



2019
Annual
Report

Monroe Circuit
Court

MONROE CIRCUIT COURT

DIVISIONS I - IX
OFFICE OF COURT SERVICES
MONROE COUNTY PROBATION SERVICES
MONROE YOUTH SERVICES BUREAU

PREPARED BY:

Staff	<i>Office of Court Services</i>
Linda Brady	<i>Chief Probation Officer</i>
Victoria Thevenow	<i>Executive Director, YSB</i>

Table of Contents

PERSONNEL	2
BOARD OF JUDGES	6
OFFICE OF COURT SERVICES	
Introduction	21
Financial Management.....	21
Security Management	24
Jury Management	24
Case Management.....	25
Family Court.....	29
Court Support Program.....	31
Appendix	35
PROBATION	
Mission Statement.....	47
Introduction	48
Organizational Chart.....	56
Financial Information	57
Juvenile Division	62
Adult Division	76
Community Corrections.....	88
Problem Solving Courts.....	115
Support Division.....	127
Other Programs	129
Appendix	137
YOUTH SERVICES BUREAU	
Mission & History.....	176
Accreditations.....	176
Our Services.....	177
Organizational Structure.....	178
Staff.....	179
Executive Director Report.....	180
Financial & Personnel Coordinator.....	182
Program Coordinator Report.....	184
Binkley House Shelter Program.....	186
Binkley House Shelter Report.....	187
YSB Service Inquiries.....	189
YSB Services Provided & Placement types.	190
Safe Place Coordinator Report.....	195
Clinical Coordinator Report.....	197
Prevention Coordinator Report.....	199
Safe Place Events & Locations Appendix A.....	202
Community Collaborations Appendix B.....	209
Youth Served.....	211
Parent/Guardian Opinion.....	212

MONROE CIRCUIT COURT PERSONNEL

MONROE CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION I

Beth Reardon	Official Court Reporter
Jennifer Kalkanoff	Associate Court Reporter
Taylor McGlocklin	Associate Court Reporter

MONROE CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION II

Melissa Starry	Official Court Reporter
Kelly Hutchens	Associate Court Reporter
Jessica Wasson	Associate Court Reporter

MONROE CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION III

Cindi Deckard	Official Court Reporter
Ann Lettelleir	Associate Court Reporter
Brandie Martindale	Associate Court Reporter

MONROE CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION IV

Laura Hannon	Official Court Reporter
Holly Helms	Associate Court Reporter
Deana Clingerman	Associate Court Reporter
Kathryn Dodd	Associate Court Reporter

MONROE CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION V

Katie Oliver	Official Court Reporter
Cassie England	Associate Court Reporter
Monica Bartlett	Associate Court Reporter

MONROE CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION VI

Karina Brikmanis	Official Court Reporter
Kari Gause	Associate Court Reporter
Rachel McMasters	Associate Court Reporter

MONROE CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION VII

Connie Crohn	Official Court Reporter
Charity Sullivan	Associate Court Reporter
Amy Erler	Associate Court Reporter

MONROE CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION VIII

Amber Zike	Official Court Reporter
Erica Hudson	Associate Court Reporter
Tiffany Shupe	Associate Court Reporter
Kelly Landrum	Associate Court Reporter

MONROE CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION IX

Kathy Pointer	Official Court Reporter
Kyrstin Saft	Associate Court Reporter
Amy Burkins	Associate Court Reporter

MONROE CIRCUIT COURT COMMISSIONER

Wendy Crohn	Official Court Reporter
Andrea Nickless	Associate Court Reporter
Mary Baker	Associate Court Reporter

OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION

Court Administrator

Lisa Abraham

Deputy Court Administrator

Jama Chandler

Court Programs Coordinator

Michelle Pritchard

Case Management Coordinator

Shannon Guidry

Financial Coordinator

Melissa Patterson

Public Service Coordinator

Lisa Wesemann

Associate Floating Court Reporters

Kelly Landrum

Kathryn Dodd

Bailiffs

Robert Thomas

Jeff Alwine

Michael Schmaltz

Richard Stacy

John Brashaber

Danny Boruff

Melody Clark

William White

Michael Krebbs

Information Desk Personnel

Shirley McClure

Rebecca McClellan

MONROE COURT PROBATION SERVICES

Linda Brady, Chief Probation Officer

Becca Streit, Assistant Chief Probation Officer / Community Corrections Director

Troy Hatfield, Deputy Chief Probation Officer

ADULT SUPERVISION

Valerie Collins	Supervisor
James Adcock	Probation Officer
Heath Adkins	Probation Officer
Daniel Alcantar	Probation Officer
Leah Baker	Probation Officer
Jill Barnett	Probation Officer
Amy Barthold	Probation Officer
Ken Bugler	Probation Officer
Eric Chambers	Probation Officer
Andrew Chandler	Probation Officer
Nikki Faletic	Probation Officer
Takarta Flagg	Probation Officer
Mallory Maus	Probation Officer
Jessica McCammon	Probation Officer
LaRae Powers	Probation Officer
Erin Werner	Probation Officer
Jaime Zoss	Probation Officer

ADULT INTAKE

Susan Allen	Supervisor/Court & Alcohol Drug Program Director
Marsha Anderson	Probation Officer
Dianna Johnson	Probation Officer
Sandra Moss	Probation Officer
Christy Scheid	Probation Officer
Brent Townsend	Probation Officer
Martin Wood	Probation Officer
Olivia Zajac	Probation Officer

PRE-TRIAL DIVISION

Chelsea Walters	CQI Supervisor
Megan Davin	Probation Officer
Megan Mahaffey	Probation Officer
Rachael Scott	Probation Officer
Leah Snow	Probation Officer
Julie Robertson	Probation Officer

SUPPORT STAFF

Melissa Wallace	Office Administrator
Cailin Adams	Juvenile Secretary
Natalie Crider	Office Manager-Curry
Justin Foote	Cashier
Kyle Marcum	Adult Secretary
Keri Walden	Office Manager-CC
Cortni Medley	Receptionist-CC
Morgan Richardson	Receptionist-Curry

COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS

Christian Carlisle	CASP Supervisor
Desmond Blevins	CASP Field Officer
Chad Christensen	CASP Field Officer
Dylan Erwin	CASP Field Officer
Katy Garriott	CASP Case Manager
Brandon Hecht	CASP Field Officer
Amy Matney	CASP Case Manager
Greg Matthews	CASP Field Officer
Morgan Michalski	CASP Case Manager
Dorothy Perrotte	CASP Case Manager
Curtis Wilder	CASP Field Officer

PROBLEMSOLVING COURT

Steve Malone	Coordinator
Ted Berry	Case Manager
Dillon Flynn	PSC Field Officer
Brier Frasier	Case Manager
Richard Greco	Case Manager
Kara Mahuron	Case Manager
Tyler Parrish	PSC Field Officer
Alexis Stogdill	Case Manager
Rhonda Welp	Case Manager

JUVENILE DIVISION

Jeff Hartman	Supervisor
Nick Ackerman	Probation Officer
Mandy Capps	Probation Officer
Gena Delos Santos	Probation Officer
May Ellis	Probation Officer
Brittany Grenier	Probation Officer
Sky Kilpatrick	Probation Officer
Christine McAfee	Probation Officer
Debra Wray	Probation Officer

PART-TIME SUPPORT STAFF

Clark Byers	Probation Officer Assistant
Camile Coplan	Probation Officer Assistant
Alsiba Johnson	Probation Officer Assistant
Hope Karas	Probation Officer Assistant
Amelia Rude	Probation Officer Assistant
Hannah Ryzewski	Probation Officer Assistant
Kurtis Smallwood	Probation Officer Assistant
Dominc Thompson	Probation Officer Assistant
Matthew Zavacki	Probation Officer Assistant

2019 Youth Services Bureau Staff

Executive Director

Victoria Thevenow, M.Ed.

Deputy Director

Louis Malone IV

Finance and Personnel

Sarah Borden, Financial and Personnel Coordinator*

Shelle Fletcher, Financial and Personnel Coordinator

Jill Thompson, Office Manager

Prevention:

Stephanie Solomon, Prevention Coordinator

Clinical Team:

Allison Zimpfer-Hoerr, MSW, LCSW- Clinical Coordinator*

Ethan Smith, MFT, CSAYC – Clinical Coordinator

Louise Magiera, LSW- Clinician

Stacy Meadows, CSW,CYC-A– Clinician

Lauren Baney, Case Manager

Ashley Barrett, BSW Intern

Programming:

Vanessa Schmidt, Program Coordinator

Project Safe Place Program

Brigitt Nasby, Safe Place/YSB Shelter Outreach Coordinator

Binkley House Manager

Jen Vaught, Binkley House Manager

Binkley House Staff

Emily Arthur

Doris Bailey

Ashley Barrett

Collin Bates

Soni Blackburn*

Alex Burt

Destiny Bush*

Matt Cababie

Tykia Cantrell*

Derrick Clark

Carmen Diaz

Maria Elias

Rachel Estivill

Lucy Farmer*

Alison Garrett

Tanya Garnica-Sierra

Janet Hargrave

Hannah Kenoyer*

Tara Kirkpatrick

Terry Knoy

Alexxis Lara

Patrick Littlejohn*

Angela Reece

Diana Robertson

Renee Romanowski*

Leslie Sanchez*

Michael Shanks

Mercedes Sims

Katrina St. Henry

Erin Smith*

Jen Vaught

Riley Whisenhunt

*Previously held position or employment ended in 2019

BOARD OF JUDGES

MONROE CIRCUIT COURT BOARD OF JUDGES

BIOGRAPHICAL PROFILES

CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION I

Elizabeth A. Cure

Date Accepted Position: January 1, 2009

Family Members:

Karma Lochrie, Domestic Partner
Jennifer Cure, Daughter
Jesse Cure, Son (deceased)
Wesley Cure, Son

Undergraduate Degree:

Indiana University, Bachelor of Arts (Comparative Literature (1972)

Masters Degree:

Certified Teacher in Indiana (1973)
Indiana University, Master of Arts (Linguistics)

Law School:

Indiana University, School of Law, Doctor of Jurisprudence *cum laude* (1989)

Related Legal Experience:

Private Practice (1990 – 2008)
Indiana Legal Services (1997 – 1999)

Additional Judicial Service:

Chair of Trial Rule Committee (current)
Member Protection Order Committee (2009-2015)
Indiana Judicial College (graduated May 2015)

Professional Organizations:

Monroe County Bar Association
Indiana Bar Association
Indiana Judges Association
American Bar Association

CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION II

Valeri Haughton

Date Accepted Position: January 1, 2009

Family:

Frank Motley III, Husband Five children

Nineteen grandchildren

Undergraduate Degree:

University of Iowa, Bachelor of Arts (Political Science, History)

Graduate Certificate

Women's Studies – University of Iowa

Law School:

University of Iowa College of Law, Juris Doctorate [1992]

Professional

Mental Health Counselor (1973- 1989)

Related Legal Experience(s):

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney, Marion County (1993-1997) Deputy Prosecuting Attorney, Monroe County (1997-2005)

Consultant, Indiana University- Office of the

Vice President for Diversity & Multicultural Affairs (2005 – 2007) Attorney, Office of the Monroe County Public

Defender (2007 – 2008)

Professional Organizations: Monroe County Bar Association Indiana Bar Association

Indiana Judges Association

National Bar Association – Judicial Council Sheriff's Merit Board (2007 – 2008)

Additional Service:

Member, Bloomington Human Rights Commission (former Chair) Board of Directors:

Bloomington Playwrights Project Community Kitchen

NAACP Lifetime Member ACLU

Blue Ridge Neighborhood Association Benevolent and Protective Order of Elks Lodge #446, Bloomington, IN

CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION III

Christine Talley Haseman

Date Accepted Position:

January 1, 2019

Undergraduate Degree:

IU Kelley School of Business, Bachelor of Science (1988, with Honors and High Distinction)

Graduate Degree:

IU Kelley School of Business, Master of Business Administration (1991)

Law Degree:

IU Maurer School of Law, Doctor of Jurisprudence (1995)

Related Legal Experience:

Owner, Law Office of Christine Talley Haseman (Sole Proprietor)
Hearing Officer for the Indiana Supreme Court
Deputy Prosecuting Attorney, Lawrence County, Indiana
Judge, Monroe Circuit Court VIII (2008)
Juvenile Court Referee, Lawrence County Circuit Court
Public Defender for Lawrence County and Owen County conflict cases
Family Law Mediator
Associate Attorney, Ferguson & Ferguson
Associate Attorney, Stites & Harbison
Pro Bono Attorney for Monroe County CASA

Certifications

Indiana Bar
Kentucky Bar
Federal District Court for the Northern and Southern Districts of Indiana
Licensed Family Law Mediator, Indiana (retired)

Community Involvement

Board of Directors, Stone Belt
Board of Directors, National Alliance for Mental Illness – Greater Bloomington Area
International OCD Foundation
St. Mark's United Methodist Church (Member)
Former St. Mark's UMC Pastor-Staff-Parish Committee Member
Former Board Member, Stepping Stones, Inc.

Professional Involvement

Indiana Judges Association
Indiana Supreme Court Child Welfare Improvement Committee
Indiana Supreme Court Records Access and Management Committee
Former Member, Indiana Prosecuting Attorneys Council
Former Member, Indiana Public Defender Council
Former Member, Association of Family and Conciliation Courts

CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION IV

Catherine Stafford

Date Accepted Position:

January 1, 2019

Undergraduate Degree:

Indiana University, Bachelor of Arts in English and Medieval Certificate, 1993

Law School:

University of Minnesota Law School, Doctor of Jurisprudence, 1997

Law Council President, 1996-1997

Recipient, 1996 Minnesota Justice Foundation Outstanding Public Service Award

Wagner Labor Law Moot Court

Founder and Editor *The Public Interest Dimension* Newsletter

Legal Experience:

Director of Programs, Minnesota Justice Foundation, 1997-1999

Attorney, University Student Legal Services (University of Minnesota), 1997-1998

Attorney, Indiana Legal Services, Inc., Bloomington, 1999-2002

Legal Writing Instructor, Indiana University School of Law, Bloomington, 2002

Founder and Managing Attorney, Stafford Law Office, LLC, 2004 - 2018

Adjunct Professor, Indiana University School of Law, Bloomington, 2014 - current

Certifications & Bar Admissions:

Certified Family Law Specialist, as certified by the Family Law Certification Board, 2011

Registered Domestic Relations Mediator, 2005-2018

Collaborative Law Practitioner, 2005

State of Indiana, 1999

State of Minnesota, 1997 (now resigned as no longer living or practicing in Minnesota)

Community Involvement:

Girl Scouts, Assistant Troop Leader, 2019-current

Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA) Board of Directors, 2018-2020

Monroe County Plan Review Committee, 2017-2018

Monroe County Women's Commission, 2017-2018

Ivy Tech Criminal Justice and Paralegal Studies Advisory Council, 2015-2018

Navigators of Monroe County, Board of Directors and Scout Leader, 2013-2017

Highpoint Neighborhood Association, 2005-2007

City of Bloomington Commission on Sustainability, 2005-2007

Council of Neighborhood Associations, Board of Directors, 2005-2006

Buskirk-Chumley Theater Management, Inc. Board of Directors, 2003-2006

Old Northeast Neighborhood Association, 2001-2005

Bloomington Restorations Inc., Rehabilitation Award for *The Showers Bridwell House*, 2003

United Way Community Services of Monroe County, Inc. Board of Directors, 2000-2003

League of Women Voters of Minneapolis, Board of Directors, 1996-1998

Haitian Refugee Asylum Project, 1994

League of Women Voters of Bloomington-Monroe County, Inc., Board of Directors 1991-1994

Professional Involvement:

ADR Committee, 2019-current

Family Law Taskforce, 2019-current

Association of Family and Conciliation Courts (AFCC), 2012-current

Indiana Chapter, AFCC, 2014-current

Monroe County Bench Bar Conference Planning Committee 2012-current

Monroe County Bar Association Board of Directors, Secretary 2014-2016

Monroe County Bar Association Board of Directors, Vice President, 2016-2017

Bloomington Association of Collaborative Professionals, 2014-2018

International Association of Collaborative Professionals, 2014-2018

Minnesota State Bar Association Legal Assistance to the Disadvantaged Committee, 1997-1999

Hennepin County Bar Association Disability Sub-Committee, 1998-1999

CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION V

Mary Ellen Diekhoff

Dated Accepted Position:

January 1, 2005

Family Members:

Michael Diekhoff, Husband

Caitlin Diekhoff, Daughter

Undergraduate Degrees:

Valparaiso University, Bachelor of Arts, (1982 Honors Graduate)
Sociology/Psychology

Law School:

Indiana University School of Law, Bloomington, Doctor of Jurisprudence (1986)

Related Legal Experience:

Associate Attorney, Harrell, Clendening and Coyne

1st Deputy Attorney, Monroe County Prosecuting Attorney's Office

Adjunct Professor, Indiana University Criminal Justice Department

Adjunct Professor, Indiana University Maurer School of Law

Certifications:

Indiana Bar

Admitted, Federal District Court for the Northern and Southern District of Indiana

Certified Mediator, State of Indiana

Community Involvement-Previous:

Monroe County Parent Aid

Designated Drivers Council of Monroe County

Big Brothers/Big Sisters

Tulip Trace Council of Girl Scouts

Board of Education, St. Charles School

Community Involvement-Present:

National Volunteer Mediator, Girl Scouts USA

Professional Involvement:

Monroe County Bar Association

Indiana Judges Association

Chair, Indiana Judges Association Drug & Alcohol Program Committee

District 10 Pro Bono

Indiana Public Defender Commission

Indiana State Bar Association

Criminal Law Committee

CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION VI

Holly M. Harvey

Date Accepted Position: January 1, 2017

Family:

Matthew Harvey, Husband
Three children

Undergraduate Degree:

Indiana University (B.A. *high distinction*, Criminal Justice and Psychology, 1994), *Phi Beta Kappa*

Law School:

Indiana University Maurer School of Law, Juris Doctor, 1997

Related Legal Experience(s):

Associate Attorney, Bunge & Robertson, 1997-2013
Attorney/Owner, Holly Harvey Law, LLC, 2014-2016

Certifications/Specialized Training:

Certified Domestic Relations Mediator (2002-2016)
Parenting Coordinator (2014-2016)
Collaborative Professional (2013-2016)

Professional Organizations:

Monroe County Bar Association (Board of Directors, 2015-present)
Indiana Bar Association

- Board of Governors (Treasurer, Secretary, District Representative)
- Young Lawyers Section, District Representative
- Future of the Legal Profession Committee, 2016-present
- Revenue Enhancement Committee, 2015
- Wellness Committee, 2012-2013
- Budget and Finance Committee

American Bar Association

Indiana Judges Association

Indiana and National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges

Monroe County Bench Bar Conference Committee

Additional Service:

St. Charles Borromeo School,

- School Commission (2012-2015)
- Assistant Coach, 8th Grade Girls Volleyball (2015-2016)
- Assistant Coach, 5th Grade Boys Basketball (2015-2016)

St. Paul Catholic Center, Member

Tri Kappa Philanthropic Sorority (2007-present)

Camp Kesem Indiana University Advisory Board (2019-present)

Parent Volunteer, Bloomington High

School North Swim Team

CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION VII

Stephen R. Galvin

Date Accepted Position: January 1, 2005

Family Members:

Wife: Tamara Galvin

Son: Conor Galvin

Undergraduate Degrees:

Wabash College, 1978

Law School:

Indiana University, 1981

Employment History:

Attorney for the Monroe County Council, Commissioners, Auditor and Sheriff, 1990-2004

Attorney for the Monroe County Office of Family and Children, 1990-2004

Deputy Monroe County Prosecuting Attorney, 1987-1989

Public Defender, 1981-1986

Professional Organizations:

Monroe County Bar Association

Indiana State Bar Association

Indiana Judicial Conference – Former Chair, Juvenile Justice Improvement Committee

Indiana Judicial Conference - Former chair, Juvenile Bench Book Committee

Indiana State Judges Association

Former member, Indiana State Bar Association Committee on the Civil Rights of Children

Community Involvement:

Martha's House Emergency Homeless Shelter, Former President

Northside Exchange Club of Bloomington, Former President

St. Charles Borromeo Catholic Church, Former President of Parish Council

Youth Services Board, Former Secretary

Indiana Department of Corrections Juvenile Detention Standards Advisory Committee (1993)

CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION VIII

Judith Corcoran Benckart

Date Accepted Position:

November 9, 2018

Undergraduate Degrees:

Ball State University, Bachelor of Science (1978)
Psychology/Psychology of Human development/Social Work

Purdue University (August 1981 to May 1982)
21 hours of Business Management Courses

Law School:

Indiana University School of Law, Indianapolis, Doctor of Jurisprudence (1985)
National Moot Court – Information and Privacy
Member – Advisory Placement Board

Related Legal Experience:

Deputy Prosecutor, Monroe County Prosecuting Attorney's Office
Corporate Counsel, Stone Belt Freight Lines, Inc.
Partner/Shareholder, Jones McGlasson & Benckart, P.C.
Associate Attorney – Rogers & Jones
Hearing Officer – Fuel Tax; Consultant for Motor Carrier Authority Legal Section and
Supervisor of Motor Carrier Authority Legal Section, Indiana Department of Revenue
Associate Attorney, Smith & Murdock, P.C.
Administrative Law Judge, Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission (f/k/a Public Service
Commission)

Certifications:

Indiana bar
Admitted, Federal District Court of Southern District of Indiana

Community Involvement – Previous

Monroe County Red Cross Board
Board of Education, St. Charles School
Meals on Wheels
Altrusa International, Inc. of Bloomington, Indiana
Phi Delta Phi Legal Fraternity – Wilkie Inn
Pi Beta Phi Sorority
Navajo Club

Professional Involvement:

Monroe County Bar Association
Indiana Judges Association
Protective Order Committee

CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION IX

Darcie L. Fawcett

Date Accepted Position:

January 1, 2019

Undergraduate Degree:

University of Wisconsin-Madison, Bachelor of Science (1997)
Education/Psychology
Certificate in Women's Studies

Law School:

Indiana University School of Law, Bloomington, Doctor of Jurisprudence (2007)

Related Legal Experience:

Associate Attorney, Mallor Clendening Grodner & Bohrer
Deputy Prosecutor, Marion County Prosecutor's Office
Deputy Prosecutor, Monroe County Prosecutor's Office

Certification:

Indiana Bar

Community Involvement-Previous:

Bloomington Urban Enterprise Association
Monroe County Human Rights Commission
Board of Park Commissioners
Prospect Hill Neighborhood Association

Community Involvement-Present:

Fairview Parent-Teacher Organization
Exchange Club of Northside Bloomington

Professional Involvement:

Monroe County Bar Association
Indiana Judges Association
Commission on Race and Gender Fairness

CIRCUIT COURT COMMISSIONER

Bret Raper

Date Accepted Position: July 9, 2004

Family Members:

Angela F. Parker, Spouse
Hannah Williamson, Step-Daughter
Gregory Parker, Step-Son

Undergraduate Degrees:

Indiana University, Bachelor of Arts (Secondary Ed.), 1992

Law School:

Indiana University, School of Law, Bloomington, Doctor of Jurisprudence (1995)

Related Legal Experience(s):

Associate Attorney, Riester & Strueh (1995-96)
Deputy Prosecuting Attorney, Monroe County (1997-2004)

Additional Judicial Service:

Advisory Member, Monroe County Family Court
Advisory Member, Victim-Offender Reconciliation Program

Military History

United States Air Force (1986-1990)

Professional Organizations:

Indiana State Bar Association
Monroe County Bar Association
Adjunct Professor, Ivy Tech Community College

Western Swing Album of the Year

**OFFICE OF
COURT
ADMINISTRATION**

OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION

INTRODUCTION

Each day more than 1,000 people enter the Justice Building to file a complaint, pay traffic or probation fees, gather information about a case, or serve as a lawyer, petitioner, defendant, witness or juror to a trial. The increasing complexity of life and the scope of litigation in the United States have created a non-judicial administrative burden on the courts that the judges and traditional court staff cannot handle alone. The Office of Court Administration, under the guidance of the Board of Judges, provides administrative support for the Circuit Court. The office is responsible for the daily operations in financial management, security management, jury management, case management and court support programs. The Office of Court Administration staff reviews system operations, analyzes management problems, recommends solutions to the judges, and implements efficient change. In 2019, the Office of Court Administration successfully implemented the following administrative programs and procedures.

FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

Twenty-five budgets totaling a little over **\$10 million** were prepared, monitored and analyzed by the Office of Court Administration. Fiscal management of these budgets includes the preparation of the payroll for over 130 employees, the monitoring of grants received on federal, state and local levels, and the procurement of office furniture, supplies and equipment. The following is a 2019 summary of the funding sources, the amount and types of generated revenue, and the budget and expenditures for the Monroe Circuit Court.

I. FUNDING SOURCES

The Monroe Circuit Court receives funds from the following sources:

- (1) Tax Revenue: Provides funds for personnel, computers, capital outlays, supplies and operating expenses for the Court.
- (2) Program Fees: Provides funds generated by case filings, court costs, fines, infraction judgments, support fees, user fees and investment interest.
- (3) Grants/Contracts: Awarded by the State of Indiana for Community Corrections, Supreme Court Grant, JABG, Interpreter Grant, Title IV-D reimbursement; Drug Court Grant awarded by the US Dept. of Justice/Office of Justice Programs.

Tax Revenue for Courts:

COUNTY GENERAL	\$5,823,593
Juvenile LIT (formerly COIT)	\$1,250,556
Public Safety LIT	\$368,748

Program Fees:


Probation User Fees	892,272
---------------------	---------

Grants/Contracts:

Federal/State Grants/Contracts	1,511,573
Title IV-D Court Reimbursement	188,680
State Interpreter Grant (Court)	7,000

<u>Jury Pay Fund</u>	8,831
-----------------------------	--------------

TOTAL	<u>\$10,051,253</u>
--------------	----------------------------



As indicated on the pie graph, Monroe County provides the Court over half (74%) of their annual budget. Fees and grants make up the remaining portion (26%) of the budget. In 2019, the Monroe Circuit Court received total funding of \$10,051,253.


II. EXPENDITURES

Expenditures for 2019 by the Monroe Circuit Court totaled \$9,601,169. The pie graph below shows the percentage and types of expenses incurred.

2019 Monroe Circuit Court Expenditures


Personnel Services	\$8,067,317
Other Services and Charges	1,480,890
Supplies	52,962

TOTAL	<u>\$9,601,169</u>
--------------	---------------------------



III. REVENUE

In 2019, the Monroe Circuit Court generated \$2,904,135 in total revenue. The revenue generated by the Monroe Circuit Court is disbursed to three government entities. The pie graph below shows the percentage of disbursement of this revenue to state, county and local government.



STATE--Total Revenue: \$1,130,782

Sources: Court costs (filing fees, traffic/criminal court costs)
Fines & forfeitures (criminal cases)
Infraction judgment (traffic)
Overweight Vehicle Fees (infraction judgments)
User Fees (25% of drug abuse, prosecution, interdiction & correction fees;
25% of alcohol & drug countermeasures fees)
Automated Record Keeping Fee
Judicial Insurance Adjustment Fees
Child Abuse Prevention Fees (State Family Violence Victim Assistance Fund)
Domestic Violence Prevention Fees (State Family Violence Victim Asst. Fund)

COUNTY--Total Revenue: \$1,750,338

Sources: Court Costs (filing fees, traffic/criminal court costs)
Support Fees, Bond Administration Fees
Late Surrender Fees, Document Storage Fees

User Fees: SADS (Substance Abuse Division--First time minor offenses program fees)
Marijuana Eradication Program Fees)
Project Income--user fees for offender programs: Job Release, Road Crew,
House Arrest & Public Restitution
Pretrial Diversion User Fees (program fees for minor offenses)
County Drug Fee (felony & misdemeanor fines)
Law Enforcement Continuing Education (felony, misdemeanor & traffic fines)
Infraction Diversion Fees (traffic)
Adult Probation User Fees (program/treatment fees for adult offenders)
Juvenile Probation User Fees (program/treatment fees for juvenile offenders)
Supplemental Public Defender Fees (offender fees for legal representation)
Miscellaneous (jury fees, miscellaneous administrative fees)

LOCAL (Municipal)--Total Revenue: \$23,015

Sources: Court Costs (filing fees, traffic/criminal court costs)

SECURITY MANAGEMENT


Violence in this country is on the rise and concomitantly, there have been recent tragic events involving the Judiciary within court facilities. Judges, bailiffs, witnesses, lawyers, parties and the general public have been vulnerable to bombs, armed attack and hostage situations. Inadequate courtroom security or the absence of security has been identified as causative factors. By Order of the Court, all firearms, dangerous weapons and destructive devices are prohibited from being in the Justice Building. To take preventive measures, the Office of Court Services employs bailiffs, who are trained in handling weapons, bombs and serious threats, to be present in the courtrooms. In 1995, the County installed a magnetometer and X-ray machine at the entrance of the Justice Building. The implementation of this comprehensive security plan has insured the safety of litigants and other citizens conducting business in the Justice Building.

In 2019, the Monroe Circuit Court Bailiffs, in addition to their regular responsibilities of security, provided an enhanced level of security in 554 Protective Order Hearings, 59 Juvenile Detention Hearings and 11 Jury Trials. They responded to 1 separate medical incidents experienced by members of the public at the Justice Building. The bailiffs also booked 246 offenders into the Monroe County Jail as the result of either a judicial remand of custody or the service of a warrant.

JURY MANAGEMENT


The goal of the Office of Court Services is to maximize efficiency while minimizing jury system costs and inconvenience to citizens summoned for jury duty. In 2019, prospective jurors' names are randomly selected from the Bureau of Motor Vehicles and Department of Revenue lists for Monroe County. The master list contained thirty-four hundred names and addresses. These citizens receive a juror summons for a one-month term of service. To achieve cost savings, standard panel sizes of thirty-six (36) prospective jurors are summoned for a panel of twelve (12) jurors and eighteen (18) prospective jurors are summoned for a panel of six (6) jurors. In 2019, a total of 281 citizens reported for jury duty; and 28 percent of these actually served on juries. By state law, a juror received \$15.00 per day for reporting for jury service and \$40.00 per day if sworn as a member of a jury. All receive \$.38 per mile to and from the Justice Building. Prospective jurors are called one time within their one-month term of service and if empaneled to serve on a jury, their service lasts around two or three days. In 2019, the average cost per trial was \$1,265.56.

In 2019, there were 11 jury trials held in Monroe Circuit Court. Of these, 0% involved felony offenses, 0% involved Murder offenses, 0% involved misdemeanor cases and 100% involved civil cases.



CASE MANAGEMENT

The Office of Court Administration monitors case assignments to insure the equity of caseload between judges and provides the judges with case management information in order to reduce case delay. Case statistics are provided to the State Court Administrator's Office quarterly and analyzed by the Office of Court Administration to determine case management trends and growth of judicial workload. With the assistance of an automated case tracking system, information is available for assisting the judges, court support staff and the public more efficiently.



In 2019, 38,227 cases were before the Monroe Circuit Court. These included previously pending cases, new filings, reopened cases and venued-in cases from other counties. Fifty-six percent (56%) or 21,389 of these cases were new and venued-in cases and the remaining were reopened and previously pending cases. Reopened cases are defined as cases redocketed for further action, such as proceedings supplemental to collect money judgments, petitions to modify child custody, support or visitation, and modifications of criminal sentences. The cases included criminal, civil, domestic, protective orders, small claims, juvenile, probate, mental health, ordinance violations and infractions. The nine courts disposed of 28,402 cases in 2019.


Infractions: The staff of the Clerk and Prosecutor's Office manages infraction cases. Most of the traffic cases settle prior to court. Diversion programs are established for first time offenders. If programs are violated, infraction cases are assigned to the judges. There were 1,087 previously pending cases and 6,743 new infraction cases filed in 2019. Approximately 2% were assigned to the judges.

Ordinance Violations: The City Attorney and staff of the Clerk's Office manage ordinance violation cases. Due to new collection procedures adopted by the City of Bloomington in 2012, the number of cases filed has declined significantly. There were 45 previously pending cases and 41 new ordinance violations filed in 2019.

Case Assignment per Court: Considering the number of cases pending, new filings, redocketed cases, infractions and ordinance violations filed with the Court, the average number of cases collectively assigned to the nine divisions for 2019 was 4,247.







Case Filings and Dispositions: Criminal and Civil

During 2019, the Monroe Circuit Court consisted of nine divisions. Four divisions were randomly assigned criminal cases. Civil, Domestic Relations, Juvenile and Probate cases were randomly assigned to five other divisions. Comparing 2018 to 2019, Felony and Criminal Misdemeanor new filings have increased. Protective Order new filings, Domestic Relations new filings, and Civil case filings have also increased from 2018. However, the filings for Small Claims cases have decreased from 2018. The number of reopened cases for Criminal Misdemeanor and Felonies have decreased compared to 2018, as have the number of reopened cases for Small Claims, Domestic Relations, and Protective Orders. The number of reopened Civil cases has slightly increased from 2018. Disposition rates for Felonies, Criminal Misdemeanors, Civil, Domestic Relations, and Protective Order cases are just under 100%. Disposition rates for Small Claims cases are just over 100%.




	NEW FILINGS (Excl. Transfers)		DECIDED CASES (Excl. Transfers)		DISPOSITION RATE Of New Filings + Redockets	
	2018	2019	2018	2019	2018	2019
FELONIES (A,B,C,D,MR, and Levels 1-6)	1,339	1,503	1,320	1,205	100%	84%
Redockets	924	765	957	709		
MISDEMEANORS (CM)	3,117	3,153	3,040	3,009	104%	97%
Redockets	712	407	933	460		
CIVIL (CP,PL,MF,CC,CT)	1,408	1,504	1,384	1,479	92%	104%
Redockets	1,437	1,518	1,237	1,659		
SMALL CLAIMS (SC)	2,369	1,863	2,430	1,953	107%	101%
Redockets	2,874	2,056	3,174	2,009		
DOMESTIC RELATIONS (DR, DC, DN)	511	533	618	456	108%	100%
Redockets	690	596	677	672		
PROTECTIVE ORDERS (PO)	575	750	604	715	104%	97%
Redockets	191	180	189	186		

Criminal, Civil, Small Claims, Protective Orders, and Domestic Relations cases are decided by jury trial (JT), bench trial (BT), guilty plea (GP), deferral/diversion (DE), dismissal (DI), default (DF) or bench dispositions (BD). The following pie charts show how the new case filings were disposed in 2019.



Juvenile and Probate: Juvenile and Probate cases include civil commitments of mentally ill, the processing of estates and trusts, adoption of children, the establishment of paternity of children born out of wedlock, juvenile delinquency, and CHINS (Children in Need of Services). CHINS cases involve the abuse and neglect of children. All cases are disposed by bench trial, bench disposition or dismissal.



The two-year graph to the left shows Juvenile and Probate new filings in 2018 compared to new filings in 2019.

New filings for Guardianship cases have slightly increased. Estates, Trusts, Juvenile Delinquencies, Juvenile Paternity, Juvenile Termination, and Juvenile Status cases have remained relatively consistent with new filings from 2018. New filings for Adoption cases and Juvenile Chins cases have decreased in 2019.

	NEW FILINGS (Excl. Transfers)		DECIDED CASES (Excl. Transfers)		DISPOSITION RATE Of New Filings + Redockets	
	2018	2019	2018	2019	2018	2019
MENTAL HEALTH	539	487	631	591	120%	126%
Redockets	1	0	16	24		
ADOPTIONS	133	94	141	124	106%	125%
Redockets	8	13	9	10		
ESTATES	254	248	289	250	114%	99%
Redockets	24	41	26	36		
GUARDIANSHIPS	171	184	525	597	271%	137%
Redockets	38	726	42	656		
TRUSTS	10	9	12	6	119%	87%
Redockets	6	6	7	7		
CHIN CASES	249	161	433	248	110%	121%
Redockets	146	138	2	114		
DELIQUENCIES	84	77	75	92	107%	127%
Redockets	23	24	39	36		
PATERNITY	158	161	179	155	123%	108%
Redockets	322	382	413	434		
MISCELLANEOUS	291	217	296	253	102%	119%
Redockets	1	0	2	6		
JUVENILE TERMINATION	94	82	90	148	92%	194%
Redockets	11	0	7	11		
JUVENILE STATUS	20	16	21	16	117%	100%
Redockets	3	3	6	3		
JUV. PROTECTIVE ORDER	6	0	0	0	0%	0%
Redockets	0	0	0	0		

MONROE COUNTY FAMILY COURT

Monroe County was selected in February, 2000 as one of three pilot counties for the Indiana Supreme Court's Family Court Project. The initial funding for Family Court was received under a two-year grant from the Indiana Supreme Court, Division of State Court Administration. The Monroe County Family Court has continued its services to families in the legal system beyond the pilot project phase. Family Court operated under the administration of Division IV of the Monroe Circuit Court in 2019.

The Monroe County Family Court has identified the following programming components as vital to the project:

I. Mediation: The Family Court Mediation Clinic was created in 2002 at the request of Judge Viola Taliaferro. In August, 2002, the Family Court Coordinator began collaborating with the Child Advocacy Clinic of the Indiana University School of Law, and the Community Conflict Resolution Project (CCRP) to develop a method of resolving custody, visitation, and related disputes that arise within the context of paternity cases. It was envisioned that law students and other participants could be trained to provide mediation services on a volunteer basis in the paternity court. Implementation of the project began in January, 2003. This highly successful program was greatly expanded in August, 2003 to include divorce cases involving child custody and parenting time issues. Families are eligible to participate in the mediation clinic if they are unable to afford private mediation services. The collaborators in the development of the Mediation Clinic believe that the children affected by these cases will best be served by providing a forum for parents to actively negotiate parenting arrangements that protect the child's best interests.

