| 5. Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| I. Treatment Referrals - number of participants referred for the following services | | | | |
| 1. Substance abuse treatment | 56 | 7 | 14 | 2 |
| 2. Mental health treatment | 10 | 0 | 14 | 0 |
| J. Risk Assessment | | | | |
| 1. Number of participants scored at intake using the IRAS | | | | |
| a. Low | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| b. Moderate | 11 | 6 | 0 | 2 |
| c. High | 25 | 8 | 7 | 2 |
| d. Very High | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 2. Number of participants scored at discharge during the IRAS | | | | |
| a. Low | 2 | 6 | 0 | 2 |

| | Drug Treatment Court | Reentry Court | Mental Health Court | Veterans Court |
|---|----------------------|---------------|---------------------|----------------|
| b. Moderate | 4 | 8 | 0 | 3 |
| c. High | 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| d. Very High | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 3. Number of participants assessed using each of the following tools | | | | |
| a. IRAS Community Supervision Tool | 47 | 39 | 29 | 23 |
| K. Drug(s) of choice | | | | |
| 1. Alcohol | 37 | 5 | 3 | 13 |
| 2. Benzodiazepines | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 3. Crack/Cocaine | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 4. Heroin | 18 | 7 | 0 | 1 |
| 5. Marijuana | 10 | 1 | 5 | 0 |
| 6. Methamphetamines | 39 | 13 | 7 | 2 |
| 7. Prescription opioids | 6 | 7 | 1 | 0 |
| 8. Synthetic substances | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 9. Other | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| L. Program participant status | | | | |
| 1. Admitted | 37 | 15 | 8 | 6 |
| 2. Graduated | 21 | 9 | 2 | 3 |
| 3. Terminated | 17 | 9 | 2 | 4 |
| 4. Withdrawn | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| 5. Deceased | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 6. Active | 68 | 26 | 9 | 11 |
| M. Legal status of participants at the time of admission | | | | |
| 1. Judgment of conviction withheld pending successful completion | 107 | 46 | 17 | 18 |
| N. Legal status of participants - cumulative (total) number of participants | | | | |
| 1. Judgment of conviction withheld pending successful completion | 107 | 46 | 17 | 18 |
| O. Incarceration history - reported only on reentry court participants | | | | |
| 1. Number of participants completing programs while incarcerated | | | | |
| a. Substance abuse services | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| b. Education or vocation programming | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| c. Cognitive-behavioral programming | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. Number of participants representing the length of incarceration | | | | |
| a. 0-1 year | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| b. more than 1 year and up to and including 2 years | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 |

| | Drug Treatment Court | Reentry Court | Mental Health Court | Veterans Court |
|--|----------------------|---------------|---------------------|----------------|
| c. more than 2 years and up to and including 6 years | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Number of participants representing their number of prior executed sentences | | | | |
| a. 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| b. 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| c. 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| d. 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| e. 4 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| f. 5 or more | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| Graduation Rate | | | | |
| A. The percentage of participants who graduated from the problem-solving court | 60% | 25% | 30% | 38% |
| Recidivism | | | | |
| A. During participation, the number charged with a new felony or misdemeanor | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B. Number of former participants charged with a new local felony or misdemeanor | | | | |
| 1. Graduated participants | | | | |
| a. Level 6 felony | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| b. Class A misdemeanor | 7 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| c. Class C misdemeanor | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. Terminated participants | | | | |
| a. Level 3 felony | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| b. Level 5 felony | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| c. Level 6 felony | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| d. Class A misdemeanor | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| e. Class B misdemeanor | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3. Withdrawn participants | | | | |
| a. Level 3 felony | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b. Level 6 felony | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| c. Class B misdemeanor | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retention Rate | | | | |
| A. The percentage graduated or are still active (active + graduated / total number admitted) | 64% | 53% | 43% | 74% |
| Time Adults Spent Incarcerated | | | | |
| A. Number of participants incarcerated as a sanction/punitive response to non-compliance | | | | |
| 1. Number of adult participants | 61 | 29 | 10 | 10 |
| 2. Number of jail days | 1,502 | 762 | 921 | 253 |

JUVENILE DAILY POPULATIONS SECURE DETENTION DAILY POPULATION

| | January | February | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | October | November | December |
|-------|---------|----------|-------|-------|-----|------|------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|----------|
| 1 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 2 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 3 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| 7 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 10 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 11 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 12 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 13 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 14 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 15 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 16 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 17 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| 18 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| 19 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| 20 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 21 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| 22 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| 23 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| 24 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| 25 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| 26 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| 27 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| 28 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 29 | 8 | - | 4 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 30 | 8 | - | 4 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 31 | 6 | - | 4 | - | 4 | - | 4 | 1 | - | 2 | - | 1 |
| Total | 160 | 124 | 122 | 102 | 112 | 75 | 60 | 76 | 25 | 44 | 58 | 44 |

***2018 average daily detention population = 2.75**

SHELTER CARE DAILY POPULATION

| | January | February | March | April | May | June | July | August | September | October | November | December |
|-------|---------|----------|-------|-------|-----|------|------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|----------|
| 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 12 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 13 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 14 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 15 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 16 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 17 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 18 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 19 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 20 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 21 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 22 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 23 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 24 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 25 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 26 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 27 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 28 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 29 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 30 | 3 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 31 | 4 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| Total | 36 | 63 | 69 | 34 | 40 | 60 | 46 | 26 | 42 | 53 | 38 | 16 |

*2018 average daily shelter population = 1.43

LIST OF OFFENSES FOR SUPERVISIONS AND PROGRAMS

JUVENILE OFFENSES FOR REFERRALS AND SUPERVISIONS

| | Juvenile Referrals | Juvenile Supervisions |
|--|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Armed Robbery (Felony) | 2 | 0 |
| Assisting a Criminal (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 1 |
| Auto Theft (Felony) | 5 | 0 |
| Battery (Misdemeanor) | 40 | 3 |
| Battery Against a Police Officer (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 |
| Battery Against a Public Safety Official (Felony) | 1 | 0 |
| Battery by Bodily Waste (Felony) | 2 | 0 |
| Battery by Means of a Deadly Weapon (Felony) | 1 | 0 |
| Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury (Misdemeanor) | 5 | 1 |
| Burglary (Felony) | 6 | 1 |
| Carrying a Handgun without a License (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 |
| Child Molesting (Felony) | 4 | 2 |
| Conversion (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 7 |
| Criminal Confinement (Felony) | 5 | 1 |
| Criminal Mischief (Misdemeanor) | 19 | 0 |
| Criminal Recklessness (Misdemeanor) | 2 | 0 |
| Criminal Trespass (Misdemeanor) | 10 | 1 |
| Curfew Violation (Status) | 8 | 0 |
| Dealing in Marijuana (Felony) | 1 | 0 |
| Dealing in Marijuana (Misdemeanor) | 2 | 2 |
| Disorderly Conduct (Misdemeanor) | 3 | 14 |
| Dissemination of Matter Harmful to Minors | 2 | 0 |
| Domestic Battery (Felony) | 3 | 0 |
| Domestic Battery (Misdemeanor) | 39 | 0 |
| Domestic Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury to a Pregnant Woman (Felony) | 2 | 0 |
| Domestic Battery Resulting in Moderate Bodily Injury | 1 | 0 |
| Escape (Felony) | 8 | 0 |
| False Identity Statement (Misdemeanor) | 3 | 0 |
| False Informing (Misdemeanor) | 2 | 0 |
| Fraud (Felony) | 1 | 0 |
| Habitual Disobedience of Parent, Guardian, or Custodian (Status) | 17 | 1 |
| Harassment (Misdemeanor) | 2 | 0 |
| Illegal Consumption of an Alcoholic Beverage (Misdemeanor) | 26 | 1 |
| Illegal Possession of an Alcoholic Beverage (Misdemeanor) | 2 | 0 |
| Interference with the Reporting of a Crime (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 |

| | Juvenile Referrals | Juvenile Supervisions |
|--|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Intimidation (Felony) | 15 | 1 |
| Intimidation (Misdemeanor) | 10 | 2 |
| Leaving Home without Permission of Parent, Guardian, or Custodian (Status) | 151 | 13 |
| Leaving the Scene of an Accident (Misdemeanor) | 4 | 0 |
| Maintaining a Common Nuisance (Felony) | 1 | 0 |
| Operating a Motor Vehicle without ever Receiving a License (Misdemeanor) | 7 | 0 |
| Operating a Motor Vehicle without Financial Responsibility (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 |
| Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated (Misdemeanor) | 3 | 0 |
| Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person (Misdemeanor) | 2 | 0 |
| Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .15 or More (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 |
| Possession of a Controlled Substance (Misdemeanor) | 4 | 4 |
| Possession of a Knife on School Property (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 |
| Possession of Cocaine (Felony) | 0 | 1 |
| Possession of Firearm on School Property (Felony) | 1 | 0 |
| Possession of Marijuana (Misdemeanor) | 27 | 9 |
| Possession of Methamphetamine (Felony) | 3 | 0 |
| Possession of Paraphernalia (Misdemeanor) | 15 | 1 |
| Public Intoxication (Misdemeanor) | 2 | 2 |
| Public Nudity (Misdemeanor) | 2 | 0 |
| Rape (Felony) | 5 | 0 |
| Reckless Driving (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 |
| Refusal to Identify Self (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 |
| Residential Entry (Felony) | 1 | 0 |
| Resisting Law Enforcement (Felony) | 3 | 0 |
| Resisting Law Enforcement (Misdemeanor) | 23 | 0 |
| Robbery (Felony) | 3 | 1 |
| Robbery Resulting in Bodily Injury (Felony) | 1 | 0 |
| Sexual Battery (Felony) | 10 | 0 |
| Theft (Felony) | 5 | 1 |
| Theft (Misdemeanor) | 82 | 2 |
| Truancy (Status) | 82 | 14 |
| Unauthorized Entry of a Motor Vehicle (Misdemeanor) | 30 | 1 |
| Unlawful Possession of a Syringe (Felony) | 1 | 0 |
| Unlawful Possession or Use of a Legend Drug (Felony) | 2 | 0 |
| Unlawful Use of 911 Service (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 |
| Visiting a Common Nuisance (Misdemeanor) | 4 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 732 | 88 |

ADULT PROBATION AND COURT ALCOHOL & DRUG PROGRAM SUPERVISION OFFENSES

| | Adult Probation Supervisions | Court Alcohol & Drug Program Supervisions |
|--|---------------------------------|--|
| Aggravated Battery (Felony) | 3 | 1 |
| Alteration of Handgun Identifying Marks (Felony) | 1 | 1 |
| Armed Robbery (Felony) | 6 | 3 |
| Arson (Felony) | 2 | 0 |
| Assisting a Criminal (Felony) | 2 | 0 |
| Assisting a Criminal (Misdemeanor) | 2 | 0 |
| Auto Theft (Felony) | 21 | 5 |
| Battery (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 |
| Battery Against a Public Safety Official (Felony) | 4 | 0 |
| Battery by Bodily Waste (Felony) | 2 | 0 |
| Battery by Bodily Waste (Misdemeanor) | 2 | 2 |
| Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury (Misdemeanor) | 24 | 3 |
| Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury to a Public Safety Officer (Felony) | 1 | 1 |
| Battery Resulting in Injury to a Pregnant Woman (Felony) | 1 | 0 |
| Battery Resulting in Injury to a Public Safety Official (Felony) | 2 | 0 |
| Battery Resulting in Moderate Bodily Injury (Felony) | 10 | 2 |
| Burglary (Felony) | 31 | 8 |
| Carrying a Handgun without a License (Felony) | 1 | 0 |
| Carrying a Handgun without a License (Misdemeanor) | 8 | 2 |
| Check Deception (Felony) | 3 | 0 |
| Check Deception (Misdemeanor) | 3 | 0 |
| Child Molesting (Felony) | 2 | 0 |
| Contributing to the Delinquency of a Minor (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 1 |
| Conversion (Misdemeanor) | 5 | 0 |
| Corrupt Business Influence (Felony) | 1 | 1 |
| Counterfeiting (Felony) | 5 | 0 |
| Criminal Confinement (Felony) | 4 | 2 |
| Criminal Deviate Conduct (Felony) | 2 | 2 |
| Criminal Mischief (Misdemeanor) | 15 | 0 |
| Criminal Recklessness (Felony) | 6 | 1 |
| Criminal Recklessness (Misdemeanor) | 3 | 1 |
| Criminal Trespass (Misdemeanor) | 10 | 3 |
| Cruelty to an Animal (Misdemeanor) | 3 | 0 |
| Dealing in a Lookalike Substance (Felony) | 1 | 1 |
| Dealing in a Narcotic Drug (Felony) | 19 | 19 |
| Dealing in a Sawed-off Shotgun (Felony) | 1 | 1 |