The Family Court Mediation Clinic now handles all types of disputes that may arise within the context of family law cases referred by the Court. Parties may also be referred to mediation for assistance with specific issues identified by the Court, such as completing a parenting time schedule, calculating child support, and developing co-parenting communication skills. Parties receive information and education to better understand the court process, the Indiana Parenting Time Guidelines, and the Child Support Rules and Guidelines. The Family Court Coordinator receives referrals from the court to assist parties in providing more complete and accurate pleadings and information to the court in order to expedite their cases.

In March of 2005, the **Family Court Mediation Clinic** implemented the **Domestic Relations Alternative Dispute Resolution Fund Plan** of the Monroe Circuit Court. This plan operates under the provisions of Indiana Code 33-23-6-1 to -4. An additional twenty-dollar filing fee is collected from parties filing petitions for legal separation, paternity, or dissolution of marriage. The fee is deposited into the alternative dispute resolution (ADR) fund and is used to foster domestic relations ADR services for litigants who have the least ability to pay. Litigants receiving services covered by the fund make a modest co-payment for the services based upon the litigant's ability to pay.

The Family Court Coordinator conducts mediation for the Family Court Mediation Clinic throughout the year. During the academic year, mediation services are also provided in part by IU Law students who have completed the domestic relations mediation training course and are registered mediators in Indiana. Students work under the training and supervision of Professor Amy Applegate (Director of the Children and Family Mediation Clinic at the IU School of Law) and Colleen McPhearson (Family Court Coordinator). Senior judges and private mediators may provide services under the ADR plan as well.

In 2019, 146 new referrals for services were received through this program: 118 families were assigned to the Family Court Coordinator, eighteen families were assigned to the IU Law School Clinic, and ten were assigned to a contractual mediator. By the end of 2019, more than 2,650 families had been referred to the program since its inception.

II. Counsel in the Court (Pro Se Assistance): The District 10 Pro Bono Project began providing on-site services for self-represented parties at the Justice Building in 2010. The weekly walk-in clinic is known as “Counsel in the Court.” Funding to renovate and furnish the attorney conference rooms for this purpose was provided in part through the Family Court Project. The District 10 Pro Bono Project coordinates attorney volunteers who provide limited assistance to parties in completing forms and pleadings for family law case types. In 2019, District 10 reported 685 attorney-client conferences were conducted through this program.

III. Investigation Services: Judges making decisions regarding child custody and parenting time can receive the assistance of an experienced investigator who will gather the necessary information to help the judge make a well-informed decision regarding the child’s best interests. The Juvenile Division assists the Civil Division of the Court by conducting investigations in divorce and paternity to provide the Court with information regarding parents and their children. Examples of the information that could be included is information about the child’s school or living environment. In 2019, the probation department received seven new referrals for investigations in family law cases.

Collaboration with outside

agencies: District 10 Pro Bono Project

Address: P.O. Box 8382 Bloomington, IN 47407-8382

Phone: 812-339-3610 and (800) 570-1787

Contact Person: Diane Walker

Intake: phone intake 10 to 12 p.m. Mondays and 2-5 p.m. on Thursdays

Services Provided: Provides civil legal assistance to people who could not otherwise afford it. A variety of cases accepted including family law, housing, credit issues, and public benefits. **Cost:** free for income eligible

District 10 Pro Bono Project:

<http://www.in.gov/judiciary/probono/attorneys/provider/dist10.html>

IU Children and Family Mediation Clinic

Address: No Walk-ins, appointments arranged by phone

Phone: 812-855-9229

Contact Person: Ginnie Phero

Clinical Professor: Professor Amy Applegate

Services Provided: mediation of divorce and some other family law matters

Cost: Reduced cost determined on incomes of each party

IU Children and Family Mediation Clinic:

<http://www.law.indiana.edu/students/clinic/family.shtm>

COURT SUPPORT PROGRAMS

CASA

The Monroe Circuit Court has contracted with Family Service Association of Monroe County to provide volunteer Court Appointed Special Advocates to represent the best interests of children involved in CHINS cases.

GUARDIAN AD LITEM

The child advocacy clinic of the IU School of Law, opened in the Spring of 1996 to train law students to represent the best interests of children as guardian ad litem in custody and visitation cases.

CHILDREN COPE WITH DIVORCE

The Monroe Circuit Court has contracted with Visiting Nurse Service to provide a required 4-hour educational program for parents, prior to the issuance of a final divorce decree, which focuses on parenting and the needs of children. In 2019, 269 parents participated in the program. Seventy-five percent (76%) stated they had a greater understanding of the difference between children's needs and parent's needs as a result of their participation. The median age of the participants was 30-39.

PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATIONS

The Center for Behavior Health, by order of the Court, performs psychiatric evaluations on defendants to determine mental sanity at the time of the alleged offense, the defendant's comprehension to stand trial and assist in own defense, the defendant's ability to comprehend punishment for the crime at the time of sentencing, the defendant's need for treatment prior to sentencing, or the defendant's mental/emotional status while incarcerated. The Center for Behavioral Health performs these services at no cost.

MEDIATION

Parties recognize that litigation can be a long, tedious and expensive process for resolving disputes. At any time during the case process, the court can order, or one or both of the parties can request, that the case be settled by mediation. Mediation is a negotiation facilitated by an acceptable, impartial and neutral third-party who works with the parties to reach a mutually agreeable settlement to the dispute. The Office of Court Services maintains a list of State certified civil and family mediators.

AMERICAN WITH DISABILITIES ACT

The ADA requires that the courts perform an assessment of their facilities, programs and services and eliminate both architectural and communication barriers that impede a disabled person's access to the use of a court facility. The courts must "reasonably accommodate" disabled individuals. The Office of Court Services, upon request, provides auxiliary aides to disabled individuals and will consider alternative methods of making court services and programs more accessible.

COURT APPENDIX

QCSR DIVISION 1

YTD Totals 2019
Judge Elizabeth A. Cure

	Criminal																	Juvenile										Civil																		TOTAL				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45		46			
	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI				
	1038	155	389	213	211	125	679	269	232	195	149	92	351	39	7	1	2	176	81	117	146	6	124	25	321	121	24	16	148	12	185	253	83	37	21	49	50	14	19	63	126	28	25	128	21	82				
WCL Factors	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI				
PART I: BEFORE COURT																																																		
A. Previously Pending																	110					6					83	48	213	156	4	14			6		2	39	85	6	87		2				14	875		
B. New Filings		X															7	1							X	104	74	724	108	1	X			4			20	158	70	181	9	3		1	5	48	1518			
C. Venued In																												6	1								1											1	9	
D. Transferred In																										18	1	5	1			3					2	1		391			10					2	434	
E. TOTAL CASES BEFORE COURT (add A through D)																	117	1			6					205	123	948	266	5	17			10			2	62	244	76	659	9	15		1	5	65	2836		
PART II: DISPOSED CASES																																																		
F. By Jury Trial																		X	X	X	X	X	X	X		1				3	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		4	
G. By Bench Trial																										21	2	17	9	1	1							6		489	1	6				X			33	586
H. By Bench Disposition																	1									26	25	222	60	1	1			5			22	144	72	33	5					2	9	628		
I. Dismissed																	22									24	23	111	29						2							13	X						1	225
J. Default	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X		19	21	263	7																X	X			310	
K. Deferred/Diverted																	10	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	10
L. Guilty Plea/Admission														X											X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
M. Violations Bureau	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	38	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	38	
N. Closed	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				2			X	X	X	X					1					X					3	
O. FTA/FTP	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	43	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	43	
P. Other																												1																					1	
Q. TOTAL DISPOSED CASES (add F through P)																	114									91	71	616	108	2	2			7			22	151	72	535	6	6				2	43	1848		
R. Venued Out																												3	1													1								5
S. Transferred Out																										13	5	4	7		2						3	2		27			1				3	9	76	
PART III: PENDING AT END OF																																																		
T. TOTAL (E minus Q minus R minus S)																	3	1			6					101	47	325	150	3	13			3		2	37	91	4	96	3	8			1		13	907		
PART IV: OTHER																																																		
U. Cases Heard By Rep. Judge, as S.J. in Other Courts																										1											1									1		4		
V. Cases Heard By Other S.J. in Reporting Court																										3																						3		
W. Cases w/ Self Represented Litigants																										5	2	12	8					1			2	5	16	127	1					2	29	210		
X. Cases Referred to ADR																										7			22																				29	
Y. Indigent Counsel Appointed																																																	1	
Z. Interpreter Services Used																										1																							1	
AA GALICASA Appointed																																																	35	
YTD Totals 2019	Court Business Records																										1	Pro Tem Days			2.1	Senior Judge Days			12.5	Commissioner Days/Week (Yrly avg)														

QCSR DIVISION 3

YTD Totals 2019
 Judge Christine Talley-Haseman

WCL Factors >	Criminal																	Juvenile										Civil																	TOTAL								
	1038	155	359	218	211	125	679	269	232	195	149	92	351	39	17	1	2	176	91	17	146	8	124	28	121	121	24	16	148	12	185	259	39	37	21	49	50	44	9	93	126	28	25	128		21	32	MI					
	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI							
PART I: BEFORE COURT																																																					
A. Previously Pending			2	1	4	2	13	3	11	16	28	58	229	5	554	26	148																														21	1	1122				
B. New Filings		3	X				1	2	5	6	24	55	279	2	801	642	8								X																						1	105	21	1955			
C. Venued In																1	64																																	65			
D. Transferred In				2	2			3		1	2	14				27	7																				1									3			7	49			
E. TOTAL CASES BEFORE COURT (add A through D)	3	2	1	6	4	14	5	19	22	53	115	522	8	1382	739	156																		1									1	129			29	3211					
PART II: DISPOSED CASES																																																					
F. By Jury Trial																		X	X	X	X	X	X	X						X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X					
G. By Bench Trial													1		1	1																										1	1	X			5						
H. By Bench Disposition						1								4	62	702																															110	17	896				
I. Dismissed				1		3	3	5	3	12	80			336		27																																X		470			
J. Default	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X																							X	X						
K. Deferred/Diverted													5		180	7	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X					192	
L. Guilty Plea/Admission							1	5	6	16	33	138	X	248										X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X					447
M. Violations Bureau	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X					37	
N. Closed	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X						
O. FTA/FTP	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X					68	
P. Other																																																					
Q. TOTAL DISPOSED CASES (add F through P)				1		4	1	8	11	19	45	224	4	827	703	139																													1	111			17	2115			
R. Venued Out																																																					
S. Transferred Out					1						1	6	6	40	1	41																				1													4	101			
PART III: PENDING AT END OF																																																					
T. TOTAL (E minus Q minus R minus S)	3	2	1	5	3	10	4	11	10	28	64	258	3	514	36	17																																	18		8	995	
PART IV: OTHER																																																					
U. Cases Heard By Rep Judge, as SJ, in Other Courts			3																																																		
V. Cases Heard By Other SJ in Reporting Court																																																					
W. Cases w/ Self Represented Litigants				1						1	2	1	4		1																														21			8	39				
X. Cases Referred to ADR																																																					
Y. Indigent Counsel Appointed	2					1	1	1	2	10	57			142	2																																	1	219				
Z. Interpreter Services Used	1																																																				
AA. GALCASA Appointed																																																					
YTD Totals 2019																		Court Business Records											Pro Tem Days	3.6	Senior Judge Days		23	Commissioner Days/Week (Yrly avg)																			

QCSR DIVISION 4

YTD Totals 2019

Judge Catherine A. Stafford

	Criminal																	Juvenile								Civil																													
	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI	TOTAL								
WCL Factors >	1038	155	359	218	211	125	679	269	232	195	149	92	351	39	17	1	2	176	91	17	146	9	124	28	121	121	24	16	148	12	185	259	39	37	21	49	50	44	9	93	126	28	25	128	21	32									
PART I: BEFORE COURT	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI									
A. Previously Pending																																																							
B. New Filings		X													1		3341	23																																					
C. Venued In																																																							
D. Transferred In																																																							
E. TOTAL CASES BEFORE COURT (add A through D)																																																							
PART II: DISPOSED CASES	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI									
F. By Jury Trial																		X	X	X	X	X	X	X																															
G. By Bench Trial																																																							
H. By Bench Disposition																																																							
I. Dismissed																																																							
J. Default	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X																															
K. Deferred/Diverted																		X	X	X	X	X	X	X																															
L. Guilty Plea/Admission														X										X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
M. Violations Bureau	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
N. Closed	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X																															
O. FTA/FTP	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
P. Other																																																							
Q. TOTAL DISPOSED CASES (add F through P)																																																							
R. Venued Out																																																							
S. Transferred Out																																																							
PART III: PENDING AT END OF	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI									
T. TOTAL (E minus O minus R minus S)																																																							
PART IV: OTHER	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI									
U. Cases Heard By Rep Judge, as S.J. in Other Courts			3																		1						1	2	1	3	2																								
V. Cases Heard By Other SJ in Reporting Court																										1		1		4	4														4										
W. Cases w/ Self Represented Litigants																														414	8	89	101	3											3	3					24		651		
X. Cases Referred to ADR																														1	6	29	14																						
Y. Indigent Counsel Appointed																																																							
Z. Interpreter Services Used																																																							
AA GALICASA Appointed																																2																							
YTD Totals 2019																		Court Business Records	5	Pro Tem Days	10	Senior Judge Days		Commissioner Days/Week (Yrly avg)																															

QCSR DIVISION 5

YTD Totals 2019

Judge Mary Ellen Diekhoff

	Criminal																	Juvenile								Civil																																
	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	IF	OV	OE	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI	TOTAL										
WCL Factors >	1038	155	359	218	211	125	679	269	232	195	149	92	351	39	17	1	2		176	91	17	146	8	124	28	121	121	24	16	148	12	185	259	39	37	21	49	50	44	9	93	126	28	25	128	21	32											
PART I: BEFORE COURT	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI												
A. Previously Pending		2	31	10	37	23	107	4	23	20	25	77	282	9	645	28	128					1						1			4				1						1			36			11	1506										
B. New Filings	2	X						9	5	16	52	249	2	788	589	8								X					1				1		1										128			14	1865									
C. Venued In																																																			56							
D. Transferred In		1		2	2	2	2		3	7	11	45	1	49	4																														1			6	139									
E. TOTAL CASES BEFORE COURT (add A through D)	4	32	10	39	25	109	6	32	28	48	140	576	12	1482	677	136					1					1	1			4		1		3												165			31	3566								
PART II: DISPOSED CASES	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI												
F. By Jury Trial																		X	X	X	X	X	X	X																																		
G. By Bench Trial													1		1																																X			2								
H. By Bench Disposition						2							1	4	49	653						1																							131			22	863									
I. Dismissed						3	1	4	3	3	13	65		292		21																																			405							
J. Default	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X																																			
K. Deferred/Diverted													3		146		3	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				152					
L. Guilty Plea/Admission				5	4	2	1	6	6	16	23	137	X		291			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				491		
M. Violations Bureau	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				34	
N. Closed	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X																																			
O. FTA/FTP	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				45
P. Other																																																										
Q. TOTAL DISPOSED CASES (add F through P)				5	4	7	2	10	9	19	36	207	4	779	653	103					1																																					
R. Venued Out																																																										
S. Transferred Out					1				1																																																	
PART III: PENDING AT END OF	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI												
T. TOTAL (E minus Q minus R minus S)	4	32	10	34	20	102	4	21	19	28	101	359	7	665	16	33														4		1		1																								
PART IV: OTHER	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI												
U. Cases Heard By Rep Judge, as SJ, in Other Courts													1		1																																											
V. Cases Heard By Other SJ in Reporting Court																																																										
W. Cases w/ Self Represented Litigants				2	1				1			6	1		2		1																																									
X. Cases Referred to ADR																																																										
Y. Indigent Counsel Appointed						1		1	2	1	10	44		118																																												
Z. Interpreter Services Used																																																										
AA. GAL/CASA Appointed																																																										
YTD Totals 2019																		Court Business Records								3																																
																		Pro Tem Days								7.4																																
																		Senior Judge Days								1																																
																		Commissioner Days/Week (Yrly avg)																																								

QCSR DIVISION 6

YTD Totals 2019
Judge Holly M. Harvey

	Criminal																	Juvenile								Civil																							
	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	IF	OV	OE	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI		
	1038	155	359	218	211	125	679	269	232	195	149	92	351	39	17	1	2		JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI		
PART I: BEFORE COURT																																																	
A. Previously Pending																	105		71			8	5	33	1	31	74	38	358	108	1	34			7		6			2		16		5	11	914			
B. New Filings		X															9		63			1		43		X	50	33	353	55					6					1		1			14	43	672		
C. Venued In																													2	1																		3	
D. Transferred In																			14			2		6			1	18	5	8	9		3				1		1		9		2		3	3	85		
E. TOTAL CASES BEFORE COURT (add A through D)																	114		148			11	5	82	1	32	142	76	721	173	1	37			13		7		1	12		19		22	57	1674			
PART II: DISPOSED CASES																																																	
F. By Jury Trial																		X	X	X	X	X	X	X						4	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		4
G. By Bench Trial																			69			1	2	22			16		7	2		2								1		2		X		1	22	147	
H. By Bench Disposition																			8				2				13	11	73	11		2			5					1		1				16	15	158	
I. Dismissed																	13		16					31			23	28	156	53					3					1	X						4	328	
J. Default	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		13	10	177	2																X	X		202
K. Deferred/Diverted																	2	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		2
L. Guilty Plea/Admission													X					X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
M. Violations Bureau	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	38	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		38
N. Closed	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			
O. FTA/FTP	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	53	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		53
P. Other																																																	
Q. TOTAL DISPOSED CASES (add F through P)																	106		93			1	4	53			65	49	413	72		4			8					3		3			17	41	932		
R. Venued Out																													1	3																			4
S. Transferred Out																			6			2	1	12			6	1	2	1		2					1		1		1					6	43		
PART III: PENDING AT END OF																																																	
T. TOTAL (E minus Q minus R minus S)																	8		49			8		17	1	32	71	26	305	97	1	31			5		6			8		15			5	10	695		
PART IV: OTHER																																																	
U. Cases Heard By Rep Judge, as SJ, in Other Courts																			1			3					1	1	2	2																		10	
V. Cases Heard By Other SJ in Reporting Court																			2																														2
W. Cases w/ Self Represented Litigants																			188			2		51			4	68	45	473	35		7		4					8		3		1	1	33	923		
X. Cases Referred to ADR																										10		1	24																		1	36	
Y. Indigent Counsel Appointed																			21					2																								23	
Z. Interpreter Services Used																																																	
AA. GAL/CASA Appointed																			20					2																									22
YTD Totals 2019																																																	
																		Court Business Records								1																							
																										Pro Tem Days																							
																										0.15																							
																										Senior Judge Days																							
																										Commissioner Days/Week (Yrly avg)																							

QCSR DIVISION 7

YTD Totals 2019
Judge Stephen R. Galvin

	Criminal																	Juvenile								Civil																											
	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI							
WCL Factors >	1038	155	359	218	211	125	679	269	232	195	149	92	351	39	17	1	119	176	91	17	146	8	124	23	28	121	121	24	16	148	12	185	255	39	37	21	49	50	44	4	9	93	126	28	25	128	21	52					
PART I: BEFORE COURT	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI							
A. Previously Pending																			168	45	8	228	175	103	5							14					180	66	4	8			487		12				5	1627			
B. New Filings		X															7		97	77	16	160	217	39	X			1								486	94					2		8					1204				
C. Venued In																			6	4		1															5												16				
D. Transferred In																			10			1	1	12									1				2	1	2			20		6			4	60					
E. TOTAL CASES BEFORE COURT (add A through D)																126		281	126	24	390	393	154	5				1			14	1				668	166	6	8		509		26				9	2907					
PART II: DISPOSED CASES	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI							
F. By Jury Trial																		X	X	X	X	X	X	X						X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X					
G. By Bench Trial																		24	1		14	2	42												91						8		3		X			185					
H. By Bench Disposition																		108	87	15	116	216	30												136	25	2	3			30		4					772					
I. Dismissed																23		23	4	1	23	31	23												1	8				16	X								153				
J. Default	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X																								X	X					
K. Deferred/Diverted																7	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				
L. Guilty Plea/Admission													X				X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X					
M. Motions Bureau	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	45	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				
N. Closed	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X							X	X	X	X	454										X					454				
O. FTAFTP	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	42	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				
P. Other																																																					
Q. TOTAL DISPOSED CASES (add F through P)																117		155	92	16	153	249	95												591	124	2	3			54		7					1658					
R. Venued Out																	1	1			2																					1											
S. Transferred Out																	7				1	2						1									2	1				383		5					402				
PART III: PENDING AT END OF	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI							
T. TOTAL (E minus O minus R minus S)																9		118	33	8	236	142	57	5							14	1				75	41	4	5		71		14					9	842				
PART IV: OTHER	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI							
U. Cases Heard By Rep Judge, as SJ, in Other Courts																																																					
V. Cases Heard By Other SJ in Reporting Court																	5																				1																
W. Cases w/ Self Represented Litigants																	53	2		91	10	13													1											7		1					189
X. Cases Referred to ADR																	3			16																										2						21	
Y. Indigent Counsel Appointed																	15	21		5	8	7																		15	3												74
Z. Interpreter Services Used																																																					
AA. GAL/CASA Appointed																	12			2	5	4																															
YTD Totals 2019																		Court Business Records								Pro Tem Days 4 Senior Judge Days 2 Commissioner Days/Week (Yrly avg)																											

QCSR DIVISION 8

YTD Totals 2019
Judge Judith C. Benckart

	Criminal																	Juvenile								Civil																								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46				
	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI				
1038	155	359	218	211	125	679	269	232	195	149	92	351	39	17	1	2	176	91	17	146	8	124	26	121	121	24	16	148	12	185	259	39	97	21	49	50	44	9	93	126	28	25	128	21	32					
WCL Factors >	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI				
PART I: BEFORE COURT																																																		
A. Previously Pending																99	22				6							2		362	153	66	34	1							4	40				9	798			
B. New Filings		X														3348	19							X					908	118	139	5										409				49	4995			
C. Venued In																													1													7					8			
D. Transferred In																	7				2							1	24	73	26	12			1	1					30				1	178				
E. TOTAL CASES BEFORE COURT (add A through D)																	3447	48				8						2	1	1295	226	210	185	6		1	1				4	486				59	5979			
PART II: DISPOSED CASES																																																		
F. By Jury Trial																		X	X	X	X	X	X	X						X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			
G. By Bench Trial																	2												125	4	20	16	1										80	X		23	271			
H. By Bench Disposition																	3	5										1		267	12	76	96	2									196			11	669			
I. Dismissed																	703	25												394		23	11								X	71				6	1233			
J. Default	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		3	X	X	X	X	X	X	X						165														X	X			2	170	
K. Deferred/Diverted																	234	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		234		
L. Guilty Plea/Admission														X			2							X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		2	
M. Motions Bureau	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		1315	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		1315
N. Closed	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X						5	X	X	X	X										X					5	
O. FTA/FTP	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		775	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		775	
P. Other																																																		
Q. TOTAL DISPOSED CASES (add F through P)																	3032	35										1		956	16	119	123	3										347			42	4674		
R. Venued Out																													1														2					3		
S. Transferred Out																		1												18	9	8	5											2	62			7	113	
PART III: PENDING AT END OF																																																		
T. TOTAL (E minus O minus R minus S)																	415	12				8						1	1	321	200	83	57	3		1							2	76			10	1189		
PART IV: OTHER																																																		
U. Cases Heard By Rep. Judge, as SJ, in Other Courts																													3	3	3																		9	
V. Cases Heard By Other SJ in Reporting Court																													1	4	1													2					8	
W. Cases w/ Self Represented Litigants																													425	5	80	108	2										2				22	645		
X. Cases Referred to ADR																													6	23	2																		31	
Y. Indigent Counsel Appointed																													2																				2	
Z. Interpreter Services Used																													2																					2
AA. GALCASA Appointed																													2	6																				8
YTD Totals 2019																																																		
																		Court Business Records	2		Pro Tem Days	6.4		Senior Judge Days	0.1		Commissioner Days/Week (Yrly avg)																							

QCSR DIVISION 9

YTD Totals 2019
Judge Darcie L. Fawcett

	Criminal																	Juvenile								Civil														TOTAL															
	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM		GU	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI								
WCL Factors >	1038	155	359	218	211	125	679	269	232	195	149	92	351	39	17	1	2	176	91	17	146	8	124	28	121	121	24	16	148	12	185	255	39	37	21	40	50	44	9	93	126	28	25	128	21	52									
PART I: BEFORE COURT	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI									
A. Previously Pending		2	2	3		33																																																	
B. New Filings		X						1	16	8	22	70	302	3	819	677	7								X																														
C. Venued In																56																																							
D. Transferred In						2				1	3	3	12	1	29	3																																							
E. TOTAL CASES BEFORE COURT (add A through D)	2	2	3	1	3	35	5	31	24	55	131	556	5	1291	744	135														1																									
PART II: DISPOSED CASES	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI									
F. By Jury Trial																		X	X	X	X	X	X	X							X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X							
G. By Bench Trial													1																																										
H. By Bench Disposition															12	726															1																								
I. Dismissed						1	1		1	3	1	6	76		361	20																																							
J. Default	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X																															
K. Deferred/Diverted												2			179	3		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X						
L. Guilty Plea/Admission	1					1			7	4	20	26	150	X	216			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X					
M. Motions Bureau	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	40	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			
N. Closed	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	3	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X							X	X	X	X																				
O. FTAFTP	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	42		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
P. Other																																																							
Q. TOTAL DISPOSED CASES (add F through P)	1					1	2		8	7	21	32	229		768	729	105														1																								
R. Venued Out																																																							
S. Transferred Out								2	3	5	3	11	33		50	1																																							
PART III: PENDING AT END OF	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI									
T. TOTAL (E minus O minus R minus S)	1	2	3	1	2	33	3	20	12	31	88	294	5	473	14	30																																							
PART IV: OTHER	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI									
U. Cases Heard By Rep Judge, as SJ, in Other Courts																																																							
V. Cases Heard By Other SJ in Reporting Court																																																							
W. Cases w/ Self Represented Litigants													1	1	3	2	1																																						
X. Cases Referred to ADR																																																							
Y. Indigent Counsel Appointed								2	2	7	14	59			148	1																																							
Z. Interpreter Services Used	1												1		5																																								
AA. GAL/CASA Appointed																																																							

YTD Totals 2019

Court Business Records

Pro Tem Days 9

Senior Judge Days

5

Commissioner Days/Week (Yrly avg)

QCSR TOTAL COURTS

YTD Totals 2019

	Criminal																	Juvenile										Civil																				TOTAL																				
	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	IF	OV,OE	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI																						
PART I: BEFORE COURT	1038	155	359	218	211	125	679	289	232	195	149	82	351	39	17	1	2	176	91	17	146				121	121	24	16	148	12	185	259	39	37	21	49	50	44	9	93	126	28	25	128	21	32																						
A. Previously Pending	4	44	21	58	37	198	24	68	80	115	252	973	27	2217	81	1087	45	239	47	8	262	182	136	6	31	160	87	574	266	677	358	122	73	18	181	74	43	93	6	588		122	107		6	77	9874																					
B. New Filings	6	X	1	1	2	1	10	36	37	82	268	1059	12	3153	2508	6743	41	161	77	16	161	217	82	X	154	107	1080	163	1863	X	249	284	21	487	94	20	158	70	184	9	750	470	1	21	239	21098																						
C. Venued In																		6	4																													291																				
D. Transferred In			1	1	7	6	5	2	4	8	16	32	114	2	177	19	8	24			8	1	18		1	40	6	15	11	45	162	38	17		5	3	5	2		433		111	8		3	34	1392																					
E. TOTAL CASES BEFORE COURT (add A through D)	10	45	23	64	45	204	36	108	125	213	552	2146	42	5547	2853	7830	94	430	128	24	432	400	236	6	32	354	206	1677	442	2588	520	414	374	39	673	376	69	253	76	1205	9	992	585	1	34	351	32655																					
PART II: DISPOSED CASES	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	IF	OV,OE	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI																						
F. By Jury Trial																		X	X	X	X	X	X	X																										8																		
G. By Bench Trial																		93	1		15	4	64	37	2	24	11	541	10	21	16	1		91		6	500	1	224	3	X		1	118	1799																							
H. By Bench Disposition				1		5												116	87	15	117	218	30	39	36	296	71	396	22	139	175	17	136	25	24	147	72	67	5	370	479		21	88	6120																							
I. Dismissed				3	3	9	2	8	12	12	41	271		1252	2	1566	40	39	4	1	23	31	54	47	51	268	82	763		44	29	6	1	8														4843																				
J. Default	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	32	31	440	9	246																					767																		
K. Deferred/Diverted																		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1179																			
L. Guilty Plea/Admission	1		2	8	5	3	6	25	27	69	120	554	X	974		2	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1796																			
M. Violations Bureau	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	2840																		
N. Closed	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X																											471																	
O. FTA/FTP	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1923																		
P. Other																																																		1																		
Q. TOTAL DISPOSED CASES (add F through P)	1		2	12	8	17	8	33	39	81	161	843	8	3009	2754	6856	63	248	92	16	155	253	148		155	120	1031	173	1953	32	204	220	24	591	124	24	154	72	597	6	715	486		22	229	21739																						
R. Venued Out																		1	1																															21																		
S. Transferred Out																		13							19	7	9	10	40	23	31	15																		1077																		
PART III: PENDING AT END OF	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	IF	OV,OE	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI																						
T. TOTAL (E minus Q minus R minus S)	9	45	21	53	35	187	26	71	79	120	365	1212	32	2380	87	974	23	168	35	8	274	144	74	6	32	180	73	633	255	595	464	179	139	15	77	50	41	96	4	188	3	178	91	1	5	91	9818																					
PART IV: OTHER	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	IF	OV,OE	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI																						
U. Cases Heard By Rep. Judge, as S.J. in Other Courts			4			1												1			4																												45																			
V. Cases Heard By Other S.J. in Reporting Court																		7																														36																				
W. Cases w/Pro Se Litigants			1		5	1				2	3	2	7	8	3	6	2	5	6	241	2		95	10	64	4	73	47	485	43	839	22	169	210	10	1	11	2	5	16	145	1	9	55	1	3	126	2740																				
X. Cases Referred to ADR																		3			16			17			1	46	1	12	52	16																	167																			
Y. Indigent Counsel Appntd	2					1	1	5	10	13	57	215		551	3		34	21	5	8		9																									956																					
Z. Interpreter Services Used	2																	1																														30																				
AA. GAL/CASA Appointed																		32			2	5	4																										91																			
YTD Totals 2019																		Court Business Records										Pro Tem Days																				Senior Judge Days																				

**PROBATION
DEPARTMENT**

MONROE CIRCUIT COURT PROBATION DEPARTMENT

MISSION

The mission of the Monroe Circuit Court Probation Department is to promote a safer community by intervening in the lives of offenders, holding them accountable, and serving as a catalyst for positive change.



The Curry Building

214 West 7th Street, Suite 200
Bloomington, Indiana 47404
(812) 349-2645



Community Corrections Office

405 West 7th Street, Suite 2
Bloomington, Indiana 47404
(812) 349-2000

www.co.monroe.in.us/probation

CHIEF'S REPORT

By Linda Brady, Chief Probation Officer

The Monroe Circuit Court Probation Department (hereafter “Department”) will remember the year 2019 for transitioning leadership within the Monroe Circuit Court and the Department.

In 2018, three of the four Monroe Circuit Court Criminal Division judges retired. In October 2018, Judge Kenneth G. Todd retired after serving 40 years on the Monroe County bench. At the end of 2018, Judge Marc R. Kellams retired after serving 38 years on the bench. Judge Teresa D. Harper retired at the end of 2018 after serving 12 years on the bench. Throughout 2019, the Department was involved in assisting three new Criminal Division judges to adjust to the criminal case docket.

In early 2019, longtime Community Corrections Director/Assistant Chief Probation Officer Tom Rhodes announced plans to retire at the end of 2019. Director Rhodes served as the Monroe County community corrections director for almost 30 of the program’s 37 years of existence. He also has served concurrently as the assistant chief probation officer for the Department. Director Rhodes succeeded the program’s first and only other director, Peggy Welch, who later served as a state representative.

Probation Supervisor/Pretrial Services Director Becca Streit was named to succeed Tom Rhodes as Community Corrections Director/Assistant Chief Probation Officer. In turn, Pretrial Probation Officer Chelsea Walters was named to succeed Director Streit as Continuous Quality Improvement Supervisor/Pretrial Services Director. During the last several months of 2019, training occurred to allow a successful transition of leadership within the Department upon Director Rhodes’ retirement in December 2019.

Both of the Department’s assistant/deputy chief probation officers received **state-level awards** in 2019. Deputy Chief Probation Officer **Troy Hatfield** was presented with The Founder’s Award by the Probation Officers Professional Association of Indiana (POPAI). The POPAI Founder’s Award is a way of recognizing individuals who have significantly contributed to the field of probation in general, and specifically to the POPAI organization. The selected person shall be characterized by his/her commitment of influence and promotion of professionalism to Indiana probation. The Indiana Association of Community Corrections Act Counties presented Community Corrections Director/Assistant Chief Probation Officer **Tom Rhodes** with the first ever Founders Award recognizing his lifetime achievements and contributions to the field.

Starting January 1, 2019, the **Juvenile Division** implemented a re-structuring of workloads to better align with evidence-based practices (EBP) and balance caseloads/workloads across the division. This restructuring started in 2018 when Juvenile Supervisor Christine McAfee transitioned to serve full time as the Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI) Coordinator. Community Corrections Supervisor Jeff Hartman moved to the position of Juvenile Probation Supervisor (adult probation officer Christian Carlisle was promoted to serve as Community Corrections Supervisor at that time).

The restructuring of duties within the Juvenile Division helped to create capacity to work on a major project in 2019, the **Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI) Conditions of Confinement Project**. This facility assessment is required as part of the JDAI grant and is a condition of remaining a JDAI county. Monroe County partnered with Owen County Circuit Court/Probation on this project. By the end of 2019, the assessment team submitted a final Conditions of Confinement Assessment Report to Judge Stephen Galvin who will determine “next steps” for this project.

In 2019, the local JDAI was required to form a new committee “**Race, Equity, and Inclusion.**” This committee started meeting in 2019.

In late 2018 and early 2019, referral numbers to the **Juvenile Day Reporting/Day Treatment Programs** declined. Because referral numbers failed to provide our community partner Family Solutions with adequate reimbursement to keep this program operating, in February 2019, Judge Galvin requested \$50,000 additional appropriation to help Family Solutions continue to operate the programs as an alternative to detention. However, by July 2019, the referral numbers to these programs remained low and Family Solutions made the difficult decision to end the Juvenile Day Reporting/Day Treatment Programs.

In an effort to align workloads and caseloads to follow EBP more closely, starting in January 2018, the **Adult Division** re-allocated cases by risk level. This reallocation decreased the number of probationers supervised by probation officers (POs) assigned to the Moderate/High Risk caseloads. This caseload assignment accomplished a number of improvements including: allowed POs to develop case plans targeting the highest risk and need areas to reduce recidivism; allowed more time per client contact to specifically target criminogenic need areas; allowed for more time for field supervision (homes and workplaces) of high risk clients; and allowed the Department to follow EBP principles by truly supervising offenders with the level of intensity that research studies have demonstrated is required to reduce risk factors.

In January 2019, the Chief Justice of the Indiana Supreme Court Loretta Rush recognized the success of the **Monroe County Pretrial Program** in her State of the Judiciary address. Members of the Monroe County Pretrial Stakeholders Team were invited to attend the State of the Judiciary address including Presiding Judge Mary Ellen Diekhoff and Pretrial Services Supervisor Becca Streit. The Chief Justice remarked, *“One vital step in revamping our system is to examine pretrial detention. What happens once a person is arrested? Here’s one example. In Monroe County this past year, a 20-year-old man was brought to court for a drug-possession charge. His parents were willing to help him, but not by bailing him out of jail. They told Judge Mary Ellen Diekhoff that they feared he would simply be released and use again. But instead of languishing in jail, the Monroe County pretrial team coordinated his release straight to treatment, giving him a much better chance at recovery and a path to avoid re-arrest or overdose. Thank you to Judge Diekhoff, Monroe County Prosecutor Erika Oliphant, Chief Public Defender Phyllis Emerick, and Pre-Trial Services Supervisor Becca Streit for a job well done.”*

In 2018, user fee funds dipped to dangerously low levels such that they could not support the employees’ salaries that were paid from the funds, especially for the **Community Services Program** staff who were paid entirely from user fees. Therefore, several changes were made to save the funds from being fully depleted. Due to funding shortages, the **Road Crew Program** ended operations in August 2018, although special Road Crew sessions may be conducted for special occasions such as clean up after the annual Little 500 event. In 2019, further budget cuts were necessary to keep the remaining part of the Community Services Program operational. The newly elected Prosecuting Attorney decided to not refer Pretrial Diversion Program participants to the **Public Restitution Program**. Starting in 2019, the job duties of the Community Services Program Coordinator (Public Restitution Program Coordinator) were added to the job duties of the “day shift” Community Alternative Supervision Program (CASP) Field Officers.

The **Monroe County Drug Court Program** held a 20th Anniversary Celebration in November 2019 by providing two events that were open to the public: Drug Court session beginning at 7:30 a.m. followed by Recognition Ceremony/Open House beginning at 9:00 a.m. in the Nat. U. Hill room of the Monroe County Courthouse. The keynote speaker was Scott Burns, former Deputy Director of the White House Office of National Drug Control Policy.

ADDITIONAL 2019 DEPARTMENTAL HIGHLIGHTS

Court Alcohol & Drug (A & D) Program Recertification: Indiana Office of Court Services (IOCS) audited the program and awarded re-certification for four (4) years (until February 2023).

Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI) Conditions of Confinement Project: JDAI Team members visited Southwest Regional Youth Village in February for a facility assessment. The Team created a *Conditions of Confinement Assessment Report* to address issues raised during the assessment.

Indiana Risk Assessment System (IRAS)/Indiana Youth Assessment System (IYAS) Inter-rater Reliability Study: The IOCS selected 21 POs from the Department to participate in this study.

Implicit Bias: All staff members completed Implicit Bias training at the annual Department in-service.

Reducing Revocations Challenge Grant: Indiana University received a \$200,000 grant from Arnold Ventures to conduct in-depth research and data analysis on the drivers of probation failures for this Department and to identify policy and practice solutions based on the research findings. The project (overseen by the City University of New York Institute for State and Local Governance) will take place over 16 months starting October 1, 2019.

Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI): The Department continued its ongoing investment in Effective Practices in Community Supervision (EPICS) by utilizing trained peer coaches to coach probation officers in EPICS skills. The Department reinforced the use of these skills with required “booster sessions” to provide probation officers with opportunities to practice these skills.

Department of Correction (DOC) Community Corrections Grant (2018 – 2019): Base = \$747,597 + ‘1006’ Grant \$538,600 + NEW \$6,700 (fringe benefit increase) = **TOTAL \$1,292,897**. In 2019, the DOC changed the grant year from a state fiscal year (July 1-June 30) to a calendar year. Therefore, the Monroe County Community Corrections grant extension for July 1 to year-end 2019 was **\$646,448.50**.

JDAI Grants State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2018-2019: Awarded **\$10,000** to coordinate local JDAI efforts plus **\$44,523** to fund alternatives to detention (programming). **TOTAL= \$54,523**.

Veterans Court Grant (SFY 2019-2020): Indiana Supreme Court awarded **\$63,111** plus SFY 2018-2019 Carryover **\$5,500.00** = **TOTAL \$68,611**. Funds salary and fringe benefits of Probation Officer.

Drug Court Grant (SFY 2019-2020): Indiana Supreme Court awarded **\$10,000** for Problem Solving Court incentives, chemical tests, and participant transportation (bus passes).

Pretrial Grant (SFY 2019-2020): Indiana Supreme Court awarded **\$163,975**. Funds Pretrial probation officer (PO) and Public Defender (salaries and fringe benefits).

Monroe County CARES Grants: **\$8,289.54** awarded (**\$7,459.04** for drug testing supplies and **\$830.50** for two portable alco-sensor breath-alcohol test units).

Justice Partners Addictions Response Grant (SFY 2019-2020): Indiana Supreme Court awarded **\$60,000** grant to improve services and outcomes for inmates reentering the community from the Monroe County Jail. The grant will fund a Recovery Coach who will be a Centerstone employee, paid contractually via this grant.

Program Evaluation of the Monroe County Drug Court: Dr. John R. Gallagher, Indiana University School of Social Work, completed the updated evaluation in 2019. **Executive Summary Highlights:**

- Drug court participants were significantly less likely to recidivate than participants in the comparison group. Only 18% of drug court participants recidivated, whereas the recidivism rate for the comparison group was 54%.
- Differences between the 2014 and 2019 program evaluations: when comparing the evaluations, the drug court increased its graduation rate (54% in 2014 to 66% in 2019) and decreased its recidivism rate (32% in 2014 to 18% in 2019).

DEPARTMENTAL EDUCATIONAL ACTIVITIES

2019 Media Coverage:

- Herald Times (HT) – *Study Says Drug Court Remakes Lives (April 3, 2019)*
- HT - *Little 500 Court: 'I want them to understand': Judge oversees Little 500 court year after year (April 14, 2019)*
- HT – *Bill could expand funding options for home detention monitoring devices (April 19, 2019)*
- Indiana Daily Student (IDS): *IU conducts probation revocation study to combat mass incarceration (November 6, 2019)*
- HT - *Sex offenders to attend 'Safe Halloween' event (October 28, 2019)*
- HT - *Twenty years later, drug court continues to redirect lives (November 7, 2019)*
- HT – *County's Community Corrections Director Retiring (December 10, 2019)*
- HT – *Longtime Court Employee is Retiring (December 10, 2019)*

Leadership Bloomington – Chief Probation Officer Linda Brady gave a presentation about the Department's programs.

Indiana General Assembly Presentation – Deputy Chief Probation Officer Troy Hatfield made a presentation before the Indiana Corrections and Criminal Code Study Committee regarding the impact of criminal code reform (known as HB 1006) on probation in Indiana.

National Institute of Corrections (NIC) - NIC leadership invited Troy Hatfield to present at the 2019 Pretrial Executive Network in New Mexico regarding our pretrial services program. NIC also sponsored Troy to make another presentation about our pretrial program at the American Probation and Parole summer conference in San Francisco.

Evidence-Based Practices (EBP) Presentation – Probation Supervisor Becca Streit assisted Judge Mary Ellen Diekhoff with a presentation at the 2019 Monroe County Bench-Bar Training about EBP utilized by the Court and Department.

Presentation for Monroe County Council - Becca Streit presented at the March 26, 2019 County Council work session regarding EBP utilized by the Department.

Indiana University and Ivy Tech – The Department routinely provided guest speakers for classes to educate students about probation and corrections.

State Pretrial Summit – Becca Streit and Judge Mary Ellen Diekhoff served as presenters at the state-wide Pretrial Summit in October 2019.

Stride Coalition – Court Alcohol and Drug Program Director Susan Allen participated in the Stride Coalition, a Monroe County coalition to address the issues of substance use disorder.

Indiana Attorney General's Drug Abuse Symposium – Susan Allen served as a panelist for a presentation on jail recovery programs (New Beginnings).

Monroe County Childhood Conditions Summit – Juvenile probation officers Nick Ackerman and Gena Delos Santos served as panelists for this summit.

DEPARTMENT LEADERSHIP

National Association of Probation Executives (NAPE) – Chief Probation Officer Linda Brady served on the Board of Directors representing the Central Region of the United States.

Indiana Corrections and Criminal Code Study Committee – Linda Brady was re-appointed as a member of this legislative committee.

Indiana Evidence Based Decision Making (EBDM) Initiative - Linda Brady continued to serve as a member of the Indiana EBDM Policy Team.

Evidence Based Decision Making (EBDM) Initiative Sub-committees - Probation supervisors served on several sub-committees of Indiana's EBDM Initiative including: Deputy Chief Probation Officer Troy Hatfield; Assistant Chief Probation Officer/Community Corrections Executive Director Tom Rhodes; Court Alcohol and Drug Program Director Susan Allen; Adult Probation Supervisor Valerie Collins; Pretrial Release Program Supervisor Becca Streit; and Juvenile Supervisor Jeff Hartman.

Probation Officers Professional Association of Indiana (POPAI) – Troy Hatfield served as Vice-President and Linda Brady served as Past-President of the state-wide association.

Justice Reinvestment Advisory Council (JRAC) – As POPAI Vice-President, Troy Hatfield participated in council meetings and sub-committees.

Indiana Association of Community Corrections Act Counties (IACCAC) – Tom Rhodes served as West Central District Chair, serving on the Executive Board.

Community Corrections Advisory Council of Indiana Department of Correction – Tom Rhodes served on this statewide committee.

Indiana Coalition of Court Administered Alcohol and Drug Programs (ICCADS) – Susan Allen was the former President of ICCADS and served as the organization's Treasurer in 2019.

Court Alcohol and Drug Program Advisory Committee (CADPAC) – Susan Allen served on the education and policy sub-committee.

Monroe County CARES Board – Problem Solving Court Director Steve Malone serves as President of the local CARES Board.

Problem Solving Court Education Committee – Steve Malone served on this state-wide committee.

Monroe County Opioid Advisory Commission - Steve Malone served on this commission.

Statewide Opioid Summit - The Indiana Supreme Court sponsored a statewide Opioid Summit. Judges brought local teams to the summit including probation officers from the Department.

Statewide Justice Partners (Regional) Training; - The Indiana Supreme Court sponsored a statewide (regional) training on the Sequential Intercept Model (SIM). Judges brought local teams to the summit including probation supervisors from the Department.

Monroe County Domestic Violence Coalition – Adult probation officers served on this committee.

Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI) State Team – JDAI Coordinator Christine McAfee served on this state leadership team.

Indiana Collaborative Communication Committee – Juvenile Supervisor Jeff Hartman served on this state committee.

Child Fatality Review Team and the Monroe County Child Protection Team – Probation officer Brittany Greiner represented the Department on these teams.

A NOTE ON THE 2019 ANNUAL REPORT

At the beginning of 2014, the Department migrated from a locally networked case management database to an Internet-based database (Quest) with more robust capabilities to provide greater statistical information. If a table includes only data from 2014 and beyond, we are reporting the data in a new way so comparisons to previous years cannot be made.


To better understand information in tables, the word “supervision” needs to be defined. A “supervision” is a community-based supervision requirement that an offender must fulfill as part of a court order. For example, one ‘supervision’ could be a term of probation and another ‘supervision’ could be a specified length of time on court-ordered home detention. One offender could be required to complete multiple ‘supervisions.’ These ‘supervisions’ could also be required in multiple cases where the offender could be convicted of multiple crimes. Thus, one offender could be referred to the Department in multiple cases and be required to complete multiple ‘supervisions’ in each of these cases. Though we will include the unique number of offenders referred to each program, for the 2019 report, we will mostly focus on the characteristics of the supervisions.

PROBATION DEPARTMENT EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 2019

- 3,299 - Individuals referred, supervised, monitored, and/or assessed
- 4,045 - Criminal and juvenile cases referred, supervised, monitored, and/or assessed
- 45 - Civil cases referred for investigation or drug testing
- \$1,658,296 - Grant monies obtained
- \$143,538 - Restitution collected on behalf of victims
- \$891,334 - User fees collected; 54.3% overall user fee collection rate
- 26% - Positive rate for drug tests
- 7,948 - Community service hours completed. At \$7.25 minimum wage, approximately \$57,623 worth of labor was provided by the Community Service Program to local not-for-profit and governmental agencies.


	Individuals	Supervisions / Referrals	Offenses	Supervisions / Referrals Closed	Successful Percentage
Juvenile Referrals	298	396	522	N/A	N/A
Juvenile Probation	74	74	88	64	58%
Adult Probation	1,246	1,315	1,462	1,239	49%
Court Alcohol and Drug Program	658	769	836	678	58%
CASP Level 1 (Work Release)	1	1	5	4	75%
CASP Levels 2-5 (Electronic Monitoring/Home Detention)	204	343	596	316	65%
CASP Levels 6, 8-9 (Curfew/Exclusion Monitoring)	32	66	137	37	46%
CASP Level 7 (Alcohol Detection)	60	99	142	62	73%
CASP Level 10 (Day Reporting)	513	1,173	1,712	1,043	40%
CASP Levels 11-12 (Pretrial Only)	956	1,837	2,882	1,612	46%
Juvenile Home Detention	22	32	51	35	69%
Community Transition Program	7	7	9	10	90%
Community Service	555	626	691	570	82%
Drug Treatment Court	35	59	63	73	37%
Reentry Court	23	37	44	39	33%
Mental Health Court	7	14	16	17	29%
Veterans Court	6	6	7	6	100%

DEPARTMENTAL PROGRAMS SUCCESS RATES*



*Successful completions pursuant to program rules.

ORGANIZATIONAL CHART



STAFFING, FUNDING SOURCES, AND BUDGETS

The Department is funded by various sources including property and income taxes, user fees, and grants. As of December 31, 2019, the Department employed 77 persons, 57 of whom were probation officers (48 line probation officers and 9 supervisory/management-level probation officers). In 2019, two (2) probation officers (POs) left employment with the Department and two (2) POs retired. Additionally, two (2) Community Corrections Field Officers (CCFOs) retired in 2019.

There were numerous staff promotions in 2019: two (2) Probation Officer Assistants (POAs) were promoted, one to a CCFO position and the other to a PO position; two (2) CCFOs were promoted to PO positions; one (1) Legal Secretary was promoted to Office Manager; one (1) line PO was promoted to a Probation Supervisor position; and a Probation Supervisor was promoted to Assistant Chief PO position. There were four (4) POs who made lateral transfers within the Department to other units/divisions.

2019 Staff Summary:

- 1 Chief Probation Officer
- 2 Assistant/Deputy Chief Probation Officers plus 6 Probation Supervisors
- 48 Line Probation Officers (includes two part-time POs)
- 8 Community Corrections Field Officers (Community Alternative Supervision Program, Problem Solving Court)
- 8 Support Staff
- 10 Part-time Probation Officer Assistants

TOTAL STAFF 77 employees (67 full time)

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

VICTIM RESTITUTION COLLECTED

The Department assists the court in collecting victim restitution by enforcing restitution orders. When a court places an offender under the Department's supervision, the offender may be ordered to reimburse the victim for any loss incurred. Probation officers ensure that this money is paid by the probationers; however, restitution is collected by the Clerk's Office and is disbursed directly to the victim. The following table indicates the amount collected and disbursed in victim restitution.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
TOTAL	\$129,703	\$161,529	\$201,804	\$98,835	\$143,538

PARENTAL REIMBURSEMENTS COLLECTED

The Juvenile Division enforces court order for parental reimbursements for the cost of care provided to youth placed outside the home. This includes secure detention and other out-of-home placement costs. The total below indicates the total amount of parental reimbursements collected.

	2015	2016	2018	2018	2019
TOTAL	\$14,559	\$5,461	\$6,748	\$2,338	\$458

DEPARTMENTAL FUNDING PLAN

The Department works diligently to maintain staffing levels despite fluctuations and downturns in the user fee collections.

For many years, user fee collection rates hovered near the 80% mark on average, in part due to defendants paying cash bonds and agreeing to use released bond money to make user fee payments.

Since the 2008 recession in the United States, user fee collection rates have declined. The 2016 inception of the Monroe County Pretrial Program resulted in a reduction in the use of cash bonds, and in turn, user fee collection rates declined.

Due to the reduction in user fee collections, in 2018 the difficult decision was made to end the Road Crew Program as this program was funded solely from Community Corrections User Fees and could not be sustained. The Road Crew Program's last day of operation was August 11, 2018.

The Monroe County Council agreed to increase tax-based funding for Probation Department operations and reduce reliance on user fee funds. Starting in 2018, the County Council transferred the expense of two (2) probation officer positions (salaries and fringe benefits) from user fee funds to tax-based funds. Additionally, the County Council transferred the expense of electronic monitoring and drug testing from user fee accounts to tax-based funds. This change in funding has helped to stabilize the user fee funds for the Department.

For 2019, the Department was awarded a large number of grants totaling **\$1,658,296** (6% increase in grant funding from 2018). The grant-award highlights include:

- **Department of Correction (DOC) Community Corrections Grant (2018 – 2019):** Base = **\$747,597** + '1006' Grant **\$538,600** + NEW **\$6,700** (fringe benefit increase) = **TOTAL \$1,292,897**. In 2019, the DOC changed the grant year from a state fiscal year (July 1-June 30) to a calendar year. Therefore, the Monroe County Community Corrections grant extension for July 1 to year-end 2019 was **\$646,448.50**.
- **JDAI Grants State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2018-2019:** Awarded **\$10,000** to coordinate local JDAI efforts plus **\$44,523** to fund alternatives to detention (programming). **TOTAL= \$54,523**.
- **Veterans Court Grant (SFY 2019-2020):** Indiana Supreme Court awarded **\$63,111** plus SFY 2018-2019 Carryover **\$5,500.00** = **TOTAL \$68,611**. Funds salary and fringe benefits of Probation Officer.
- **Drug Court Grant (SFY 2019-2020):** Indiana Supreme Court awarded **\$10,000** for Problem Solving Court incentives, chemical tests, and participant transportation (bus passes).
- **Pretrial Grant (SFY 2019-2020):** Indiana Supreme Court awarded **\$163,975**. Funds Pretrial probation officer (PO) and Public Defender (salaries and fringe benefits).
- **Monroe County CARES Grants:** **\$8,289.54** awarded (**\$7,459.04** for drug testing supplies and **\$830.50** for two portable alco-sensor breath-alcohol test units).
- **Justice Partners Addictions Response Grant (SFY 2019-2020):** Indiana Supreme Court awarded **\$60,000** grant to improve services and outcomes for inmates reentering the community from the Monroe County Jail. The grant will fund a Recovery Coach who will be a Centerstone employee, paid contractually via this grant.

The Indiana Department of Correction (IDOC) reimburses the Department for accepting inmates from prison onto early release through the Community Transition Program (CTP). This reimbursement is not a grant per se, rather reimbursement for supervising the former IDOC inmates upon their release from prison for a certain amount of time. In 2019, the IDOC reimbursed the Department a total of **\$21,800** for supervising former IDOC inmates placed on the CTP.

DEPARTMENTAL FUNDING SOURCES AND BUDGETS

The Department works diligently to find innovative funding opportunities to provide programs and services without having to dip into the strapped county tax funds. The table and chart below outlines the Department's budgets and sources of funds.

	Taxes (55%)	User Fees (18%)	Grants (27%)
County General Tax	\$2,439,351	-	-
Special Purpose Local Income Tax (Juvenile)	\$887,176	-	-
Public Safety Local Income Tax	\$181,548	-	-
Adult Probation User Fees	-	\$320,520	-
Juvenile Probation User Fees	-	\$18,883	-
Problem Solving Court User Fees	-	\$49,507	-
Court Alcohol and Drug Program Fees	-	\$155,595	-
Community Corrections User Fees (Project Income)	-	\$578,285	-
County Offender Transportation	-	\$3,000	-
Community Corrections Grant	-	-	\$1,292,897
Community Transition Program	-	-	\$45,000
JDAI Coordination & Alternatives Grants	-	-	\$54,523
Veterans Court Grant	-	-	\$68,611
Pretrial Project Grant	-	-	\$163,975
Indiana Supreme Court Grant	-	-	\$10,000
Justice Partners Grant	-	-	\$60,000
CARES Grant	-	-	\$8,290
TOTALS – \$6,337,161	\$3,508,075	\$1,125,790	\$1,703,296

PROGRAM AND USER FEES

The Department implemented a new fee collection policy in January 2019 in an effort to increase the rate of user fee collection. Probationers who pay their fees pursuant to their ability to pay and individualized fee payment plan are eligible for incentives such as travel permits, “fish bowl” drawings, and prosocial time (on Home Detention). At year-end 2019, the Department’s user fee collection increased by 14% from year-end 2018.

In addition to paying probation officer (PO) salaries, user fees collected by the Department pay for many innovative rehabilitative programs that otherwise would not be possible from the limited county tax funds. A sample of public safety and rehabilitative programs funded through user fees includes:

- Barrier busters, such as bus passes for public transportation to aid clients in reducing barriers to successfully completing requirements of their supervision;
- Electronic monitoring equipment for home detention (radio frequency anklets, alcohol detection units, and GPS monitoring devices);
- Moral Reconciliation Therapy (MRT) and other juvenile programs; and
- PRIME for Life substance abuse education classes and Alcohol and Marijuana Education classes.

Probation user fees also are used to pay for County expenses that would otherwise have to be paid from County tax-based funds, such as:

- Replacement of office equipment;
- General operating expenses such as postage and office supplies (NOTE: county tax-based funds are not a primary source of general operating expenses for the Department; such general operating expenses are supported primarily generally from grants and user fees; and
- Training: POs are required to have 12 hours of continuing education per year to remain certified.

USER FEE COLLECTIONS

The Department is responsible for collecting adult and juvenile probation user fees, problem solving court user fees, and Community Corrections program fees. The Monroe County Clerk collects Court Alcohol & Drug (A&D) Program fees, Alcohol and Marijuana Education School (AES) fees, PRIME for Life fees, Drug Court Fees (prior to a 2010 statute changing the fee to a Problem Solving Court fee), and Pretrial Diversion (PDP) Community Service Program fees. The table below indicates the amount of user fees collected.

In 2017, the total annual user fee collection of \$962,721 was the first time since 1999 that the Department’s user fee collections dipped below \$1 million. In 2019, user fee collections bounced back from 2018 to a total of \$891,334 (15% increase from 2018 fee collection).

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Court A&D/AES/PRIME	\$249,183	\$266,345	\$246,069	\$168,815	\$206,424
Problem Solving Court	\$17,080	\$13,309	\$18,338	\$16,011	\$13,701
Adult Probation	\$316,996	\$284,952	\$257,423	\$239,955	\$282,200
Juvenile Probation	\$7,137	\$4,476	\$4,960	\$3,124	\$3,106
Community Corrections User Fees	\$462,866	\$453,918	\$435,879	\$349,891	\$385,903
TOTALS	\$1,069,165	\$1,023,000	\$962,721	\$777,796	\$891,334

USER FEE COLLECTION RATES

Despite efforts by the Department to collect all fees assessed by the court, some offenders do not pay the user fees, program fees, and restitution as directed. In 2019, \$679,383 of various fees were unpaid with most of the remaining fees ordered as a judgment against the offender.

The following table represents collection rates for all cases that were closed in 2019 (includes only fees collected in the Department, does not include fees collected by the Monroe County Clerk). Of these closed cases, all assessments were totaled by account and all fees paid or waived were totaled by account to establish a collection rate for each individual account.

	2015*	2016	2017	2018	2019
Adult Felony Administrative	56.0%	59.2%	57.4%	54.5%	57.5%
Adult Felony Initial and Monthly	48.5%	51.9%	47.2%	47.8%	47.6%
Adult Misdemeanor Administrative	85.1%	84.3%	79.7%	81.5%	83.5%
Adult Misdemeanor Initial and Monthly	81.9%	80.7%	73.1%	75.8%	78.2%
Juvenile Formal Administrative	37.4%	44.0%	25.3%	27.3%	31.5%
Juvenile Formal Initial and Monthly	25.1%	28.9%	23.1%	33.5%	26.8%
Juvenile Informal Monthly	60.9%	51.9%	48.2%	39.7%	51.6%
Problem Solving Court	59.9%	69.0%	89.7%	80.0%	74.8%
CASP Levels 2 & 3 (Old); 2-5 (New in 2016)	67.8%	72.7%	64.6%	64.3%	52.4%
CASP Level 4 (Old); 6 (New in 2016)	47.6%	76.1%	92.4%	62.9%	64.6%
CASP Level 5 (Old); 10 (New in 2016)	32.9%	31.0%	33.3%	33.7%	35.3%
CASP Level 7	-	85.2%	56.2%	54.3%	72.4%
CASP Levels 8 & 9	-	60.0%	0%	10.5%	27.0%
CASP Level 11	-	31.7%	27.5%	33.3%	41.1%
CASP Enhancement	66.4%	65.9%	69.4%	65.3%	53.8%
CASP Initial	48.3%	53.6%	66.8%	58.3%	63.6%
Community Corrections Transfer	60.2%	69.2%	39.4%	44.8%	62.5%
Interstate Compact	100%	100%	100%	100%	91.8%
Intrastate Compact	69.2%	54.5%	47.5%	62.8%	65.1%
Community Service	84.9%	84.7%	80.7%	76.8%	75.6%
Drug Screen (Regular Panel)	53.0%	46.5%	50.7%	44.3%	45.4%
Drug Screen (Enhanced)	37.5%	30.2%	34.1%	30.3%	30.5%
Drug Screen (Problem Solving Court Instant)	53.3%	59.7%	77.9%	67.1%	64.3%
Drug Screen (Probation Instant)	41.8%	25.2%	24.1%	16.3%	31.3%
Drug Screen (Problem Solving Court Saliva)	58.3%	67.6%	72.6%	54.2%	51.3%
Drug Screen (Probation Saliva)	32.5%	30.8%	24.6%	29.9%	28.2%
OVERALL COLLECTION RATE	61.9%	63.1%	59.5%	58.1%	54.3%

*Corrected data.

JUVENILE DIVISION

The Juvenile Division of the Monroe Circuit Court Probation Department is responsible for the investigation and supervision of juveniles referred to the Monroe Circuit Court. A juvenile is typically a youth under age eighteen at the time of the alleged offense.

Unlike the adult probation system where adult offenders are not generally introduced to the probation system until *after* a conviction, probation is the *starting* place for a juvenile's interaction with the juvenile justice system. All juvenile cases processed through the juvenile justice system begin with a written report, or referral. The Juvenile Division receives referrals from various sources, including law enforcement, parents, schools, businesses, and the public. Juveniles are referred to the Department for committing delinquent acts or status offenses. Delinquent acts are defined as acts that would be crimes if committed by an adult. Status offenses are acts of delinquency that are not crimes for adults, and include truancy, incorrigibility, curfew violation, and runaway.

After the Juvenile Division receives a new referral, a determination is made by the Prosecutor if legal action could be taken. For those referrals where legal action could be taken, the Juvenile Division completes a Preliminary Inquiry investigation into the delinquent act by formally interviewing the juvenile and parents/guardians/custodians. At the conclusion of this investigation, a Preliminary Inquiry report is filed with the prosecutor which includes recommendations from the juvenile probation officer regarding how the referral should proceed. The recommendations could include a request to waive the case to adult court, request formal filing of a delinquency petition against the juvenile, informally adjust the case, refer the juvenile and/or family to another agency for services, or recommend to dismiss the case.

Supervision of a juvenile occurs if the juvenile's case is approved for an informal adjustment (also known as informal probation) or supervision can occur after a juvenile is found to be delinquent (guilty) by a court and placed on formal probation. Additionally, the Juvenile Division supervises juveniles who have been court-ordered to a placement facility in the best interest of the juvenile.

The case-load restructuring that began in 2018 continued into 2019. Cases began to be assigned, in part, based on where the juvenile attends school. This allows Juvenile Probation Officers to be in communication with school staff in a routine and timely manner while maintaining a balance in case assignments amongst officers. One Juvenile Probation Officer was assigned to a pre-adjudication/pre-disposition caseload which aids in providing any needed services and interventions to juveniles and their families while the case is processing in court.

The Juvenile Division ended 2018 with eight (8) full time juvenile line probation officer positions, and a probation supervisor. The probation officer line staff included:

- two (2) probation officers assigned to the Juvenile Intake unit;
- one (1) probation officer assigned to supervise the Pre-adjudication and Pre-disposition caseload (cases pending court and those participating in detention alternatives);
- four (4) probation officers supervising a mixed caseload of formal and informal supervisions at all risk levels (per Indiana Youth Assessment System, IYAS); and
- one (1) probation officer who served as the Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI) Coordinator.

At year-end 2019, there were 73 youth under the supervision of the Juvenile Division and another 15 youth under pre-adjudication supervision.

In 2014, the Juvenile Division became involved with the Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI). This initiative was created by the Annie E. Casey Foundation and is a bipartisan movement for juvenile justice reinvestment. The initiative involves the reallocation of government resources away from mass incarceration and toward investment in youth, families, and communities. Goals of the JDAI include promoting positive youth development and enhancing public safety by eliminating unnecessary or inappropriate confinement.

JDAI Detention Facility Assessment Standards: Since its inception, JDAI has emphasized the importance of maintaining safe and humane conditions of confinement in juvenile detention facilities. The JDAI juvenile detention facility standards represent the most comprehensive set of publicly available standards for juvenile detention facilities. Indiana JDAI sites are required to use these standards and the facility assessment process to improve policies and practices to ensure that facilities reflect evolving standards of practice in the field. In 2018, the local JDAI Steering Committee started the process of preparing for assessing the conditions of confinement in the juvenile detention facility used by Monroe County, Southwest Indiana Regional Youth Village (SWIRYV) in Vincennes, Indiana. Through a joint effort with Owen County, committee members embarked on the site visit assessment on February 4 and February 5, 2019. Following the site visit the committee met with the administration staff of SWIRYV on March 1, 2019 to review the committee's findings. A report regarding the assessment was finalized in August of 2019 ("Conditions of Confinement Report).

Though driven primarily by the Monroe Circuit Court and the Juvenile Division of the department, JDAI is a community initiative that requires participation from resources in the community to be effective.

JDAI Project Committees: (all committees meet quarterly)

- **Steering Committee** – Discusses progress of the entire JDAI project and the work of all JDAI committees.
- **Detention Alternatives and Admissions** – Committee was formerly Purpose of Detention and Alternatives to Detention Workgroup. The Alternatives and Admissions Committee monitors the use of the Detention Risk Assessment Instrument and Alternatives to Detention.
- **Data Workgroup** – Monitors statistics and provides information to the committees in order to make data-driven decisions.
- **Race, Ethnicity, and Inclusion (REI)** – Work is completed to advance equal opportunities for all and to improve outcomes for children, families, and the community. As part of REI, a REI data workgroup and a community engagement workgroup were formed. The REI data workgroup will work to identify areas of disproportionality while the REI community engagement workgroup will assist in identifying community values through community collaboration.

2019 JDAI Alternative Program Highlights:

- **Day Reporting/Treatment Program** – Implemented in partnership with Family Solutions. These alternative programs ceased operations in August of 2019 due to low referral numbers.
- **High School Equivalency Classes** – Partnership with Adult Basic Education, a Division of Monroe County Community School Corporation. The grant also paid for TASC exams as a barrier buster for those clients who needed financial support.
- **JDAI Facility Assessment Training for Conditions of Confinement Facility Assessment Team** - Training included presenters from the Indiana Youth Team, Indiana Department of Correction, and State JDAI Team. This training was required for all those participating in the Facility Assessment.
- **JDAI Conditions of Confinement Facility Assessment Team Site Visit to SWIRYV in February 2019** - The Conditions of Confinement report was completed in August 2019.
- **Meeting with Police re: Detention Risk Assessment Instrument (DRAI)**. Judge Galvin met with local police officers to explain the use of the DRAI to assist with making decisions regarding detention.

JUVENILES REFERRED


A referral is a written report received from various sources, including law enforcement, parents, schools, businesses, and the public. Juveniles are referred to the department for committing delinquent acts or status offenses when they are under the age of 18 at the time of the alleged offense. Delinquent acts are defined as acts that would be crimes if committed by an adult. Status offenses are acts of delinquency that are not crimes for adults, and include truancy, incorrigibility, curfew violation, and runaway.

The table below shows the number of individual juveniles on which the department received a referral. If a juvenile was referred more than once or in more than one case, the juvenile is categorized by the highest level of referred offense. The table below indicates the total number of referrals received during the year; 298 individual juveniles were referred for 396 referrals (delinquent acts and/or status offenses).

	INDIVIDUALS REFERRED					NUMBER OF REFERRALS				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Delinquency	231	235	252	203	171	332	358	368	316	242
Status	169	173	192	168	127	233	219	272	221	154
TOTAL	400	408	444	371	298	565	577	640	537	396

The Department began publishing Juvenile referrals statistics in 1984. That year, there were 545 referrals. Over the years, referral numbers trended upward, reaching the highest number of referrals in 2012 with 1,297 referrals. The next year, referral numbers dramatically reduced to 713 for 2013 (a 29% decrease). After peaking in 2012, referral numbers have declined gradually and reduced by more than one-half in 2014. In 2019, the 396 referrals received is the all-time lowest number of referrals received since the Department began tracking these numbers.


JUVENILE REFERRALS RECEIVED, 1984-2019



	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995
Referrals	545	601	522	598	767	672	825	832	709	761	961	761
	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
Referrals	867	1,107	1,205	1,106	1,066	999	1,069	880	962	816	855	958
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Referrals	1,296	1,054	1,098	1,061	1,297	713	577	565	577	640	537	396

JUVENILE REFERRALS RECEIVED BY AGE AND SEX

The chart below indicates the total number of referrals received during the year broken down by age, sex, and case type.




OFFENSE TYPES FOR JUVENILE REFERRALS RECEIVED

Some juveniles are referred for more than one offense at the time the referral was made to the Juvenile Division. The table and chart below illustrate the types of offenses for which a juvenile was referred. A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Weapon	9	14	6	5	11
Violent/Person	93	124	99	154	111
Drug	158	178	173	89	57
Property	151	138	168	148	84
Other	82	81	75	78	92
Status	257	269	313	258	167
TOTAL	750	804	834	732	522


DELINQUENCY AND STATUS OFFENSE TYPES FOR JUVENILE REFERRALS RECEIVED



DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE REFERRALS RECEIVED AND PRELIMINARY INQUIRIES

Of the 396 referrals received in 2019, some will be carried over into the next year (17 referrals) depending on when the referral was received. Referrals can be disposed in a number of ways; some are disposed prior to action from the Juvenile Division at the discretion of the Prosecutor, some are disposed after the completion of a Preliminary Inquiry investigation. The chart below shows how the remaining 379 referrals received in 2019 were disposed.

JUVENILE REFERRALS DISPOSED



2019 PRELIMINARY INQUIRIES COMPLETED

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Preliminary Inquiries	270	323	349	298	214

JUVENILE INTAKE TEAM

The Juvenile Division Intake Team is comprised of juvenile probation officers who meet weekly to review the investigative reports completed on each new referral received and discuss recommendations. The purpose of this review is to address questions or concerns about cases and to ensure consistent application of the risk assessment instrument occurs. The Intake Team review process assists and supports juvenile probation officers as they strive to utilize evidence-based, cost effective, and individualized responses to address delinquent behavior. The chart below shows the number of cases reviewed by the Intake Team.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Cases Reviewed	218	205	252	240	214

JUVENILES RECEIVED FOR SUPERVISION


The chart below shows the number of individual juveniles placed on formal and informal probation supervision in 2019. If a juvenile was placed on probation more than once or in more than one case, the juvenile is categorized by the highest level of supervision and highest level of delinquent offense. Juveniles may be placed under probation supervision multiple times or in multiple cases.

	INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED					SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Formal Delinquency	30	39	37	28	28	33	41	37	30	28
Formal Status	4	7	6	6	2	4	7	6	6	2
Informal Delinquency	29	26	28	17	20	29	26	32	17	24
Informal Status	36	17	20	13	20	36	17	20	13	20
TOTAL	99	89	91	64	74	102	91	95	66	74

2019 JUVENILE PROBATION SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED BY AGE AND SEX

	Male				Female				TOTAL
	Formal		Informal		Formal		Informal		
	Delinq.	Status	Delinq.	Status	Delinq.	Status	Delinq.	Status	
12 and Under	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
13	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	5
14	5	0	3	1	1	0	1	0	11
15	8	1	2	1	1	0	3	2	18
16	4	0	2	2	1	1	1	5	16
17	2	0	4	4	4	0	3	1	18
18 and Up	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	4
TOTAL	21	1	14	10	7	1	10	10	74

2019 JUVENILE PROBATION SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED BY SEX




OFFENSE TYPES FOR JUVENILE SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some juveniles are found delinquent (guilty) for more than one offense at the time supervision begins. The table and chart below illustrate the types of offenses for which a juvenile was placed on formal or informal supervision. A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Weapon	2	1	0	0	1
Violent/Person	17	13	23	11	10
Drug	10	27	21	21	7
Property	35	28	31	12	18
Other	14	13	11	16	27
Status	49	22	36	28	25
TOTAL	127	104	122	88	88

DELINQUENCY AND STATUS OFFENSE TYPES FOR JUVENILE SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED 2019




JUVENILE PROBATION SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

The following represents the number of juvenile probation supervisions closed in 2019 by the type of discharge. Juveniles could have been discharged from multiple supervisions in multiple cases and each case could have a different type of discharge depending on the final disposition given by a court.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Formal Delinquency	41	42	48	32	27
Formal Status	3	4	9	3	5
Informal Delinquency	30	29	33	22	20
Informal Status	35	20	17	16	12
TOTAL	109	95	107	73	64

TOTAL JUVENILE PROBATION SUPERVISIONS CLOSED 2019



YEAR END OPEN JUVENILE PROBATION SUPERVISIONS

The following represents the total number of juvenile probation supervisions open at the end of 2019.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Formal Delinquency	40	35	24	29	29
Formal Status	3	6	2	4	2
Informal Delinquency	12	12	10	5	9
Informal Status	8	5	7	1	9
TOTAL	63	58	43	39	49

YEAR END JUVENILE PROBATION SUPERVISION CASELOADS

The following represents the average number of juveniles each juvenile probation officer was supervising at the end of 2019.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Non-specialized General Caseload	20	19	15	14	18

PREDISPOSITIONAL REPORTS

Predispositional Reports (PDRs) are generally completed after a finding of delinquency (guilt) to provide information to a court regarding the juvenile's risk and needs. The information included consists of: the juvenile's delinquency history; personal and family history; school involvement; physical, mental, and substance use history; and an evaluation of the risk the juvenile poses to the community. The chart below provides information on the number of PDRs completed over the past five years.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Predispositional Report	27	38	31	22	27

CIVIL DIVISION INVESTIGATIONS

The Juvenile Division assists the Civil Division of the Court by conducting investigations in divorce and paternity cases to provide the Court with information regarding parents and their child(ren). Examples of the information that could be investigated is the child's school performance/attendance or the child's living environment. The average amount of time spent on completing civil investigation in 2019 was 9 hours per investigation/report.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Divorce	11	11	3	2	2
Paternity	15	13	4	6	5
TOTAL	26	24	7	8	7

JUVENILE DETENTION

Juveniles placed in secure detention are transported to a detention facility in another Indiana county. Monroe County typically utilizes the Southwest Indiana Regional Youth Village (SWIRYV) in Vincennes. Used less frequently are detention facilities in Bartholomew, Johnson, Hamilton, and Jackson counties. The costs listed in the table below were paid in 2019, however these costs could have been for services delivered the previous year due to billing times. The table below shows the total juveniles admitted to secure detention; each juvenile could have been securely detained multiple times.