| | Adult Probation Supervisions | Court Alcohol & Drug Program Supervisions |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| Dealing in a Schedule I Controlled Substance (Felony) | 10 | 10 |
| Dealing in a Schedule II Controlled Substance (Felony) | 4 | 4 |
| Dealing in a Schedule IV Controlled Substance (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 1 |
| Dealing in a Synthetic Drug or Synthetic Drug Lookalike Substance (Felony) | 1 | 1 |
| Dealing in a Synthetic Drug or Synthetic Drug Lookalike Substance (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 1 |
| Dealing in Cocaine (Felony) | 12 | 11 |
| Dealing in Cocaine or Narcotic Drug (Felony) | 1 | 1 |
| Dealing in Marijuana (Felony) | 8 | 8 |
| Dealing in Marijuana (Misdemeanor) | 9 | 7 |
| Dealing in Methamphetamine (Felony) | 18 | 17 |
| Disorderly Conduct (Misdemeanor) | 19 | 2 |
| Domestic Battery (Felony) | 14 | 1 |
| Domestic Battery (Misdemeanor) | 17 | 6 |
| Domestic Battery Resulting in Moderate Bodily Injury (Felony) | 1 | 0 |
| Driving while Suspended (Misdemeanor) | 9 | 3 |
| Escape (Felony) | 3 | 0 |
| Failure to Appear (Felony) | 1 | 0 |
| Failure to Register as a Sex or Violent Offender (Felony) | 5 | 2 |
| Failure to Remain at the Scene of an Accident (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 |
| Failure to Return to Lawful Detention (Felony) | 2 | 0 |
| False Informing (Misdemeanor) | 4 | 0 |
| Felon Carrying a Handgun (Felony) | 1 | 1 |
| Forgery (Felony) | 14 | 0 |
| Fraud (Felony) | 21 | 0 |
| Fraud on a Financial Institution (Felony) | 2 | 0 |
| Furnishing Alcohol to a Minor (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 1 |
| Harassment (Misdemeanor) | 2 | 1 |
| Identity Deception (Felony) | 5 | 0 |
| Illegal Consumption of an Alcoholic Beverage (Misdemeanor) | 5 | 5 |
| Indecent Exposure (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 |
| Institutional Criminal Mischief (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 |
| Interference with the Reporting of a Crime (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 |
| Intimidation (Felony) | 12 | 4 |
| Intimidation (Misdemeanor) | 3 | 1 |
| Invasion of Privacy (Felony) | 1 | 0 |
| Invasion of Privacy (Misdemeanor) | 9 | 2 |
| Leaving the Scene of an Accident (Felony) | 1 | 0 |
| Leaving the Scene of an Accident (Misdemeanor) | 16 | 2 |
| Leaving the Scene of an Accident with Serious Bodily Injury (Felony) | 1 | 0 |

| | Adult Probation Supervisions | Court Alcohol & Drug Program Supervisions |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| Maintaining a Common Nuisance (Felony) | 8 | 6 |
| Maintaining a Common Nuisance – Controlled Substances (Felony) | 3 | 2 |
| Maintaining a Common Nuisance for Legend Drugs (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 1 |
| Making an Unlawful Proposition (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 |
| Neglect of a Dependent (Felony) | 5 | 0 |
| Neglect of a Dependent Resulting in Serious Bodily Injury (Felony) | 1 | 0 |
| Nonsupport of a Dependent Child (Felony) | 6 | 1 |
| Obtaining a Controlled Substance by Fraud or Deceit (Felony) | 1 | 1 |
| Obtaining or Attempting to Obtain Legend Drugs by Forgery or Alteration (Felony) | 1 | 1 |
| Obtaining or Attempting to Obtain Legend Drugs by Fraud (Felony) | 1 | 1 |
| Operating a Motor Vehicle after Forfeiture of License for Life (Felony) | 3 | 1 |
| Operating a Motor Vehicle without ever Receiving a License (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 |
| Operating a Motorboat while Intoxicated (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 1 |
| Operating a Vehicle as a Habitual Traffic Violator (Felony) | 14 | 7 |
| Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated (Felony) | 23 | 21 |
| Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated (Misdemeanor) | 29 | 28 |
| Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person (Felony) | 21 | 19 |
| Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person (Misdemeanor) | 162 | 155 |
| Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person with a Passenger Less than 18 Year of Age (Felony) | 5 | 4 |
| Operating a Vehicle with a Schedule I or II Controlled Substance or its Metabolite in the Body (Misdemeanor) | 5 | 4 |
| Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .08 or More (Felony) | 4 | 3 |
| Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .08 or More (Misdemeanor) | 46 | 46 |
| Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .15 or More (Felony) | 11 | 10 |
| Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .15 or More (Misdemeanor) | 37 | 34 |
| Pointing a Firearm (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 |
| Possession of a Controlled Substance (Misdemeanor) | 16 | 15 |
| Possession of a Narcotic Drug (Felony) | 18 | 13 |
| Possession of a Synthetic Drug or Synthetic Drug Lookalike Substance (Felony) | 3 | 3 |
| Possession of a Synthetic Drug or Synthetic Drug Lookalike Substance (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 1 |
| Possession of Altered Handgun (Felony) | 2 | 0 |
| Possession of Chemical Reagents or Precursors with Intent to Manufacture a Controlled Substance (Felony) | 1 | 1 |
| Possession of Child Pornography (Felony) | 5 | 5 |
| Possession of Cocaine (Felony) | 8 | 7 |
| Possession of Marijuana (Felony) | 3 | 3 |
| Possession of Marijuana (Misdemeanor) | 34 | 31 |
| Possession of Methamphetamine (Felony) | 57 | 47 |
| Possession of Paraphernalia (Misdemeanor) | 15 | 15 |

| | Adult Probation Supervisions | Court Alcohol & Drug Program Supervisions |
|--|------------------------------|---|
| Presenting False Evidence of Majority or Identity (Misdemeanor) | 2 | 1 |
| Promoting Prostitution (Felony) | 1 | 0 |
| Public Indecency (Misdemeanor) | 2 | 0 |
| Public Intoxication (Misdemeanor) | 18 | 17 |
| Rape (Felony) | 1 | 1 |
| Receiving Stolen Auto Parts (Felony) | 1 | 0 |
| Reckless Driving (Misdemeanor) | 55 | 43 |
| Reckless Operation of a Vehicle in Highway Work Zone (Felony) | 1 | 1 |
| Residential Entry (Felony) | 17 | 1 |
| Resisting Law Enforcement (Felony) | 10 | 2 |
| Resisting Law Enforcement (Misdemeanor) | 32 | 8 |
| Robbery (Felony) | 6 | 1 |
| Robbery Resulting in Bodily Injury (Felony) | 2 | 1 |
| Sexual Misconduct with a Minor (Felony) | 2 | 0 |
| Strangulation (Felony) | 6 | 2 |
| Theft (Felony) | 92 | 4 |
| Theft (Misdemeanor) | 51 | 2 |
| Theft of a Firearm (Felony) | 1 | 0 |
| Trafficking with an Inmate (Felony) | 2 | 0 |
| Trafficking with an Inmate (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 |
| Unauthorized Entry of a Motor Vehicle (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 |
| Unlawful Possession of a Firearm by a Serious Violent Felon (Felony) | 4 | 1 |
| Unlawful Possession of Syringe (Felony) | 14 | 4 |
| Unlawful Possession or Use of a Legend Drug (Felony) | 6 | 6 |
| Unlawful Use of Body Armor (Felony) | 1 | 0 |
| Visiting a Common Nuisance (Misdemeanor) | 3 | 2 |
| Visiting a Common Nuisance – Controlled Substances (Misdemeanor) | 2 | 2 |
| Voyeurism (Felony) | 1 | 1 |
| Welfare Fraud (Felony) | 1 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 1,336 | 739 |

**CASP LEVELS 1-12 AND JUVENILE HOME DETENTION
SUPERVISION OFFENSES**

| | CASP Level 1 (Work Release) | CASP Levels 2-5 (Electronic Monitoring) | CASP Levels 6, 8, 9 (Curfew/Exclusion Monitoring) | CASP Level 7 (Alcohol Detection) | CASP Level 10 (Day Reporting) | CASP Levels 11-12 (Pretrial Supervision) |
|--|--------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Aggravated Battery (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Aggressive Driving (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Alteration of Handgun Identifying Marks (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Armed Robbery (Felony) | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 10 |
| Arson (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Assisting a Criminal (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| Assisting a Criminal (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Attempted Murder (Felony) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Auto Theft (Felony) | 0 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 22 | 62 |
| Battery (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 10 |
| Battery Against a Disabled Person (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Battery Against a Public Safety Official (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 24 |
| Battery by Bodily Waste (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 |
| Battery by Means of a Deadly Weapon (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Battery on a Person Less than 14 Years Old (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 34 |
| Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury to a Person Less than 14 Years of Age (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury to a Public Safety Officer (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 |
| Battery Resulting in Death of a Person Less than 14 Years of Age (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Battery Resulting in Injury to a Pregnant Woman (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Battery Resulting in Injury to a Public Safety Official (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Battery Resulting in Moderate Bodily Injury (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 8 |
| Battery Resulting in Serious Bodily Injury (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| Burglary (Felony) | 1 | 22 | 3 | 1 | 28 | 69 |
| Carrying a Handgun without a License (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Carrying a Handgun without a License (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 16 |
| Causing Death when Operating a Motor Vehicle While Intoxicated (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Causing Death when Operating a Motor Vehicle with a Schedule I or II Substance in Blood (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |

| | CASP Level 1 (Work Release) | CASP Levels 2-5 (Electronic Monitoring) | CASP Levels 6, 8, 9 (Curfew/Exclusion Monitoring) | CASP Level 7 (Alcohol Detection) | CASP Level 10 (Day Reporting) | CASP Levels 11-12 (Pretrial Supervision) |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Causing Serious Bodily Injury when Operating a Motor Vehicle While Intoxicated (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| Check Deception (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Check Deception (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Check Fraud (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Child Exploitation (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Child Molesting (Felony) | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| Child Solicitation (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| Contributing to the Delinquency of a Minor (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Conversion (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Conversion (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Counterfeiting (Felony) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 11 |
| Criminal Confinement (Felony) | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 29 |
| Criminal Mischief (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Criminal Mischief (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 46 |
| Criminal Recklessness (Felony) | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 14 |
| Criminal Recklessness (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| Criminal Trespass (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Criminal Trespass (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 50 |
| Dangerous Possession of a Firearm (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dealing in a Narcotic Drug (Felony) | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 29 |
| Dealing in a Sawed-off Shotgun (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Dealing in a Schedule I Controlled Substance (Felony) | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| Dealing in a Schedule II Controlled Substance (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| Dealing in a Schedule III Controlled Substance (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Dealing in a Schedule IV Controlled Substance (Felony) | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| Dealing in a Schedule IV Controlled Substance (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Dealing in a Synthetic Drug or Synthetic Drug Lookalike Substance (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Dealing in Cocaine (Felony) | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 8 |
| Dealing in Cocaine or Narcotic Drug (Felony) | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| Dealing in Marijuana (Felony) | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 |
| Dealing in Marijuana (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| Dealing in Methamphetamine (Felony) | 1 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 40 |