The Juvenile Detention Alternative Initiative (JDAI) was initiated in mid-2014. The last full year of pre-JDAI detention data was in 2013.

SECURE DETENTIONS

	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Male	41	77	37	34	44	33	24
Female	7	9	8	16	11	16	8
Total Admissions	63	123	61	71	100	68	46
Total Days	1,169	1,364	910	1,368	1,688	1,002	688
Costs*	\$218,254	\$168,510	\$121,591	\$134,550	\$269,915	\$163,732	\$89,888

A table indicating the daily population of juveniles held in detention can be found in the appendix.

*Does not include ancillary costs such as: transportation to/from detention and court hearings; medical expenses incurred while in detention; and the payment of staff to supervise youth prior to transport/court.

JUVENILE SHELTER PLACEMENT

At times the need arises to remove children from their home, but securely detaining the youth is not necessary. When these circumstances arise, the Monroe County Youth Shelter is often utilized though other shelters within Indiana are used when necessary. In 2019, the Juvenile Division of the Monroe Circuit Court authorized 21 individual youth to be placed in a youth shelter. These 21 (11 male and 10 female) youth represent 32 separate placements for a total of 245 days. A table indicating the daily population of juveniles held in shelter can be found in the appendix.

JUVENILE RESIDENTIAL PLACEMENT

In addition to the times when a juvenile must be securely detained or placed at a youth shelter, some juveniles require longer-term care outside of their home. These placements include foster care, group homes, residential treatment centers with specialized programming, and inpatient hospital settings. In all, 17 juveniles were ordered into out-of-home residential placements by the Court.

JUVENILES WAIVED TO ADULT COURT

In 2019, there was zero (0) juveniles waived to an adult court.

JUVENILE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION COMMITMENTS

In 2019, there was zero (0) juveniles committed to the Indiana Department of Correction.

INDIANA YOUTH ASSESSMENT SYSTEM AND JUVENILE PROGRAM REFERRALS

As required by the Judicial Conference of Indiana, the Juvenile Division has been utilizing the Indiana Youth Assessment System (IYAS) since 2011. The IYAS is the risk assessment system made up of six (6) instruments to be used at specific points in the juvenile justice process to identify a juvenile participant's risk to reoffend and criminogenic needs, and assist with developing an individualized case management plan. [NOTE: Criminogenic needs are attributes of offenders that are directly linked to criminal behavior. Effective correctional treatment should target criminogenic needs in the development of a comprehensive case plan. Any treatment not targeting criminogenic needs is counter-productive to efficiency and effectiveness.]

Diversion Tool - designed to assess a youth's risk to reoffend within the next 12 months and is best used at initial contact for the instant offense to assist in making diversion decisions.

Detention Tool - designed to assess a youth's risk to reoffend within the next 12 months and is best used prior to detention to assist in making hold/release decisions and can also be used in making decisions regarding releases from detention.

Disposition Tool - designed to assess a youth's risk to reoffend and identify criminogenic needs to assist in making decisions regarding post-adjudication supervision to assist in creating a supervision case plan for the youth. The Disposition Tool also has a screening tool to quickly identify youth who are low-risk and determine if a full risk assessment should be completed.


Residential Tool - designed to assess a youth's risk to reoffend and identify criminogenic needs to assist in making decisions regarding level of placement, case planning, and length of stay recommendations.

Re-entry Tool - designed to assess a youth's risk to reoffend and identify criminogenic needs to assist in making decisions regarding release, case planning, and length of stay in residential placements.

The following table represents IYAS assessments completed by the type of tool and the percentage of juveniles risking at each level. More than one risk assessment could have been completed on a juvenile during the time a case is open and depending upon the status of each case.

	Assessments Completed	Percentage at Overall Risk Level		
		High	Moderate	Low
Diversion Tool	186	3%	81%	16%
Detention Tool	43	42%	49%	9%
Disposition Screening Tool	6	17%		83%
Disposition Tool	74	12%	39%	49%
Residential Tool	20	40%	40%	20%
Reentry Tool	26	23%	31%	46%

Juveniles placed on supervision are assessed using the Disposition Tool. This tool provides a risk level in each of the seven life domains the tool reports. After the completion of the tool, case plans are formulated to address a juvenile’s risk and needs in order to reduce the likelihood the juvenile will reoffend and/or violate the terms of his/her supervision. The following chart represents the number and percentage of assessments scoring in each of the risk levels – high, moderate, and low for the Disposition Tool.



Upon the completion of a case plan, juveniles, and often their families, are referred to various services and programs in our community. The following table shows the programs juveniles and their families were referred to and the risk domains these programs address.

Program	Domain(s) Addressed	Referrals Made
Big Brothers Big Sisters	3, 5, 7	1
Carey Guides	5, 6, 7	2
Case Management Services (Mental Health)	6	42
Change Companies (Interactive Journals)	2, 3, 5, 6, 7	10
Counseling – Family Outpatient	2	9
Counseling – Individual Outpatient	6	36
Counseling – Substance Use Outpatient	6	4
Employment Classes and/or Coaching	4	2
Evaluation – Inpatient / Psychological	6	5
Evaluation – Outpatient Psychiatric / Psychological	6	21
Evaluation – Outpatient Psychosexual	6	3
Evaluation – Outpatient Substance Use	6	2
Extracurricular Activity	3, 5	15
Family Centered Therapy	2	8
Fire Starter Program	6, 7	1
Graduation Coach Services	4	3
High School Equivalency Classes	4	6
Moral Reconciliation Therapy (MRT)	2, 3, 5, 6, 7	1
Nurse Family Partnership	2	1
Project Wolf	3, 5, 7	1
Sex Offender / Maladaptive Treatment	6	2
STEP – Shoplifting Theft Education Program	5, 7	6
Tutoring / Literacy Classes	4	2
Victim Offender Restoration Program	5, 7	33

ADULT PROBATION DIVISION

The Adult Division of the Monroe Circuit Court Probation Department is responsible for the supervision of adult offenders placed on probation and/or referred to the Court-administered Alcohol and Drug Program. Additionally, the Adult Division conducts investigations, evaluations, and assessments on offenders sentenced to supervision and when ordered by a court on defendants prior to a finding of guilt or innocence and/or sentencing.

During 2019, the Adult Division was comprised of 23 probation officers with 17 adult probation officers assigned to the Supervision Unit and six (6) probation officers (including two part-time probation officers) assigned to the Intake Unit.

Of the six (6) probation officers assigned to the Intake Unit, two (2) probation officers were assigned to complete Presentence Investigations. All members of the Intake Unit provide an initial screening risk assessment to newly sentenced offenders. This initial screening assessment aided in identifying lower and higher risk offenders in order to prioritize how quickly supervision should be initiated. The remaining four (4) probation officers conducted formal evaluations, which included a substance use assessment and risk assessment on newly sentenced offenders. The purpose of these evaluations was to determine an offender's risk and needs and begin making appropriate referrals for services to promote an offender's successful completion of supervision.

The Supervision Unit has one probation officer (PO) who supervises a high-volume Administrative Caseload which consists of offenders whose supervision has been transferred out to other jurisdictions and offenders directly sentenced to administrative/non-reporting probation.

Four POs supervise offenders assigned to the Enhanced Supervision Unit (ESU) for high/intensive supervision of serious violent felons, sex offenders, chronically mentally ill offenders, and domestic violence offenders. The POs assigned to this unit have smaller caseloads in order to permit more intensive supervision. One (1) probation officer within ESU is assigned to supervise sex offenders in addition to other violent offenders, enabling the department to make significant strides toward improving community safety by consolidating and providing a higher level of monitoring and supervision for one of the highest risk offender populations. Two (2) probation officers within ESU supervise persons convicted of domestic violence in addition to supervising other violent offenders. The chronically mentally ill population of offenders (who do not qualify for the Mental Health Court) is supervised by one (1) probation officer.

The remainder of the Adult Probation caseload is supervised by 12 POs known as "Court Teams." In January of 2018, a major caseload/workload change was implemented in the Adult Division with the "Court Teams." At the end of 2017, each "Court Team" PO supervised an average caseload of **91** offenders (range 90-100). These caseloads were comprised of a mix of probationers who were assessed by the Indiana Risk Assessment System (IRAS) as high, moderate, and low risk.

In an effort to align workloads and caseloads to follow evidence-based practices (EBP) more closely, starting in January 2018, the Adult "Court Teams" re-allocated cases by risk level. This required combining Court Teams, moving from one Team of three (3) POs per each Criminal Division Court to one Team of six (6) POs per two Criminal Court Divisions. Each **new** Court Team now has four (4) POs supervising a high/moderate caseload and two (2) POs supervising a low/administrative caseload for two Criminal Court Divisions.

The caseload reallocation for Adult Supervision Unit, assigning cases by risk level, decreased the number of probationers supervised by POs assigned to the Moderate/High Risk caseloads. This caseload assignment:

- Allows time for POs to complete a behavioral analysis and a very specific case plan targeting the highest risk and need areas to reduce recidivism;
- Allows POs more time per client contact to specifically target criminogenic need areas identified by the IRAS;
- Allows for intentionality with client appointments to utilize skill-building and practice with clients;
- Allows the Department to begin shifting toward ‘dosage probation’ (strategy which links “the duration of probation supervision to the optimal amount of intervention an offender needs in order to reduce risk of re-offense”);
- Allows for more time for field supervision (homes and workplaces) of high risk clients;
- Allows POs to oversee caseloads that support the individual POs’ strengths;
- Allows for additional coverage options (during PO absences) within teams as more POs will have working knowledge of cases within each team;
- Allows the Supervisor to target coaching toward the needs of each caseload (training and coaching approach will differ based on a high/moderate caseload versus low/administrative caseload);
- Allows the Department to follow EBP principles by truly supervising *low* risk offenders with *low* risk supervision practices, which has been shown by research to reduce the likelihood of increasing the risk of low risk offenders; and
- Smaller caseloads of Moderate/High risk offenders provide probation officers time for more immediate responses to violations and utilization of intermediate sanctions as well as immediate use of reinforcing incentives for positive changes.

At year-end 2019, the Adult Supervision Unit caseload averages (persons being supervised per PO):

High-volume Administrative Caseload = **298**

Enhanced Supervision Unit (ESU) = **41**

*Low/Administrative Caseloads = **176**

*High/Moderate Caseloads = **42**


*[NOTE: If all Court Team non-ESU cases were to be combined, an average caseload per each of the 12 POs would be 87 probationers per PO.]

ADULT PROBATION OFFENDERS AND SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

The chart below shows the number of individual offenders placed on probation supervision in 2019. If an offender was placed on probation more than once or in more than one case, the offender is categorized by the highest level of convicted offense. Offenders may be placed under probation supervision multiple times or in multiple cases.

	INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED					SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Misdemeanor	1,004	807	729	570	621	1,028	840	770	594	646
Felony	440	478	570	595	625	456	500	595	611	669
TOTAL	1,444	1,285	1,299	1,165	1,246	1,484	1,340	1,365	1,205	1,315

ADULT FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR PROBATION SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED BY SEX AND AGE




OFFENSE TYPES FOR ADULT PROBATION SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some offenders placed on probation supervision are convicted of more than one offense. The table and chart below illustrate the types of offenses for which an offender was placed on probation supervision.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Weapon	10	9	26	27	28
Violent/Person	506	393	426	313	195
Drug	544	538	519	475	783
Property	266	266	256	262	236
Other	353	286	280	259	220
TOTAL	1,679	1,492	1,507	1,336	1,462

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

MISDEMEANOR AND FELONY OFFENSE TYPES FOR PROBATION SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED 2019




ADULT PROBATION SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

The following represents the number of adult probation supervisions closed in 2019 by the type of discharge. Offenders could have been discharged from multiple supervisions in multiple cases and each case could have a different type of discharge depending on the final disposition given by a court.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Misdemeanor	961	1,027	883	744	622
Felony	467	482	548	608	617
TOTAL	1,428	1,509	1,431	1,352	1,239

TOTAL ADULT PROBATION SUPERVISIONS CLOSED 2019



YEAR END OPEN ADULT PROBATION SUPERVISIONS

The following represents the total number of adult probation supervisions open at the end of 2019.

	2015*	2016*	2017	2018	2019
Misdemeanor	1072	885	776	634	665
Felony	919	927	978	974	1,018
TOTAL	1,991	1,812	1,754	1,608	1,683

*Corrected data

YEAR END ADULT PROBATION SUPERVISION CASELOADS

The following represents the average number of offenders each adult probation officer was supervising at the end of 2019 by the unit assigned. In 2018, the Adult Division realigned caseloads to assign supervision by risk. Thus, the non-specialized general caseload below is now being assigned into a high/moderate caseload and a low/administrative caseload.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Non-specialized General Caseload	115	99	91	-	-
High / Moderate Caseload	-	-	-	41	42
Low / Administrative Caseload	-	-	-	167	176
Enhanced Supervision Unit	45	43	44	43	41
Administrative Caseload	370	360	418	303	298

ADULT PROBATION SUPERVISION TRANSFERS

The Adult Division provides courtesy supervision to felons as well as misdemeanor probationers sentenced in other counties or states and transfers cases to other jurisdictions for courtesy supervision. The division also accepts transferred cases and send cases to other Indiana Court Alcohol and Drug Programs. The following represents the number transfer cases by type received or sent during 2019.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Intrastate Transfer Out	255	275	237	177	211
Interstate Transfer Out	21	19	16	20	17
Intrastate Transfer In	110	140	158	170	190
Interstate Transfer In	20	15	15	9	14

PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATIONS

Presentence investigations (PSI) are conducted when ordered by a court. A PSI can be completed prior to a finding of guilt or innocence or may be conducted subsequent to a finding of guilt. PSIs are required to be completed prior to sentencing in all felony cases except the lowest level felonies, Level 6 (for offenses committed after June 30, 2014) and D Felony (for offenses committed prior to July 1, 2014).

A PSI is a formal report that gives pertinent information to a court regarding the defendant's risk and needs. The information included consists of the defendant's criminal history; personal and family history; physical, mental, and substance use history; and an evaluation of the risk the defendant poses to the community.

PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATIONS CONDUCTED

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Misdemeanor	2	0	0	0	0
Felony	166	165	186	147	159
TOTAL	168	165	186	147	159

POST-SENTENCE INTAKES CONDUCTED

Post-sentence intakes are conducted after an offender has been sentenced to some form of supervision by the Department. These formal evaluations include a substance use assessment and risk assessment. The purpose of these evaluations are to determine an offender's risk and needs and begin making appropriate referrals for services to promote an offender's successful completion of supervision.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Misdemeanor	901	725	556	636	664
Felony	299	305	376	392	415
TOTAL	1,200	1,030	933	1,028	1,079

COURT ALCOHOL & DRUG PROGRAM

The Monroe Circuit Court Alcohol and Drug Program is an integral part of the Adult Division of the Monroe Circuit Court Probation Department. The Court Alcohol and Drug Program is certified by the Indiana Office of Court Services (IOCS). In 2019, the Program was granted a four-year re-certification by the IOCS.

The Court Alcohol and Drug Program is administered by the Director who is responsible for the daily operation of the Adult Intake Unit and who is also responsible for ensuring that all staff members receive ongoing training regarding substance related issues. All adult probation officers within the Department are certified as either substance abuse professionals or maintain a Certified Substance Abuse Management credential and must complete a minimum of 12 hours of alcohol/drug and criminal justice education every year in order to maintain their certification.

Probation officers hired after January 1, 2005 who supervise adult offenders as part of the Court Alcohol and Drug Program must obtain and maintain a Court Substance Abuse Management Specialist credential (CSAMS) within two years. To obtain the credential, the staff member must have a baccalaureate degree from an accredited university; must complete and document at least 1,500 hours of experience in the assessment of people with substance abuse problems; complete at least 500 hours of a supervised practicum in the areas of assessment, referral and case management of substance abuse clients; complete required training; submit a signed statement to adhere to a code of ethics; must be at least 21 years of age; and take and pass a written exam.

Adult probation officers conduct substance abuse screenings on all new cases referred by the courts for probation, regardless of case type. If the referring offense involved drugs or alcohol, or the offense was somehow related to the use or abuse of such substances, the adult probation officers perform more extensive substance abuse evaluations and these cases are then considered referrals to the Court Alcohol and Drug Program.

Following the completion of the substance abuse assessment, the probation officer develops an individualized service plan for each offender. This service plan typically includes a referral to a substance abuse education or treatment program. The probation officer then monitors the probationer's compliance with the terms of substance abuse education or treatment. The Court Alcohol and Drug Program does not provide any direct treatment services.

ADULT COURT ALCOHOL & DRUG PROGRAM OFFENDERS AND SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

The Court Alcohol and Drug Program is integrated into the Adult Division of the Probation Department. Thus, most adult offenders on probation supervision are also considered referrals to the Court Alcohol and Drug Program for supervision. Some cases transferred into Monroe County are only referred for court alcohol and drug program services and are not under probation supervision; in 2019, seven (7) such cases were received by the Department.

The chart below shows the number of individual offenders referred for Court Alcohol and Drug Program supervision in 2019. If an offender was placed on Court Alcohol and Drug Program supervision more than once or in more than one case, the offender is categorized by the highest level of convicted offense.


Due to the integration of the Court Alcohol and Drug Program with the Adult Division of the Probation Department, many probation supervisions are also considered referrals to the Court Alcohol and Drug Program for supervision. Some offenders may be placed on supervision multiple times or in multiple cases.

	INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED					SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Misdemeanor	715	560	500	388	279	721	573	511	394	481
Felony	211	248	301	270	476	216	256	303	272	288
TOTAL	926	808	801	658	755	937	829	814	666	769

*Includes six (6) offenders and seven (7) supervisions for court alcohol and drug program supervision only.

ADULT FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR COURT ALCOHOL AND DRUG PROGRAM SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED BY SEX AND AGE

The table and chart below indicates the number of Court Alcohol and Drug Program offenders received and supervisions received in 2019, both felony and misdemeanor, broken down by sex and age. This represents the characteristics of the offender at the time supervision began, which may be reported more than once if the offender was placed on probation supervision multiple times or in multiple cases.




OFFENSE TYPES FOR COURT ALCOHOL AND DRUG PROGRAM SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some offenders placed on court alcohol and drug program supervision are convicted of more than one offense. The table and chart below illustrate the types of offenses for which an offender was placed on court alcohol and drug program supervision.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Weapon	2	1	9	9	6
Violent/Person	314	245	265	194	17
Drug	532	525	505	427	710
Property	27	24	14	20	19
Other	170	127	98	89	84
TOTAL	1,045	922	891	739	836

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

MISDEMEANOR AND FELONY OFFENSE TYPES FOR COURT ALCOHOL AND DRUG PROGRAM SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED




COURT ALCOHOL AND DRUG PROGRAM SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

The following represents the number of Court Alcohol and Drug Program supervisions closed in 2019 by the type of discharge. Offenders could have been discharged in multiple cases and each case could have a different type of discharge depending on the final disposition given by a court.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Misdemeanor	621	722	590	509	400
Felony	218	237	272	318	278
TOTAL	839	959	862	827	678

TOTAL COURT ALCOHOL AND DRUG PROGRAM SUPERVISIONS CLOSED



ALCOHOL AND MARIJUANA EDUCATION SCHOOL

The Court Alcohol and Drug Program operates a six-hour substance abuse information class, Alcohol and Marijuana Education School, known as AES. The AES curriculum targets minor first-time alcohol and marijuana offenders and is utilized by the Prosecutor's Office for Pre-Trial Diversion Program participants.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
IU Student	390	406	471	278	346
Non-IU Student	122	142	156	126	108
TOTAL	512	548	627	404	454

PRIME FOR LIFE

The Department provides a 12-hour substance abuse education program utilizing the cognitive-based Prime for Life Indiana (PRI) curriculum. PRI is offered to second time Pre-Trial Diversion participants being charged with marijuana and minor alcohol-related offenses and probationers who have been determined to need substance education. The program began in September 2003.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Prosecutor Referrals	294	234	193	140	95
Probation Referrals	151	114	95	67	100
TOTAL	445	348	288	207	195

COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PROGRAM

The Community Corrections Program is a division of the Monroe Circuit Court Probation Department. The Community Corrections Director is also an Assistant Chief Probation Officer. Community Corrections is primarily responsible for pretrial monitoring and post-sentence supervision of individuals placed on electronic monitoring (adult and juvenile), home detention, day reporting, and work release (transfers out-of-county). The division also includes the Community Transition Program, Community Service Program, and the Drug Testing Program. Additionally, supervision of offenders participating in Monroe County's Problem Solving Court Program falls under the Community Corrections Program.

The Community Corrections Program employs probation officers who serve as case managers, supervising caseloads of offenders who are court-ordered to complete home detention and/or day reporting through the Community Alternative Supervision Program (CASP). Additionally, Community Corrections employs field officers to conduct field supervision of offenders at their homes, workplaces, and elsewhere.

Funding for Community Corrections comes from a variety of sources including the Indiana Department of Correction (IDOC) community corrections grants, user fees, local taxes, and other grants. In 2019, Monroe County completed its 36th year of receiving grant funding from the IDOC. For July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019, the IDOC awarded Monroe County **\$1,292,897**. Also in 2019, the IDOC changed the grant award from a state fiscal year to a calendar year starting in 2020. Thus, our 2018-19 grant was given a six-month extension (one-half of the total amount awarded for 2018-19 grant year) to end December 31, 2019.

The IDOC is a significant funding source through the annual Community Corrections Program Grant. As a condition of grant funding, the Community Corrections Program must undergo program audits by the IDOC to determine if the program meets criteria as an "evidence-based organization." Our program scored 99 out of 100 in our most recent audit in 2018. A new audit will be completed in 2020.

In 2018, the Community Corrections User Fee Fund (Project Income) dipped to a dangerously low level such that it could not support the employees' salaries that were paid from the funds. Therefore, several changes had to be made to save the funds from being fully depleted during the year. The difficult decision was made to end the Road Crew Program as this program was funded solely from Community Corrections User Fees and could not be sustained. In 2019, the County Council agreed to assume some expenses, including drug testing and electronic monitoring costs, in our 2020 budget.

In 2019, a change in Community Corrections Program leadership occurred. Long-time Community Corrections Director Thomas Rhodes retired after nearly 30 years of service. Pretrial and Continuous Quality Improvement Supervisor Becca Streit was promoted to the position of Community Corrections Director/Assistant Chief Probation Officer. Pretrial Probation Officer Chelsea Walters was promoted to replace Becca Streit as Pretrial and Continuous Quality Improvement Supervisor.

COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS ADVISORY BOARD

The Monroe County Community Corrections Advisory Board (CCAB) was established in 1982. In 2019, Judge Mary Ellen Diekhoff was elected as CCAB chair and Chief Probation Officer Linda Brady continued as vice chair.

The CCAB meets quarterly (January, April, August, and October).

The CCAB consists of the statutorily required members (per IC 11-12-1-2 such as judges, prosecuting attorney, public defender, etc.) as well as appointed members representing local law enforcement, schools, social service organizations, victim, and former offenders.

The CCAB monitors and approves Community Corrections funding, programs, and services. Copies of the minutes from all CCAB meetings may be requested from the Community Corrections Director.

COMMUNITY ALTERNATIVE SUPERVISION PROGRAM (CASP)

The Community Alternative Supervision Program (CASP) incorporates a continuum of incentives and sanctions approach to supervision. This continuum allows program staff to administratively move offenders/defendants through various levels of supervision intensity, allowing the participant to experience immediate rewards for appropriate conduct and immediate consequences for violation of program and probation rules. Such immediate incentives and sanctions help to motivate individuals to successfully complete the required programming in less time, thereby maximizing the staff resources available to supervise existing caseloads.

Historically the CASP was comprised of six (6) levels of supervision. Due to the revised Indiana criminal code and with additional staffing proved by IDOC grant funds, in 2016 the CASP was expanded to 12 levels.

The CASP levels were modified in 2017. All CASP supervision levels listed below are informed by the risk scores as determined by the Indiana Risk Assessment System (IRAS).

Only CASP levels one (1) through five (5), are eligible for the client to earn time credit against his/her sentence.

CASP LEVELS

Level 1 (Work Release) – Out-of-county Work Release may be Court-ordered on a limited pre-approved basis. **Targeted Risk:** Moderate to High. Participants must provide own transportation to employment and must pay program fees. Greene County & Morgan County Work Release programs are available for pre-screen acceptance.

Level 2 (Therapeutic Home Detention with Residential Placement) – **Targeted Risk:** Moderate to High. **Placement Factors:** Need for residential treatment; need for sober living environment; homeless or unsuitable housing; sanction for CASP noncompliance; prior CASP noncompliance history. **Considerations for Level Reduction:** Treatment completion; specified by Court Order; upon suitable residence being secured. **Housing:** Facility costs are participant responsibility; fee assistance may be possible through health insurance and/or state-sponsored programs.

Level 3 (Enhanced Home Detention with Day Reporting) – **Targeted Risk:** Moderate to High. **Placement Factors:** Alcohol abuser; chronic unemployed; job search; multiple work/school locations; sanction for CASP noncompliance; prior CASP noncompliance history. **Considerations for Level Reduction:** Secured employment/enrolled in school; negative substance tests; case plan progress. **Day Reporting:** Participants report Monday through Friday between 7 am and 9 am or as directed (see Level 10). **Level Status Review:** High Risk = every 30 days; Moderate Risk = every 2 weeks; Low Risk = weekly.

Level 4 (Intermediate Home Detention) – **Level 4 = PRESUMPTIVE starting level for Home Detention (HD).** **Targeted Risk:** Moderate to High. **Considerations for Level Reduction:** Maintaining employment; maintaining school enrollment; negative substance tests; case plan progress. **Level Status Review:** First review after participant completes 1/2 executed HD sentence; thereafter, reviews conducted every 30 days.

Level 5 (Basic Home Detention) – **Targeted Risk:** Low to Moderate. **Placement Factors:** In lieu of incarceration; condition of probation; community corrections/ probation violator. **Level Status Review:** If on court-ordered HD, cannot move to lower level to receive credit time.

Level 6 (Electronic Monitored Home Curfew) – **Targeted Risk:** Low to Moderate. **Placement Factors:** Condition of probation or pre-trial release; sanction for probation violation. **Presumptive Curfew:** between 9 pm and 6 am or as directed. **Equipment:** Radio Frequency (RF) monitoring with landline or cellular phone.

Level 7 (Alcohol Detect Electronic Monitoring - Soberlink) – **Targeted Risk:** Moderate to High. **Placement Factors:** Alcohol abuser; Condition of probation or pre-trial release; sanction for a probation violation involving alcohol consumption.

Level 8 (Electronic Monitored Exclusion Zones) – **Targeted Risk:** Moderate to High. **Placement Factors:** Condition of probation or pre-trial release; sanction for probation violation. **Exclusion Zones:** Participants ordered to not travel to or be at designated exclusion locations. **Equipment:** GPS.

Level 9 (Drive-by Curfew) – **Targeted Risk:** Low to Moderate. **Placement Factors:** Sanction for probation violation or as a condition of pre-trial release. **Presumptive Curfew:** Participants are placed on curfew between 9 pm and 6 am (or as directed). **Equipment:** RF electronic monitoring anklet with randomized drive-by checks.

Level 10 (Day Reporting) – **Targeted Risk:** Low to Moderate. **Placement Factors:** Sanction for probation violation or as a condition of pre-trial release.

Level 11 (Pre-Trial Case Management) – **Targeted Risk:** Moderate to high.

Level 12 (Kiosk Reporting) – **Targeted Risk:** Low. **Placement Factors:** Condition of probation or pretrial release. **Check-in:** Participants report to Kiosk as directed, answer set of standard questions.

ADULT WORK RELEASE INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS RECEIVED

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Misdemeanor	0	0	0	0	0
Felony	7	8	6	4	1
TOTAL	7	8	6	4	1

ADULT WORK RELEASE SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Age	Male		Female	
	Felony	Misdemeanor	Felony	Misdemeanor
50-59	0	0	1	0
TOTAL	0	0	1	0

OFFENSE TYPES FOR ADULT WORK RELEASE SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some offenders placed on work release supervision are convicted of or charged with more than one offense.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Weapon	0	2	0	0	0
Violent/Person	0	3	4	0	0
Drug	7	4	3	2	5
Property	2	4	1	1	0
Other	1	3	1	3	0
TOTAL	10	16	9	6	5

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

ADULT WORK RELEASE SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

	Felony					Misdemeanor				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Absconded	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Successful Completion	1	4	2	3	3	0	0	0	0	0
Revoked Due to Technical Violations	5	2	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Revoked Due to New Offense	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	7	6	4	7	4	0	0	1	0	0


ADULT CASP LEVELS 2-5 OFFENDERS AND SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

The chart below shows the number of individual offenders placed on CASP Levels 2-5 (electronic monitoring/home detention where credit time could be earned) supervision in 2019. If an offender was placed on CASP Levels 2-5 more than once or in more than one case, the offender is categorized by the highest level of convicted offense. Some offenders placed on CASP Levels 2-5 supervision are under supervision for more than one case.

	OFFENDERS RECEIVED					SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Misdemeanor	54	39	69	43	23	102	97	141	113	67
Felony	186	223	448	266	181	240	362	606	391	276
TOTAL	240	262	517	309	204	342	459	747	504	343

ADULT FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR CASP LEVELS 2-5 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED BY SEX AND AGE

The table below indicates the number of CASP Levels 2-5 supervisions received in 2019 broken down by sex and age. This represents the characteristics of the offender at the time supervision began, which may be reported more than once if the offender was placed on CASP Levels 2-5 multiple times or in multiple cases.




OFFENSE TYPES FOR ADULT CASP LEVELS 2-5 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some offenders placed on CASP Levels 2-5 are convicted of or charged with more than one offense. The table below illustrates the types of offenses for which an offender was placed on CASP Levels 2-5.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Weapon	28	21	52	16	25
Violent/Person	107	118	198	144	154
Drug	210	277	476	202	187
Property	120	122	291	122	122
Other	78	94	155	79	108
TOTAL	543	632	1,172	533	596

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

MISDEMEANOR AND FELONY OFFENSE TYPES FOR CASP LEVELS 2-5 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED




ADULT CASP LEVELS 2-5 SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

The following represents the number of adult CASP Levels 2-5 supervisions closed in 2019 by the type of discharge. Offenders could have been discharged from multiple supervisions in multiple cases and each case could have a different type of discharge depending on the final disposition given by a court.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Misdemeanor	83	87	112	89	70
Felony	225	273	382	344	246
TOTAL	308	360	494	433	316

TOTAL ADULT CASP LEVELS 2-5 SUPERVISIONS CLOSED



ADULT CASP LEVELS 6, 8, 9 INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS RECEIVED

	2016	2017	2018	2019
Misdemeanor	3	3	1	3
Felony	2	13	18	29
TOTAL	5	16	19	32

ADULT CASP LEVELS 6, 8, 9 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Age	Male		Female	
	Felony	Misdemeanor	Felony	Misdemeanor
19 & Under	5	0	0	0
20-29	13	11	17	3
30-39	3	2	2	0
40-49	7	2	0	0
50-59	1	0	0	0
TOTAL	29	15	19	3

OFFENSE TYPES FOR CASP LEVELS 6, 8, 9 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Offenders placed on CASP Levels 6, 8, and 9 may be convicted of/charged with more than one offense.

	2016	2017	2018	2019
Weapon	1	0	3	0
Violent/Person	2	7	34	67
Drug	3	5	3	27
Property	1	19	21	14
Other	2	3	11	29
TOTAL	9	34	72	137

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

ADULT CASP LEVELS 6, 8, 9 SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

	Felony				Misdemeanor			
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2016	2017	2018	2019
Absconded	0	2	2	5	0	0	0	1
Successful Completion	0	7	16	13	3	2	2	4
Unsuccessful Completion	1	1	0	7	0	1	0	7
Revoked Due to Technical Violations	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Revoked Due to New Offense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	1	11	18	25	3	4	2	12

ADULT CASP LEVEL 7 INDIVIDUAL OFFENDERS RECEIVED

	2016	2017	2018	2019
Misdemeanor	5	14	6	17
Felony	7	18	16	43
TOTAL	12	32	22	60

ADULT CASP LEVEL 7 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Age	Male		Female	
	Felony	Misdemeanor	Felony	Misdemeanor
19 & Under	0	1	0	0
20-29	13	11	2	2
30-39	19	10	1	3
40-49	9	6	3	1
50-59	2	2	5	4
60-69	4	1	0	0
TOTAL	47	31	11	10

OFFENSE TYPES FOR CASP LEVEL 7 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Offenders placed on CASP Level 7 may be convicted of/charged with more than one offense.

	2016	2017	2018	2019
Weapon	0	0	0	0
Violent/Person	5	27	9	35
Drug	7	28	23	67
Property	3	3	4	8
Other	5	7	11	32
TOTAL	20	65	47	142

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

ADULT CASP LEVEL 7 SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

	Felony				Misdemeanor			
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2016	2017	2018	2019
Absconded	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3
Successful Completion	2	12	20	23	4	12	9	22
Unsuccessful Completion	0	2	2	9	1	1	3	2
Revoked Due to Technical Violations	1	0	3	2	2	2	1	0
Revoked Due to New Offense	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	3	16	25	35	7	15	13	27

ADULT CASP LEVEL 10 INDIVIDUALS AND SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED


CASP Level 10 (day reporting) participants must report to Community Corrections daily, Monday through Friday, to check in and be tested for alcohol. CASP Level 10 participants are also subject to drug tests, but have no required curfew or other restrictions on their day-to-day freedom. Courts may place individuals directly on CASP Level 10 supervision. CASP Level 10 supervision is most often used as a condition of pre-trial release or a condition of probation supervision.

The chart below shows the number of individuals placed on CASP Level 10 supervision in 2019. If an individual was placed on CASP Level 10 more than once or in more than one case, the individual is categorized by the highest level of referred offense. Individuals may be placed on CASP Level 10 multiple times or in multiple cases.

	INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED					SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Misdemeanor	182	197	161	95	101	417	481	393	225	438
Felony	274	319	361	283	412	415	536	558	426	735
TOTAL	456	516	522	378	513	832	1,017	951	651	1,173

ADULT FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR CASP LEVEL 10 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED BY GENDER AND AGE

The table and chart below indicates the number of CASP Level 10 supervisions received in 2019, both felony and misdemeanor, broken down by gender and age. This represents the characteristics of the individual at the time supervision began, which may be reported more than once if the individual was placed on CASP Level 10 multiple times or in multiple cases.




OFFENSE TYPES FOR ADULT CASP LEVEL 10 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some individuals placed on CASP Level 10 supervision are convicted of or charged with more than one offense. The table and chart below illustrate the types of offenses for which an individual was placed on CASP Level 10 supervision.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Weapon	11	28	24	20	24
Violent/Person	248	259	242	152	268
Drug	384	569	583	329	669
Property	274	327	286	223	382
Other	219	295	291	164	369
TOTAL	1,136	1,478	1,426	888	1,712

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

MISDEMEANOR AND FELONY OFFENSE TYPES FOR CASP LEVEL 10 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED




ADULT CASP LEVEL 10 SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

The following represents the number of adult CASP Level 10 supervisions closed in 2019 by the type of discharge. Individuals could have been discharged from multiple supervisions in multiple cases and each case could have a different type of discharge depending on the final disposition given by a court.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Misdemeanor	435	463	396	229	396
Felony	424	504	535	427	647
TOTAL	859	967	931	656	1,043

TOTAL ADULT CASP LEVEL 10 SUPERVISIONS CLOSED



ADULT CASP LEVELS 11-12 INDIVIDUALS AND SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED


CASP Levels 11-12 (pretrial monitoring) individuals must report as needed for case management or kiosk reporting. Courts typically place individuals on CASP Levels 11-12 during the pretrial period while their case is being processed.

The chart below shows the number of individuals placed on CASP Levels 11-12 in 2019. If an individual was placed on CASP Levels 11-12 more than once or in more than one case, the individual is categorized by the highest level of referred offense. Individuals may be placed on CASP Levels 11-12 multiple times or in multiple cases.

	INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED				SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED			
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2016	2017	2018	2019
Misdemeanor	40	117	115	139	62	217	265	533
Felony	58	394	712	817	69	475	948	1,304
TOTAL	98	511	827	956	131	692	1,213	1,837

ADULT FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR CASP LEVELS 11-12 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED BY GENDER AND AGE

The table and chart below indicates the number of CASP Levels 11-12 supervisions received in 2019, both felony and misdemeanor, broken down by gender and age. This represents the characteristics of the individual at the time supervision began, which may be reported more than once if the individual was placed on CASP Levels 11-12 multiple times or in multiple cases.




OFFENSE TYPES FOR ADULT CASP LEVELS 11-12 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some individuals placed on CASP Levels 11-12 supervision charged with more than one offense. The table and chart below illustrate the types of offenses for which an individual was placed on CASP Levels 11-12 supervision.

	2016	2017	2018	2019
Weapon	1	42	67	59
Violent/Person	32	263	582	673
Drug	79	450	869	1,071
Property	43	276	571	504
Other	63	264	458	575
TOTAL	218	1,295	2,547	2,882

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

MISDEMEANOR AND FELONY OFFENSE TYPES FOR CASP LEVELS 11-12 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED




ADULT CASP LEVELS 11-12 SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

The following represents the number of adult CASP Levels 11-12 supervisions closed in 2019 by the type of discharge. Individuals could have been discharged from multiple supervisions in multiple cases and each case could have a different type of discharge depending on the final disposition given by a court.

	2016	2017	2018	2019
Misdemeanor	24	214	227	481
Felony	13	396	787	1,131
TOTAL	37	610	1,014	1,612

TOTAL ADULT CASP LEVELS 11-12 SUPERVISIONS CLOSED



JUVENILE HOME DETENTION INDIVIDUALS & SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Community Corrections supervises juveniles placed on home detention (electronic monitoring). The juvenile's whereabouts are restricted by the supervising probation officer or by a court's order. The chart below shows the number of individual juveniles placed on home detention supervision. Juveniles may have been placed on home detention multiple times or in multiple cases.

	INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED					SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Delinquency	23	17	44	19	21	33	26	64	29	31
Status	0	2	3	1	1	0	2	3	1	1
TOTAL	23	19	47	20	22	33	28	67	30	32

JUVENILE DELINQUENCY AND STATUS HOME DETENTION SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED BY GENDER AND AGE

	Male		Female	
	Delinquency	Status	Delinquency	Status
14	4	0	1	0
15	11	0	2	0
16	4	0	2	0
17	3	0	2	0
18 and Up	2	1	0	0
TOTAL	24	1	7	0

OFFENSE TYPES FOR JUVENILE HOME DETENTION SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Weapon	4	0	3	3	0
Violent/Person	10	17	46	21	12
Drug	12	0	18	12	6
Property	37	14	58	16	11
Other	9	7	16	8	17
Status	0	2	17	3	5
TOTAL	72	40	158	63	51


A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

JUVENILE HOME DETENTION SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

The following represents the number of juvenile home detention supervisions closed in 2019 by the type of discharge. Juveniles could have been discharged from multiple supervisions in multiple cases and each case could have a different type of discharge depending on the final disposition given by a court.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Delinquency	34	26	65	24	34
Status	1	2	3	1	1
TOTAL	35	28	68	25	35

TOTAL JUVENILE HOME DETENTION SUPERVISIONS CLOSED



ADULT PRETRIAL SERVICES

In 2014, the Indiana Supreme Court authorized the development of a pretrial release pilot project in collaboration with Indiana's Evidence Based Decision Making (EBDM) Initiative. Eleven (1) Indiana counties were part of the pretrial release pilot project. Monroe County volunteered to serve as one of Indiana's 11 Pretrial Pilot Project counties to assist development of statewide Pretrial Services policy and procedure. The Monroe County Pretrial Pilot Project officially started October 1, 2016.

Indiana Criminal Rule 26 is the foundation for 11 counties participating in the pilot project. Criminal Rule 26 was codified in Ind. Code 35-31.5-2-121.5 et. seq. and is effective for all Indiana courts January 1, 2020. Criminal Rule (CR) 26 is intended to improve pretrial practices in Indiana by encouraging trial judges to engage in evidence-based decision making at the pretrial stage. The Rule encourages trial courts to use risk assessment results and other relevant information about arrestees to determine if the individual presents a substantial risk of flight or danger to self or others in the community; thereby, informing release decisions and release conditions.

Monroe County's Pretrial Services is integrated into the Community Corrections division of the Probation Department. A formalized assessment process includes the use of the Pretrial Tool of the Indiana Risk Assessment System (IRAS) for those individuals newly arrested and not currently under community supervision. At the defendant's Initial Hearing before the court, Pretrial Services staff (probation officers) present recommendations for community monitoring to the court for consideration. The court may order a pretrial defendant to be monitored on a level of community corrections programming while awaiting the disposition of the defendant's criminal case.

As part of Indiana's Pretrial Pilot Project, Monroe County Pretrial Services unit participated in research to help validate the use of the IRAS pretrial tool and the pretrial process in general. The Pretrial Services Coordinator/Supervisor is an active participant in the state Pretrial Site Coordinators Work Group.

At the end of 2019, the Pretrial Services unit was comprised of a Supervisor and five (5) line probation officers.

The information in the following sections represents the suggested measures from *A Framework for Pretrial Justice: Essential Elements of an Effective Pretrial System and Agency* published by the National Institute of Corrections in February 2017.

PRETRIAL ASSESSMENTS COMPLETED

The chart below shows the number of individuals assessed for pretrial risk in the target population since the pretrial pilot project began in October 2016. Risk level is measured by the Indiana Risk Assessment System – Pretrial Assessment Tool (IRAS-PAT). The target population consists of any individual not currently under community supervision (probation/community corrections) at the time of are who is booked in to the Monroe County Jail charged with committing a felony or misdemeanor offense.

The target population includes defendants who bond out of jail before meeting with a Pretrial Probation Officer for assessment. Such defendants sign a promise to appear in the Probation Department office the next business day to complete a pretrial assessment which is the same assessment used for defendants who were not able to bond out of jail.

	ASSESSMENTS COMPLETED			
	2016*	2017	2018	2019
Misdemeanor	271	1,162	1,230	1,369
Felony	154	768	844	917
TOTAL	425	1,930	2,074	2,286

*The Pretrial Program Started October 1, 2016.

CONCURRENCE RATE

The following table shows the concurrence rate which is calculated by whether the initial pretrial monitoring level ordered by a court upon release corresponds with the Pretrial Probation Officer's recommendation.

	CONCURRENCE RATE			
	2016	2017	2018	2019
Monitoring Level Agrees with Recommendation	79.3%	88.4%	84.8%	83.8%
Monitoring Level Lower than Recommendation	6.7%	3.1%	3.0%	3.7%
Monitoring Level Higher than Recommendation	14.0%	8.6%	12.1%	12.5%

APPEARANCE RATE BY RISK LEVEL

The following indicates the appearance rate for defendants by risk level. The appearance rate is calculated based on the year in which the hearing occurred for those who have completed their pretrial period. The percentage is based on the number of monitored pretrial defendants who make all scheduled court appearances.

	APPEARANCE RATE			
	2016	2017	2018	2019
High Risk	87.2%	88.0%	87.9%	88.9%
Moderate Risk	92.2%	91.0%	92.5%	92.0%
Low Risk	97.4%	93.7%	96.5%	97.4%
OVERALL	93.2%	91.3%	93.0%	93.4%

SAFETY RATE BY RISK LEVEL

The chart below shows the safety rate for defendants by risk level. The safety rate is based on the percentage of monitored defendants who have completed their pretrial period in the year listed below and were not charged with a new offense over the entire pretrial period.

	SAFETY RATE			
	2016	2017	2018	2019
High Risk	88.9%	67.9%	52.2%	53.8%
Moderate Risk	76.5%	82.1%	73.9%	68.4%
Low Risk	100.0%	94.6%	89.7%	91.4%
OVERALL	88.6%	86.0%	77.4%	76.2%

SUCCESS RATE BY RISK LEVEL

The chart below shows the success rate for defendants by risk level. The success rate is based on the percentage of monitored defendants who have completed their pretrial period in the year listed below and who: (1) do not have court filed technical violations of the conditions of their release, (2) appear for all scheduled court appearances; and (3) are not arrested for a new offense during the pretrial period.

	SUCCESS RATE			
	2016	2017	2018	2019
High Risk	77.8%	55.7%	37.3%	30.3%
Moderate Risk	64.7%	70.5%	57.4%	55.4%
Low Risk	100.0%	88.3%	82.3%	85.0%
OVERALL	81.8%	76.8%	65.2%	64.5%

AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY IN JAIL BY RISK LEVEL

The following shows the average length of stay in jail for defendants by risk level. The length of stay is based on the initial jail stay after arrest and only includes released defendants.

Length of stay is calculated by date booked in and date booked out of jail. For example, a defendant may have been booked in at 11:00 PM on a Tuesday and bonded out of jail at 2:00 AM the next day Wednesday, but the jail stay will be calculated as two (2) days even through the actual time spent in jail custody was three (3) actual hours.

	AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY IN DAYS			
	2016	2017	2018	2019
High Risk	10.6	25.4	16.2	16.9
Moderate Risk	3.3	9.3	11.3	13.2
Low Risk	2.6	3.7	3.4	3.5
OVERALL	3.7	9.0	8.7	9.4

ADULT COMMUNITY TRANSITION PROGRAM OFFENDERS RECEIVED

CTP stands for Community Transition Program. As defined in IC 11 - 8 - 1 -5.5, CTP is the assignment by the court of a court -committed offender from the Indiana Department of Correction (IDOC) to a Community Corrections program.

The purpose of the Community Transition Program is to facilitate the successful reintegration of offenders returning to the community. The offender may be placed on CTP for 60 to 180 days, depending on the offender's highest convicted offense, in order to complete the offender's prison sentence in the person's county of residence. This early transition from prison provides structure, supervision, and support for the offender to encourage successful reentry to our community.

In Monroe County, offenders assigned to CTP are generally placed on community corrections supervision, typically Community Alternative Supervision Program (CASP) Levels 2-5 (Home Detention). Some are also accepted into the Reentry Court Program.

Only felony offenders may be sent to the IDOC, thus the highest level of offense for each offender participating in CTP will be a felony. There were seven (7) individual offenders on CTP supervision in 2019.

OFFENSE TYPES FOR ADULT COMMUNITY TRANSITION PROGRAM SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some offenders placed on Community Transition Program (CTP) supervision are convicted of or charged with more than one offense. The table and chart below illustrate the types of offenses for which an offender was placed on CTP supervision. All are felony offenses.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Weapon	0	0	1	1	0
Violent/Person	2	2	1	1	1
Drug	10	12	10	9	3
Property	6	7	17	5	4
Other	1	3	2	1	0
TOTAL	19	24	31	17	9

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

ADULT COMMUNITY TRANSITION PROGRAM SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

Offenders completing the CTP could have been discharged from multiple supervisions in multiple cases and each case could have a different type of discharge depending on the final disposition given by a court. There were 10 felony supervisions closed in 2019 and all but one (1) was closed successfully.

COMMUNITY SERVICE INDIVIDUALS REFERRED

The Community Service Program is comprised of Public Restitution and Road Crew.


The Road Crew Program officially ended August 11, 2018 due to budget constraints, however, special Road Crew sessions may be held for events such as the annual Little 500 event.

Public Restitution participants are assigned to a local non-profit agency to complete the community service hours required by a court or another approved agreement.

The chart below shows the number of individuals referred for community service (Public Restitution and Road Crew) in 2019. Individuals may have been referred multiple times or in multiple cases.

	INDIVIDUALS REFERRED					REFERRALS RECEIVED				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Misdemeanor	978	832	723	536	380	1,045	895	786	562	404
Felony	214	229	204	184	175	264	281	241	215	222
TOTAL	1,192	1,061	927	720	555	1,309	1,176	1,027	777	626

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR COMMUNITY SERVICE REFERRALS RECEIVED BY GENDER AND AGE



OFFENSE TYPES FOR COMMUNITY SERVICE REFERRALS RECEIVED

Some individuals are convicted of or charged with more than one offense.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Weapon	43	18	18	10	9
Violent/Person	445	356	424	224	35
Drug	833	534	783	498	446
Property	271	173	209	141	84
Other	315	244	323	225	117
TOTAL	1,907	1,325	1,757	1,098	691

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix

COMMUNITY SERVICE REFERRALS CLOSED

Individuals may have been discharged from multiple community service referrals in multiple cases.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Misdemeanor	970	911	633	562	358
Felony	272	245	227	206	212
TOTAL	1,242	1,156	860	768	570

COMMUNITY SERVICE HOURS ASSESSED AND COMPLETED

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Hours Assessed	28,075	26,496	22,486	20,059	16,731
Hours Completed	16,298	16,019	13,043	10,417	7,948

COMMUNITY SERVICE HOURS COMPLETION DETAILS

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Local Non-profit Organizations	7,387	7,648	6,318	5,610	2,008
Local Government Entities	5,901	4,996	3,867	2,650	493
Indiana University – Bloomington	954	1,420	1,009	792	157
Other Agencies	2,056	1,955	1,849	1,365	5,290
TOTAL	16,298	16,019	13,043	10,417	7,948

DRUG TESTING

The Community Corrections Program operates the departmental Drug Testing Program. Currently, the Department employs three methods of testing for substances in the body: urine, saliva, and breath.

The most frequent method of testing is through Portable Breath Tests (PBT) which test only for the presence of alcohol. To test for the presence of substances in addition to alcohol, the Department utilizes various methods to test urine and saliva. Because testing urine provides an extended window of time for detecting substances in a person's body, it is used more frequently than saliva. The Department utilizes 'instant' tests along with lab testing for the most frequently abused substances. Probation officers also have the discretion to request enhanced testing for substances not routinely tested for in the regular panels provided.

In 2019 the Department completed 39,711 portable breath tests, 2,441 instant drug tests, 1,449 saliva tests, and 10,397 lab drug tests. This includes tests in some civil cases where a party may be ordered by the Court to complete drug testing. The tables below show the substance testing by supervision areas within the Department. Individuals tested could be counted in more than one category, for example a person could be in a problem solving court and on a community corrections supervision level at the same time.

DRUG TEST TYPES CONDUCTED BY MAJOR SUPERVISION AREAS

	Juvenile Probation	Adult Probation / Community Corrections	Problem Solving Courts	TOTALS*
Urine Instant	2	1,400	2,311	2,441
Urine Lab	218	8,257	4,151	10,397
Saliva Lab	34	1,100	767	1,449
TOTAL	254	10,757	7,229	14,287

*Total column represents the number of tests conducted in the Department. The total column does not equal the total by major supervision area as individuals tested could be counted in more than one category.

PORTABLE BREATH TESTS (PBT) FOR ALCOHOL

	Juvenile Probation	Adult Probation / Community Corrections	Problem Solving Courts	TOTALS*
Negative	107	31,418	19,168	39,683
Positive	0	27	2	28
TOTAL	107	31,445	19,170	39,711


*Total column represents the number of tests conducted in the department. The total column does not equal the total by major supervision area as individuals tested could be counted in more than one category.

NEGATIVE AND POSITIVE DRUG TESTS BY MAJOR SUPERVISION AREA

	Juvenile Probation	Adult Probation / Community Corrections	Problem Solving Courts	TOTAL*
Negative	102	7,466	6,910	10,633
Positive	152	3,291	319	3,654
TOTAL	254	10,757	7,229	14,287

*Total column represents the number of tests conducted in the department. The total column does not equal the total by major supervision area as individuals tested could be counted in more than one category.

PERCENTAGE OF NEGATIVE AND POSITIVE DRUG TESTS BY MAJOR SUPERVISION AREA




NEGATIVE AND POSITIVE DRUG TESTS BY PROBLEM SOLVING COURT

	Drug Treatment Court	Reentry Court	Veterans Court	Mental Health Court
Negative	4,299 (95%)	1,746 (96%)	572 (98%)	313 (95%)
Positive	214 (5%)	77 (4%)	12 (2%)	17 (5%)
TOTAL	4,513	1,823	584	330


PERCENTAGE OF DRUGS DETECTED IN LAB CONFIRMED POSITIVE TESTS BY MAJOR SUPERVISION AREA

The charts below represent the percentage of drugs detected in the positive drug tests for each supervision level. Positive test samples may have been positive for more than one substance.


JUVENILE PROBATION




ADULT PROBATION/ COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS



PROBLEM SOLVING COURTS



DEPARTMENT TOTAL



**PERCENTAGE OF DRUGS DETECTED IN LAB CONFIRMED
POSITIVE TESTS BY PROBLEM SOLVING COURT**

	Drug Treatment Court	Reentry Court	Veterans Court	Mental Health Court
Marijuana	11%	14%	63%	33%
Amphetamine/Methamphetamine	36%	45%	25%	0%
Alcohol	4%	1%	0%	11%
Opiates	40%	38%	13%	0%
Benzodiazepines	4%	0%	0%	0%
Cocaine	2%	0%	0%	0%
Synthetic Marijuana	0%	0%	0%	11%
Other	5%	1%	0%	44%

PROBLEM SOLVING COURT PROGRAM

Problem solving courts began in the 1990s to accommodate individuals with specific needs and problems that were not or could not be adequately addressed in traditional courts. Problem solving courts seek to promote outcomes that will benefit not only the offender, but the victim and society as well.

Among the ways problem solving courts differ from regular courts are focus, collaboration, and judicial involvement. For example, a problem solving court typically has a team of individuals including a judge, prosecutor, public defender, probation, law enforcement, and treatment providers who routinely collaborate on each case throughout the duration the offender is involved as a participant. The team discusses many issues regarding each case and works to reduce barriers to an offender's success.

The Monroe Circuit Court developed a drug court in 1999 as the county's first problem solving court. The local Drug Treatment Court has been certified by the Indiana Office of Court Services (IOCS) as a problem solving court. In 2019, the Drug Treatment Court celebrated its 20-year anniversary.

The Drug Treatment Court is organized around the [10 Key Components of Drug Courts](#)¹ which research has shown provide the basic elements that define drug courts. The program is a minimum of two years and involves the following components:

- A plea of guilty to a felony offense with no agreement to sentencing should the offender fail to successfully complete drug court. Should the offender complete drug court successfully, the charges are dismissed or reduced.
- Program participants must attend weekly court/status hearings as directed by the Problem Solving Court Program Team.
- Participants are required to obtain and maintain appropriate employment for the duration of the program.
- Participants will be required to complete high school/GED/TASC or vocational training if they have no apparent marketable job skills.
- Participants are required to submit to frequent random drug/alcohol tests.
- Participants must complete substance abuse treatment and any additional counseling/programming that is deemed necessary by the treatment provider.
- Participants must pay all program fees, drug test costs, and treatment costs associated with completion of this program.
- Program participants must have one year of documented sobriety in order to be eligible for successful program completion.

The local Problem Solving Court (PSC) Program added three (3) new program components:

- **2014 - Reentry Court Program (RECP)**. The majority of RECP participants served time with the Indiana Department of Correction immediately prior to beginning the program. RECP applies many of the key components of drug courts to promote positive behavior change and aid in reintegration to the community.
- **2015 - Mental Health Court (MHC)**. MHC addresses the unique needs of people diagnosed with a serious mental illness who are involved in the criminal justice system.
- **2016 - Veterans Treatment Court (VTC)**. A grant was obtained from the Indiana Supreme Court to begin the program. The VTC is a district court that can accept participants from Monroe, Owen, and Lawrence Counties.

¹ U.S. Department of Justice Office of Justice Programs Bureau of Justice Assistance

In 2018, IOCS conducted a site audit of the PSC Program and re-certified the Drug Treatment Court Program and granted initial certification to all three of the new PSC components - Reentry, Veterans, and Mental Health courts - effective March 2018 for three years.

Indiana certified problem solving court programs must undergo program evaluations on a regular basis.

In 2019, Dr. John R. Gallagher, Indiana University School of Social Work, completed the updated evaluation of the Drug Court Program.

Highlights from the evaluation Executive Summary:

- The Monroe County drug court is an effective program at reducing recidivism and a valuable resource for individuals who have substance use disorders, the community, and other stakeholders.
- Drug court participants were significantly less likely to recidivate than participants in the comparison group. Only 18% of drug court participants recidivated, whereas the recidivism rate for the comparison group was 54%.
- Differences between the 2014 and 2019 program evaluations: when comparing the evaluations, the drug court increased its graduation rate (54% in 2014 to 66% in 2019) and decreased its recidivism rate (32% in 2014 to 18% in 2019).
- In regard to graduation, drug court participants who were unemployed at the time they were deemed eligible for the program were more likely to graduate than participants who were employed, a student, on disability, or retired at the time they were deemed eligible for the program.
- Drug court participants who were married at the time of eligibility determination were more likely to graduate than participants who were not married at the time they were deemed eligible.
- Male drug court participants were more likely to recidivate than female participants.
- Drug court participants who had a mental health diagnosis were more likely to recidivate than participants who did not have a mental health diagnosis.
- Participants who had a violation within the first 30 days after admission to drug court were more likely to recidivate than participants who did not have a violation during that timeframe.
- Overall, participants viewed the drug court team as supportive, and they felt that praise from the judge was one of the most helpful incentives they received.
- Some participants noted that the frequent and random drug testing system deterred them from using drugs and resulted in positive, cognitive changes that supported their recovery.

MONROE COUNTY PROBLEM SOLVING COURT PROGRAM INFORMATION

- The local Drug Treatment Court Program started in November 1999.
- During the 10-year Anniversary celebration in December 2009, the program became 1 of only 10 of the over 2,300 Drug Courts in the nation to receive the Community Transformation award from the National Association of Drug Court Professionals for “tireless efforts to foster community transformation through reducing drug addiction and crime, restoring hope and reuniting families.”
- As of December 31, 2019, **452** participants have completed the Drug Court Program; **27** have completed Reentry Court; eight (**8**) have completed Veterans Treatment Court; and **15** have completed Mental Health Court.
- Overall graduation rate of **60% for Drug Court** compared to national average of close to **52%**.
- As of December 31, 2019, **116** participants currently enrolled in all the four (4) Problem Solving Court Program components.
- **67** drug free babies born to Problem Solving Court Program participants (all four program components combined, from November 1999 through year-end 2019).
- In 2019, of all drug tests completed on Problem Solving Court Program participants, **only 4% positive drug tests** compared to about 31% positive drug test rate for “traditional” adult probation in Monroe County.

RECIDIVISM DATA FOR MONROE COUNTY DRUG TREATMENT COURT


- In 2006, the Drug Treatment Court Program participated in a state-wide outcome evaluation and cost benefit analysis research project conducted by Northwest Professional Consortium (NPC) of Portland Oregon.
- This outcome study found that the Monroe County Drug Treatment Court (DTC) Program **reduces recidivism by 67%** and saves taxpayer money.
- NPC research showed that recidivism rate for DTC participants (including dropouts) was **17%** while the rate for the comparison group was **33%**.
- DTC participants (regardless of graduation status) were found to be **half as likely to have had any arrests** in the 2-year follow-up period relative to the comparison group.
- DTC graduates had an even lower recidivism rate of **11%**.

DRUG TREATMENT COURT OFFENDERS RECEIVED

The chart below shows the number of individual offenders placed on drug treatment court supervision in 2019. Offenders many placed on drug treatment court supervision more than once or in more than one case.

	INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED					SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Misdemeanor	0	0	0	1	0	31	18	15	23	12
Felony	58	42	32	42	35	94	87	49	76	47
TOTAL	58	42	32	43	35	125	105	64	99	59

FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR DRUG TREATMENT COURT SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED BY GENDER AND AGE




OFFENSE TYPES FOR DRUG TREATMENT COURT SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some offenders placed on drug treatment court supervision are convicted of or charged with more than one offense. The table below illustrates the types of offenses for which an offender was placed on drug treatment court supervision.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Weapon	1	1	0	0	1
Violent/Person	44	30	11	11	6
Drug	127	82	28	44	35
Property	101	105	31	29	16
Other	42	38	7	22	5
TOTAL	315	256	77	106	63

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

MISDEMEANOR AND FELONY OFFENSE TYPES FOR DRUG TREATMENT COURT SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED




DRUG TREATMENT COURT SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

The following represents the number of drug treatment court supervisions closed in 2019 by the type of discharge. Offenders could have been discharged from multiple supervisions in multiple cases and each case could have a different type of discharge depending on the final disposition given by a court.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Misdemeanor	22	16	23	17	15
Felony	62	68	95	66	58
TOTAL	84	84	118	83	73

TOTAL DRUG TREATMENT COURT SUPERVISIONS CLOSED



REENTRY COURT OFFENDERS RECEIVED

The chart below shows the number of individual offenders placed on Reentry Court supervision in 2019. If an offender was placed on Reentry Court more than once or in more than one case, the offender is categorized by the highest level of convicted offense.

	INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED					SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Misdemeanor	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2
Felony	15	19	13	20	23	18	25	20	30	35
TOTAL	15	20	13	20	23	18	26	20	31	37

REENTRY COURT SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

The table and chart below indicates the number of Reentry Court supervisions received in 2019.

Age	Male		Female	
	Felony	Misdemeanor	Felony	Misdemeanor
20-29	2	0	7	0
30-39	12	1	7	1
40-49	5	0	2	0
TOTAL	19	1	16	1

OFFENSE TYPES FOR REENTRY COURT SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some offenders placed on Re-entry Court supervision are convicted of or charged with more than one offense. The table below illustrate the types of offenses for which an offender was placed on Reentry Court supervision in 2019.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Weapon	0	0	1	2	3
Violent/Person	1	3	1	2	6
Drug	14	17	9	13	18
Property	4	7	18	14	15
Other	1	5	4	2	2
TOTAL	20	32	33	33	44

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

REENTRY COURT SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

There were 39 reentry court supervisions closed in 2019. Thirteen (13) were closed successfully, 26 were unsuccessful.

MENTAL HEALTH COURT OFFENDERS RECEIVED

The chart below shows the number of individual offenders placed on Mental Health Court supervision in 2019. If an offender was placed on Mental Health Court more than once or in more than one case, the offender is categorized by the highest level of convicted offense.

	INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED					SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Misdemeanor	1	1	0	0	1	7	1	0	3	5
Felony	24	6	4	9	6	30	7	6	14	9
TOTAL	25	7	4	9	7	37	8	6	17	14

MENTAL HEALTH COURT SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

The table and chart below indicates the number of Mental Health Court supervisions received in 2019.

Age	Male		Female	
	Felony	Misdemeanor	Felony	Misdemeanor
20-29	1	4	0	0
30-39	2	0	3	0
40-49	1	1	2	0
TOTAL	4	5	5	0

OFFENSE TYPES FOR MENTAL HEALTH COURT SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some offenders placed on Mental Health Court supervision are convicted of or charged with more than one offense. The table below illustrate the types of offenses for which an offender was placed on Mental Health Court supervision in 2019.

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Weapon	1	0	0	0	1
Violent/Person	14	10	6	5	4
Drug	16	6	0	1	2
Property	18	3	0	7	7
Other	14	11	3	6	2
TOTAL	63	30	9	19	16

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

MENTAL HEALTH COURT SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

There were 17 mental health court supervisions closed in 2019. Five (5) were closed successfully, one (1) withdrew, and 11 were closed unsuccessfully.

VETERANS COURT OFFENDERS RECEIVED

The chart below shows the number of individual offenders placed on Veterans Court supervision in 2019. If an offender was placed on Veterans Court more than once or in more than one case, the offender is categorized by the highest level of convicted offense.

	INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED				SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED			
	2016	2017	2018	2019	2016	2017	2018	2019
Misdemeanor	0	3	0	1	1	5	1	1
Felony	4	6	6	5	4	6	9	5
TOTAL	4	9	6	6	5	11	10	6

VETERANS COURT SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

The table and chart below indicates the number of Veterans Court supervisions received in 2019.

Age	Male		Female	
	Felony	Misdemeanor	Felony	Misdemeanor
30-39	3	0	0	0
40-49	1	0	0	0
50-59	1	0	0	0
60-69	0	1	0	0
TOTAL	5	1	0	0

OFFENSE TYPES FOR VETERANS COURT SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some offenders placed on Veterans Court supervision are convicted of or charged with more than one offense. The table below illustrate the types of offenses for which an offender was placed on Veterans Court supervision in 2019.

	2016	2017	2018	2019
Weapon	0	1	0	1
Violent/Person	2	3	3	1
Drug	5	7	7	4
Property	0	0	1	1
Other	4	1	1	0
TOTAL	11	12	12	7

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

VETERANS COURT SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

There were 6 (six) veterans court supervisions closed in 2019. All six (6) were closed successfully.

INDIANA RISK ASSESSMENT SYSTEM AND ADULT PROGRAM REFERRALS

In 2010, the Judicial Conference of Indiana adopted policies that required all probation departments in the state to use a newly adopted risk assessment system for adult offenders in the criminal justice system. In 2011, all appropriate adult risk tools were fully integrated into departmental practices.

The adult risk assessment instrument is called the Indiana Risk Assessment System (IRAS). The IRAS is the risk assessment system made up of five (5) instruments to be used at specific points in the criminal justice process to identify an adult participant's risk to reoffend and criminogenic needs, and assist with developing an individualized case management plan. [NOTE: Criminogenic needs are attributes of offenders that are directly linked to criminal behavior. Effective correctional treatment should target criminogenic needs in the development of a comprehensive case plan. Any treatment not targeting criminogenic needs is counter-productive to efficiency and effectiveness.]

Community Supervision Screening Tool - designed to quickly identify low risk offenders and determine if a full risk assessment should be completed.

Community Supervision Tool - designed to assess an offender's risk to reoffend and identify criminogenic needs to assess in making decisions regarding community supervision.

Pretrial Tool - designed to assess an offender's risk for failure to appear and risk to reoffender while on pretrial supervision.

Prison Intake Tool - designed to assess an offender's risk to reoffend and identify criminogenic needs to assist in making decisions regarding services.

Static Tool - designed to assess an offender's risk to reoffend based solely on static factors.


Supplemental Reentry Tool - designed to reassess an offender's risk to reoffend prior to an offender's release from prison.

The following table represents IRAS assessments completed by the type of tool used by the Department and the percentage of adults risking at each level. More than one risk assessment could have been completed on an adult during the time a case is open and depending upon the status of each case.

2019 IRAS ASSESSMENTS COMPLETED

	Assessments Completed	Percentage at Overall Risk Level		
		High	Moderate	Low
Community Supervision Screening Tool	755	43%		59%
Community Supervision Tool	1,271	40%	35%	25%
Pretrial Tool	2,474	13%	43%	44%
Static Tool	5	80%	0%	20%
Supplemental Reentry Tool	3	0%	67%	33%

Adults placed on post-sentence supervision are assessed using the Community Supervision Tool. This tool provides a risk level in each of the seven life domains the tool reports. After the completion of the tool, case plans are formulated to address an offender’s risk and needs in order to reduce the likelihood the adult will reoffend and/or violate the terms of his/her supervision. The following chart represents the number and percentage of assessments scoring in each of the risk levels – high, moderate, and low for the Community Supervision Tool.



PROGRAMS REFERRED TO & DOMAINS THESE PROGRAMS ADDRESS

Program	Domain(s) Addressed	Referrals Made
Anger Management Counseling	7	17
Batterers / Domestic Violence Group	3, 7	42
Case Management Services	2, 3, 4, 7	23
Change Companies / Carey Guides	3, 5, 6, 7	26
Community Support Services and Treatment (Mental Health)	3, 5, 6, 7	14
Counseling (General Individual)	7	69
Dual Diagnosis / Co-occurring Treatment	5, 7	26
Employment (Classes, Coaching, and/or Obtaining)	2	3
Health / Dental / Vision (Insurance and Care)	2	4
High School Equivalency and Other Education Programs	2	19
House, Food, Legal, Financial Services and Assistance	2, 3, 4	10
Impaired Driving Impact Panel	5	3
Moral Reconciliation Therapy (MRT)	6, 7	101
New Beginnings – Jail Program & Aftercare	5	107
Parenting Classes	3	13
PRIME for Life	5	139
Psychiatric Assessment (Medication Evaluation)	7	11
Psychological Assessment	7	192
Recovery Coach	5	53
Residential – Halfway House (Substance Use)	5	103
Sex Offender Assessment and Treatment	7	15
STEP – Shoplifting Theft Education Program	7	10
Substance Use Education Programs	5	23
Substance Use Evaluation	5	773
Substance Use Medication Assisted Treatment	5	144
Substance Use Treatment (Groups and Aftercare)	5	392
Substance Use Treatment (Individual)	5	112
Substance Use Treatment (Inpatient)	5	45
Substance Use Treatment (Transferred Out)	5	104
Support / Self Help Groups	5, 7	80
Thinking for a Change	6, 7	13
Veterans Administration Services	2, 3, 4	1
Victim Offender Restoration Program (VORP)	7	1

SUPPORT DIVISION

The Support Division provides service that is vital to the efficient functioning of the Department. Support staff members provide receptionist services, bookkeeping, cashiering, filing, data entry, and numerous other functions.

Support staff is typically the first contact for clients and the public. In this role, support staff members serve a unique function of setting the tone for how clients and the public will be served by the Department. In recognition of this unique position, support staff members participate in training to enhance positive experiences for clients and the public. Starting in 2016, support staff members are trained in evidence based practices (EBP) and Effective Practices in Community Supervision (EPICS).

Because the Department's offices occupy two separate locations, the Curry Building and the Community Corrections office, support staff functions must be highly coordinated in order to effectively serve both locations. The primary location of the majority of the Department's functions is the Curry Building, directly adjacent to the Justice Building. The Community Corrections office is located at 405 West 7th Street in Bloomington.

The Community Corrections office has been in operation at the location above since 1995. The Community Corrections support staff consists of an office manager, receptionist, and part-time probation officer assistants. With such a small support staff, all Community Corrections staff members are cross-trained to substitute for absent support staff when needed.

The Curry Building support staff consists of an office administrator, an administrative assistant, a bookkeeper/cashier, adult probation secretary, juvenile probation secretary, and receptionist.

The support staff also includes part-time probation officer assistants who assist with managing "walk-in" traffic from court. These staff members also perform data entry functions that assist both the Curry Building support staff and the Community Corrections support staff.

Most misdemeanor offenders and Level 6 felons are sentenced by the court without presentence investigation reports. These sentenced offenders report to the Department for their first contact immediately after sentencing. These "walk-in" probationers are dealt with first and foremost by support staff members who obtain demographic information, create physical and electronic client files, and provide basic information to these "walk ins." In 2019, there were 810 "walk-ins" processed by support staff.

In 2019, support staff started scanning incoming mail connected to specific clients into the Quest case management system. Support staff assisted with the computer refresh of the Departmental computers in 2019.

During 2019, there was significant staff turnover and transitions that had a large impact on support staff. There are only eight (8) total full time support staff members to cover the workload for both probation offices. In 2019, there was turnover in four (4) of these positions - bookkeeper, receptionist (twice), and Community Corrections Office Manager. Two (2) new receptionists were hired in 2019 (one for each probation office). However, when the Community Corrections Office Manager resigned in 2019, the Curry office receptionist was promoted to fill that management position. A new Curry office receptionist was hired. Later in the year, the bookkeeper resigned and the new Curry office receptionist made a lateral transfer to the newly open bookkeeper position. By the end of 2019, a new Curry office receptionist was hired to start in January 2020. With all of this turnover, the Office Administrator and the Curry Building Office Manager were required to complete a great deal of training for these newly hired legal secretaries and promoted Community Corrections Office Manager.

Support staff coordinate criminal records checks requested by probation officers. The tables below indicate the type of requests made, the total requests made each month, and the average days in takes to receive the results of the records checks.

	2019 Total Requests
Criminal	3,484
Expungement	1
Employment	669
TOTAL	4,154

	Total Requests	Average Days to Return
January	294	3.5
February	308	4
March	314	4.5
April	335	4
May	372	4.5
June	274	3
July	290	4
August	357	4
September	293	3
October	358	2.5
November	318	5.5
December	322	7
TOTAL / AVERAGE	3,835	4.125

OTHER PROBATION PROGRAMS, TRAINING, & COMMITTEES

INTERN PROGRAM

The Department continues to operate an internship program in cooperation with Indiana University (IU) and other colleges and universities from around the state of Indiana. Although these internships are unpaid, the students receive college credit. The Department has supervised student interns from various departments at IU including Criminal Justice, School of Social Work, School of Public and Environmental Affairs, and general studies. In 2019, the Department supervised six (6) student interns who each contributed a minimum of 150 volunteer hours.

STAFF TRAINING

The Judicial Conference of Indiana mandates that certified probation officers complete a minimum of 12 hours of continuing education per year, with six (6) of these hours related to evidence based practices. Court Alcohol and Drug Program staff must complete a minimum of 12 continuing education hours each year, 10 of which must be specific to drug/alcohol/mental health issues. Probation officers assigned to problem solving courts are required to complete a minimum of 20 hours of continuing education each year.

Effective January 1, 2019, Indiana probation officers are required by the Judicial Conference of Indiana to complete suicide awareness and prevention training. Every probation officer must attend suicide prevention training on an annual basis.

In 2019, the Probation Department partnered with the Monroe County Youth Service Bureau for training purposes. The Youth Services Bureau (YSB) is supervised by the Monroe Circuit Court. YSB offered to include probation staff members in their trainings where space permits. YSB trainings that probation staff may attend include: Red Cross training (CPR, AED, and First Aid).

The Probation Supervisors set the following training priorities for 2019: improving Effective Practices in Community Supervision (EPICS) skills; consistency in administering Indiana Risk Assessment System (IRAS) and Indiana Youth Assessment System (IYAS); Evidence Based Practices (EBP) Overview for all new staff members; Effective Communication and Motivation Skills (ECMS) for all new staff that have not been trained; case planning; suicide prevention; and pepper spray training.