| | CASP Level 1 (Work Release) | CASP Levels 2-5 (Electronic Monitoring) | CASP Levels 6, 8, 9 (Curfew/Exclusion Monitoring) | CASP Level 7 (Alcohol Detection) | CASP Level 10 (Day Reporting) | CASP Levels 11-12 (Pretrial Supervision) |
|--|--------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Disorderly Conduct (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 29 |
| Dissemination of Matter Harmful to Minors (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Domestic Battery (Felony) | 0 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 43 |
| Domestic Battery (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 14 | 63 |
| Domestic Battery by Bodily Waste (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Domestic Battery on a Person Less than 14 Years Old (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Domestic Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury to a Person Less than 14 Years of Age (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Domestic Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury to a Pregnant Woman (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Domestic Battery Resulting in Moderate Bodily Injury (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Domestic Battery Resulting in Serious Bodily Injury (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Driving while Suspended (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Driving while Suspended (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 35 |
| Escape (Felony) | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Failure to Register as a Sex or Violent Offender (Felony) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Failure to Remain at the Scene of an Accident (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Failure to Report Accident (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Failure to Return to Lawful Detention (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| False Government Identification (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| False Informing (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 21 |
| Felon Carrying a Handgun (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| Forgery (Felony) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 42 |
| Fraud (Felony) | 0 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 30 |
| Fraud on a Financial Institution (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 |
| Harassment (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Identity Deception (Felony) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Illegal Consumption of an Alcoholic Beverage (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| Inhaling Toxic Vapors (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Impersonation of a Public Servant (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Inappropriate Communication with a Child (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Incest (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Inhaling Toxic Vapors (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Interference with the Reporting of a Crime (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 |

| | CASP Level 1 (Work Release) | CASP Levels 2-5 (Electronic Monitoring) | CASP Levels 6, 8, 9 (Curfew/Exclusion Monitoring) | CASP Level 7 (Alcohol Detection) | CASP Level 10 (Day Reporting) | CASP Levels 11-12 (Pretrial Supervision) |
|--|--------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Intimidation (Felony) | 0 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 18 | 57 |
| Intimidation (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| Invasion of Privacy (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Invasion of Privacy (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 17 |
| Kidnapping (Felony) | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Leaving Home without Permission of Parent, Guardian or Custodian (Status) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Leaving the Scene of an Accident (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Leaving the Scene of an Accident (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 20 |
| Leaving the Scene of an Accident with Bodily Injury (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Leaving the Scene of an Accident with Serious Bodily Injury (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Maintaining a Common Nuisance (Felony) | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 14 |
| Maintaining a Common Nuisance – Controlled Substances (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 |
| Neglect of a Dependent (Felony) | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 15 |
| Nonsupport of a Dependent Child (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 4 |
| Obstruction of Justice (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| Obtaining a Controlled Substance by Fraud or Deceit (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Official Misconduct (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Operating a Motor Vehicle after Forfeiture of License for Life (Felony) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 11 |
| Operating a Motor Vehicle without ever Receiving a License (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 |
| Operating a Motor Vehicle without Financial Responsibility (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Operating a Vehicle as a Habitual Traffic Violator (Felony) | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 7 |
| Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated (Felony) | 0 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 23 |
| Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 16 |
| Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person (Felony) | 0 | 11 | 0 | 5 | 17 | 14 |
| Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 21 | 0 | 5 | 35 | 47 |
| Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person with a Passenger Less than 18 Years of Age (Felony) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| Operating a Vehicle with a Schedule I or II Controlled Substance or its Metabolite in the Body (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Operating a Vehicle with a Schedule I or II Controlled Substance or its Metabolite in the Body (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .08 or More (Felony) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 7 |
| Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .08 or More (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .15 or More (Felony) | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 7 |

| | CASP Level 1 (Work Release) | CASP Levels 2-5 (Electronic Monitoring) | CASP Levels 6, 8, 9 (Curfew/Exclusion Monitoring) | CASP Level 7 (Alcohol Detection) | CASP Level 10 (Day Reporting) | CASP Levels 11-12 (Pretrial Supervision) |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .15 or More (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 10 |
| Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .15 or More with a Passenger Less than 18 Year of Age (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Performing Sexual Conduct in the Presence of a Minor (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Pointing a Firearm (Felony) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 |
| Pointing a Firearm (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Possession of a Controlled Substance (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 43 |
| Possession of a Device or Substance Used to Interfere with a Drug or Alcohol Screening Test (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Possession of Firearm on School Property (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Possession of a Narcotic Drug (Felony) | 0 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 48 |
| Possession of a Synthetic Drug or Synthetic Drug Lookalike (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Possession of a Synthetic Drug or Synthetic Drug Lookalike (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| Possession of Altered Handgun (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Possession of Chemical Reagents or Precursors with Intent to Manufacture a Controlled Substance (Felony) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Possession of Child Pornography (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Possession of Cocaine (Felony) | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 14 |
| Possession of Cocaine or Narcotic Drug (Felony) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 |
| Possession of Marijuana (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Possession of Marijuana (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 87 |
| Possession of Methamphetamine (Felony) | 0 | 26 | 1 | 1 | 54 | 184 |
| Possession of Paraphernalia (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 110 |
| Public Indecency (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Public Intoxication (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 46 |
| Public Intoxication – Common Carrier (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Public Nudity (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Railroad Trespass (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Rape (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 |
| Receiving Stolen Auto Parts (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Receiving Stolen Property (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Reckless Driving (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 8 |
| Refusal to Identify Self (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Residential Entry (Felony) | 0 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 44 |

| | CASP Level 1 (Work Release) | CASP Levels 2-5 (Electronic Monitoring) | CASP Levels 6, 8, 9 (Curfew/Exclusion Monitoring) | CASP Level 7 (Alcohol Detection) | CASP Level 10 (Day Reporting) | CASP Levels 11-12 (Pretrial Supervision) |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Resisting Law Enforcement (Felony) | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 51 |
| Resisting Law Enforcement (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 29 | 75 |
| Robbery (Felony) | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 5 |
| Robbery Resulting in Bodily Injury (Felony) | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Robbery Resulting in Serious Bodily Injury (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Sexual Battery (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Sexual Misconduct (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Sexual Misconduct with a Minor (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| Stalking (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Strangulation (Felony) | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 52 |
| Synthetic Identity Deception (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Theft (Felony) | 0 | 33 | 6 | 0 | 78 | 156 |
| Theft (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 21 | 1 | 3 | 43 | 120 |
| Theft of a Firearm (Felony) | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| Unauthorized Entry of a Motor Vehicle (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 13 |
| Unlawful Possession of a Firearm by a Domestic Batterer (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Unlawful Possession of a Firearm by a Serious Violent Felon (Felony) | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Unlawful Possession of a Syringe (Felony) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 48 |
| Unlawful Possession or Use of a Legend Drug (Felony) | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 58 |
| Unlawful Possession or Use of a Legend Drug (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Unlawful Sale of a Precursor (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unlawful Sale of Legend Drugs (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Unlawful Use of 911 Service (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Unlawful Use of Body Armor (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Use of a Stun Gun in the Commission of a Crime (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Vending Machine Vandalism (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Vicarious Sexual Gratification (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Violation of Driving Conditions (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Visiting a Common Nuisance (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| Visiting a Common Nuisance – Controlled Substances (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Voyeurism (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Welfare Fraud (Felony) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 6 | 533 | 72 | 47 | 888 | 2,547 |

COMMUNITY TRANSITION PROGRAM AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

| | Juvenile Home Detention | Community Transition Program | Community Service |
|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| Armed Robbery (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Arson (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Assisting a Criminal (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Auto Theft (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Battery (Misdemeanor) | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Battery Against a Public Safety Official (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Battery by Bodily Waste (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Battery by Bodily Waste (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Battery by Means of a Deadly Weapon (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Battery in the Presence of a Child (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Battery on a Person Less than 14 Years of Age (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 | 16 |
| Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury to a Public Safety Officer (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Battery Resulting in Injury to a Public Safety Official (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Battery Resulting in Moderate Bodily Injury (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Burglary (Felony) | 3 | 2 | 16 |
| Carrying a Handgun without a License (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Causing Death when Operating a Motor Vehicle while Intoxicated (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Check Deception (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Check Deception (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Contributing to the Delinquency of a Minor (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Conversion (Misdemeanor) | 7 | 0 | 4 |
| Counterfeiting (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Criminal Confinement (Felony) | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Criminal Deviate Conduct (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Criminal Mischief (Misdemeanor) | 2 | 0 | 13 |
| Criminal Recklessness (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Criminal Trespass (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Cruelty to an Animal (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Dangerous Possession of a Firearm (Misdemeanor) | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Dealing in a Narcotic Drug (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| Dealing in a Schedule I Controlled Substance (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Dealing in a Schedule II Controlled Substance (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 4 |

| | Juvenile Home Detention | Community Transition Program | Community Service |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| Dealing in a Schedule IV Controlled Substance (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Dealing in a Synthetic Drug or Synthetic Drug Lookalike Substance (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Dealing in Cocaine (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 11 |
| Dealing in Cocaine or Narcotic Drug (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Dealing in Marijuana (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Dealing in Marijuana (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 | 11 |
| Dealing in Methamphetamine (Felony) | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| Disorderly Conduct (Misdemeanor) | 7 | 0 | 21 |
| Domestic Battery (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Domestic Battery (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Driving while Suspended (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Failure to Register as a Sex or Violent Offender (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Failure to Remain at the Scene of an Accident (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Failure to Report Accident (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| False Informing (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Forgery (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Fraud (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Fraud on a Financial Institution (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Furnishing Alcohol to a Minor (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Harassment (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Identity Deception (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Illegal Consumption of an Alcoholic Beverage (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 60 |
| Illegal Possession of an Alcoholic Beverage (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| Illegal Transportation of an Alcoholic Beverage on a Public Highway (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Interference with the Reporting of a Crime (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Intimidation (Felony) | 3 | 0 | 7 |
| Invasion of Privacy (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Leaving Home without Permission of Parent, Guardian, or Custodian (Status) | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Leaving the Scene of an Accident (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Maintaining a Common Nuisance (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Maintaining a Common Nuisance – Controlled Substances (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Making an Unlawful Proposition (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Nonsupport of a Dependent Child (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Obtaining or Attempting to Obtain Legend Drugs by Forgery or Alteration (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Obtaining or Attempting to Obtain Legend Drugs by Fraud (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 1 |

| | Juvenile Home Detention | Community Transition Program | Community Service |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| Operating a Motor Vehicle after Forfeiture of License for Life (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Operating a Motor Vehicle without ever Receiving a License (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Operating a Motorboat while Intoxicated (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Operating a Motorboat with a Schedule I or II Controlled Substance or its Metabolite in the Body (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Operating a Vehicle as a Habitual Traffic Violator (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 16 |
| Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 | 22 |
| Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 14 |
| Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 157 |
| Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person with a Passenger Less than 18 Years of Age (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Operating a Vehicle with a Schedule I or II Controlled Substance or its Metabolite in the Body (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .08 or More (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .08 or More (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .15 or More (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .15 or More (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 | 38 |
| Possession of a Controlled Substance (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Possession of a Narcotic Drug (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Possession of a Synthetic Drug or Synthetic Drug Lookalike Substance (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Possession of Cocaine (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 11 |
| Possession of Firearm on School Property (Felony) | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Possession of Marijuana (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Possession of Marijuana (Misdemeanor) | 5 | 0 | 54 |
| Possession of Methamphetamine (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Possession of Paraphernalia (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 | 29 |
| Presenting False Evidence of Majority or Identity (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| Promoting Prostitution (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Public Intoxication (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 | 36 |
| Public Nudity (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Rape (Felony) | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Reckless Driving (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| Reckless Operation of a Vehicle in Highway Work Zone (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Residential Entry (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Resisting Law Enforcement (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 15 |

| | Juvenile Home Detention | Community Transition Program | Community Service |
|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| Resisting Law Enforcement (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| Robbery (Felony) | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Sexual Battery (Felony) | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Sexual Misconduct with a Minor (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Strangulation (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Theft (Felony) | 0 | 3 | 40 |
| Theft (Misdemeanor) | 3 | 0 | 36 |
| Unauthorized Entry of a Motor Vehicle (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Unlawful Possession of a Syringe (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Unlawful Possession or Use of a Legend Drug (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Use of a Stun Gun in the Commission of a Crime (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Visiting a Common Nuisance (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Visiting a Common Nuisance – Controlled Substances (Misdemeanor) | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| TOTAL | 63 | 17 | 1,098 |

PROBLEM SOLVING COURT OFFENSES

| | Drug Treatment Court Supervisions | Reentry Court Supervisions | Mental Health Court Supervisions | Veterans Court Supervisions |
|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Armed Robbery (Felony) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Auto Theft (Felony) | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Battery Against a Public Safety Official (Felony) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Battery by Bodily Waste (Felony) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Battery Resulting in Moderate Bodily Injury (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Battery Resulting in Serious Bodily Injury (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Burglary (Felony) | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Conversion (Misdemeanor) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Criminal Mischief (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Criminal Trespass (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Dealing in a Look-a-Like Substance (Felony) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dealing in a Narcotic Drug (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Dealing in Cocaine (Felony) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Dealing in Methamphetamine (Felony) | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Domestic Battery (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| False Informing (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Forgery (Felony) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fraud (Felony) | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Habitual Substance Offender (Felony) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Identity Deception (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Interference with the Reporting of a Crime (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Intimidation (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Invasion of Privacy (Misdemeanor) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Leaving the Scene of an Accident (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Maintaining a Common Nuisance (Felony) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operating a Vehicle as a Habitual Traffic Violator (Felony) | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated (Felony) | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person (Felony) | 4 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person (Misdemeanor) | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .08 or More (Felony) | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .15 or More (Felony) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .15 or More (Misdemeanor) | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | Drug Treatment Court Supervisions | Reentry Court Supervisions | Mental Health Court Supervisions | Veterans Court Supervisions |
|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Possession of a Narcotic Drug (Felony) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Possession of Chemical Reagents or Precursors with Intent to Manufacture a Controlled Substance (Felony) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Possession of Cocaine (Felony) | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Possession of Cocaine or Narcotic Drug (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Possession of Marijuana (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Possession of Methamphetamine (Felony) | 14 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Receiving Stolen Property (Felony) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential Entry (Felony) | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Resisting Law Enforcement (Felony) | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Resisting Law Enforcement (Misdemeanor) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Robbery (Felony) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Robbery Resulting in Bodily Injury (Felony) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Theft (Felony) | 9 | 10 | 3 | 0 |
| Theft (Misdemeanor) | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Trafficking with an Inmate (Felony) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Unlawful Possession of a Syringe (Felony) | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Welfare Fraud (Felony) | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 106 | 33 | 19 | 12 |

**YOUTH
SERVICES
BUREAU**

YOUTH

■ SERVICES BUREAU ■
of Monroe County

2018 Annual Report



615 South Adams Street, Bloomington, Indiana, 47403

Phone (812) 349-2506

Fax (812) 349-2892

Find us on Facebook!

Introduction

Mission Statement:

The mission of Youth Services Bureau is to support youth and families through advocacy, education, collaboration, and fostering community connections.

Vision Statement:

Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County envisions a thriving community, rooted in compassion, rich in opportunity, where youth and families are empowered and resilient.

Agency Values:

Integrity, Advocacy & Awareness, Service, Importance of Human Relationships, Honoring Dignity of Person, Investment

History:

Since 1972, Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County has provided services in an effort to strengthen families, divert youth from the juvenile justice system, and to foster positive youth development. Family support and structure are necessary for the development of our community's youth. YSB offers services that foster positive family functioning and help lay the groundwork to build healthy, productive individuals.

Accreditation & Memberships:

YSB is an accredited Indiana Youth Services Association member. We fulfill the 4 core roles of delinquency prevention, advocacy, community education and information & referral¹ with our programs.




We are also an Indiana Association of Resources and Child Advocacy member. It is an association of concerned agencies who not only care for children and families, but also care about them.²


¹ <http://www.indysb.org/parents-youth/programs>, "four core roles"

² <https://www.iarca.org/index.php/about-us>

Our Services



Our Organizational Structure



The Executive Director's Report

The Youth Services Bureau (YSB) continued to provide quality services to over 230 youth placements in 2018. The number of intakes to the agency increased by 33, and the percentage of youth from Monroe County was 74%. As number of youth coming into the agency increased, the types of referrals changed significantly from 2017 to 2018. The number of youth who placed themselves at Binkley House increased from 19 to 32. Parental placements jumped from 72 to 122, and Probation placements increased from 40 to 50. The Indiana Department of Child Services placements decreased from 83 in 2017, to 47 in 2018. Although it is difficult to accurately determine why the placement types changed, the Indiana Department of Child Services responded to an investigative report indicating Indiana was one of the states with the highest placement of children outside their homes. New administration and guidelines for placement may have influenced this decrease in placements.

A plan to address space related issues was developed in conjunction with RQAW Consulting Engineers & Architects, and resulted in a Feasibility Study being presented to the Monroe County Commissioners in July 2017. In 2018, the Commissioners approved the plan, the County Council appropriated the funding, and contracts were signed with Building Associates, Inc. to begin demolition of the front house and construction on the addition and renovation. This renovation was funded without having to appropriate any additional tax dollars.

Along with continuing to provide emergency shelter care services, YSB will work with other youth serving agencies on the development of additional prevention programming and community based education in 2019. The third annual Monroe County Childhood Conditions (MC3) Summit was hosted by YSB in December 2018 with over 200 attendees representing 159 agencies; the fourth annual MC3 Summit is scheduled for November 2019. The dedicated staff at YSB continues to support all aspects of youth related services in Monroe County, and welcomes ideas for continued prevention programming and community services.

Viki Thevenow, Executive Director

Finance & Personnel Report

2018 was a year of reduced transition, and progress creating stability in staffing. While we still had significant turnover (57.1%), that rate was reduced approximately 4% from 2017. The most turnover occurred in direct care positions, while the administrative staff continued to stabilize. We welcomed a new Counselor and Prevention Coordinator, along with several new faces in the shelter.

We maintained a strong relationship with DCS, and secured a per diem rate of \$66.44 per child per day more than our 2017 rate. We were awarded a new three-year term of the federal Runaway and Homeless Youth Grant, solidifying our status as a Basic Center Program.

We were able to secure funding to begin the process of renovation and improvement to our facility, which will provide us with an indoor recreational area for shelter residents, expanded training and education space, a formal board room, and additional administrative offices. We look forward to the challenges and opportunities 2019 will bring.

2018 YSB Funding Sources

| Funding Name | Source | Amount | % of total |
|--|--|-------------|------------|
| Local Income Tax (LIT)- Special Purpose | Monroe County | \$1,429,080 | 67.6% |
| Department of Child Services Contract for Emergency Shelter Care (Per Diems) | Indiana Department of Child Services (state reimbursement) | \$460,714 | 21.8% |
| Federal RHY Grant | Federal Reimbursement | \$163,666 | 7.7% |
| State DCS 1503 Youth Services Bureau Grant | Grant – State Reimbursement | \$39,863 | 1.9% |
| State DCS 1504 Safe Place Grant | Grant – State Reimbursement | \$7,580 | 0.4% |
| Prevention Funds | Former Asset Building Coalition Funds | \$10,888 | 0.5% |
| YSB Donation Fund | Private Donations | \$1,451 | 0.1% |
| TOTAL: \$2,113,242 | | | |
| In-Kind Contributions | | | |
| Donated Items | Toiletries, shelter supplies, clothing | \$923.50 | |
| BSW Intern (valued at \$17.41/hour) | 300 hours | \$5,223 | |
| MSW Intern (valued at \$20.74/hour) | 500 hours | \$10,370 | |
| TOTAL: \$16,516.50 | | | |

Local Income Tax Special Purpose (LIT)

- The LIT Special Purpose is YSB's main funding source, funding the majority of operating and personnel costs for the organization.

DCS Per Diems

- DCS per diems are a state reimbursement for all court-ordered and DCS placed children. In 2018, YSB received \$353.36 per child per day. This source funds capital expenses, such as major building repairs, furniture, and technology purchases.

Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY) Grant

- The Runaway and Homeless Youth Grant is through the Federal Department of Health and Human Services, and fully funds the Safe Place/YSB Shelter Outreach Coordinator and one of the two counselors, along with a small stipend for training and programmatic expenses.

1503 Youth Services Bureau Grant

- The 1503 YSB Grant is administered through the Indiana Youth Services Association, and funds approximately half of the fulltime Case Manager and a supplement to hourly shelter staff, with a small stipend for training and travel.

1504 Safe Place Grant

- The 1504 Safe Place grant is administered through the state Department of Child Services, and funds operational and outreach costs for the Safe Place Program.

Prevention Funds

- This funding is associated with the absorption of the four subcommittees formerly associated with the Asset Building Coalition: the Monroe County Youth Council, Building a Thriving Compassionate Community, the Bloomington Afterschool Network, and the Prevention General Fund.

YSB Donation Fund

- The donation fund is where all private donations made to YSB are deposited.

Sarah Borden, Financial & Personnel Coordinator

Program Coordinator Report

The Binkley House Emergency shelter program provides daily structure, service learning, physical recreation, and competency and skill building. Daytime programming is structured to provide residents with experiences that enhance and build social competencies and focus on their existing strengths. Programming is centered on supporting the current evidence based practice used at the Youth Services Bureau, the ARC Framework (attachment, regulation and competency). ARC focuses on normative childhood development, traumatic stress, attachment, and risk and resilience.

Regular tours for residents at the Monroe County Public Library and Ivy Tech Community College focus on educational activities and future secondary education and career options. Several times per week, residents attend sessions at PALS (People and Animal Learning Services) where they are taught soft skills through equine therapy. The Binkley House Emergency Shelter continues to partners weekly with the Hoosier Hills Food Bank to expose residents to community service opportunities. Mother Hubbard's Cupboard also provides weekly service learning opportunities at their location and also partners with residents to maintain the YSB garden. Residents enjoy weekly visits from Jordy, a therapy dog through Child Appointed Special Advocates (CASA), whom helps to support emotional regulation.

The after-school psycho-educational component, "Focus", for all residents covers topics such as nutrition, self-esteem building, healthy relationships, anger management and conflict resolution. Through internal and external presenters, these topics are presented in a variety of ways including music therapy, assertiveness trainings, consent and sexual health trainings, art projects, etc.

The behavior modification program used by the Binkley House Emergency shelter program promotes positive behavior choices and provides residents with clear expectations. Through collaboration with clinical, direct-care and administrative staff, a revised behavior modification program was administered in 2018. The new program provides enhanced incentives and works to increase the likelihood that youth will take an active role in decision making during their time as a resident. The shelter program continues to follow best practices and regularly evaluates how to best serve the youth temporarily in our care.

For direct-care staff, the agency worked to establish streamlined processes for staff and continues to advance the training and orientation for incoming and existing staff. The program experienced inherent annual turnover, leading to expanding recruitment venues and efforts directed towards retaining and developing current employees. The program continues to be a recognized model for emergency shelter care under the standards of the Department of Child Services, Indiana Youth Services Association and federal Runaway and Homeless Youth agency.

The Youth Services Bureau also hosts and operates the local Safe Place program. This crisis intervention program opens the doors and expands the access to the Binkley House emergency shelter. The Safe Place program focused on innovative ways to expand outreach in the most rural counties served under the local program, Owen and Greene counties. In addition, the local program continues efforts in Monroe County to increase the education and awareness surrounding the dangers of running away and the safe

options that are available. The Safe Place program maintains a physical presence at schools, community events, and pro-social activities and has integrated technology as a means to reach additional youth. The program continues to meet and exceed the standards set forth by the Indiana Youth Services Association (IYSA), National Safe Place Network and federal Runaway and Homeless Youth agency.

Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County has also been a consistent contributor and participant in the Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI). The objectives of the JDAI workgroups are to collectively safely reduce the number of youth ordered to juvenile detention facilities. In 2018, the Program Coordinator was actively involved in two of the primary workgroups; Purpose of Detention and Alternatives to Detention. The Deputy Director is involved in the Data and Steering committees for JDAI. The Program Coordinator is also participating in the Conditions of Confinement Workgroup, aimed to evaluate the standards of juvenile detention centers used by Monroe County.

Looking forward into 2019, programming under the Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County will continually work to advance procedures that are best practice and advocate for the youth population we serve. The Binkley House program will continue to expand the array of opportunities and experiences offered and help to foster connections made after placement transition of youth. Safe Place program efforts will largely focus on rural outreach and solidifying a safety net in Owen and Greene Counties. The agency continues to research programming options that meet the needs of the community in hopes to expand what services we are able to offer.

Vanessa Schmidt, Program Coordinator

Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter Program Description

Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter is the largest division of YSB. It provides short-term emergency residential care and crisis intervention for youth ages 8-17. The shelter offers emergency shelter for runaways, homeless youth, and youth in crisis or abusive situations at home. Binkley House is a licensed Emergency Shelter Care Facility and follows the guidelines set forth by the Indiana Department of Child Services. Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter remains the only shelter program for youth in the region of Monroe and surrounding counties.

Binkley House is accessible for youth in need 24 hours a day. Our building's outer doors are locked to ensure the safety of staff and residents. However, our internal doors are never locked. We do not utilize locked rooms, or seclusions and restraints on our shelter residents. The shelter program, known for its "Five Finger Agreement" (Safety, Responsibility, Respect, Following Directions, and Effort) relies on an incentive-based, trauma informed approach to support and encourage positive behavior choices while ensuring safety for all.

Binkley House provides services such as counseling, educational support time, supervised recreation, transportation to and from school and appointments, as well as referrals to a variety of agencies for related services. YSB also assists youth in transitional services during their stay at the Binkley House Youth Shelter. These include independent living skills, transition to long-term residential care, transition from long-term residential care back home, and short-term aftercare counseling. The youth shelter program also offers services to youth in the foster-care system in an effort to reduce multiple foster-care placements.

Referrals to the youth shelter program can occur in a number of ways including from social service agencies, parents, or directly from the youth themselves. The Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County never charges a fee for the services provided for youth accessing Safe Place or parental (voluntary by youth agreement) admissions.

Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter Report

The Binkley House Manager has focused on a variety of objectives to ensure that the agency can provide the most appropriate services to the youth residing in the emergency shelter. These goals center on the quality of daily shelter operations, strengthening lines of communication within the agency, assuring high work standards are met, and improved employee training and retention rates. Effectively addressing these objectives ensures the needs of the youth are met.

The agency has worked to streamline the hiring and training process, focusing on consistency, thoroughness, and ensuring that employees feel competent and adequately trained. New trainings for direct care have been added, including an Implicit Bias training and a training focused on the ARC framework (attachment, regulation, and competency) which will be our new evidence based practice to work from. In addition to required trainings, employees are encouraged to attend outside trainings to build on existing skills and to relay their experiences and knowledge with the agency. As shift supervisors, the full-time Residential Coordinators participated in an additional leadership and management training. The agency also held a full day staff retreat to strengthen working relationships and engage in dialogue centered on learning and development. We have focused on existing methods of receiving feedback from the residents we serve and the direct care staff. We organized one-on-one meetings with direct care staff and the leadership team in an effort to hear input and concerns directly from our youth workers. In addition to supervision meetings for direct care, we organized more meetings in 2018 for full-time and part-time direct care staff to come together, learn, and problem solve as a group. The completion rates and analysis of resident and guardian surveys increased that contribute to improved work methods in the Binkley House.

The Binkley House emergency shelter program aims to ensure that youth feel safe, have a routine and are exposed to a variety of opportunities and resources from intake to placement transition. Youth are engaged throughout the day, beginning with breakfast and assigned chores. Many youth residing in the emergency shelter will depart to go to their local school, while others begin physical activity at local recreation centers. Daytime programming provides a structured day that rotates weekly and monthly outings, service learning and new opportunities and activities to engage residents. These community outings include Mother Hubbard's Cupboard, Hoosier Hills Food Bank, Ivy Tech, Monroe County Public Library, PALS (equine therapy) and local museums. In addition to the scheduled outings during the day, youth and staff work together to prepare and serve meals and snacks, spend allocated time for independent living skills, and to work on any educational assignments or resumes.

There is also built in free time for youth to transition from one activity to the next throughout the day. The end of daytime programming consists of the daily psycho-educational component labeled "Focus" for all residents. This built-in daily block of time is filled with presenters and activities on a variety of topics, such as Building Healthy Relationships presented by Middle Way House staff, to arts and crafts focused on self-esteem building. We have also had Focus partnership with PALS, that the residents particularly enjoyed, and were eager to share about the experiences they had with the horses. We also had some great presenters for "Focus" group, such as a music therapist, the CASA dog Jordy and his handler, and IU health educators.

In addition to the structured daytime programming and daily psycho-educational component, the residents enjoy off-site group recreational outings. Some of the recreational outings in 2018 were the Terre Haute Feline Rescue Center, the Monroe County Animal Shelter, bowling at the Indiana Memorial Union, Cook Hall, the WonderLab, an Indianapolis Colts game, an IU basketball game, and numerous local parks. Residents also earn the opportunity for “token outings,” which are attained by progressing through the level system and earning privileges. The residents may choose their outings, which can vary from trip to a movie theater or a meal off-site at a local restaurant. The shelter “mall” also offers the opportunity for youth to shop with their tokens for fun tangible items, such as a new wallet, watch, cologne or jewelry.

In aiming to provide a trauma-informed environment, we made use of donations from the public in addition to normal resident purchases this year, and we were able to provide the residents with extra items to take home with them. We provided winter weather clothing, toys, toiletry kits, duffle bags, blankets, holiday gifts, book bags, and school supplies to many of our residents. Our staff worked enthusiastically to provide impressive holiday meals, decorations, and gifts to help residents feel more at home during a difficult time in the year. We also improved our rewards-based behavior modification program, and focused on adding additional incentives to promote positive outcomes for residents.


The Binkley House Shelter strives to provide a trauma-informed environment where youth can feel safe with staff whom model and encourage positive behavior choices. In 2019, the program will continue to refine practices to best meet the needs of the populations we serve. The Binkley House Shelter program will continue to increase the development and adaptation of best practices to provide quality services.

Inquiries for Youth Related Services:

In 2018, we received a total of 541 inquiries (referrals) for service, an average of 45 calls per month, and a decrease of 13% from the previous year. The calls we receive are usually during a time of crisis for which the callers (youth, family member, legal guardian) are seeking immediate emergency shelter services.

In 2018, YSB of Monroe County experienced a dramatic drop in the number of DCS referrals from the previous two years. However, the lower number of DCS referrals is consistent with the decade prior to 2015. The reason for the spike in DCS referrals in 2015-17, is unclear, although it has been mentioned that the Opioid Crisis could have had an impact. New leadership in DCS, as well as policy changes in 2018, may have resulted in the decrease of their referrals. The number of Parental, Probation and Safe Place placements all increased in 2018. It is difficult to determine the causation of these changes, or overall decrease in referrals from 2017.

| Inquiries for Service by Referral Type | Total |
|--|------------|
| Safe Place | 43 |
| Parental | 198 |
| Probation | 63 |
| DCS | 234 |
| Police | 3 |
| Grand Total | 541 |



Services Provided in Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter

In 2018, we were able to provide safe shelter accommodations a total of 230 times to 155 individual youth. Binkley House served 119 youth who had never before had contact or placement with Binkley House Youth Shelter. That is approximately 52% of our total population served. When counting the total number of service days given to all youth in 2018, we provided 2,539 real-time⁴ days of service.

| MONTH | Total Service Days |
|--------------|--------------------|
| January | 196 |
| February | 266 |
| March | 192 |
| April | 122 |
| May | 264 |
| June | 207 |
| July | 216 |
| August | 224 |
| September | 184 |
| October | 262 |
| November | 231 |
| December | 175 |
| Total | 2,539 |

During 2018, the average length of stay for a youth in the shelter was approximately 10.8 days, a decrease of 31% from 2017 when the average length of stay was 15.6 days. In 2012, a legislative change occurred limiting the length of stay for a youth at a licensed emergency youth shelter in the state of Indiana to a maximum of 20 days⁵, regardless of placement type.

The Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter program serves youth from various counties across the state. Since we are located in Monroe County, it is of no surprise that the majority of the youth we serve reside in Monroe County. It is important to note that many of the families we serve are transient, having lived in Monroe County either in the past or currently. Monroe County is known for its many resources and families often gravitate to this excellent community.

⁴ "Real-time" means that day in and day out are counted.

⁵ Per Dept. of Child Svc. rules, day out does not count, therefore real-time days are 21 in length.

| Youth Place of Residence | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------|-------|
| Monroe County | Lawrence County | Morgan County | Other Indiana County | Out of State | Total |
| 168 | 6 | 7 | 47 | 1 | 229 |

Our Counselors and Case Manager provide clinical and supportive services daily for each Binkley House resident. In some circumstances, the contact with youth is much more extensive, based on individual needs and support for success.

Youth Services Bureau staff identified and reported 38 suspected cases of physical abuse, sexual abuse and/or neglect to the Indiana Department of Child Services' Child Protective Services unit. This is approximately 16.5% of youth served in 2018. The suspected reports of abuse and/or neglect are a result of youth self-report, questionable marks/bruises, as well as any observed abuse by guardian or others towards the youth while in our care.

We know it is best practice and vital for youth and families to engage in counseling while experiencing family crisis. The (clinical) behavioral health service component (clinical counseling/case management) is not funded through the Indiana Department of Child Services contract for Emergency Shelter Care. While we seek grants to aid this critical link in services to facilitate improved family functioning, we would be remiss if we did not thank Monroe County for continuing to support our services.

Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter Placement Types

Placement Types – Binkley House codes placements by “types,” reflecting who is responsible for placing the youth in the shelter program. In some instances, the youth’s placement type may change during their stay, which indicates a shift in the party responsible for the youth’s stay in the program. In 2018, 24 youth changed placement type during a single stay.

1. **Safe Place** – Youth initiate the desire to come for services at Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter. There is no cost to the family for this service type. Length of this placement cannot exceed 72 hours, but may become another placement type if continued services are requested.

32 youth; 12.6% of the total shelter population (61 service days).

2. **Parental** – A parent or legal guardian contacts Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter requesting youth services. In this instance, the youth must voluntarily agree to come to Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter for short term placement. There is no cost to the family for this service type.

122 youth; 48.2% of the total shelter population (1,083 service days).

3. **Probation** – Through a court order, a youth is placed at Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter to prevent delinquent behavior and promote pro-social behavior. Youth are accepted as court ordered placements only if they pose no safety risk or harm to self or others. Results of court involvement typically come from truancy (not attending school consistently), return to the community from another environment, or preventative (assist youth in remaining free from negative influences until the youth can make better choices). YSB submits per diem claims to Indiana Department of Child Services (per that year’s cost award). This is not billed to the family by YSB.

50 youth; 19.8% of the total shelter population (600 service days).


4. **Department of Child Services** – When a youth is a ward of DCS or is in an emergency situation in which the DCS Case Worker determines that removal from a home is needed, a youth can be placed at Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter. Typically, we host youth who are waiting for their homes to return to a safe level (after DCS interventions have been put in place), are awaiting foster care placement, or are in transition between homes. YSB submits per diem claims to Indiana Department of Child Services (per that year’s cost awarded by the state of Indiana). This is not billed to the family by YSB.

47 youth; 18.6% of the shelter population (793 service days).

5. **Police Hold** - To assist local law enforcement in returning to serve the public, there are occasions where Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter will house a youth until a parent can be located to take

custody of their child. These instances typically occur when law enforcement has come into contact with a youth and a parent/guardian cannot immediately respond to law enforcement to retrieve their child. These placements are typically less than 24 hours in duration. If a parent cannot be located within 24 hours, Binkley House contacts the Department of Child Services to assist in family locating.