During 2019, the following trainings were provided to Departmental staff:

- 2019 Justice Services Annual Conference
- 2019 Probation Officer Professional Association of Indiana Management Institute
- 2019 Probation Officer Professional Association Fall Training Conference
- 2019 Indiana Association of Community Corrections Agencies
- 2019 Indiana Coalition of Court Alcohol and Drug Services Annual Training
- 2019 American Probation and Parole Annual Conference
- 2019 Pretrial Summit
- 2019 South Central Opioid Summit
- Probation Officer Academy
- National Association of Drug Court Professionals Annual Conference
- Court Alcohol and Drug Programs Staff Orientation
- Assessment and Interviewing
- Substance Abuse Characteristics

- Effective Practices in Corrections, Skill Building
- Effective Practices in Corrections, Coaching
- Effective Practices in Corrections, Booster Sessions
- Monroe County Bench Bar Conference
- Indiana Risk Assessment System Booster Session
- OCAT (Oleoresin Capsicum Aerosol) Certification
- Evidence Based Practices 101
- Undoing Racism
- Field Safety
- Search and Drug Recognition
- Monroe County Childhood Conditions Summit
- Permanency Round Table Orientation
- Red Cross CPR/AED/First Aid
- The Essentials of HR Law
- Leading for Influence
- Assertiveness Skills for Managers and Supervisors
- Justice Partners Addiction Response
- Communication Skills for all Occasions
- Juvenile Sex Offender Treatment
- Federal Firearm Statutes
- Testing for Alcohol
- Probation Officer Writing Skills
- Domestically Violent Homes-Threats to Children and Animals
- Family Connections
- Mindfulness in Domestic Violence Work – I and II
- Suicide Intervention skills
- Suicide Prevention Instructor Training
- Report Writing, Ethics, Motivational Interviewing
- Investigating Child Abuse
- Indiana Youth Institute Kids Court
- Childhood conditions Summit
- Making the Transition from Staff to Supervisor
- Introduction to Analyzing Poverty, class and Race
- Self Defense Tactics
- Electronic Monitoring Training
- Threats and Risk Assessment of Workplace Violence for Justice Agencies
- Experiences in Justice Professions Can Lead to Burnout
- Pretrial Justice Stakeholders Training
- Community Supervision and Behavior Change
- Girls and Sexually Exploited Youth in Juvenile Justice
- Supporting Youth as They Transition Back to the Community
- Disrupting the Abuse to Prison Pipeline for Girls
- Are We Speaking the Same Language
- Signs of Victimization in Children
- Male Victims of Sexual Assault-Best Practice
- Mental Health Concepts and Trafficking
- Presenting Medical Evidence in Child Sexual Abuse Cases
- Human Trafficking Screener
- Fighting Toxic Relationships

- Investigating Child Abuse
- Traumatic Stress and Racial Trauma
- Veterans Mental Health First Aid
- Battlement to Home Summit
- Gangs: Sex Trafficking
- Boys: The Forgotten Sex Trafficking Victims
- JIDS Return Workflow Training
- Personal Renewal for Youth Workers
- Strategies and Tools to Advance Race Equity
- History of Racial and Ethnic Disparities
- Human Research, Social Behavioral
- Reporting Writing
- Answers to Your Top Drug Testing Questions
- Cultural Awareness for Probation Officers
- Differentiating by risk and Embracing Skill Building
- Introduction to Juvenile Interviewing
- Responding to Adolescent Girls Behavior
- Introduction to Analyzing Poverty, Class and Race
- Substance Abuse Information
- Indiana Risk Assessment System (IRAS/IYAS)
- Naloxone-Narcan
- Understanding and Recognizing Implicit Bias
- How to Talk About Race
- Using Your Words and Communicating Your Authority
- Adult First Aid/CPR/AED
- Carey Guides and Bits User Training

FUN COMMITTEE

The Fun Committee was formed in 2006 to coordinate departmental in-service trainings and other activities for the department throughout each year. The Fun Committee organized several activities and celebrations in 2019. The committee organized the annual departmental in-service which was held on May 3, 2019.

As part of the nationwide Probation and Parole Officer Appreciation week in July, the Fun Committee organized several activities during the week including a departmental corn-hole tournament.

GREEN COMMITTEE

In 2010, the Green Committee was created in response to employee efforts to promote recycling at both departmental offices. In 2019 the committee continued to implement recycling procedures for separating plastic, glass, aluminum, paper, and battery refuse.

EVIDENCE BASED PRACTICES ORGANIZATION REPORT

The National Institute of Corrections defines evidence-based practice (EBP) as the objective, balanced, and responsible use of current research and the best available data to guide policy and practice decisions, such that outcomes for consumers are improved. Used originally in the health care and social science fields, evidence-based practice focuses on approaches demonstrated to be effective through empirical research rather than through anecdote or professional experience alone.

The Probation Department began utilizing evidence-based practices (EBP) in 1998. Research has shown that when probation, parole, and community corrections programs are evidence-based organizations, they are more likely to be successful in reducing recidivism. However, using evidence-based programs and practices alone does not make an organization an “evidence-based organization.” The Indiana Department of Correction (IDOC) audits all programs that receive IDOC grant funding to ensure that they meet criteria/standards as an *evidence-based practices organization*. Although the IDOC audits only the Community Corrections division of the Department, the Chief Probation Officer decided that all units, divisions, and staff members of the Department will participate in the implementation of EBP organization practices.

Summary of 2019 EBP Organization Accomplishments:

- **Assessment Committee** – The committee reviewed ancillary assessment tools utilized to screen risk and/or prevalence for areas such as mental health and domestic violence.
- **Effective Practices in Community Supervision (EPICS) Skills Video Library** – All probation officers (POs) recorded a video of themselves working through an EPICS skill with a client once per month. These videos are available in a shared video library accessible to all Probation employees.
- **EPICS Video Reviews** – POs submitted one video per month for review. Peer coaches worked with select POs to provide feedback. Every PO received feedback a minimum of four times in 2019.
- **EPICS Training for New Staff** - The Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) supervisor and a peer coach facilitated an EPICS skills training for all new departmental staff which included learning the steps to all the EPICS skills.
- **EBP Training for New Staff** - One PO was trained to facilitate discussion with new staff to explain the purpose of Evidence-Based Practice and how it is applied in the Probation Department.
- **EPICS/Case Planning Boosters** – Two peer coaches and the CQI supervisor hosted these boosters for all probation employees to practice EPICS skills and have discussion about what a meaningful client interaction should look like.
- **Indiana Risk Assessment System (IRAS) Boosters** – Adult POs each attended two (2) boosters during which they viewed and scored an IRAS assessment interview for the purposes of checking for scoring fidelity (known as inter-rater reliability).
- **Participation on State Evidence-Based Decision Making (EBDM) Committees** – Several supervisors participated on state committees and were able to benefit from NIC technical assistance regarding national EBDM practices.

Research Projects:

Indiana University (IU) and Monroe County Join National Challenge to Increase Probation Success and Protect Public Safety - IU has been selected to participate in the Reducing Revocations Challenge, a national initiative of Arnold Ventures and the CUNY Institute for State and Local Governance (ISLG) dedicated to understanding the drivers of probation revocations and identifying ways to reduce the community supervision failures that send almost 350,000 people to jails and prisons each year.

In Monroe County, the rate of revocation (15%) is below state and national levels (24%). However, the number and type of violations filed with the court each year impacts the resources of the local criminal justice system, including the jail population. The Challenge aims to increase public safety by improving success on probation through the identification, piloting, and testing of promising strategies grounded in a robust analysis and understanding of why revocations occur.

To carry out this work, IU will receive a \$198,312 grant to conduct on the ground, in-depth research and data analysis on the drivers of probation failures in Monroe County. The findings will be used to propose evidence-based solutions for policy and practice; selected strategies may receive additional funding in a potential second phase of the initiative. IU will be part of a learning network alongside the nine other jurisdictions selected to participate in the Challenge, including participation in a cross-site summit, where findings and potential solutions will be shared and discussed. Members of the learning network will also receive guidance and technical assistance from ISLG and an Advisory Board of experts in the field at each step along the way. Miriam Northcutt Bohmert will be the Principal Investigator at IU.

Pretrial Services - The Department is participating in two research projects related to pretrial services under the direction of the Indiana Office of Court Services (IOCS). The first project involves the validation of the Indiana Risk Assessment System-Pretrial Assessment Tool (IRAS-PAT). The risk assessment tool will eventually be validated in each of the pretrial pilot counties. The research team conducting the validation is led by Dr. Brad Ray of Wayne State University, Dr. Eric Grommon of Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis, and Dr. Evan Lowder of George Mason University. A preliminary validation report was completed in November 2018 and can be accessed here: <https://www.in.gov/judiciary/iocs/files/pretrial-monroe-validation-report.pdf>. The final report with an expanded sample will be released in 2020.

The second pretrial services project centers around pretrial supervision and monitoring efforts. The evaluation will investigate whether and how the IRAS-PAT improves the risk management of pretrial defendants in practice. This study will examine how risk classifications proposed by the IRAS-PAT are used to inform supervision recommendations and practices. Additionally, it will inform which supervision practices appear to achieve the optimal outcomes for pretrial defendants classified at various risk levels. The ultimate goal of this investigation is to inform the least restrictive and most effective pretrial supervision practices for pretrial defendants. Five (5) Indiana counties are participating in the project: Monroe, Bartholomew, Hendricks, Hamilton, and Jefferson. The principle investigator for the project is Dr. Evan Lowder of George Mason University. Results from the study are expected in 2020.

Community Supervision Fines and Fees Study - Arnold Ventures funded a multi-state study (Indiana, Michigan, Pennsylvania, Massachusetts, North Carolina, Texas, and Arizona) to examine the use of fines and fees for individuals who are on probation and/or parole. Monroe County was selected as one of several Indiana sites and the Department is working with Dr. Miriam Northcutt Bohmert of IU.

It is anticipated that several factors impact an agency's use of fines and fees to generate revenue and/or punish individuals: how a state funds probation and parole (executive or judicial; state or local level); region of the country; how much poverty the state experiences; and level of racial disparity. The states selected vary on these measures.

As demonstrated by its early adoption of evidence-based practices and exploration of non-monetary bail, Monroe County is a leader in innovative practices that can increase the benefits of criminal justice programming for clients in its system. Indiana, although hard hit by budget cuts and the opioid drug crisis, at least in preliminary investigations, does not engage in the more punitive uses of fines and fees witnessed in other states – such as lengthening terms of supervision until financial obligations are met or utilizing collection agencies that have high interest rates and excessive fees of their own. It is believed that Indiana's policies related to assessing, collecting, and waiving fines and fees for individuals convicted of crimes and sentenced to probation (or released from prison to parole) could be a model for other states who face similar challenges. A report on the study's findings is expected in 2020 or 2021.

PROBATION

APPENDIX

STATE STATISTICAL REPORTS SUBMITTED FOR 2019

YEAR END STATISTICS JUVENILE PROBATION REPORT

COUNTY: Monroe COURT(S): Juvenile COURT I.D. NUMBERS: 53C07	THIS REPORT COVERS THE PERIOD FROM: 01-01-19 TO: 12-31-19
---	--

PART I (A) REFERRALS	Non-Status Delinquents	Status Delinquents	Other (Specify)	Total
A. Referrals Previously Pending	11	16	0	27
B. New Referrals	240	151	0	391
C. Total Referrals before Probation Department (A & B)	251	167	0	418

PART I (B) DISPOSITION OF REFERRALS	Non-Status Delinquents	Status Delinquents	Other (Specify)	Total
D. Preliminary Inquiry with Recommendation to File Petition	55	12	0	67
E. Preliminary Inquiry with Recommendation to File Petition and Refer for Dual Status Assessment	2	1	0	3
F. Preliminary Inquiry with Recommendation for Informal Adjustment	22	28	0	50
G. Preliminary Inquiry with Recommendation for Informal Adjustment and Refer for Dual Status Assessment	1	1	0	2
H. Preliminary Inquiry with Recommendation to Refer Another Agency/County	49	37	0	86
I. Preliminary Inquiry with Recommendation to Dismiss	3	9	0	12
J. Preliminary Inquiry with Recommendation for Waiver	0	0	0	0
I. Other Disposition of Referral: No Action/No Further Action	107	64	0	171
J. Total Referrals Disposed (Add Lines D through I)	239	152	0	391
K. Referrals Pending (line C minus line J)	12	15	0	27

PART II: SUPERVISIONS

	Post Adjudication		Informal Adjustment		Intra-State Accepted	Inter-State Accepted	Other (Specify)	Total Supervisions
	Non-Status Delinquents	Status Delinquents	Non-Status Delinquent	Status Delinquent				
A. Supervisions Previously Pending	26	5	5	4	2	0	0	42
B. Supervisions Received	22	2	23	17	2	0	0	66
C. Supervisions Re-Opened	11	0	0	0	2	0	0	13
D. Total Supervisions Before You (Add Line A through C)	59	7	28	21	6	0	0	121

PART III: CLOSED AND INACTIVE SUPERVISIONS

	Post Adjudication		Informal Adjustment		Intra-State Accepted	Inter-State Accepted	Other (Specify)	Total Supervisions
	Non-Status Delinquents	Status Delinquents	Non-Status Delinquent	Status Delinquent				
E. Discharged (Closed Supervision)	19	5	17	13	3	0	0	57
F. Modified & Committed Corrections Facility (DOC) (Technical Violation)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G. Modified & Committed to Correctional Facility (DOC) (New Offense)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
H. Other Closed Supervision	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
I. Removed from Supervision Because of New Offense	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
J. Absconded	10	0	0	0	2	0	0	12
K. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
L. Total Closed / Inactive Supervisions	29	5	18	13	5	0	0	70
M. Supervisions Pending	30	2	10	8	1	0	0	51

PART IV: STATUS OF SUPERVISIONS

	Post Adjudication		Informal Adjustment		Intra-State Accepted	Inter-State Accepted	Other (Specify)	Total Supervisions
	Non-Status Delinquents	Status Delinquents	Non-Status Delinquent	Status Delinquent				
N. Standard Supervision (Probation)	20	2	10	8	0	0	0	40
O. Modified & Placed in an In-State Residential Facility (Technical Violation)	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
P. Modified & Placed in an In-State Residential Facility (New Offense)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q. Modified & Placed in an Out-of-State Residential Facility (Technical Violation)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R. Modified & Placed in an Out-of-State Residential Facility (new Offense)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S. Placed in Community Transition Program (Actively Providing Services)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
T. Intrastate Transferred Out	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	4
U. Interstate Transferred Out	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
V. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
W. Total Supervised (should equal line M)	30	2	10	8	1	0	0	51

Note – The above report represents data submitted to the State of Indiana and differs slightly in the data reported elsewhere in the annual report due to collection methods and dates in time when the data were calculated.

**YEAR END STATISTICS
ADULT MISDEMEANOR PROBATION REPORT**

COUNTY: Monroe COURT(S): Adult COURT I.D. NUMBERS: 53C02, 53C03, 53C05, 53C09	THIS REPORT COVERS THE PERIOD FROM: 01-01-19 TO: 12-31-19
---	--

PART I – SUPERVISIONS

	Pre-Trial Supervision	Post-sentence Supervision	Split Sentence Supervisions	Inter-State Accepted	Intra-State Accepted	Supervision In Lieu of Prosecution	Judgment Withheld	Other (Specify)	Total Supervisions
A. Supervisions Previously Pending	66	579	2	2	58	0	0	2	709
B. New Supervisions Received	420	561	1	0	71	0	0	5	1,058
C. Supervisions Re-Opened	188	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	190
D. Total Supervised Cases Before You (Add Lines A & C)	674	1,140	3	2	130	0	0	8	1,957

PART II – CLOSED AND INACTIVE SUPERVISIONS

E. Discharged (Completed Probation)	476	437	2	1	37	0	0	5	958
F. Revoked Because of New Offense	10	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	34
G. Revoked for Technical Violation	7	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	34
H. Absconded and/or Warrant Active	54	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	77
I. Other Closed / Inactive Supervisions	35	1	0	1	47	0	0	1	85
J. Subtotal Closed / Inactive Supervisions (Add Lines E through I)	582	512	2	2	84	0	0	6	1,188
K. Supervisions Pending (Line D Minus Line J)	92	628	1	0	46	0	0	2	769

PART III – STATUS ON PENDING SUPERVISIONS

	Pre-Trial Supervision	Post-sentence Supervision	Split Sentence Supervisions	Inter-State Accepted	Intra-State Accepted	Supervision In Lieu of Prosecution	Judgment Withheld	Other (Specify)	Total Supervisions
L. Under Supervision	92	569	1	0	46	0	0	2	710
M. Intra-State Transferred Out	0	59	0	0	0	0	0	0	59
N. Inter-State Transferred Out	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O. Other Supervisions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
P. Total (Equals Line K)	92	628	1	0	46	0	0	2	769

Note – The above report represents data submitted to the State of Indiana and differs slightly in the data reported elsewhere in the annual report due to collection methods and dates in time when the data was calculated.

**YEAR END STATISTICS
ADULT FELONY PROBATION REPORT**

COUNTY: Monroe	THIS REPORT COVERS THE PERIOD
COURT(S): Adult	FROM: 01-01-19 TO: 12-31-19
COURT I.D. NUMBERS: 53C02, 53C03, 53C05, 53C09	

PART I – SUPERVISIONS

	Pre-Trial Supervision	Post-sentence Supervision	Split Sentence Supervisions	Inter-State Accepted	Intra-State Accepted	Supervision In Lieu of Prosecution	Judgment Withheld	Other (Specify)	Total Supervisions
A. Supervisions Previously Pending	205	664	218	20	99	0	1	10	1,217
B. New Supervisions Received	1,126	421	71	13	129	0	0	0	1,760
C. Supervisions Re-Opened	402	2	4	1	9	0	0	0	418
D. Total Supervised Cases Before You (Add Lines A & C)	1,733	1,087	293	34	237	0	1	10	3,395

PART II – CLOSED AND INACTIVE SUPERVISIONS

E. Discharged (Completed Probation)	1,154	275	55	3	45	0	1	6	1,539
F. Revoked Because of New Offense	15	37	12	0	1	0	0	0	65
G. Revoked for Technical Violation	20	38	9	0	4	0	0	0	71
H. Absconded and/or Warrant Active	109	42	5	1	1	0	0	0	158
I. Other Closed / Inactive Supervisions	142	4	5	6	79	0	0	0	236
J. Subtotal Closed / Inactive Supervisions (Add Lines E through I)	1,440	396	86	10	130	0	1	6	2,069
K. Supervisions Pending (Line D Minus Line J)	293	691	207	24	107	0	0	4	1,326

PART III – STATUS ON PENDING SUPERVISIONS

	Pre-Trial Supervision	Post-sentence Supervision	Split Sentence Supervisions	Inter-State Accepted	Intra-State Accepted	Supervision In Lieu of Prosecution	Judgment Withheld	Other (Specify)	Total Supervisions
L. Under Supervision	293	617	178	24	105	0	0	4	1,221
M. Intra-State Transferred Out	0	62	23	0	1	0	0	0	86
N. Inter-State Transferred Out	0	12	6	0	1	0	0	0	19
O. Other Supervisions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
P. Total (Equals Line K)	293	691	207	24	107	0	0	4	1,326

Note – The above report represents data submitted to the State of Indiana and differs slightly in the data reported elsewhere in the annual report due to collection methods and dates in time when the data was calculated.

COURT ALCOHOL AND DRUG PROGRAM DATA REPORT

Note – The report represents data submitted to the State of Indiana and differs slightly in the data reported elsewhere in the annual report due to collection methods and dates in time when the data was calculated. Items with zeros are not reported.

1. **Reporting Period:** January 1, 2019 to December 31, 2019

2. **Race**

- A. 2 – American Indian or Alaskan Native
- B. 14 – Asian
- C. 57 – Black or African-American
- D. 51 – Multiracial
- E. 1 – Not Specified
- F. 667 – White

3. **Gender**

- A. 218 – Female
- B. 577 – Male

4. **Age**

- A. 106 – 18-21
- B. 146 – 22-25
- C. 159 – 26-30
- D. 107 – 31-35
- E. 101 – 36-40
- F. 60 – 41-45
- G. 49– 46-50
- H. 35 – 51-55
- I. 20– 56-60
- J. 6 – 61-65
- K. 6 – 66 and above

5. **Income (Status at Intake)**

- A. 552 – Less than \$10,000
- B. 33 – \$10,000 - \$14,999
- C. 80 – \$15,000 - \$24,999
- D. 31 – \$25,000 - \$34,999
- E. 32 – \$35,000 - \$49,999
- F. 36 – \$50,000 - \$74,999
- G. 31 – \$75,000 or more

6. **Education (Status at Intake)**

- A. 130 – Less than High School
- B. 239 – High School Diploma / GED
- C. 33 – Trade / Technical School
- D. 251 – Some College
- E. 142 – College Graduate

COURT ALCOHOL AND DRUG PROGRAM DATA REPORT (continued)

7. Employment (Status at Intake)

- A. 351 – Full-time Employment
- B. 121 – Part-time Employment
- C. 242 – Unemployed
- D. 48 – Disabled
- E. 8 – Retired
- F. 25 – Student

8. Referral

- A. 86 – Basic Substance Abuse Education
- B. 17 – Self-help
- C. 633 – Substance Abuse Treatment Evaluation
- D. 59 – No Referral

9. Compliance / Disposition

- A. 39 – Absconded / FTA
- B. 7 – Deceased
- C. 460 – Successfully Completed
- D. 205 – Terminated Unsuccessful / Revoked

10. Risk Assessment

- A. 467 – Low
- B. 134 – Moderate
- C. 161 – High
- D. 33 – Very High

11. Charge

- A. Class B Felony
 - 6 – Offenses relating to controlled substances under IC35-48
- B. Class C Felony
 - 1 – Offenses against property under IC 35-43

COURT ALCOHOL AND DRUG PROGRAM DATA REPORT

(continued)

- C.** Class D Felony
 - 1 – Offenses involving a motor vehicle under IC 9
- D.** Level 2 Felony
 - a.** 1 – Offenses relating to the regulation of weapons and instruments of violence under IC35-47
 - b.** 4 – Offenses relating to controlled substances under 35-48
- E.** Level 3 Felony
 - 8 – Offenses relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48
- F.** Level 4 Felony
 - a.** 1 – Offenses against person under IC 35-42
 - b.** 11 – Offenses relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48
 - c.** 2 – Offenses involving a motor vehicle under IC 9
- G.** Level 5 Felony
 - a.** 1 - Offenses against general public administration under IC 35-44.1
 - b.** 3 – Offenses against the person under IC 35-42
 - c.** 18 – Offenses relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48
 - d.** 4 – Offense relating to regulations of weapons and instruments of violence under IC 35-47
 - e.** 1 – Miscellaneous offenses under IC 35-46
- H.** Level 6 Felony
 - a.** 3 – Offenses against general public administration under IC 35-44.1
 - b.** 15 – Offenses against property under IC 35-43
 - c.** 2 – Offenses against public health, order and decency under IC 35-45
 - d.** 5 – Offenses against the person under IC 35-42
 - e.** 84 – Offenses involving a motor vehicle under IC 9
 - f.** 154 – Offenses relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48
 - g.** 1 – Miscellaneous offenses under IC 35-46
- I.** Class A Misdemeanor
 - a.** 1 – Offenses against property under IC 35-43
 - b.** 236 – Offenses involving a motor vehicle under IC 9
 - c.** 13 – Offenses relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48
 - d.** 2 – Offense relating to regulations of weapons and instruments of violence under IC 35-47
- J.** Class B Misdemeanor
 - a.** 1 – Offenses against public health, order and decency under IC 35-45
 - b.** 2 – Offenses involving a motor vehicle under IC 9
 - c.** 22 – Offenses involving alcohol under IC 7.1
 - d.** 18 – Offenses relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48
- K.** Class C Misdemeanor
 - a.** 165 – Offenses involving a motor vehicle under IC 9
 - b.** 6 – Offenses involving alcohol under IC 7.1
 - c.** 3 – Offenses relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48

PROBLEM SOLVING COURTS PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Note – The report represents data submitted to the State of Indiana and differs slightly in the data reported elsewhere in the annual report due to collection methods and dates in time when the data was calculated.

	Drug Treatment Court	Reentry Court	Mental Health Court	Veterans Court
Demographics				
A. Sex – number of participants in each category during the reporting period.				
1. Female	41	14	6	1
2. Male	65	37	12	16
B. Race – number of participants in each category during the reporting period.				
1. White	99	43	13	15
2. Black or African American	1	6	4	2
3. American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0	0	0
4. Other	1	0	0	0
5. Two or more races	2	2	1	0
C. Ethnicity – number of participants in each category during the reporting period.				
1. Of Hispanic, Latino or Spanish origin	6	0	0	0
2. Not of Hispanic, Latino or Spanish origin	100	51	18	17
D. Age – number of participants in each age range at intake during the reporting period.				
1. 18-21	1	0	0	0
2. 22-25	11	4	2	0
3. 26-30	17	12	3	2
4. 31-35	20	9	4	3
5. 36-40	25	16	3	3
6. 41-45	8	8	4	3
7. 46-50	9	2	1	1
8. 51-55	8	0	1	1
9. 56-60	6	0	0	1
10. 61-65	0	0	0	2
11. 66 and above	1	0	0	1
E. Military status – number of participants reporting current or past military service at intake during the reporting period.				
	5	1	0	17

	Drug Treatment Court	Reentry Court	Mental Health Court	Veterans Court
F. Education – number of participants with each of the following education levels at intake during the reporting period.				
1. Less than a high school education	14	12	1	0
2. High school equivalency	2	2	0	0
3. High school	55	24	12	6
4. College	31	9	4	11
5. Vocational education program	2	0	0	0
G. Employment – count only those participants who are legally employed and work either 30 hours or more per week (full-time), are verifiably disabled and unable to work, are retired and existing on a pension, or are attending school full-time on the last day of the reporting period.				
1. Number of participants who were employed full-time	71	46	13	13
2. Number of participants who were full-time students	24	5	0	4
3. Number of disabled participants	10	0	5	0
4. Number of retired participants	1	0	0	0
H. Current offense – number of participants admitted during the reporting period with the most serious offense for which each individual is participating in the problem-solving court, prioritized by offense level and type of offense as listed below. Count each participant only once.				
1. Level 2 Felony	0	1	0	0
2. Class B Felony	1	7	0	1
3. Level 3 Felony	2	3	0	0
4. Level 4 Felony	4	9	0	0
5. Class C Felony	1	1	0	1
6. Level 5 Felony	14	9	3	3
7. Class D Felony	4	3	0	1
8. Level 6 Felony	80	17	9	7
9. Class A Misdemeanor	0	1	1	1
10. Class B Misdemeanor	0	0	0	1
I. Treatment history – number of participants admitted during the reporting period with past or current treatment at intake in the following categories. Data in this section shall be supported by documentation in the court’s possession and collected at the time of admission. Each participant should only fall within one category.				
1. Mental health disorder	7	3	2	1
2. Substance disorder	35	18	1	1
3. Co-occurring disorders	7	3	15	0

	Drug Treatment Court	Reentry Court	Mental Health Court	Veterans Court
J. Treatment Services – number of participants who received the following services during the reporting period. A participant may be counted in both categories but should not be counted more than once in a service category.				
1. Substance abuse treatment	35	21	8	3
2. Mental health treatment	7	11	8	0
K. Risk Assessment				
1. Number of participants scored at intake using the IRAS/IYAS at each of the following risk levels during the reporting period.				
a. Low	3	0	0	1
b. Moderate	10	3	2	2
c. High	19	14	2	2
d. Very High	3	0	3	1
2. Number of graduated participants scored at discharge using the IRAS/IYAS in each of the following risk levels during the reporting period.				
a. Increase from intake	2	3	2	0
b. Decrease from intake	0	4	1	4
c. No change from intake	1	2	1	0
L. Drug(s) of choice – number of participants admitted during the reporting period who report their drug of choice as one or more of the following:				
1. Alcohol	32	4	6	10
2. Benzodiazepines	2	1	0	1
3. Crack/Cocaine	1	3	1	0
4. Heroin	18	14	0	1
5. Marijuana	9	6	6	1
6. Methamphetamines	33	12	4	1
7. Prescription opioids (e.g. Buprenorphine/Suboxone, Methadone)	4	4	1	0
8. Synthetic substances (e.g. Ecstasy, Spice/K2, bath salts)	1	1	0	0
M. Program participant status				
1. Admitted	35	23	7	6
2. Graduated	15	9	4	4
3. Terminated (removal for noncompliance with program requirements)	15	18	2	0
4. Withdrawn (removal for something other than noncompliance with program requirements)	5	0	1	0
5. Active (the total number of participants)	71	24	8	13

	Drug Treatment Court	Reentry Court	Mental Health Court	Veterans Court
N. Legal status of participants – number of participants in each of the following categories as determined at the time of intake during the reporting period. A participant may be counted in more than one category only if the individual enters the court under more than one case number with different legal statuses.				
1. Judgment of conviction withheld pending successful completion of the problem solving court	106	0	18	17
2. A non-suspendible sentence stayed pending successful completion of the problem solving court	0	51	0	0
Abstinence and Use				
A. Number of chemical tests administered during the reporting period	16,397	6,573	1,472	2,112
B. Number of chemical tests administered during the reporting period with a positive test result	267	101	21	18
C. Number of chemical tests administered during the reporting period with dilute results	20	5	3	0
D. Number of chemical tests administered in each of the following categories during the reporting period				
1. Breath	11,865	4,743	1,114	1,525
2. Saliva	554	180	17	52
3. Urine	3,978	1,650	311	535
E. Participant substance use during the reporting period				
1. Number of participants who tested positive during the reporting period	23	16	2	0
2. Number of participants with dilute test results during the reporting period	16	4	1	0
3. Number of times participants tested positive for each of the following substances during the reporting period				
a. Alcohol	7	3	1	0
b. Amphetamines	44	29	0	2
c. Benzodiazepines	4	0	0	0
d. Crack / Cocaine	2	0	0	0
e. Heroin	1	1	0	0
f. Marijuana	18	11	2	5
g. Methamphetamines	16	29	0	2
h. Prescription opioids (used without prescription or contrary to prescription directives) (e.g. Buprenorphine/Suboxone, Methadone)	100	26	5	0
i. Synthetic substances (Ecstasy, Spice/K2, bath salts)	8	1	2	0
j. Inhalants	1	0	0	0

	Drug Treatment Court	Reentry Court	Mental Health Court	Veterans Court
Adult Participant Data				
A. Number of adult participants who received a jail sanction	58	35	12	6
B. Number of jail days served by adult participants for sanctions	2,011	603	798	30
C. Number of jail days served by adult participants awaiting treatment placement (to include detoxification, sober living, inpatient, etc.)	1,527	118	791	13
D. Number of jail days served by adult participants awaiting termination	1,335	855	143	0
Graduation Rate – The percentage of participants who graduated from the problem-solving court, derived by dividing the total number of problem-solving court graduates since initial problem-solving court certification by the total number of graduates since initial problem-solving court certification plus the total number of participants terminated since initial problem-solving court certification, calculated on the final day of the reporting period.	60%	41%	33%	58%
Recidivism				
A. During problem-solving court participation, the number of adult participants charged with a new felony or misdemeanor, and the number of juvenile participants charged with a new act that would be a felony or misdemeanor if committed by an adult or waived to criminal court in each of the following offense levels during the reporting period. Report only the most serious offense charged to the participant under the appropriate offense level.				
1. Level 5 Felony	1	2	0	0
2. Level 6 Felony	3	1	0	0
3. Class A Misdemeanor	2	1	0	0
4. Class B Misdemeanor	1	0	0	0
B. Number of former adult participants charged with a new local (defined as within the same county as the problem-solving court) felony or misdemeanor and former juvenile participants charged with a new local (defined as within the same county as the problem-solving court) act that would be a felony or misdemeanor if committed by an adult or waived to criminal court in each of the following offense levels within 36 months of problem-solving court discharge during the reporting period. Count only the most serious offense charged to the former participant under the appropriate offense level.				
1. Graduated participants				
a. Level 6 Felony	2	1	1	0
b. Class A Misdemeanor	1	0	0	0
c. Class C Misdemeanor	1	0	0	0
2. Terminated participants				
a. Level 6 Felony	3	0	0	0
b. Class A Misdemeanor	1	0	1	0
3. Withdrawn participants				
f. Level 3 Felony	1	0	0	0
k. Level 6 Felony	1	0	0	0
Retention Rate – The percentage of participants who have either graduated or are still active in the problem-solving court out of the total number of participants admitted since initial problem-solving court certification (active + graduated / total number admitted), calculated on the final day of the reporting period.	64%	56%	43%	80%

JUVENILE DAILY POPULATIONS

SECURE DETENTION DAILY POPULATION

	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December
1	1	2	3	4	3	0	2	2	5	3	1	3
2	1	2	3	4	3	1	2	2	5	2	1	3
3	1	2	3	3	2	1	2	2	5	3	2	3
4	1	2	3	3	2	1	2	2	2	3	2	2
5	1	0	3	3	2	3	1	2	2	3	2	2
6	1	0	2	3	2	3	1	2	2	3	1	2
7	1	0	2	3	2	3	1	2	2	2	1	2
8	1	0	1	3	1	3	1	4	2	2	1	2
9	0	0	1	3	1	3	1	5	2	2	1	2
10	0	0	1	3	1	2	1	5	2	2	2	2
11	0	0	1	2	1	3	1	5	3	2	2	1
12	0	1	1	2	1	4	2	5	3	2	2	1
13	0	1	1	2	1	3	2	5	3	2	1	1
14	0	1	1	2	1	3	2	4	3	2	1	1
15	1	1	2	2	1	3	2	4	3	2	1	1
16	1	1	2	2	1	3	1	3	3	3	1	1
17	1	1	2	2	1	3	2	4	1	2	1	1
18	1	1	3	2	1	3	2	4	1	1	1	1
19	1	1	3	3	1	3	1	4	1	1	1	1
20	1	2	2	3	3	3	1	3	1	1	1	1
21	1	2	2	3	3	3	1	3	1	1	1	1
22	1	2	2	3	0	3	1	3	1	1	1	1
23	2	2	2	3	0	4	2	3	1	1	1	1
24	2	2	2	4	0	4	1	3	2	1	2	1
25	1	2	1	3	0	4	2	3	2	1	2	1
26	1	2	1	3	0	2	2	3	3	1	2	1
27	1	2	2	3	0	2	2	4	3	1	2	1
28	1	3	2	3	0	2	2	3	3	1	2	1
29	0	-	2	3	0	2	2	3	3	1	3	1
30	0	-	2	3	0	2	3	4	2	1	3	1
31	0	-	2	-	0	-	2	4	-	1	-	2
Total	24	35	60	85	34	79	50	105	72	54	45	45

*2019 average daily detention population = 1.88

SHELTER CARE DAILY POPULATION

	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December
1	0	2	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
2	0	2	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
3	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
4	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
5	0	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
6	0	3	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
7	0	4	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	1
8	0	4	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	1
9	1	5	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
10	1	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
11	1	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
12	1	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
13	1	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
14	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
15	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
16	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1
17	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1
18	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1
19	0	1	1	0	0	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
20	0	1	2	0	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
21	0	1	2	0	1	2	1	0	1	1	1	1
22	0	1	1	1	1	2	1	0	1	1	1	1
23	0	1	1	1	1	2	1	0	1	1	1	1
24	0	2	1	1	1	2	1	0	1	1	1	0
25	0	2	1	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
27	0	1	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
28	0	-	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	1	-	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	1	-	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
31	1	-	2	-	0	-	0	0	-	0	-	0
Total	8	60	41	14	19	28	6	7	9	27	8	18

*2019 average daily shelter population = 0.67

LIST OF OFFENSES FOR SUPERVISIONS AND PROGRAMS

JUVENILE OFFENSES FOR REFERRALS AND SUPERVISIONS

	Juvenile Referrals	Juvenile Supervisions
Aggravated Battery (Felony)	1	0
Armed Robbery (Felony)	2	0
Auto Theft (Felony)	7	0
Battery (Misdemeanor)	20	4
Battery Against a Public Safety Official (Felony)	3	0
Battery by Bodily Waste (Felony)	1	0
Battery by Means of a Deadly Weapon (Felony)	2	0
Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury (Misdemeanor)	13	2
Burglary (Felony)	6	1
Carrying a Handgun without a License (Misdemeanor)	2	0
Child Molesting (Felony)	4	0
Conversion (Misdemeanor)	2	9
Criminal Confinement (Felony)	2	2
Criminal Gang Activity (Felony)	1	0
Criminal Mischief (Misdemeanor)	25	5
Criminal Trespass (Misdemeanor)	9	4
Curfew Violation (Status)	6	0
Dangerous Possession of a Firearm (Felony)	1	0
Dangerous Possession of a Firearm (Misdemeanor)	2	0
Disorderly Conduct (Misdemeanor)	10	13
Dissemination of Matter Harmful to Minors (Felony)	8	0
Domestic Battery (Felony)	2	0
Domestic Battery (Misdemeanor)	34	0
Domestic Battery by Means of a Deadly Weapon (Felony)	1	0
Escape (Felony)	18	2
False Identification Card (Misdemeanor)	1	0
False Identity Statement (Misdemeanor)	3	2
False Informing (Misdemeanor)	2	1
Fraud (Felony)	2	0
Furnishing Alcohol to a Minor (Misdemeanor)	1	0
Habitual Disobedience of Parent, Guardian, or Custodian (Status)	14	1
Harassment (Misdemeanor)	1	0
Illegal Consumption of an Alcoholic Beverage (Misdemeanor)	15	1
Illegal Possession of an Alcoholic Beverage (Misdemeanor)	5	0