2 youth; 0.8% of the total Shelter Population (2 service days).




Gender of Youth in Program

Binkley house is a co-ed shelter with separate male and female sleeping wings attached to the common areas. Over the last several years we have seen an increase in the number of female youth accessing shelter services. In 2018, that trend continued as 59% of the residents in our shelter program were female. We served a total of 136 females and 94 males, with 5 of those youth identifying as transgender.

Age of Youth Receiving Services

Binkley House serves youth from 8 to 17 years of age. In 2018, the majority of our youth were age 14-17, consistent with previous years.



Continuous Improvement Efforts:

YSB uses a variety of assessments and program measures to evaluate the services provided to youth and families. This information is provided to funding agents and used to identify areas of growth and improvement throughout the agency. This information is captured through resident and guardian exit surveys, Safe Place program evaluations, IYSA entrance and exit questionnaires, and follow-up questionnaires conducted two weeks after completing services with the agency. This information provides the agency with the ability to measure progress within the program as well as determine satisfaction in services. We greatly appreciate youth and parent/guardian participation in helping us to capture this information as a way to continuously improve on the services we provide.

Safe Place Coordinator Report

Safe Place is a national youth outreach and prevention program for young people in need of immediate help and safety. As a community-based program, Safe Place designates businesses and organizations as Safe Place locations, making help readily available to youth in communities across the country. This national model is replicated locally and hosted by the Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County (YSB). The local Safe Place program serves Monroe, Owen and Greene County.

Each year the YSB Shelter Outreach and Safe Place Coordinator educates youth and adults through presentations and presence at local schools, community events, trainings, a bi-weekly educational hour in our youth shelter, sponsorships of pro-social activities, and community and online advertising. Due to our outreach efforts in 2018, we were able to reach 51,451 youth. The outreach opportunities educate youth on how to access the Safe Place program, and the services that are offered. Our local Safe Place program was accessed by 39 youth in 2018. Based on the specific situation, the youth may be provided with referrals, counseling, shelter placement and/or follow-up care. Of those 39 youth initiating Safe Place services, 36 youth accessed shelter services. Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County received 10 calls from youth asking about the Safe Place program or speaking with a staff member to work through an issue.

Community members, Safe Place sites, and guardians are also educated on the program and encouraged to continue a cooperating role in helping youth in crisis. In 2018, 27,244 adults were educated about the Safe Place program through presentations, community events, community meetings, and trainings. Additional awareness is garnered through sponsorships of pro-social activities, and community and online advertising.

In 2018, Safe Place participated and/or provided information at 76 events. Outreach efforts regularly occur in Monroe County; however, more focus was placed in Greene and Owen County. In 2018, Safe Place made its first appearance at Greene County National Night Out, a community event promoting safety and positive relationships with law enforcement.

Safe Place depends on the network of businesses and agencies displaying the Safe Place sign and acting as Safe Place sites. These sites help to expand access to the Binkley House Emergency Shelter. In 2018, 3 new sites were recruited to this network: one in Owen County and two in Greene County. Safe Place now operates 94 physical sites and 45 mobile sites within Monroe, Owen, and Greene counties. Safe Place sites are required to maintain signage and complete training. Each site makes program cards available that provide youth with the information needed to access Safe Place services.

Safe Place sponsored pro-social youth programs like Bloomington Youth Basketball (approximately 1,000 participants), youth focused family friendly events Children's Expo (approximately 2,000 attendees), Spring Fling (approximately 100 attendees), Trick or Treat Trail (approximately 900 attendees), Skate and Scare (approximately 200 attendees), Skate with Santa (approximately 200 attendees) through the City of Bloomington Parks and Recreation Department. These sponsorships include onsite interactions with the youth as well as logos in all program materials and event webpages and media. Safe Place also sponsored banners at

the Bryan Park pool, Mills Pool, and Twin Lakes Recreation Center. Thousands of attendees viewed the banners while at these locations.

Safe Place sponsored the Cutters Youth Soccer program in Bloomington. This sponsorship included the Safe Place logo on the back of players t-shirts, Safe Place logo at tournaments and events, Safe Place logo on the Cutters' website and social media. Cutters Soccer Club has approximately 900 youth participants.

Safe Place procures billboard advertising through Lamar Outdoor Advertising as part of our diverse outreach strategy. In 2018, Safe Place scheduled one billboard in each of the counties the program serves: Monroe, Owen, and Greene. Weekly impressions (eyes on view) from the billboards totaled 130,674. Safe Place also advertised with National CineMedia LLC in both Bloomington AMC movie theaters. A Safe Place commercial with local Youth Services Bureau information played before every movie rated PG or higher and in the lobby every 12 minutes from June 15th to August 23rd. National CineMedia projected 139,039 attendees would see the Safe Place commercial.

In partnership with Comcast Spotlight, Safe Place aired a National Safe Place commercial tagged with local Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County information from July 2018 through January 2019. The commercial airs on networks with youth and families as target audiences. Safe Place included the Premium Video Everywhere which allows the Safe Place commercial to be aired on any device streaming Comcast Spotlight content. The online capabilities of Premium Video Everywhere measure specific number of views (impressions) and viewing time with each impression. The commercial was shown to 107,497 viewers who watched the commercial for different amounts of time. Of those 107,497 viewers, the local Safe Place commercial was viewed in-full by 90,843 viewers.

In 2019, the Safe Place program will continue expanding outreach and education efforts with youth in Owen and Greene counties. New opportunities to participate in community events and sponsorships are being reviewed. The YSB Shelter Outreach and Safe Place Coordinator will be working on new efforts to increase communication and offer new opportunities to participate in Safe Place outreach for program sites and volunteers.

Brigitt Nasby, Safe Place Coordinator

For a list of Safe Place Events and locations see Appendix A

Clinical Coordinator's Report

Every employee at YSB wears a variety of hats. On the Clinical Team, we serve as counselors, case managers, champions, advocates, facilitators, and sounding boards. The most salient and consistent part of each of these roles, however, is our ability and desire to partner. We partner with youth people as they experience crisis. We partner with families as they attempt to understand and navigate systems. We partner with community organizations whose work are inextricably linked with ours. We are cognizant that people are the experts on themselves and crisis often manifests because of institutionalized disadvantages, not because of individual choices. We recognize the need to share power with whom we partner and, in the service of YSB's larger vision, to contribute to (and be a part of) a community where everyone has what they need to thrive.

Clinical team members provided short-term counseling and case management to the 230 placements in Binkley House Emergency Shelter in 2018. Residents, in addition to being offered aftercare sessions, received follow up phone calls at regular intervals. Clinicians were also utilized in the Safe Place program to meet with youth and provide support and make appropriate referrals, should they chose not to stay as a Safe Place placement. The team was also able to provide counseling to a total of 6 community based clients.

2018 closed with one Clinical Team member (Stacy Meadows) having started the year as a part of the team. Allison Zimpfer-Hoerr began the Clinical Coordinator role in late January and transitioned for a few months, seeing through commitments and projects begun as Prevention Coordinator. Louise Magiera, a recent MSW graduate who has worked with adults with intellectual and developmental disabilities and individuals with substance use disorders, started in May as a full-time counselor. In June, Lauren Baney joined the team as Clinical Case Manager. Lauren holds a Master's in Public Health and started at YSB as a Residential Specialist in the Binkley House Shelter. The year has been full of the natural challenges that come with learning a new job and building a new team; concomitantly, there's been ample opportunity to build capacity, identify strengths, and shape the approach for clinical service provision.

To that end, team members have participated in and facilitated a variety of professional development opportunities. In 2018, the team participated in several conferences including: Indiana Association of Resources and Child Advocacy (IARCA), Indiana Youth Institute Kids Count, National Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY) Conference, and the American Public Health Association (APHA) National Conference. Team members participated in a three-part Racial Equity Training Series, co-sponsored by YSB, as well as a two-day training on YSB's new Evidence Based Program, the Attachment, Regulation, Competency (ARC) Framework. The team also completed the extensive training requirements requisite for all YSB employees. Team members provided support and training to others as well, presenting or facilitating at the following conferences/events: Indiana Youth Service Association Annual Staff Retreat, RHY Conference, Monroe County Opioid Summit, and the Monroe County Childhood Conditions Summit (sponsored by YSB with the support of Building a Thriving Compassionate Community).

Our ability to provide care for youth and families is enhanced when we have strong relationships with community partners. Our case manager continued to forge closer partnerships with the Department of Child

Services and Monroe County Juvenile Probation; she attends court weekly to ensure agency responsiveness and advocacy, as well as field possible referrals for service. Counselors also accompany residents to court as needed. Members of the team also interface with local school staff about individual residents and larger community trends. We helped to nurture new relationships with IU Health and PALS to provide psychoeducational programming to residents. The Clinical Coordinator and Prevention Coordinator also spearheaded a Trauma Informed Care Peer Learning Community with the Boys and Girls Clubs of Bloomington in an effort to collaboratively explore organizational change efforts.

A regular Clinical Work Group was added to the meeting rotation with a primary goal of re-evaluating team tools and processes. As a result, both shelter and community-based documentation were adapted to be more aligned with the ARC Framework. In addition to the Work Group, team members have contributed to committees and served in other capacities at YSB, including Values Day development, staff retreat facilitation, grant writing, training, Senior Leadership, and Prevention sub-committees.

In 2019, the Clinical Team will continue to provide crisis intervention services, and seek to minimize harm and prevent re-traumatization. We aspire to do so while understanding the complicated contexts of the people with whom we partner and advocating for changes within and across organizations, communities, and systems.

Allison Zimpfer-Hoerr, Clinical Coordinator

Prevention Coordinator Report

2018 was the second year of the Prevention Coordinator role at Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County (YSB). Our initiatives and programs continued to partner with community members and organizations to promote safe, stable, nurturing, relationships, and environments (SSNREs).⁶ The goal of preventing negative childhood conditions broadly connects the efforts of the former Asset Building Coalition subcommittees now under the umbrella of YSB: Building a Thriving Compassionate Community (BTCC), Monroe County Youth Council (MCYC), the Bloomington AfterSchool Network (BASN), and the Indiana Youth Institute (IYI) Monroe County Youth Worker Cafes. We are excited to share Prevention highlights of 2018 and look forward to new projects and endeavors in the coming year.

Community Collaborations:

Building a Thriving Compassionate Community (BTCC) concluded Monroe County's participation in the Healthiest Cities and Counties Challenge in September of 2018. The local Challenge effort engaged 12 cross-sector partners over two years who were committed to advancing health equity through policy and practice changes. The focus on health equity continued beyond the Challenge with an effort to bring Implicit Bias Training to the community. BTCC, Mother Hubbard's Cupboard (MHC), and Showing Up for Racial Justice (SURJ) collaborated to offer a Racial Equity Training Series in early 2018 with the goal of building community capacity and a common language around implicit bias and its impact. The series exceeded capacity with over 50 participants in an Implicit Bias Training and Training of Trainers from the Peace Learning Center and an Anti-Racism Training with Applegate Consulting. The cohort model of the Healthiest Cities and Counties Challenge was a promising template for the Implicit Bias Community of Practice, which came together following the Racial Equity Training Series, in October of 2018, with the similar goal of advancing equity by defining and mitigating implicit bias by addressing organizational policy and practice. As of the end of 2018, the Implicit Bias Community of Practice included over a dozen trainers actively partnering with organizations. The Community of Practice includes participants from YSB, BTCC, MHC, Monroe County Community School Corporation (MCCSC), Indiana University, and the Indiana Coalition Against Domestic Violence (ICADV) who meet regularly, train, and partner with community organizations to build social inclusion, an essential for health equity.

The Monroe County Youth Council completed a fruitful 2017-2018 school year. Team members initiated partnerships with community organizations with whom they could develop a relationship. MCYC members joined teams that volunteered monthly (between October and May) with a partner organization. Teams were oriented to their partners' missions, role in the community, needs, and relationship to other organizations. Council members, having come to know their partner organizations, were equipped and eager to lead their teams in service on Global Youth Service Day in April. Council members agreed to adapt Global

⁶ <https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/childabuseandneglect/essentials.html>

Youth Service Day in response to the National Walkout. Members, in response to nationwide calls for gun control, moved GYSD from a one day event to 17 Days of Service to honor the 17 students and teachers killed in Parkland, FL. The 17 Days of Service initiative started on April 20th with 80 student volunteers from Edgewood High School at two service project sites: Bloomington Parks and Recreation Griffy Lake Nature Preserve and Boys and Girls Clubs of Bloomington Camp Rock at Lake Lemon. Five other projects, supported by student volunteers from Bloomington High School North, Bloomington High School South, Bloomington Graduation School, and the Academy of Science and Entrepreneurship, took place during the 17 Days of Service at Hoosier Hills Food Bank, Mother Hubbard's Cupboard, Bloomington Community Bike Project, the League of Women Voters and City of Bloomington Parks and Recreation.

The Bloomington AfterSchool Network aims to increase the provision of high quality out-of-school-time youth development programs to all Monroe County youth through a collaborative effort among membership agencies. The Prevention Coordinator contributed to the BASN as an Advisory Team member and secretary. In 2018 members of the network collaborated to offer a training through Chances and Services for Youth focused on social emotional skills for summer staff. The network advisory team has representatives from the Monroe County Public Library Teen Center, Boys and Girls Club of Bloomington, WonderLab, the City of Bloomington Parks and Recreation's Kid City, the Indiana Afterschool Network, and more.

Indiana Youth Institute (IYI) Monroe County Youth Worker Cafes took place in February, April and September of 2018. In February, IYI and BTCC collaborated to offer a conversation on the school to prison pipeline featuring Caleb Poer, a senior at Bloomington North High School, who provided historical background on this trend and how it has impacted schools nationwide and locally. Following the presentation, Caleb joined representatives from MCCSC, Richland Bean-Blossom (RBB), and the [Indiana Coalition for Public Education-Monroe County](#) for a panel discussion. In April, in response to the opioid crisis and changing statewide priorities, IYI and YSB partnered to offer a panel exploring the state of child welfare. The panel was facilitated by YSB's Deputy Director and featured participants representing the Indiana Department of Child's Services, Court Appointed Special Advocates, and the foster care system. Following up on the topic of substance abuse, the September cafe featured the Hope Academy and focused on supporting youth experiencing addiction. Participants gained an understanding about the challenges facing teens with a substance use disorder, the impact of substances on brain development, and the benefits of a recovery supported learning environment for teens with substance use disorders who are new to recovery.

Community Education:

Youth Services Bureau, with support from BTCC and a local planning team, coordinated the 3rd annual Monroe County Childhood Conditions Summit (MC3) in December 2018. Just under 200 community members convened at the Monroe Convention Center to continue exploring conditions by focusing on connections. We selected this theme because it is our connections to others and to resources that protects us and our children from a host of health and social problems. Workshop offerings included: Exploring the Intersectionality of Identities, a youth-led panel presented by the Prism Youth Community and the Movement; Youth and Tech: Finding unique ways to connect with teens, a session presented by the Monroe County Public Library; and Creating the Possibilities for Connection through State Policy, presented by the Indiana Institute for Working

Families. Summit participants represented a variety of sectors and institutions, including: child welfare, medical, education, social services, youth partnering & youth serving, emergency services (food, domestic violence, housing & homelessness), higher education (social work & public health, community college), child care, juvenile justice, City and County Govt., business, township trustees, mental health/counseling, arts, religious, advocacy, and more. We are especially excited that 11% of participants, including presenters and facilitators, were under the age of 25. We are grateful to individual sponsors, as well as the Suicide Prevention Coalition of Monroe County and Mental Health America, for allowing us to waive the registration fee for youth and cover requested scholarships. Summit feedback included the following comments: *“I liked the diversity of topics to choose from, the range of organizations present and represented, and the different modes of sharing information.”* *“Great panels and speakers, a wonderful way to connect with others in the field.”* *“So glad we have this summit in Bloomington. It is great to have this enrichment in our own community and I am proud to know our County supports preventative measures and helping improve childhood conditions.”* We're excited to begin planning the 4th MC3 Summit, slated for November 2019.

The Prevention Coordinator and Clinical Coordinator facilitated several capacity building opportunities in Monroe and other counties throughout 2018, including:

- Primary prevention trainings, often co-facilitated with BTCC core team members, were held at four community locations, including at the Monroe County’s Annual Opioid Summit.
- Introductory level trauma training was shared at the Boys and Girls Club of Bloomington and the Shalom Community Center
- Dominant Narrative trainings were shared with Indiana University’s Cox Scholars Program and Mother Hubbard’s Cupboard
- Implicit Bias trainings, often co-facilitated with members of BTCC’s Implicit Bias Community of Practice, to over a dozen organizations and the the Indiana Youth Services Association Retreat
- Advocacy trainings with co-facilitation from BTCC members were offered to the community

Prevention work is an important addition to the work of the Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County. While the intervention work of the YSB is central, prevention grounds the agency in meeting its broader mission and vision.

Stephanie Solomon, Prevention Coordinator

For a list of Community Partners and resources see Appendix B

Appendix A

Safe Place Events

Events January 1st to December 31st 2018

| Date | Event | Youth Reached | Adults Reached | Facilitator |
|-----------|---|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| 1/3/18 | YSB Binkley House Shelter Focus | 2 | 1 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 1/17/2018 | YSB Binkley House Shelter Focus | 3 | 3 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 1/18/2018 | Ivy Tech Volunteer Fair | 0 | 40 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 2/6/2018 | TLRC Youth Basketball Sponsor Table | 100 | 75 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 2/8/2018 | YSB Binkley House Shelter Focus | 9 | 2 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 2/15/2018 | Greene County Alliance Meeting | 0 | 20 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 2/20/2018 | Safe Place Volunteer Training | 0 | 2 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 2/21/2018 | Citizen's Academy | 0 | 11 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 3/6/2018 | New Beginnings Site Training | 0 | 5 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 3/8/2018 | YSB Binkley House Shelter Focus | 3 | 2 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 3/14/2018 | White River Valley School Corp. Program Meeting | 0 | 12 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 3/15/2017 | Spring Fling | 250 | 50 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 3/16/2018 | YSB Staff Safe Place Training | 0 | 10 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 3/20/2018 | Sunrise Rotary Presentation | 0 | 6 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 3/22/2018 | YSB Binkley House Shelter Focus | 6 | 3 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 3/24/2018 | Children's Expo | 741 | 494 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 4/3/2018 | YSB Binkley House Shelter Focus | 2 | 1 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 4/4/2018 | Cathleen's Gymnastics Site Training | 0 | 3 | Brigitt Nasby |

| | | | | |
|---------------|--|------|------|-------------------------|
| 4/10/2018 | Monroe County Commissioners Bicentennial Event | 10 | 15 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 4/17/2018 | YSB Binkley House Shelter Focus | 2 | 3 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 4/17/2018 | Greene County CASA Presentation | 0 | 15 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 4/18/2018 | WRV School Board Presentation | 5 | 30 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 4/21/2018 | DCS Child Abuse & Neglect Prevention Event | 20 | 10 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 5/1/2018 | YSB Binkley House Shelter Focus | 5 | 1 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 5/15/2018 | YSB Binkley House Shelter Focus | 6 | 1 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 5/24/2018 | Site Interest Meeting with Hamilton Center | 0 | 1 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 6/2/2018 | Spencer Pride | 250 | 300 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 6/8/2018 | YSB Staff Safe Place Training | 0 | 4 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 6/12/2018 | MCPL Picnic at Flatwoods | 50 | 30 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 6/14/2018 | Meadows Hospital Site Training | 0 | 5 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 6/19/2018 | YSB Binkley House Shelter Focus | 8 | 1 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 6/20/2018 | Bloomington Exchange Club Presentation | 0 | 25 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 6/29-7/6/2018 | Monroe County Fair | 3000 | 2500 | Brigitt Nasby/YSB Staff |
| 7/7-15/2018 | Owen County Fair | 800 | 700 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 7/20/2018 | City of Bloomington Movies in the Park at Bryan Pool Sponsor Table | 100 | 50 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 7/21/2018 | Owen County Back to School Event | 408 | 375 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 8/7/2018 | Greene County National Night Out | 500 | 350 | Brigitt Nasby |

| | | | | |
|------------|---|-----|-----|-------------------------------|
| 8/8/2018 | YSB Binkley House Shelter Focus | 2 | 2 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 8/15/2018 | Jackson Creek MS Open House | 200 | 175 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 8/17/2018 | MWH BHR Presentation at The Academy | 37 | 2 | Sam Harrell |
| 8/21/2018 | Fairview Elem Open House | 100 | 100 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 8/23/2018 | YSB Binkley House Shelter Focus | 2 | 2 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 8/24/2018 | Juvenile Probation Meeting | 0 | 21 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 8/24/2018 | MWH BHR Presentation at Edgewood JHS | 137 | 2 | Sam Harrell |
| 8/25/2018 | Bloomington PrideFest | 200 | 500 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 8/27/2018 | Broadview Learning Center Resource Fair | 30 | 30 | Broadview Staff |
| 9/5/2018 | YSB Binkley House Shelter Focus | 7 | 1 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 9/10/2018 | MWH BHR Presentation at BHSN | 186 | 2 | Sam Harrell |
| 9/17/2018 | MWH BHR Presentation at Edgewood HS | 75 | 1 | Sam Harrell/ Brigitt Nasby |
| 9/24/2018 | MWH BHR Presentation at Harmony School | 48 | 2 | Sam Harrell |
| 9/25/2018 | WRV Elementary Site Training | 0 | 1 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 9/25/2018 | WRV Middle School Site Training | 0 | 2 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 9/26/2018 | MWH BHR Presentation at BHSS | 133 | 2 | Sam Harrell |
| 9/28/2018 | Ellettsville FD Site Training | 0 | 1 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 9/29/2018 | PALS Fall Festival | 30 | 30 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 10/5/2018 | MWH BHR Presentation at Harmony | 24 | 3 | Sam Harrell |
| 10/12/2018 | YSB Binkley House Shelter Focus | 6 | 1 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 10/18/2018 | MWH BHR Presentation at Harmony HS | 15 | 2 | Sam Harrell |

| | | | | |
|------------|--|-----|-----|---------------|
| 10/20/2018 | Trick or Treat Trail | 432 | 520 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 10/20/2018 | Skate and Scare | 150 | 100 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 10/24/2018 | YSB Binkley House Shelter Focus | 3 | 1 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 10/25/2018 | WRV HS Staff Training | 0 | 18 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 10/29/2018 | MWH BHR Presentation at Jackson Creek MS | 152 | 2 | Sam Harrell |
| 11/8/2018 | YSB Binkley House Shelter Focus | 5 | 3 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 11/14/2018 | WRV HS Info Table | 300 | 30 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 11/16/2018 | YSB Staff Safe Place Training | 0 | 8 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 11/16/2018 | MWH BHR Presentation at Batchelor MS | 158 | 2 | Sam Harrell |
| 11/21/2018 | YSB Binkley House Shelter Focus | 5 | 2 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 11/29/2018 | Owen Valley HS Info Table | 735 | 20 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 11/30/2018 | IU Class | 0 | 30 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 12/3/2018 | Jackson Creek MS Site Training | 0 | 35 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 12/5/2018 | YSB Binkley House Shelter Focus | 5 | 3 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 12/12/2018 | MCCSC Security Guards Training | 0 | 12 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 12/14/2018 | MWH BHR Presentation at Tri-North MS | 159 | 2 | Sam Harrell |
| 12/15/2018 | Skate with Santa | 150 | 150 | Brigitt Nasby |
| 12/19/2018 | YSB Binkley House Shelter Focus | 6 | 2 | Brigitt Nasby |