	Juvenile Referrals	Juvenile Supervisions
Intimidation (Felony)	10	0
Intimidation (Misdemeanor)	10	1
Invasion of Privacy (Misdemeanor)	1	0
Leaving Home without Permission of Parent, Guardian, or Custodian (Status)	82	5
Leaving the Scene of an Accident (Misdemeanor)	6	1
Operating a Motor Vehicle without ever Receiving a License (Misdemeanor)	14	0
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person (Misdemeanor)	5	0
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .15 or More (Misdemeanor)	1	0
Operating a Vehicle with at Least .02 ACE but Less than .08 ACE (Misdemeanor)	1	0
Possession of a Controlled Substance (Misdemeanor)	1	0
Possession of a Knife on School Property (Misdemeanor)	0	1
Possession of a Synthetic Drug or Synthetic Drug Lookalike Substance (Misdemeanor)	1	0
Possession of Firearm on School Property (Felony)	1	0
Possession of Marijuana (Misdemeanor)	18	4
Possession of Methamphetamine (Felony)	2	1
Possession of Paraphernalia (Misdemeanor)	7	0
Public Intoxication (Misdemeanor)	2	1
Public Nudity (Misdemeanor)	0	1
Rape (Felony)	2	1
Receiving Stolen Property (Felony)	1	0
Residential Entry (Felony)	1	0
Resisting Law Enforcement (Misdemeanor)	16	3
Robbery (Felony)	1	0
Sexual Battery (Felony)	1	0
Strangulation (Felony)	2	0
Theft (Felony)	9	1
Theft (Misdemeanor)	29	2
Theft of a Firearm (Felony)	3	0
Truancy (Status)	65	19
Unauthorized Entry of a Motor Vehicle (Misdemeanor)	4	0
TOTAL	522	88

ADULT PROBATION AND COURT ALCOHOL & DRUG PROGRAM SUPERVISION OFFENSES

	Adult Probation Supervisions	Court Alcohol & Drug Program Supervisions
Aggravated Battery (Felony)	2	0
Armed Robbery (Felony)	1	0
Assisting a Criminal (Felony)	4	0
Assisting a Criminal (Misdemeanor)	1	0
Auto Theft (Felony)	20	5
Battery (Misdemeanor)	6	0
Battery Against a Person Less than 14 Years Old (Felony)	5	0
Battery Against a Public Safety Official (Felony)	8	1
Battery by Bodily Waste (Felony)	3	1
Battery by Means of a Deadly Weapon (Felony)	2	0
Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury (Misdemeanor)	25	0
Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury to a Pregnant Woman (Felony)	2	0
Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury to a Public Safety Officer (Felony)	1	0
Battery Resulting in Moderate Bodily Injury (Felony)	10	2
Battery Resulting in Serious Bodily Injury (Felony)	4	1
Burglary (Felony)	34	1
Carrying a Handgun without a License (Felony)	4	2
Carrying a Handgun without a License (Misdemeanor)	10	2
Causing Death When Operating a Motor Vehicle with a Schedule I or II Controlled Substance in the Blood (Felony)	4	4
Causing Serious Bodily Injury When Operating a Motor Vehicle While Intoxicated (Felony)	3	3
Causing Serious Bodily Injury When Operating a Motor Vehicle with a Schedule I or II Substance in the Body (Felony)	1	1
Check Fraud (Felony)	1	0
Child Molesting (Felony)	8	0
Computer Trespass (Misdemeanor)	1	0
Contributing to the Delinquency of a Minor (Felony)	1	1
Conversion (Misdemeanor)	4	0
Counterfeiting (Felony)	5	0
Criminal Confinement (Felony)	8	0
Criminal Deviate Conduct (Felony)	1	0
Criminal Mischief (Misdemeanor)	12	0
Criminal Recklessness (Felony)	3	0
Criminal Recklessness (Misdemeanor)	4	0
Criminal Trespass (Felony)	1	0
Criminal Trespass (Misdemeanor)	8	2
Cruelty to an Animal (Misdemeanor)	1	0

	Adult Probation Supervisions	Court Alcohol & Drug Program Supervisions
Dealing in a Lookalike Substance (Felony)	3	2
Dealing in a Narcotic Drug (Felony)	15	15
Dealing in a Schedule I Controlled Substance (Felony)	8	8
Dealing in a Schedule II Controlled Substance (Felony)	1	1
Dealing in a Schedule IV Controlled Substance (Misdemeanor)	3	3
Dealing in a Synthetic Drug or Synthetic Drug Lookalike Substance (Felony)	1	1
Dealing in Cocaine (Felony)	18	10
Dealing in Cocaine or Narcotic Drug (Felony)	2	2
Dealing in Marijuana (Felony)	6	6
Dealing in Marijuana (Misdemeanor)	2	2
Dealing in Methamphetamine (Felony)	21	19
Disorderly Conduct (Misdemeanor)	16	1
Domestic Battery (Felony)	12	0
Domestic Battery (Misdemeanor)	15	0
Domestic Battery by Means of a Deadly Weapon (Felony)	1	0
Domestic Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury to a Pregnant Woman (Felony)	1	0
Driving while Suspended (Misdemeanor)	1	1
Escape (Felony)	2	0
Failure of a Sex Offender to Possess Identification (Felony)	1	0
Failure to Appear (Felony)	1	0
Failure to Make, Keep, or Furnish Records (Felony)	2	0
Failure to Register as a Sex or Violent Offender (Felony)	4	0
Failure to Return to Lawful Detention (Felony)	1	0
False Informing (Misdemeanor)	5	3
Felon Carrying a Handgun (Felony)	4	1
Forgery (Felony)	15	0
Fraud (Felony)	9	0
Fraud on a Financial Institution (Felony)	1	0
Furnishing Alcohol to a Minor (Misdemeanor)	2	2
Harassment (Misdemeanor)	1	0
Identity Deception (Felony)	9	0
Illegal Consumption of an Alcoholic Beverage (Misdemeanor)	3	3
Intimidation (Felony)	10	0
Invasion of Privacy (Felony)	3	0
Invasion of Privacy (Misdemeanor)	5	1
Kidnapping (Felony)	1	0
Leaving the Scene of an Accident (Misdemeanor)	13	0
Lifetime Parole Violation (Felony)	1	0
Maintaining a Common Nuisance (Felony)	5	3

	Adult Probation Supervisions	Court Alcohol & Drug Program Supervisions
Maintaining a Common Nuisance – Controlled Substances (Felony)	5	2
Manufacturing Methamphetamine (Felony)	1	0
Neglect of a Dependent (Felony)	7	1
Neglect of a Dependent Resulting in Serious Bodily Injury (Felony)	1	0
Nonsupport of a Dependent Child (Felony)	3	0
Obtaining a Controlled Substance by Fraud or Deceit (Felony)	2	2
Official Misconduct (Felony)	1	0
Operating a Motor Vehicle after Forfeiture of License for Life (Felony)	5	0
Operating a Motor Vehicle without ever Receiving a License (Misdemeanor)	1	0
Operating a Motorboat with an ACE of .08 or More (Misdemeanor)	1	1
Operating a Vehicle as a Habitual Traffic Violator (Felony)	9	2
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated (Felony)	28	23
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated (Misdemeanor)	42	43
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person (Felony)	13	11
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person (Misdemeanor)	208	194
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person with a Passenger Less than 18 Year of Age (Felony)	3	3
Operating a Vehicle with a Schedule I or II Controlled Substance or its Metabolite in the Body (Misdemeanor)	19	19
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .08 or More (Felony)	1	1
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .08 or More (Misdemeanor)	60	60
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .15 or More (Felony)	4	3
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .15 or More (Misdemeanor)	46	46
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .15 or More with a Passenger Less Than 18 Years of Age (Felony)	2	2
Possession of a Controlled Substance (Felony)	2	2
Possession of a Controlled Substance (Misdemeanor)	11	9
Possession of a Narcotic Drug (Felony)	33	31
Possession of a Synthetic Drug or Synthetic Drug Lookalike Substance (Misdemeanor)	2	1
Possession of Child Pornography (Felony)	6	0
Possession of Cocaine (Felony)	8	8
Possession of Cocaine (Misdemeanor)	1	0
Possession of Marijuana (Felony)	1	1
Possession of Marijuana (Misdemeanor)	27	20
Possession of Methamphetamine (Felony)	119	104
Possession of Paraphernalia (Misdemeanor)	11	8
Promoting Prostitution (Felony)	1	0
Public Indecency (Misdemeanor)	1	1
Public Intoxication (Misdemeanor)	21	20
Receiving Stolen Property (Felony)	1	0

	Adult Probation Supervisions	Court Alcohol & Drug Program Supervisions
Reckless Driving (Misdemeanor)	61	52
Residential Entry (Felony)	13	1
Resisting Law Enforcement (Felony)	19	6
Resisting Law Enforcement (Misdemeanor)	27	5
Robbery (Felony)	9	1
Robbery Resulting in Bodily Injury (Felony)	3	0
Sexual Battery (Felony)	4	1
Sexual Misconduct with a Minor (Felony)	4	0
Strangulation (Felony)	7	0
Theft (Felony)	92	9
Theft (Misdemeanor)	38	3
Theft of a Firearm (Felony)	2	0
Trafficking with an Inmate Outside a Facility (Felony)	2	2
Unauthorized Entry of a Motor Vehicle (Misdemeanor)	7	0
Unlawful Possession of a Firearm by a Serious Violent Felon (Felony)	0	1
Unlawful Possession of Syringe (Felony)	19	14
Unlawful Possession or Use of a Legend Drug (Felony)	9	9
Visiting a Common Nuisance (Misdemeanor)	3	3
Visiting a Common Nuisance – Controlled Substances (Misdemeanor)	1	1
Voluntary Manslaughter (Felony)	1	0
Voyeurism (Felony)	1	0
Voyeurism (Misdemeanor)	1	0
TOTAL	1,462	836

CASP LEVELS 1-12 SUPERVISION OFFENSES

	CASP Level 1 (Work Release)	CASP Levels 2-5 (Electronic Monitoring)	CASP Levels 6, 8, 9 (Curfew/Exclusion Monitoring)	CASP Level 7 (Alcohol Detection)	CASP Level 10 (Day Reporting)	CASP Levels 11-12 (Pretrial Supervision)
Aggravated Battery (Felony)	0	2	2	2	3	3
Armed Robbery (Felony)	0	0	0	0	4	9
Arson (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	10
Assisting a Criminal (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Assisting a Criminal (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	2	1
Attempted Murder (Felony)	0	4	2	0	0	4
Auto Theft (Felony)	0	14	3	0	17	40
Battery (Misdemeanor)	0	1	1	0	4	18
Battery Against a Public Safety Official (Felony)	0	0	0	0	12	22
Battery by Bodily Waste (Felony)	0	0	0	0	4	10
Battery by Means of a Deadly Weapon (Felony)	0	1	2	0	3	11
Battery on a Person Less than 14 Years Old (Felony)	0	1	1	1	0	16
Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury (Misdemeanor)	0	7	0	5	34	48
Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury to a Person Less than 14 Years of Age (Felony)	0	0	0	0	1	9
Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury to a Pregnant Woman (Felony)	0	0	0	0	1	2
Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury to a Public Safety Officer (Felony)	0	1	0	1	8	9
Battery Resulting in Death of a Person Less than 14 Years of Age (Felony)	0	0	0	2	0	1
Battery Resulting in Injury to a Person Less than 14 Years of Age (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	2
Battery Resulting in Injury to a Pregnant Woman (Felony)	0	1	0	0	0	0
Battery Resulting in Moderate Bodily Injury (Felony)	0	1	0	0	4	12
Battery Resulting in Serious Bodily Injury (Felony)	0	1	0	0	1	5
Battery Resulting in Serious Bodily Injury to a Person Less than 14 Years of Age (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Bestiality (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Burglary (Felony)	0	31	3	1	39	67
Carrying a Handgun without a License (Felony)	0	1	0	0	1	4
Carrying a Handgun without a License (Misdemeanor)	0	4	0	0	8	20
Causing Death when Operating a Motor Vehicle While Intoxicated (Felony)	0	0	0	1	0	1
Causing Serious Bodily Injury when Operating a Motor Vehicle While Intoxicated (Felony)	0	1	0	0	0	2

	CASP Level 1 (Work Release)	CASP Levels 2-5 (Electronic Monitoring)	CASP Levels 6, 8, 9 (Curfew/Exclusion Monitoring)	CASP Level 7 (Alcohol Detection)	CASP Level 10 (Day Reporting)	CASP Levels 11-12 (Pretrial Supervision)
Causing Serious Bodily Injury when Operating a Motor Vehicle with an ACE of .08 or More (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Causing Serious Bodily Injury when Operating a Vehicle While Intoxicated (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Check Deception (Misdemeanor)	0	1	0	0	2	2
Child Exploitation (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Child Molesting (Felony)	0	9	0	0	0	24
Child Solicitation (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	2
Computer Trespass (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	1	1
Confinement (Felony)	0	0	1	0	0	4
Contributing to the Delinquency of a Minor (Felony)	0	0	0	0	1	1
Contributing to the Delinquency of a Minor (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	0	2
Conversion (Misdemeanor)	0	1	0	0	3	6
Counterfeiting (Felony)	0	0	0	0	1	23
Criminal Confinement (Felony)	0	11	5	2	13	33
Criminal Deviate Conduct (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	3
Criminal Mischief (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Criminal Mischief (Misdemeanor)	0	5	0	3	34	47
Criminal Recklessness (Felony)	0	5	0	0	5	15
Criminal Recklessness (Misdemeanor)	0	1	1	0	3	2
Criminal Trespass (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Criminal Trespass (Misdemeanor)	0	2	0	3	43	75
Cruelty to an Animal (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Cruelty to an Animal (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	0	5
Dealing in a Look-a-Like Substance (Felony)	0	0	0	0	2	2
Dealing in a Narcotic Drug (Felony)	0	28	3	0	14	29
Dealing in a Sawed-off Shotgun (Felony)	0	3	0	0	0	0
Dealing in a Schedule I Controlled Substance (Felony)	0	4	0	0	4	0
Dealing in a Schedule II Controlled Substance (Felony)	0	0	0	0	1	0
Dealing in a Schedule III Controlled Substance (Felony)	0	0	0	0	1	0
Dealing in a Schedule IV Controlled Substance (Felony)	0	0	1	1	1	3
Dealing in a Schedule IV Controlled Substance (Misdemeanor)	0	2	0	0	0	2
Dealing in a Synthetic Drug or Synthetic Drug Lookalike Substance (Felony)	0	0	0	0	1	0

	CASP Level 1 (Work Release)	CASP Levels 2-5 (Electronic Monitoring)	CASP Levels 6, 8, 9 (Curfew/Exclusion Monitoring)	CASP Level 7 (Alcohol Detection)	CASP Level 10 (Day Reporting)	CASP Levels 11-12 (Pretrial Supervision)
Dealing in a Synthetic Drug or Synthetic Drug Lookalike Substance (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	1	0
Dealing in Cocaine (Felony)	0	3	0	3	5	9
Dealing in Marijuana (Felony)	0	1	0	1	2	16
Dealing in Marijuana (Misdemeanor)	0	1	0	0	1	5
Dealing in Methamphetamine (Felony)	2	26	2	0	32	47
Disorderly Conduct (Misdemeanor)	0	0	1	3	30	25
Dissemination of Matter Harmful to Minors (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Domestic Battery (Felony)	0	21	8	5	34	75
Domestic Battery (Misdemeanor)	0	20	9	8	45	97
Domestic Battery by Bodily Waste (Misdemeanor)	0	0	1	0	0	1
Domestic Battery by Means of a Deadly Weapon (Felony)	0	1	1	1	0	2
Domestic Battery on a Person Less than 14 Years Old (Felony)	0	1	0	0	0	2
Domestic Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury to a Pregnant Woman (Felony)	0	4	2	0	4	5
Domestic Battery Resulting in Moderate Bodily Injury (Felony)	0	1	5	1	4	10
Domestic Battery Resulting in Serious Bodily Injury (Felony)	0	0	1	1	0	2
Driving while Suspended (Misdemeanor)	0	4	0	2	16	40
Escape (Felony)	0	7	0	0	5	1
Failure to Make, Keep, or Furnish Records (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Failure to Register as a Sex or Violent Offender (Felony)	0	0	0	0	1	4
Failure to Remain at the Scene of an Accident (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Failure to Stop after Accident Resulting in Damage to Unattended Vehicle (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Failure to Return to Lawful Detention (Felony)	0	1	0	0	0	0
False Driver's License or Permit (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	0	1
False Government Identification (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	1	0
False Identity Statement (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	3	8
False Informing (Misdemeanor)	0	3	1	0	15	20
Felon Carrying a Handgun (Felony)	0	0	0	0	2	1
Forgery (Felony)	0	6	0	0	26	31
Fraud (Felony)	0	10	0	0	14	16
Fraud on a Financial Institution (Felony)	0	2	0	1	4	21
Furnishing Alcohol to a Minor (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Furnishing False or Fraudulent Information (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1

	CASP Level 1 (Work Release)	CASP Levels 2-5 (Electronic Monitoring)	CASP Levels 6, 8, 9 (Curfew/Exclusion Monitoring)	CASP Level 7 (Alcohol Detection)	CASP Level 10 (Day Reporting)	CASP Levels 11-12 (Pretrial Supervision)
Habitual Offender	0	1	0	0	2	4
Harassment (Misdemeanor)	0	1	1	0	1	4
Home Improvement Fraud (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Identity Deception (Felony)	0	3	0	1	5	9
Illegal Consumption of an Alcoholic Beverage (Misdemeanor)	0	1	0	0	5	8
Impersonation of a Public Servant (Felony)	0	0	0	0	2	2
Inhaling Toxic Vapors (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	0	2
Indecent Exposure (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	1	0
Inhaling Toxic Vapors (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	1	0
Institutional Criminal Mischief (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Interference with the Reporting of a Crime (Misdemeanor)	0	0	3	0	3	16
Intimidation (Felony)	0	12	7	1	28	59
Intimidation (Misdemeanor)	0	1	1	0	0	8
Invasion of Privacy (Felony)	0	5	0	0	4	4
Invasion of Privacy (Misdemeanor)	0	25	15	1	46	59
Kidnapping (Felony)	0	1	1	0	1	2
Leaving the Scene of an Accident (Felony)	0	0	0	1	2	3
Leaving the Scene of an Accident (Misdemeanor)	0	4	0	1	19	28
Lifetime Parole Violation (Felony)	0	0	0	0	1	1
Maintaining a Common Nuisance (Felony)	1	1	0	3	9	6
Maintaining a Common Nuisance – Alcohol (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Maintaining a Common Nuisance – Controlled Substances (Felony)	0	0	0	0	6	13
Manufacturing Methamphetamine (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Neglect of a Dependent (Felony)	0	4	1	1	11	16
Neglect of a Dependent Resulting in Bodily Injury (Felony)	0	0	0	0	1	0
Neglect of a Dependent Resulting in Serious Bodily Injury (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Nonsupport of a Dependent Child (Felony)	0	0	0	0	5	2
Obstructing Traffic (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	1	1
Obstruction of Justice (Felony)	0	2	0	0	3	8
Obtaining a Controlled Substance by Fraud or Deceit (Felony)	0	2	0	0	0	1
Official Misconduct (Felony)	0	1	0	0	0	0
Operating a Motor Vehicle after Forfeiture of License for Life (Felony)	0	4	0	1	5	8
Operating a Motor Vehicle without ever Receiving a License (Misdemeanor)	0	1	4	2	16	26

	CASP Level 1 (Work Release)	CASP Levels 2-5 (Electronic Monitoring)	CASP Levels 6, 8, 9 (Curfew/Exclusion Monitoring)	CASP Level 7 (Alcohol Detection)	CASP Level 10 (Day Reporting)	CASP Levels 11-12 (Pretrial Supervision)
Operating a Motor Vehicle without Financial Responsibility (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Operating a Motorboat with a Schedule I or II Controlled Substance or its Metabolite in the Body (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Operating a Vehicle as a Habitual Traffic Violator (Felony)	0	6	0	2	10	13
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated (Felony)	0	9	0	10	21	37
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated (Misdemeanor)	0	1	0	1	5	9
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person (Felony)	0	9	0	9	14	11
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person (Misdemeanor)	0	18	1	15	59	63
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person with a Passenger Less than 18 Years of Age (Felony)	0	3	0	1	8	13
Operating a Vehicle with a Schedule I or II Controlled Substance or its Metabolite in the Body (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Operating a Vehicle with a Schedule I or II Controlled Substance or its Metabolite in the Body (Misdemeanor)	0	1	0	0	1	4
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .08 or More (Felony)	0	2	0	1	7	9
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .08 or More (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	2	7	9
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .15 or More (Felony)	0	2	0	5	8	17
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .15 or More (Misdemeanor)	0	3	0	4	9	9
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .15 or More with a Passenger Less than 18 Year of Age (Felony)	0	2	0	0	1	3
Panhandling (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	3	1
Pharmacy Robbery (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Pointing a Firearm (Felony)	0	0	0	0	2	5
Pointing a Firearm (Misdemeanor)	0	1	0	0	3	1
Possessing a Look-a-Like Substance (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	0	2
Possession of a Controlled Substance (Felony)	0	0	0	0	1	3
Possession of a Controlled Substance (Misdemeanor)	0	4	3	1	28	44
Possession of a Narcotic Drug (Felony)	0	10	1	0	45	60
Possession of a Synthetic Drug or Synthetic Drug Lookalike (Felony)	0	0	0	0	2	1
Possession of a Synthetic Drug or Synthetic Drug Lookalike (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	3	6
Possession of Altered Handgun (Felony)	0	2	0	0	1	1
Possession of Chemical Reagents or Precursors with Intent to Manufacture a Controlled Substance (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Possession of Child Pornography (Felony)	0	0	0	0	2	20
Possession of Cocaine (Felony)	0	1	0	0	6	12

	CASP Level 1 (Work Release)	CASP Levels 2-5 (Electronic Monitoring)	CASP Levels 6, 8, 9 (Curfew/Exclusion Monitoring)	CASP Level 7 (Alcohol Detection)	CASP Level 10 (Day Reporting)	CASP Levels 11-12 (Pretrial Supervision)
Possession of Cocaine or Narcotic Drug (Felony)	0	2	0	0	0	0
Possession of Marijuana (Felony)	0	0	0	0	1	1
Possession of Marijuana (Misdemeanor)	0	7	3	0	45	100
Possession of Methamphetamine (Felony)	2	29	7	3	145	231
Possession of Paraphernalia (Misdemeanor)	0	3	2	1	44	120
Public Indecency (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	0	3
Public Intoxication (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	8	61	44
Public Intoxication – Common Carrier (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	1	2	1
Rape (Felony)	0	1	2	1	0	7
Receiving Stolen Auto Parts (Felony)	0	0	0	0	1	0
Reckless Driving (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	1	2	7
Reckless Homicide (Felony)	0	0	0	0	1	0
Refusal to Identify Self (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	1	2
Residential Entry (Felony)	0	8	2	2	25	34
Resisting Law Enforcement (Felony)	0	11	2	3	26	49
Resisting Law Enforcement (Misdemeanor)	0	17	3	8	73	104
Robbery (Felony)	0	13	1	0	7	6
Robbery Resulting in Bodily Injury (Felony)	0	6	0	0	2	6
Sexual Battery (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	8
Sexual Misconduct (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	2
Sexual Misconduct with a Minor (Felony)	0	1	0	0	1	3
Stalking (Felony)	0	2	2	0	2	3
Strangulation (Felony)	0	11	9	1	22	52
Synthetic Identity Deception (Felony)	0	0	0	0	1	1
Theft (Felony)	0	39	6	0	134	136
Theft (Misdemeanor)	0	17	0	2	91	92
Theft of a Firearm (Felony)	0	2	0	0	0	8
Trafficking with an Inmate Outside a Facility (Felony)	0	0	0	0	1	0
Unauthorized Entry of a Motor Vehicle (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	8	12
Unlawful Possession of a Firearm by a Domestic Batterer (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Unlawful Possession of a Firearm by a Serious Violent Felon (Felony)	0	12	0	0	3	8
Unlawful Possession of a Syringe (Felony)	0	4	2	0	45	79
Unlawful Possession or Use of a Legend Drug (Felony)	0	9	2	0	21	40

	CASP Level 1 (Work Release)	CASP Levels 2-5 (Electronic Monitoring)	CASP Levels 6, 8, 9 (Curfew/Exclusion Monitoring)	CASP Level 7 (Alcohol Detection)	CASP Level 10 (Day Reporting)	CASP Levels 11-12 (Pretrial Supervision)
Use of a Stun Gun in the Commission of a Crime (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Vicarious Sexual Gratification (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	3
Visiting a Common Nuisance – Controlled Substances (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	2	1
Voyeurism (Felony)	0	3	0	0	0	3
Welfare Fraud (Felony)	0	1	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	5	596	137	142	1,712	2,882

JUVENILE HOME DETENTION, COMMUNITY TRANSITION PROGRAM, AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

	Juvenile Home Detention	Community Transition Program	Community Service
Alteration of Handgun Identifying Marks (Felony)	0	0	1
Armed Robbery (Felony)	0	0	2
Assisting a Criminal (Felony)	0	0	1
Auto Theft (Felony)	0	0	1
Battery (Misdemeanor)	1	0	1
Battery Against a Person Less than 14 Years Old (Felony)	0	0	1
Battery Against a Public Safety Official (Felony)	1	0	1
Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury (Misdemeanor)	1	0	10
Battery Resulting in Moderate Bodily Injury (Felony)	1	0	0
Burglary (Felony)	0	3	6
Carrying a Handgun without a License (Misdemeanor)	0	0	5
Check Deception (Felony)	0	0	1
Contributing to the Delinquency of a Minor (Felony)	0	0	1
Conversion (Misdemeanor)	6	0	4
Criminal Confinement (Felony)	2	0	0
Criminal Mischief (Misdemeanor)	1	0	4
Criminal Recklessness (Felony)	0	0	1
Criminal Recklessness (Misdemeanor)	0	0	2
Criminal Trespass (Misdemeanor)	2	0	4
Cruelty to an Animal (Misdemeanor)	0	0	1
Dealing in a Narcotic Drug (Felony)	0	0	3
Dealing in a Schedule I Controlled Substance (Felony)	0	0	4
Dealing in a Schedule IV Controlled Substance (Felony)	0	0	3
Dealing in Cocaine (Felony)	0	0	2
Dealing in Marijuana (Felony)	0	0	2
Dealing in Marijuana (Misdemeanor)	0	0	3
Dealing in Methamphetamine (Felony)	0	1	5
Disorderly Conduct (Misdemeanor)	9	0	9
Domestic Battery (Felony)	0	0	2
Domestic Battery (Misdemeanor)	0	0	2
Driving while Suspended (Misdemeanor)	0	0	4
Escape (Felony)	2	0	0

	Juvenile Home Detention	Community Transition Program	Community Service
Failure to Remain at the Scene of an Accident (Misdemeanor)	0	0	1
False Identity Statement (Misdemeanor)	1	0	0
False Informing (Misdemeanor)	0	0	1
Forgery (Felony)	0	0	5
Fraud (Felony)	0	0	6
Fraud on a Financial Institution (Felony)	0	0	1
Habitual Disobedience of Parent, Guardian, or Custodian (Status)	1	0	0
Harassment (Misdemeanor)	0	0	1
Identity Deception (Felony)	0	0	2
Illegal Consumption of an Alcoholic Beverage (Misdemeanor)	1	0	3
Intimidation (Felony)	0	0	1
Intimidation (Misdemeanor)	1	0	0
Leaving Home without Permission of Parent, Guardian, or Custodian (Status)	3	0	1
Leaving the Scene of an Accident (Misdemeanor)	0	0	9
Leaving the Scene of an Accident with Bodily Injury (Misdemeanor)	0	0	1
Maintaining a Common Nuisance (Felony)	0	0	2
Maintaining a Common Nuisance – Alcohol (Felony)	1	0	0
Maintaining a Common Nuisance – Controlled Substances (Felony)	0	0	3
Neglect of a Dependent (Felony)	0	0	2
Nonsupport of a Dependent Child (Felony)	0	0	1
Obstruction of Justice (Felony)	0	1	1
Operating a Motor Vehicle after Forfeiture of License for Life (Felony)	0	0	1
Operating a Motor Vehicle without ever Receiving a License (Misdemeanor)	0	0	1
Operating a Motorboat with an ACE of .08 or More (Misdemeanor)	0	0	1
Operating a Vehicle as a Habitual Traffic Violator (Felony)	0	0	4
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated (Felony)	0	0	19
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated (Misdemeanor)	0	0	30
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person (Felony)	0	0	14
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person (Misdemeanor)	0	0	141
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person with a Passenger Less than 18 Years of Age (Felony)	0	0	2
Operating a Vehicle with a Schedule I or II Controlled Substance or its Metabolite in the Body (Felony)	0	0	1
Operating a Vehicle with a Schedule I or II Controlled Substance or its Metabolite in the Body (Misdemeanor)	0	0	9
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .08 or More (Felony)	0	0	3
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .08 or More (Misdemeanor)	0	0	46

	Juvenile Home Detention	Community Transition Program	Community Service
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .15 or More (Felony)	0	0	1
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .15 or More (Misdemeanor)	0	0	49
Possession of a Controlled Substance (Felony)	0	0	1
Possession of a Controlled Substance (Misdemeanor)	0	0	15
Possession of a Narcotic Drug (Felony)	0	0	7
Possession of a Synthetic Drug or Synthetic Drug Lookalike Substance (Felony)	0	0	1
Possession of Altered Handgun (Felony)	0	0	1
Possession of Child Pornography (Felony)	0	0	1
Possession of Cocaine (Felony)	0	0	1
Possession of Cocaine or Narcotic Drug (Felony)	0	2	2
Possession of Marijuana (Misdemeanor)	3	0	16
Possession of Methamphetamine (Felony)	0	0	35
Possession of Paraphernalia (Misdemeanor)	0	0	8
Public Intoxication (Misdemeanor)	0	0	9
Rape (Felony)	3	0	0
Reckless Driving (Misdemeanor)	0	0	48
Residential Entry (Felony)	0	0	2
Resisting Law Enforcement (Felony)	0	0	8
Resisting Law Enforcement (Misdemeanor)	3	0	9
Robbery (Felony)	0	0	3
Robbery Resulting in Bodily Injury (Felony)	0	1	3
Sexual Battery (Felony)	2	0	0
Theft (Felony)	2	1	41
Theft (Misdemeanor)	2	0	20
Trafficking with an Inmate (Felony)	0	0	1
Truancy (Status)	1	0	0
Unlawful Possession of a Syringe (Felony)	0	0	4
Unlawful Possession or Use of a Legend Drug (Felony)	0	0	2
Visiting a Common Nuisance (Misdemeanor)	0	0	1
Visiting a Common Nuisance – Controlled Substances (Misdemeanor)	1	0	1
Welfare Fraud (Felony)	0	0	2
TOTAL	51	9	691

PROBLEM SOLVING COURT OFFENSES

	Drug Treatment Court Supervisions	Reentry Court Supervisions	Mental Health Court Supervisions	Veterans Court Supervisions
Armed Robbery (Felony)	0	1	0	0
Auto Theft (Felony)	3	0	1	0
Battery Against a Public Safety Official (Felony)	1	0	0	0
Battery by Means of a Deadly Weapon (Felony)	0	0	1	1
Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury (Misdemeanor)	2	0	2	0
Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury to a Public Safety Officer (Felony)	1	0	0	0
Burglary (Felony)	1	2	0	0
Carrying a Handgun without a License (Felony)	0	1	0	0
Carrying a Handgun without a License (Misdemeanor)	0	1	1	1
Criminal Mischief (Misdemeanor)	0	0	1	0
Dealing in a Schedule I Controlled Substance (Felony)	0	1	0	0
Dealing in Cocaine or Narcotic (Felony)	0	1	0	0
Dealing in Methamphetamine (Felony)	0	2	0	0
Failure to Remain at the Scene of an Accident (Misdemeanor)	0	0	1	0
Felon Carrying a Handgun (Felony)	1	0	0	0
Forgery (Felony)	2	1	2	0
Fraud (Felony)	1	0	0	0
Fraud on a Financial Institution (Felony)	1	0	1	0
Identity Deception (Felony)	1	0	0	0
Intimidation (Felony)	0	1	0	0
Leaving the Scene of an Accident (Misdemeanor)	1	0	0	0
Neglect of a Dependent (Felony)	0	1	0	0
Operating a Vehicle as a Habitual Traffic Violator (Felony)	0	1	0	0
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated (Felony)	7	3	2	2
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person (Felony)	5	1	0	0
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person (Misdemeanor)	6	0	0	1
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .08 or More (Felony)	2	0	0	0
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .08 or More (Misdemeanor)	1	0	0	0
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .15 or More (Felony)	2	0	0	0
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .15 or More (Misdemeanor)	1	0	0	0
Pharmacy Robbery (Felony)	1	0	0	0
Possession of a Narcotic Drug (Felony)	0	2	0	0
Possession of Cocaine or Narcotic Drug (Felony)	0	2	0	0

	Drug Treatment Court Supervisions	Reentry Court Supervisions	Mental Health Court Supervisions	Veterans Court Supervisions
Possession of Methamphetamine (Felony)	7	6	0	1
Public Intoxication (Misdemeanor)	1	0	0	0
Residential Entry (Felony)	0	0	1	0
Resisting Law Enforcement (Felony)	1	1	0	0
Robbery (Felony)	0	3	1	0
Robbery Resulting in Bodily Injury (Felony)	0	1	0	0
Theft (Felony)	7	10	1	1
Theft (Misdemeanor)	3	2	1	0
Trafficking with an Inmate (Felony)	1	0	0	0
Unlawful Possession of a Syringe (Felony)	1	0	0	0
Unlawful Possession or Use of a Legend Drug (Felony)	2	0	0	0
TOTAL	63	44	16	7

**YOUTH
SERVICES
BUREAU**

YOUTH

■ SERVICES BUREAU ■
of Monroe County

2019 Annual Report



615 South Adams Street, Bloomington, Indiana, 47403

Phone (812) 349-2506

Fax (812) 349-2892

Find us on Facebook!

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION

Mission & History.....	176
Accreditations.....	176
Our Services.....	177
Organizational Structure.....	178
Staff.....	179

YSB AGENCY REPORTS

Executive Director Report.....	180
Financial & Personnel Coordinator.....	182
Program Coordinator Report.....	184
Binkley House Shelter Program.....	186
Binkley House Shelter Report.....	187
YSB Service Inquiries.....	189
YSB Services Provided & Placement types.....	190
Safe Place Coordinator Report.....	195
Clinical Coordinator Report.....	197
Prevention Coordinator Report.....	199
Safe Place Events & Locations Appendix A.....	202

RECOGNITION

Community Collaborations Appendix B.....	209
--	-----

OUR VOICE

Youth Served.....	211
Parent/Guardian Opinion.....	212

Introduction

Mission Statement:

The mission of Youth Services Bureau is to support youth and families through advocacy, education, collaboration, and fostering community connections.

Vision Statement:

Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County envisions a thriving community, rooted in compassion, rich in opportunity, where youth and families are empowered and resilient.

Agency Values:

Integrity, Advocacy & Awareness, Service, Importance of Human Relationships, Honoring Dignity of Person, Investment

History:

Since 1972, Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County has provided services in an effort to strengthen families, divert youth from the juvenile justice system, and to foster positive youth development. Family support and structure are necessary for the development of our community's youth. YSB offers services that foster positive family functioning and help lay the groundwork to build healthy, productive individuals.

Accreditation & Memberships:



YSB is an accredited Indiana Youth Services Association member. We fulfill the 4 core roles of delinquency prevention, advocacy, community education and information & referral¹ with our programs.




We are also an Indiana Association of Resources and Child Advocacy member. It is an association of concerned agencies who not only care for children and families, but also care about them.²


¹ <http://www.indysb.org/parents-youth/programs>, "four core roles"

² <https://www.iarca.org/index.php/about-us>

Our Services



Our Organizational Structure



2019 Youth Services Bureau Staff

Executive Director

Victoria Thevenow, M.Ed.

Deputy Director

Louis Malone IV

Finance and Personnel

Sarah Borden, Financial and Personnel Coordinator*

Shelle Fletcher, Financial and Personnel Coordinator

Jill Thompson, Office Manager

Prevention:

Stephanie Solomon, Prevention Coordinator

Clinical Team:

Ethan Smith, MFT, CSAYC – Clinical Coordinator

Louise Magiera, LSW- Clinician

Stacy Meadows, CSW,CYC-A– Clinician

Lauren Baney, Case Manager

Ashley Barrett, BSW Intern

Programming:

Vanessa Schmidt, Program Coordinator

Project Safe Place Program

Brigitt Nasby, Safe Place/YSB Shelter Outreach Coordinator

Binkley House Manager

Jen Vaught, Binkley House Manager

Binkley House Staff

Emily Arthur

Doris Bailey

Ashley Barrett

Collin Bates

Soni Blackburn*

Alex Burt

Destiny Bush*

Matt Cababie

Tykia Cantrell*

Derrick Clark

Carmen Diaz

Maria Elias

Rachel Estivill

Lucy Farmer*

Alison Garrett

Tanya Garnica-Sierra

Janet Hargrave

Hannah Kenoyer*

Tara Kirkpatrick

Terry Knoy

Alexxis Lara

Patrick Littlejohn*

Angela Reece

Diana Robertson

Renee Romanowski*

Leslie Sanchez*

Michael Shanks

Mercedes Sims

Katrina St. Henry

Erin Smith*

Jen Vaught

Riley Whisenhunt

The Executive Director's Report

The Youth Services Bureau (YSB) of Monroe County continued to provide quality services to over 183 youth placements in 2019, while undergoing a significant facility expansion and renovation. During much of the year, the building and grounds appeared to be closed and under construction from the public view, although there was no disruption of service during the entire renovation. The number of inquiries (referrals for service) was down 9% from 2018, we believe, due to the appearance of the facility, yet we still received 507 referrals, which is an average of 42 calls per month. The average length of stay for youth was over 11 days, up from 2018. YSB staff identified and reported 30 suspected cases of physical abuse, sexual abuse and/or neglect to the Indiana Department of Child Services.