Safe Place sites in Monroe County

| South Monroe County | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| Fire House #5 | 1987 S. Henderson | Bloomington |
| Pizza X- South | 2433 S. Walnut Pike | Bloomington |
| School-Batchelor Middle School | 900 Gordon Pike | Bloomington |
| School-Bloomington High School South | 1965 S. Walnut Street | Bloomington |
| School-Childs Elementary | 2211 S. High Street | Bloomington |
| School-Clear Creek Elementary | 300 W. Clear Creek Drive | Bloomington |
| YMCA | 2125 S. Highland Ave | Bloomington |
| YMCA Gymnastics Center | 1917 S. Highland Ave | Bloomington |
| School-Jackson Creek Middle School | 3980 S. Sare Road | Bloomington |
| School-Lakeview Elementary | 9090 S. Strain Ridge Road | Bloomington |
| School-Templeton Elem | 1400 S. Brenda Lane | Bloomington |
| Monroe Hospital | 4011 S. Monroe Medical Park Blvd. | Bloomington |
| East Monroe County | | |

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| Fire House #4 | 2001 E. 3 rd Street | Bloomington |
| Pizza X- Campus | 1791 E. 10 th Street | Bloomington |
| Pizza X- East | 877 S. College Mall Road | Bloomington |
| School-Unionville Elementary | 8144 E. State Road 45 | Unionville |
| School-University Elementary | 1111 Russell Road | Bloomington |
| School-Binford Elementary | 2300 E. 2 nd Street | Bloomington |
| School-Rogers Elementary | 2200 E. 2 nd Street | Bloomington |
| West Monroe County | | |
| Bloomington Transit Buses | 130 W. Grimes Lane | Bloomington |
| Bloomington Transit Station- main | 130 W. Grimes Lane | Bloomington |
| Bloomington Township Trustee | 2111 W. Fountain Dr. | Bloomington |
| Banneker Community Center | 930 W. 7 th Street | Bloomington |
| Girls Inc | 1108 West 8 th Street | Bloomington |
| Boys and Girls Club- Crestmont | 1111 W. 12 th St. | Bloomington |
| YMCA-NorthWest | 1375 N. Wellness Way | Bloomington |
| Pizza X –West | 1610 W. 3 rd Street | Bloomington |

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| School- Arlington Heights | 700 W Parrish Road | Bloomington |
| School- Fairview Elem | 627 W. 8 th Street | Bloomington |
| School- Highland Park Elem | 900 Park Square Drive | Bloomington |
| School- Grandview Elem | 2300 S. Endwright Road | Bloomington |
| School- Broadview Learning Center | 705 W. Coolidge Drive | Bloomington |
| School- Tri-North Middle School | 1000 W. 15 th Street | Bloomington |
| School- Summit Elem | 1450 W. Countryside Lane | Bloomington |
| School- Ivy Tech (Main Campus) | 200 Daniels Way | Bloomington |
| School- Ivy Tech (School of Nursing) | 101 Daniels Way | Bloomington |
| School- Ivy Tech (ICLSBL) | 501 N. Profile Parkway | Bloomington |
| Youth Services Bureau of MC | 615 S. Adams Street | Bloomington |
| Fire Department- Van Buren | 2130 Kirby Road | Bloomington |
| Fire Station 2 | 205 S Yancy Lane | Bloomington |
| Downtown Monroe County | | |
| Fire House #1 | 300 E. 4 th Street | Bloomington |
| Monroe County Library | 303 E. Kirkwood Ave. | Bloomington |

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|
| Monroe County Library Book Mobile | | |
| School-Bloomington Project | 349 S. Walnut | Bloomington |
| Allison Jukebox Community Center | 349 S Washington St | Bloomington |
| Boys and Girls Club- Lincoln | 1201 W. 3 rd St. | Bloomington |
| WonderLab | 308 W. 4 th Street | Bloomington |
| Rhino's All Ages Music Center | 331 S. Walnut Street | Bloomington |
| Bloomington Transit Depot | 301 S. Walnut Street | Bloomington |
| Ivy Tech (JWAC) | 122 S. Walnut Street | Bloomington |
| Big Brother Big Sisters | 501 N Walnut Street | Bloomington |

North Monroe County

| | | |
|---|----------------------------|--------------|
| Bloomington Township Fire Dept | 5081 N. Old State Rd 37 | Bloomington |
| School-Bloomington High School North | 3901 N. Kinser Pike | Bloomington |
| CVS | 4444 W. State Road 46 | Bloomington |
| Fire House #3 | 900 N. Woodlawn | Bloomington |
| Fire House #8 | 900 N. Curry Pike | Bloomington |
| School- Marlin Elementary | 1655 E. Bethel Lane | Bloomington |
| Harley Davidson | 522 W. Gourley Pike | Bloomington |
| Meadows Hospital | 3600 N. Prow Rd | Bloomington |
| Ellettsville Fire Dept HQ | 5080 W. State Rd. 46 | Bloomington |
| Monroe County Library-Ellettsville branch | 600 W. Temperance Street | Ellettsville |
| Pizza X | 4621 W. Richland Plaza Dr. | Bloomington |
| School-The Edge Alternative School | 319 W. Temperance St | Ellettsville |
| School-Edgewood Primary | 7700 W. Reeves Rd. | Ellettsville |
| School-Edgewood Intermediate Elementary | 7600 W. Reeves Rd | Ellettsville |
| School-Edgewood Junior High | 851 W. Edgewood Dr. | Ellettsville |

| | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------|
| School-Edgewood High School | 601 S. Edgewood Dr. | Ellettsville |
| Boys & Girls Club Ellettsville | 7600 W Reeves Rd | Ellettsville |
| Safe Place Sites in Greene County | | |
| Bloomfield-Eastern Greene Co. Library Eastern branch | 11453 East State Road 54 | Bloomfield |
| Bloomfield-Eastern Greene Co. Library Main branch | 125 S. Franklin Street | Bloomfield |
| Eastern Greene Elementary | 10503 E State Road 54 | Bloomfield |
| Linton Fire Department | 230 NW A Street | Linton |
| Linton-Stockton Elementary | 900 NE 4 th Street NE | Linton |
| Welch & Cornett Funeral Home | 140 E. Vincennes St. | Linton |
| Shakamak Elementary | 9233 Shakamak School Road | Jasonville |
| Shakamak Jr & High School | 9233 Shakamak School Road | Jasonville |
| White River Valley Middle School | 2926 IN-67 | Lyons |
| White River Valley High School | 5644 IN-54 | Switz City |
| White River Valley Elementary | 484 W. Main Street | Worthington |
| Welch & Cornett Funeral Home | 23 S. Main Street | Worthington |

Safe Place Sites in Owen County

| | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Gosport Elementary | 201 North 9 th Street | Gosport |
| Patricksburg Elementary | 9883 State Road 246 | Patricksburg |
| Post Office | 3218 S Street | Quincy |
| Cathleen's Gymnastics | 145 S Washington St | Spencer |
| CommUnity Center | 17 E. Market Street | Spencer |
| McCormicks Creek Elementary | 1601 Flatwoods Road | Spencer |
| New Beginnings Pregnancy Resource Center | 189 S. Main Street | Spencer |
| Owen County Chamber of Commerce | 119 S Main Street | Spencer |
| Owen Valley Christian Fellowship | 338 State Highway 43 | Spencer |
| Owen Valley Middle School | 626 West State Highway 46 | Spencer |
| Owen Valley High School | 622 West State Highway 46 | Spencer |
| Spencer Elementary | 151 East Hillside Ave. | Spencer |
| West & Parrish & Pedigo Funeral Home | 105 N. Montgomery St. | Spencer |
| YMCA | 1111 West State Highway 46 | Spencer |

Appendix B

2018 Community Partners / Shared Programming Resources

Thank you for supporting AND connecting youth within our community

| | |
|--|---|
| ACHIEVE Coalition | Monroe County Department of Children Services |
| Active Living Coalition | Monroe County Health Department |
| Banneker Center | Monroe County History Center |
| Bloomington After School Network | Monroe County Juvenile Probation |
| Bloomington Community Bike Project | Monroe County Parks & Recreation |
| Bloomington Commission on the Status of Children & Youth | Monroe County Public Library |
| Bloomington Parks and Recreation | Monroe County Recycling Center |
| Bloomington Police Department | Monroe County Sheriff's Department |
| Bloomington Transit | Monroe county Showing up for Racial Justice |
| Bloomington Township Trustee | Monroe County United Ministries |
| Bloomington Volunteer Network | Monroe County YMCA |
| Boys and Girls Clubs of Bloomington | Monroe County Youth Council |
| Building A Thriving Compassionate Community (BTCC) | Mother Hubbard's Cupboard |
| Center for Collaborative Systems change (IU) | New Hope Family Shelter |
| Centerstone | NonProfit Alliance |
| City of Bloomington Community & Family Resources Department | Purdue Agile Strategy Lab |
| CODA, Terre Haute | Peace Learning Center |
| Community Justice and mediation | Planned Parenthood |
| Family Solutions | Prism Youth Community |
| Herald Times | Purdue Extension-Monroe County |
| Hoosier Hills Food Bank | Richland Bean Blossom Schools |
| Indiana Association of Residential Child Care Agencies | Rural Transit |
| Indiana Coalition Against Domestic Violence | Safe Passage, (Batesville) |
| Indiana Housing & Community Development | South Central Community Action (S.C.C.A.P.) |
| Indiana Trafficking Victims Assistance Program | Stepping Stones |
| Indiana University Auditorium | Suicide Prevention Coalition |
| Indiana University School of Education and Counseling Psychology | Susie's Place |
| Indiana Youth Services Association | Systems of Care (Monroe County) |

| | |
|---|---|
| Indiana University School of Public Health | The Academy of Science & Entrepreneurship |
| Indiana University School of Social Work | The Warehouse |
| IU Health Bloomington-Coordinated School Health | Thriving Connections- Monroe County |
| Indiana Youth Institute | United Way of Monroe County |
| Indiana Youth Services Association | WFHB Radio Station |
| League of Women Voters of Bloomington and Monroe County | Women Writing for a change Bloomington |
| Meadows Behavioral Health Hospital | WonderLab |
| Middle Way House | WorkOne |
| Monroe County Community School Corporation | Nurse Family Partnership |

What the Youth Want Others to Know

"It was a great environment."

"You made a hard time somewhat better and tolerable. You guys are amazing."

"I just want to say thank you for all your support and guidance in the past 3 weeks. This shelter is a blessing and without it, I don't know where I would be at this point in time. I came here to get out of a bad spot. But over time, I realized I needed to vent to get away. So, thank you for letting me do that. Honestly, if it was my choice I would stay. Because for once I think I was starting to become happy. I wish that could have continued"

"I appreciate that all the staff members made me feel important. All of them were very kind and understanding."

"Since I've been here, I've learned in order to be heard, you have to speak. In order to speak you have to know what to say. I learned that complaining isn't a bad thing, it's a way of expressing your pain. But most of all, I learned that if I was to be in a bad situation, I know where I can go."

"It's a good program and the staff rocks!"

"It's fun but very educational and it's helpful for the mind and body"

"Thank you all for taking to me and helping me through everything."

"I appreciate that all the staff members made me feel important. All of them were very kind and understanding."

"Because the shelter offers good help to youth that actually need the help"

"It's a safe place for youth and other youth."

"Its a pretty relaxed environment, the other youth become family"

"its a good place for youth to get help and also to get help with problems at home and it gives teens a break from any problems they facing"

"It's a safe place that makes sure you have everything you need"

"The staff here at the youth shelter help and try there hardest to provide help and support to the residents that are here for help."

What Parents/Guardians Say

"It truly can be one of the best and safest options for a child. It is full of acceptance and people who want the very best for the youth."

"We are so thankful the shelter exists! Thank you for all you do!"

"The staff was very nice and were quick to offer any help they could."

“The shelter is an amazing place and, in this crisis, an indispensable resource for our family.”

“The center was very helpful to my daughter and generous to supply any needs she made have had I'm thankful she had a place like this to go during such a difficult time”

“I really appreciate YSB as a community resource. I hope the programs continue to be successful at helping area youth traverse society. Thank you all for your kindness.”

“I feel all our questions were answered and all the resources that were available were given.”

“I do think that the services are very beneficial when the services are needed and this is a very good program to have in place for the community.”