A plan to address space related issues was developed in conjunction with RQAW Consulting Engineers & Architects, and resulted in a Feasibility Study being presented to the Monroe County Commissioners in July 2017. In 2018, the Commissioners approved the plan, the County Council appropriated the funding, and contracts were signed with Building Associates, Inc. to begin demolition of the front house and construction on the addition and renovation. This renovation was funded without having to appropriate any additional tax dollars. The result of these efforts includes a multipurpose gymnasium which allows both physical activity for youth, and can serve as a meeting room housing up to 150 community members. A classroom that can seat 75 people, and can be divided into two separate rooms for resident educational programming and community education group meetings will allow YSB to serve more people and expand programming. Both an adolescent substance abuse program, and a group for sexually maladaptive youth were developed by staff in 2019, and will be implemented in 2020. Another feature of the new facility is additional visitation rooms for parents, families, DCS caseworkers, and Probation Officers to meet with residents in a private area. YSB had only one visitation room previously. A pavilion (shelter house), de-escalation walking path, and resurfaced outdoor basketball court, along with expanded parking has improved the appearance and utilization of the grounds. The Binkley House Emergency Shelter living space was renovated to include new paint, carpet, bathroom tile, art and craft area, cabinets for storage, and soundproofing. All these facility improvements will allow YSB to increase services in prevention, youth programming, community education & training, and expand partnerships with other youth serving agencies while continuing to provide quality emergency shelter care services.

The fourth annual Monroe County Childhood Conditions (MC3) Summit was hosted by YSB in November 2019 with over 219 attendees representing 77 different agencies; the fifth

annual MC3 Summit is scheduled for October 2020. The dedicated staff at YSB continues to support all aspects of youth related services in Monroe County, and welcomes ideas for continued prevention programming and community services.

Viki Thevenow, Executive Director

Finance & Personnel Report

2019 was a year of reduced transition, and progress creating stability in staffing. We were able to lower our turnover percentage to 31.3%, a significant drop from our 2018 percentage of 57.1%. The most turnover occurred in direct care positions, while the administrative staff continued to stabilize. We welcomed a new Clinical Coordinator and Financial & Personnel Coordinator, along with several new faces in the shelter.

We maintained a strong relationship with DCS, and secured a per diem rate of \$421.17 per child per day, this is \$67.81 more than our 2018 rate. We are in the midst of a three-year term of the federal Runaway and Homeless Youth Grant, solidifying our status as a Basic Center Program.

We were able to secure funding to begin the process of renovation and improvement to our facility, which will provide us with an indoor recreational area for shelter residents, expanded training and education space, a formal board room, and additional administrative offices. We look forward to the challenges and opportunities 2020 will bring.

2019 YSB Funding Sources

Funding Name	Source	Amount	% of total
Local Income Tax (LIT)- Special Purpose	Monroe County	\$1,596,448	71.3%
Department of Child Services Contract for Emergency Shelter Care (Per Diems)q	Indiana Department of Child Services (state reimbursement)	\$414,044	18.4%
Federal RHY Grant	Federal Reimbursement	\$164,956	7.3%
State DCS 1503 Youth Services Bureau Grant	Grant – State Reimbursement	49,170	2.2%
State DCS 1504 Safe Place Grant	Grant – State Reimbursement	\$7,450	0.3%
Prevention Funds	Former Asset Building Coalition Funds	\$4,380	0.2%
YSB Donation Fund	Private Donations	\$2,308	0.1%
TOTAL: \$2,238,756			
In-Kind Contributions			
Donated Items	Toiletries, shelter supplies, clothing	\$727.25	
BSW Intern (valued at \$17.41/hour)	508 hours	\$8,844.28	
TOTAL: \$9,571.53			

Local Income Tax Special Purpose (LIT)

- The LIT Special Purpose is YSB's main funding source, funding the majority of operating and personnel costs for the organization.

DCS Per Diems

- DCS per diems are a state reimbursement for all court-ordered and DCS placed children. In 2019, YSB received \$421.17 per child per day. This source funds capital expenses, such as major building repairs, furniture, and technology purchases.

Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY) Grant

- The Runaway and Homeless Youth Grant is through the Federal Department of Health and Human Services, and fully funds the Safe Place/YSB Shelter Outreach Coordinator and one of the two counselors, along with a small stipend for training and programmatic expenses.

1503 Youth Services Bureau Grant

- The 1503 YSB Grant is administered through the Indiana Youth Services Association, and funds approximately half of the fulltime Case Manager and a supplement to hourly shelter staff, with a small stipend for training and travel.

1504 Safe Place Grant

- The 1504 Safe Place grant is administered through the state Department of Child Services, and funds operational and outreach costs for the Safe Place Program.

Prevention Funds

- This funding is associated with the absorption of the four subcommittees formerly associated with the Asset Building Coalition: the Monroe County Youth Council, Building a Thriving Compassionate Community, the Bloomington Afterschool Network, and the Prevention General Fund.

YSB Donation Fund

- The donation fund is where all private donations made to YSB are deposited.

Shelle Fletcher, Financial & Personnel Coordinator

Program Coordinator Report

The Binkley House Emergency shelter program provides daily structure, service learning, physical recreation, and competency and skill building. Daytime programming is structured to provide residents with experiences that enhance and build social competencies and focus on their existing strengths. Programming is centered on supporting the current evidence based practice used at the Youth Services Bureau, the ARC Framework (attachment, regulation and competency). ARC focuses on normative childhood development, traumatic stress, attachment, and risk and resilience.

During 2019, the agency and staff worked diligently to ensure the on-going construction and expansion of the physical space did not deter any programming for residents. External outings, such as lunch at local businesses, trips to the movie theater, Exotic Feline Rescue Center, parks etc. helped to limit any disruptions to programming experience and opportunities for residents. Additional staff were present on many days to help adjust with the needs and to ensure adequate supervision.

Regular tours for residents at the Monroe County Public Library and Ivy Tech Community College focus on educational activities and future secondary education and career options. Several times per week, residents attend sessions at PALS (People and Animal Learning Services) where they are introduced to services and taught soft skills through equine therapy. The Binkley House Emergency Shelter continues to partners weekly with the Hoosier Hills Food Bank to expose residents to community service opportunities. Mother Hubbard's Cupboard also provides weekly service learning opportunities at their location and also partners with residents to maintain the YSB garden. Residents enjoy weekly visits from Jordy, a therapy dog through Child Appointed Special Advocates (CASA), whom helps to support emotional regulation.

Daily physical recreation is an integral part of the daily programming at the Binkley House emergency shelter. Residents begin the daytime programming schedule by attending the local YMCA, Warehouse, local parks or internal physical activities, such as active games and the use of the new YSB gymnasium. Residents often participate in physical activity outside of scheduled times, and are offered and encouraged to remain active over weekend breaks.

Binkley House residents that are present during daytime programming also participate in educational programming three scheduled times a week. This programming is led by the YSB Master's level Case Manager. During this time, residents work on assigned homework from their local school systems or are provided with age and level appropriate curriculum. Some educational periods also include experiential learning, such as science related activities. Guidance and assistance for assignments is provided by both direct-care staff and the Case Manager.

The after-school psycho-educational component, "Focus", for all residents covers topics such as nutrition, self-esteem building, healthy relationships, anger management and conflict resolution. Through internal and external presenters, these topics are presented in a variety of ways including music therapy, photography, assertiveness trainings, Safe Place program activities, consent and sexual health trainings, art projects, etc. Some residents will also assist in leading the activity with the facilitator.

The behavior modification program used by the Binkley House Emergency shelter program promotes positive behavior choices and provides residents with clear expectations. The program provides enhanced incentives and works to increase the likelihood that youth will take an active role in decision making during their time as a resident. The shelter program continues to follow best practices and regularly evaluates how to best serve the youth temporarily in our care.

For direct-care staff, the agency worked to establish streamlined processes for staff and continues to advance the training and orientation for incoming and existing staff. The program continues to be a recognized model for emergency shelter care under the standards of the Department of Child Services, Indiana Youth Services Association and federal Runaway and Homeless Youth agency.

The Youth Services Bureau also hosts and operates the local Safe Place program. This crisis intervention program opens the doors and expands the access to the Binkley House emergency shelter. The Safe Place program focused on innovative ways to expand outreach in the most rural counties served under the local program, Owen and Greene counties. In addition, the local program continues efforts in Monroe County to increase the education and awareness surrounding the dangers of running away and the safe options that are available. The Safe Place program maintains a physical presence at schools, community events, and pro-social activities and has integrated technology as a means to reach additional youth. The program continues to meet and exceed the standards set forth by the Indiana Youth Services Association (IYSA), National Safe Place Network and federal Runaway and Homeless Youth agency.

Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County has also been a consistent contributor and participant in the Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI). The objectives of the JDAI workgroups are to collectively safely reduce the number of youth ordered to juvenile detention facilities. In 2019, the Program Coordinator was actively involved in two of the primary workgroups; Purpose of Detention and Alternatives to Detention. The Deputy Director is involved in the Data and Steering committees for JDAI. The Program Coordinator is also participating in the Conditions of Confinement Workgroup, aimed to evaluate the standards of juvenile detention centers used by Monroe County.

Looking forward into 2020, programming under the Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County will continually work to advance procedures that are best practice and advocate for the youth population we serve. Program development for the Youth Services Bureau was a key focus in 2019. The Binkley House program will continue to expand the array of opportunities and experiences offered to residents and help to foster connections made after placement transition of youth. Safe Place program efforts will largely focus on rural outreach and solidifying program presence in Owen and Greene Counties. The agency continues to research programming options that meet the needs of the community in effort to expand what services we offer.

Vanessa Schmidt, Program Coordinator

Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter Program Description

Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter is the largest division of YSB. It provides short-term emergency residential care and crisis intervention for youth ages 8-17. The shelter offers emergency shelter for runaways, homeless youth, and youth in crisis or abusive situations at home. Binkley House is a licensed Emergency Shelter Care Facility and follows the guidelines set forth by the Indiana Department of Child Services. Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter remains the only shelter program for youth in the region of Monroe and surrounding counties.

Binkley House is accessible for youth in need 24 hours a day. Our building's outer doors are locked to ensure the safety of staff and residents. However, our internal doors are never locked. We do not utilize locked rooms, or seclusions and restraints on our shelter residents. The shelter program, known for its "Five Finger Agreement" (Safety, Responsibility, Respect, Following Directions, and Effort) relies on an incentive-based, trauma informed approach to support and encourage positive behavior choices while ensuring safety for all. Our staff are able to enforce the behavior modification program by rewarding positive behavior and helping residents find ways to work on areas of behavior that may need to improvement without the fear of punitive restrictions.

Binkley House provides services such as counseling, educational support time, supervised recreation, transportation to and from school and appointments, as well as referrals to a variety of agencies for related services. YSB also assists youth in transitional services during their stay at the Binkley House Youth Shelter. These include independent living skills, transition to long-term residential care, transition from long-term residential care back home, and short-term aftercare counseling. The youth shelter program also offers services to youth in the foster-care system in an effort to reduce multiple foster-care placements.

Referrals to the youth shelter program can occur in a number of ways including from social service agencies, parents, or directly from the youth themselves. The Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County never charges a fee for the services provided for youth accessing Safe Place or parental (voluntary by youth agreement) admissions.

Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter Report

The Binkley House Manager has focused on a variety of objectives to ensure that the agency can provide the most appropriate services to the youth residing in the emergency shelter. These goals center on the quality of daily shelter operations, strengthening lines of communication within the agency, assuring high work standards are met, and improved employee training and retention rates. Effectively addressing these objectives ensures the needs of the youth are met.

The agency has worked to streamline the hiring and training process, focusing on consistency, thoroughness, and ensuring that employees feel competent and adequately trained. New trainings for direct care have been added, including an Implicit Bias training and a training focused on the ARC framework (attachment, regulation, and competency) which will be our new evidence based practice to work from. In addition to required trainings, employees are encouraged to attend outside trainings to build on existing skills and to relay their experiences and knowledge with the agency. As shift supervisors, the full-time Residential Coordinators participated in an additional leadership and management training. The agency also held a full day staff retreat to strengthen working relationships and engage in dialogue centered on learning and development. We have focused on existing methods of receiving feedback from the residents we serve and the direct care staff. We organized one-on-one meetings with direct care staff and the leadership team in an effort to hear input and concerns directly from our youth workers. In addition to supervision meetings for direct care, we organized more meetings in 2019 for full-time and part-time direct care staff to come together, learn, and problem solve as a group. The completion rates and analysis of resident and guardian surveys increased to contribute to improved work methods in the Binkley House.

The Binkley House emergency shelter program aims to ensure that youth feel safe, have a routine and are exposed to a variety of opportunities and resources from intake to placement transition. Youth are engaged throughout the day, beginning with breakfast and assigned chores. Many youth residing in the emergency shelter will depart to go to their local school, while others begin physical activity at local recreation centers. Daytime programming provides a structured day that rotates weekly and monthly outings, service learning and new opportunities and activities to engage residents. These community outings include Mother Hubbard's Cupboard, Hoosier Hills Food Bank, Ivy Tech, Monroe County Public Library, PALS, (equine therapy) and local museums. In addition to the scheduled outings during the day, youth and staff work together to prepare and serve meals and snacks, spend allocated time for independent living skills, and to work on any educational assignments or resumes.

There is also built in free time for youth to transition from one activity to the next throughout the day. The end of daytime programming consists of the daily psycho-educational component labeled "Focus" for all residents. This built-in daily block of time is filled with presenters and activities on a variety of topics, such as Building Healthy Relationships presented by Middle Way House staff, arts and crafts focused on self-esteem

building, meal planning and cooking. Several residents were able to contribute to the development of Focus activities such as cooking family meals and leading art activities. We have also had Focus partnership with PALS, that the residents particularly enjoyed, and were eager to share about the experiences they had with the horses. We also had some great presenters for “Focus” group, such as a music therapist, the CASA dog Jordy and his handler, and IU health educators.

In addition to the structured daytime programming and daily psycho-educational component, the residents enjoy off-site group recreational outings. Some of the recreational outings in 2019 were the Terre Haute Feline Rescue Center, the Monroe County Animal Shelter, bowling at the Indiana Memorial Union, Indiana University Cook Hall, the WonderLab and numerous local parks. Residents also earn the opportunity for “token outings,” which are attained by progressing through the level system and earning privileges. The residents may choose their outings, which can vary from trip to a movie theater or a meal off-site at a local restaurant. The shelter “store” also offers the opportunity for youth to shop with their tokens for fun tangible items, such as a new wallet, watch, cologne or jewelry.

While striving to provide a trauma-informed environment, we made use of donations from the public in addition to normal resident purchases this year, and we were able to provide the residents with extra items to take home with them. We provided winter weather clothing, toys, toiletry kits, duffle bags, blankets, holiday gifts, book bags, and school supplies to many of our residents. Our staff worked enthusiastically to provide impressive holiday meals, decorations, and gifts to help residents feel more at home during a difficult time in the year. We also improved our rewards-based behavior modification program, and focused on adding additional incentives to promote positive outcomes for residents.

The Binkley House Shelter strives to provide a trauma-informed environment where youth can feel safe with staff whom model and encourage positive behavior choices. In 2020, the program will continue to refine practices to best meet the needs of the populations we serve. The Binkley House Shelter program will continue to increase the development and adaptation of best practices to provide quality services.


Jennifer Vaught, Binkley House Manager

Inquiries for Youth Related Services:

In 2019, we received a total of 507 inquiries (referrals) for service, an average of 42 calls per month, and a decrease of 9% from the previous year. The calls we receive are usually during a time of crisis for which the callers (youth, family member, legal guardian) are seeking immediate emergency shelter services.

In 2019, YSB of Monroe County experienced a drop in the number of referrals from all reporting sources excepting DCS where there was a slight uptick from the previous year. However, even with the slight increase of referrals from DCS in 2019, the number of DCS referrals remained lower than it had been in recent years. The reason for the spike in DCS referrals in 2015-17, is unclear, although it has been mentioned that the Opioid Crisis could have had an impact. It is difficult to determine the cause of any particular change, but it should be noted that there was a significant renovation taking place in our building during 2019 which may have given the impression that the building was not open.

Inquiries for Service by Referral Type	Total
Safe Place	32
Parental	170
Probation	50
DCS	255
Police	0
Grand Total	507



Services Provided in Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter

In 2019, we were able to provide safe shelter accommodations a total of 183 times to 173 individual youth. Binkley House served 118 youth who had never before had contact or placement with Binkley House Youth Shelter. That is approximately 64% of our total population served. When counting the total number of service days given to all youth in 2019, we provided 1,926 real-time⁴ days of service.

MONTH	Total Service Days
January	137
February	179
March	130
April	152
May	263
June	112
July	181
August	113
September	146
October	199
November	158
December	156
Total	1926

During 2019, the average length of stay for a youth in the shelter was approximately 11.2 days, a slight increase from 2018 when the average length of stay was 10.8 days. In 2012, a legislative change occurred limiting the length of stay for a youth at a licensed emergency youth shelter in the state of Indiana to a maximum of 20 days⁵, regardless of placement type.

The Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter program serves youth from various counties across the state. Since we are located in Monroe County, it is of no surprise that the majority of the youth we serve reside in Monroe County. It is important to note that many of the families we serve are transient, having lived in Monroe County either in the past or currently. Monroe County is known for its many resources and families often gravitate to this excellent community.

⁴ "Real-time" means that day in and day out are counted.

⁵ Per Dept. of Child Svc. rules, day out does not count, therefore real-time days are 21 in length.

Youth Place of Residence					
Monroe County	Lawrence County	Morgan County	Other Indiana County	Out of State	Total
130	7	2	44	0	183

Our Counselors and Case Manager provide clinical and supportive services daily for each Binkley House resident. In some circumstances, the contact with youth is much more extensive, based on individual needs and support for success.

Youth Services Bureau staff identified and reported 30 suspected cases of physical abuse, sexual abuse and/or neglect to the Indiana Department of Child Services' Child Protective Services unit. This is approximately 16.39% of youth served in 2019. The suspected reports of abuse and/or neglect are a result of youth self-report, questionable marks/bruises, as well as any observed abuse by guardian or others towards the youth while in our care.

We know it is best practice and vital for youth and families to engage in counseling while experiencing family crisis. The (clinical) behavioral health service component (clinical counseling/case management) is not funded through the Indiana Department of Child Services contract for Emergency Shelter Care. While we seek grants to aid this critical link in services to facilitate improved family functioning, we would be remiss if we did not thank Monroe County for continuing to support our services.

Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter Placement Types

Placement Types – Binkley House codes placements by “types,” reflecting who is responsible for placing the youth in the shelter program. In some instances, the youth’s placement type may change during their stay, which indicates a shift in the party responsible for the youth’s stay in the program. In 2019, 14 youth changed placement type during a single stay.

1. **Safe Place** – Youth initiate the desire to come for services at Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter. There is no cost to the family for this service type. Length of this placement cannot exceed 72 hours, but may become another placement type if continued services are requested.

25 youth; 12.7% of the total shelter population (55 service days).

2. **Parental** – A parent or legal guardian contacts Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter requesting youth services. In this instance, the youth must voluntarily agree to come to Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter for short term placement. There is no cost to the family for this service type.

90 youth; 45.7% of the total shelter population (924 service days).

3. **Probation** – Through a court order, a youth is placed at Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter to prevent delinquent behavior and promote pro-social behavior. Youth are accepted as court ordered placements only if they pose no safety risk or harm to self or others. Results of court involvement typically come from truancy (not attending school consistently), return to the community from another environment, or preventative (assist youth in remaining free from negative influences until the youth can make better choices). YSB submits per diem claims to Indiana Department of Child Services (per that year’s cost award). This is not billed to the family by YSB.

35 youth; 17.8% of the total shelter population (301 service days).


4. **Department of Child Services** – When a youth is a ward of DCS or is in an emergency situation in which the DCS Case Worker determines that removal from a home is needed, a youth can be placed at Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter. Typically, we host youth who are waiting for their homes to return to a safe level (after DCS interventions have been put in place), are awaiting foster care placement, or are in transition between homes. YSB submits per diem claims to Indiana Department of Child Services (per that year’s cost awarded by the state of Indiana). This is not billed to the family by YSB.

47 youth; 23.9% of the shelter population (655 service days).

5. **Police Hold** - To assist local law enforcement in returning to serve the public, there are occasions where Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter will house a youth until a parent can be located to take

custody of their child. These instances typically occur when law enforcement has come into contact with a youth and a parent/guardian cannot immediately respond to law enforcement to retrieve their child. These placements are typically less than 24 hours in duration. If a parent cannot be located within 24 hours, Binkley House contacts the Department of Child Services to assist in family locating.

0 youth; 0% of the total Shelter Population (0 service days).




Gender of Youth in Program

Binkley house is a co-ed shelter with separate male and female sleeping wings attached to the common areas. Over the last several years we have seen an increase in the number of female youth accessing shelter services. In 2019, that trend continued as 58% of the residents in our shelter program were female. We served a total of 107 females and 76 males, with 4 of those youth identifying as transgender.

Age of Youth Receiving Services

Binkley House serves youth from 8 to 17 years of age. In 2019, the majority of our youth were age 14-17, consistent with previous years.



Continuous Improvement Efforts:

YSB uses a variety of assessments and program measures to evaluate the services provided to youth and families. This information is provided to funding agents and used to identify areas of growth and improvement throughout the agency. This information is captured through resident and guardian exit surveys, Safe Place program evaluations, IYSA entrance and exit questionnaires, and follow-up questionnaires conducted two weeks after completing services with the agency. This information provides the agency with the ability to measure progress within the program as well as determine satisfaction in services. We greatly appreciate youth and parent/guardian participation in helping us to capture this information as a way to continuously improve on the services we provide.

Safe Place Coordinator Report

Safe Place is a national youth outreach and prevention program for young people in need of immediate help and safety. As a community-based program, Safe Place designates businesses and organizations as Safe Place locations, making help readily available to youth in communities across the country. This national model is replicated locally and hosted by the Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County (YSB). The local Safe Place program serves Monroe, Owen and Greene County.

Each year the YSB Shelter Outreach and Safe Place Coordinator educates youth and adults through presentations and presence at local schools, community events, trainings, a bi-weekly educational hour in our youth shelter, sponsorships of pro-social activities, and community and online advertising. Due to our outreach efforts in 2019, we were able to reach 17,779 youth. The outreach opportunities educate youth on how to access the Safe Place program, and the services that are offered. Our local Safe Place program was accessed by 35 youth in 2019. Based on the specific situation, the youth may be provided with referrals, counseling, shelter placement and/or follow-up care. Of those 35 youth initiating Safe Place services, 27 youth accessed shelter services while 8 youth resolved their issue at the Safe Place sites. Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County received 10 calls from youth asking about the Safe Place program or speaking with a staff member to work through an issue.

Community members, Safe Place sites, and guardians are also educated on the program and encouraged to continue a cooperating role in helping youth in crisis. In 2019, 63,813 adults were educated about the Safe Place program through presentations, community events, community meetings, and trainings. Additional awareness is garnered through sponsorships of pro-social activities, and community and online advertising. Total outreach in 2019, 81,592 youth and adults, surpassed outreach efforts made in 2018.

In 2019, Safe Place participated and/or provided information at 68 events. Outreach efforts regularly occur in Monroe County; however, focus continues to be in Greene and Owen County. In 2019, Safe Place built a relationship with Middle Way House to provide Youth Services Bureau and Safe Place information to local schools through the Building Healthy Relationships program.

Safe Place depends on the network of businesses and agencies displaying the Safe Place sign and acting as Safe Place sites. These sites help to expand access to the Binkley House Emergency Shelter. In 2019, 4 new sites were recruited to this network: one in Monroe County, one in Owen County, and two in Greene County. Safe Place operates 93 physical sites and 45 mobile sites within Monroe, Owen, and Greene counties. Safe Place sites are required to maintain signage and complete training. Each site makes program cards available that provide youth with the information needed to access Safe Place services.

Safe Place sponsored pro-social youth programs like Bloomington Youth Basketball (approximately 1,000 participants), youth focused family friendly events Glow in the Park (approximately 350 attendees), Skate and Scare (approximately 200 attendees), Skate with Santa (approximately 200 attendees) through the City of Bloomington Parks and Recreation Department. These sponsorships include onsite interactions with the youth as well as logos in all program materials and event webpages and media. Safe Place also sponsored banners at

the Bryan Park pool, Mills Pool, and Twin Lakes Recreation Center. Thousands of attendees viewed the banners while at these locations.

Safe Place sponsored the Cutters Youth Soccer program in Bloomington. This sponsorship included the Safe Place logo on the back of players' t-shirts, Safe Place logo at tournaments and events, Safe Place logo on the Cutters' website and social media. Cutters Soccer Club has approximately 900 youth participants.

Safe Place procures billboard advertising through Lamar Outdoor Advertising as part of our diverse outreach strategy. In 2019, Safe Place scheduled one billboard in each Owen and Greene counties. Weekly impressions (eyes on view) from the billboards totaled 75,272 in Owen and 35,085 in Greene. Safe Place also advertised with National CineMedia LLC in both Bloomington AMC movie theaters. A Safe Place commercial with local Youth Services Bureau information played before every movie rated PG or higher and in the lobby every 12 minutes from October 14th to November 14th. Safe Place also advertised with Screen Vision Media in the Linton Cinemas in Linton, Indiana from May 3rd to July 19th.

In partnership with Comcast Spotlight, Safe Place aired a National Safe Place commercial tagged with local Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County information from September 2019 through January 2020. The commercial airs on networks with youth and families as target audiences. Safe Place included the Premium Video Everywhere which allows the Safe Place commercial to be aired on any device streaming Comcast Spotlight content. The online capabilities of Premium Video Everywhere measure specific number of views (impressions) and viewing time with each impression. The commercial was guaranteed to be shown to 17,708 viewers a month who watched the commercial for different amounts of time. Of those 70,832 viewers, the local Safe Place commercial was viewed in-full by 62,185 viewers or 88% of viewers.

In 2019, the Safe Place program will continue expanding outreach and education efforts with youth in Owen and Greene counties. New opportunities to participate in community events and sponsorships are being reviewed. The YSB Shelter Outreach and Safe Place Coordinator will be working on new efforts to increase communication and offer new opportunities to participate in Safe Place outreach for program sites and volunteers.

Brigitt Nasby, Safe Place Coordinator

For a list of Safe Place Events and locations see Appendix A

Clinical Coordinator's Report

Every employee at YSB wears a variety of hats. On the Clinical Team, we serve as counselors, case managers, champions, advocates, facilitators, and sounding boards. The most salient and consistent part of each of these roles, however, is our ability and desire to partner. We partner with youth people as they experience crisis. We partner with families as they attempt to understand and navigate systems. We partner with community organizations whose work are inextricably linked with ours. We are cognizant that people are the experts on themselves and crisis often manifests because of institutionalized disadvantages, not because of individual choices. We recognize the need to share power with whom we partner and, in the service of YSB's larger vision, to contribute to (and be a part of) a community where everyone has what they need to thrive.

Clinical team members provided short-term counseling and case management to the 183 placements in Binkley House Emergency Shelter in 2019. Residents, in addition to being offered aftercare sessions, received follow up phone calls at regular intervals. Clinicians were also utilized in the Safe Place program to meet with youth and provide support and make appropriate referrals, should they chose not to stay as a Safe Place placement. The team was also able to provide counseling to a total of 6 community based clients.

By the end of 2019 the clinical team worked diligently and cooperatively while changes occurred throughout. We are proud of the commitment as the clinical team continues to serve the state of Indiana and their families. Stacy Meadows, LCSW and a counselor on the clinical team, works with our residents and their families that she meets. Stacy saw a need for children that were experiencing truancy within the community and started the conversation that grew into a program that is being created alongside the MCCSC to help those with attendance barriers. Louise Magiera, MSW and a counselor on the clinical team, she too works with the youth and their families that we serve at YSB within community and state. Louise has an extensive background working with adults and families battling substance abuse. With her background working with those experiencing substance abuse, she also recognized that there is a need working with teenager's also dealing with the same problems. Louise, and with the help of intern Ashley Barrett, began creating a program from the ground up to serve those youth with substance abuse problems. Seeking Safety (Substance Abuse program) will begin on March 4th 2020. Our Case Manager, Lauren Baney, who holds a Master's in Public Health serves a vital part on the clinical team. Lauren helps assist the clinical team with residents and their families at YSB as well as community based clients. The clinical team saw a change at the Clinical Coordinator position in 2019. Ethan Smith MFT, CSAYC, CALM has experience working with variety of needs. He has worked in areas such as community mental health, schools and private practice.

To that end, team members have participated in and facilitated a variety of professional development opportunities. In 2019, the team participated in several conferences including: Indiana Association of Resources and Child Advocacy (IARCA), Indiana Youth Institute Kids Count, National Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY) Conference, and the American Public Health Association (APHA) National Conference. Team members participated in a three-part Racial Equity Training Series, co-sponsored by YSB, as well as a two-day

training on YSB's new Evidence Based Program, the Attachment, Regulation, Competency (ARC) Framework. The team also completed the extensive training requirements requisite for all YSB employees. Team members provided support and training to others as well, presenting or facilitating at the following conferences/events: Indiana Youth Service Association Annual Staff Retreat, RHY Conference, Monroe County Opioid Summit, and the Monroe County Childhood Conditions Summit (sponsored by YSB with the support of Building a Thriving Compassionate Community).

Our ability to provide care for youth and families is enhanced when we have strong relationships with community partners. Our case manager continued to forge closer partnerships with the Department of Child Services and Monroe County Juvenile Probation; she attends court weekly to ensure agency responsiveness and advocacy, as well as field possible referrals for service. Counselors also accompany residents to court as needed. Members of the team also interface with local school staff about individual residents and larger community trends. We helped to nurture new relationships with IU Health and PALS to provide psychoeducational programming to residents. The Clinical Coordinator and Prevention Coordinator also spearheaded a Trauma Informed Care Peer Learning Community with the Boys and Girls Clubs of Bloomington in an effort to collaboratively explore organizational change efforts.

A regular Clinical Work Group was added to the meeting rotation with a primary goal of re-evaluating team tools and processes. As a result, both shelter and community-based documentation were adapted to be more aligned with the ARC Framework. In addition to the Work Group, team members have contributed to committees and served in other capacities at YSB, including Values Day development, staff retreat facilitation, grant writing, training, Senior Leadership, and Prevention sub-committees.

In 2019, the Clinical Team will continue to provide crisis intervention services, and seek to minimize harm and prevent re-traumatization. We aspire to do so while understanding the complicated contexts of the people with whom we partner and advocating for changes within and across organizations, communities, and systems.

Ethan Smith, Clinical Coordinator

Prevention Coordinator

2019 was the third year of the Prevention Coordinator role at Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County (YSB). Our initiatives and programs continued to partner with community members and organizations to promote safe, stable, nurturing, relationships, and environments (SSNREs). The goal of preventing negative childhood conditions broadly connects the efforts of our Prevention subcommittees: Building a Thriving Compassionate Community (BTCC), Monroe County Youth Council (MCYC), the Bloomington AfterSchool Network (BASN), and the Indiana Youth Institute (IYI) Monroe County Youth Worker Cafes. We are excited to share Prevention highlights of 2019 and look forward to new projects and endeavors in the coming year.

Community Collaborations:

Building a Thriving Compassionate Community (BTCC) continued growing and building the Implicit Bias Community of Practice that was established in 2018, with the goal of advancing equity by defining and mitigating implicit bias by addressing organizational policy and practice. As of the end of 2019, the Implicit Bias Community of Practice included a dozen trainers actively partnering with organizations. The Community of Practice includes participants from YSB, BTCC, Mother Hubbard's Cupboard, Monroe County Community School Corporation (MCCSC), Indiana University, Indiana University Riley Physicians, the Indiana Coalition Against Domestic Violence (ICADV), and more who meet regularly, train, and partner with community organizations to build social inclusion, an essential for health equity.

The Monroe County Youth Council (MCYC) completed the 2018-2019 school year with a variety of service and leadership opportunities. Team members initiated partnerships with community organizations with whom they could develop a relationship. MCYC members joined teams that volunteered monthly (between October and May) with a partner organization. Teams were oriented to their partners' missions, role in the community, needs, and relationship to other organizations. Council members, having come to know their partner organizations, were equipped and eager to lead their teams in service on Global Youth Service Day in April. Just under 200 youth participated in the event with sites including Mother Hubbard's Cupboard, the Warehouse, the League of Women Voters, the Hoosier Hills Food Bank, City of Bloomington's Parks and Recreation Department, and the Boys and Girls Club of Bloomington's Camp Rock.

The Bloomington AfterSchool Network (BASN) aims to increase the provision of high quality out-of-school-time youth development programs to all Monroe County youth through a collaborative effort among membership agencies. The Prevention Coordinator contributed to the BASN as an Advisory Team member and secretary. The network has representatives from the Monroe County Public Library, Boys and Girls Club of Bloomington, WonderLab, the City of Bloomington Parks and Recreation's Kid City, the Banneker Community Center, the Indiana Youth Institute, the Indiana Afterschool Network, and more.

Indiana Youth Institute (IYI) Monroe County Youth Worker Cafes took place in February, October, and May with a combined participant total of eight-six community members. In accordance with YSB and BTCC's commitment to mitigate implicit bias at organizational and community levels in Monroe County, IYI hosted a cafe focused on Implicit Bias with Gina Forrest. This cafe had thirty-four participants who learned how to recognize and begin addressing implicit bias within the context of youth work.

Youth Services Bureau collaborated with Mother Hubbard's Cupboard to create the Garden Corps Program (a fusion between prevention and intervention), a paid youth internship program that utilizes gardening to address food insecurity in the community while providing youth with opportunities to learn valuable life skills, receive access to case management, and build connections with the community. Four youth, ages 15-17, participated in the pilot program. They were paid \$10.00/hour and worked throughout the gardening season. Garden Corps youth grew and maintained their own garden plot, assisted with growing and maintaining community plots, sold homegrown and homemade goods at monthly Farm Stands, learned how to manage a budget, created their own resumes, learned advocacy efforts, and assisted in the food pantry. Youth participants had access to two case managers from YSB to develop and meet youth-centered goals and develop coping skills. Participants were presenters at the Monroe County Childhood Conditions Summit and shared their experience in the program. YSB and Mother Hubbard's Cupboard staff are eagerly looking forward to seeing the Garden Corps program grow in the next year.

Community Education:

Youth Services Bureau, with support from BTCC and a local planning team, coordinated the 4th Annual Monroe County Childhood Conditions Summit (MC3) in November 2019. Over 200 community members convened at the Monroe Convention Center to continue exploring conditions by focusing on equity and inclusion. Workshop offerings included: Equity and Inclusion in Child Services: A community panel; Creating a Geography of Opportunity: Connecting the Dots among Neighborhoods, School, and Housing to Increase Equity; and Ask Us: A Conversation about Microaggressions. Additionally, MC3 offered its first Equity Hour- an hour of facilitated communication aimed at addressing inequities within Monroe County and offering participants tool kits for beginning to address inequities.

Summit participants represented a variety of sectors and institutions, including: child welfare, medical, education, social services, youth partnering & youth serving, emergency services (food, domestic violence, housing & homelessness), higher education (social work & public health, community college), child care, juvenile justice, City and County Government, business, township trustees, mental health/counseling, arts, religious, advocacy, and more. We are especially excited several workshops included youth presenters. We are grateful to individual sponsors for supporting the summit and allowing us to waive the registration fee for youth and cover requested scholarships. We are incredibly grateful for our MC3 2019 sponsors, Anthem and the Bloomington Health Foundation. Summit feedback included the following comments: *"I appreciate being in the same spaces for a day with others that are working toward the same goals in our community, but represent so many moving pieces of the goal. It is refreshing to connect, even for a day, and receive energy from hearing from others and making new connections."* *"I enjoyed the presenters, I loved the energy and enthusiasm each presenter brought to the sessions. As someone new to mental health care, I had some great opportunities to introduce myself to several folks I will be able to reach out to in the future for upcoming projects."*

YSB of Monroe County is excited to begin planning the 5th MC3 Summit, slated for October 2020.

The Prevention Coordinator and BTCC members facilitated several capacity building opportunities in Monroe and other counties throughout 2019, including:

- Partnering with and becoming an adult ally for Prism Youth Community.
- Introductory level trauma training was shared at multiple local organizations, including Catholic Charities of Bloomington and Indiana University School of Education's Counseling Program.

- Implicit Bias trainings, co-facilitated with members of BTCC’s Implicit Bias Community of Practice, to 19 community agencies including The City of Bloomington, the MCCSC School Board, and Headstart educators and administrators.

Prevention work is an important addition to the work of the Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County. While the intervention work of the YSB is central, prevention grounds the agency in meeting its broader mission and vision.

Stephanie Solomon, Prevention Coordinator

For a list of Community Partners and resources see Appendix B

Appendix A

Safe Place Events

Events January 1st 2019 to December 31st 2019

Date	Presentation or Event	Youth Outreach	Adult Outreach	Facilitator
1. 1/7/2019	MWH BHR Presentation at Batchelor	77	2	Middle Way House
2. 1/16/2019	Shelter Focus	1	1	Brigitt Nasby
3. 1/23/2019	MWH BHR Presentation at BHSS	50	2	Middle Way House
4. 2/6/2019	Shelter Focus	5	2	Brigitt Nasby
5. 2/12/2019	MCPL Staff Safe Place Site Training	0	45	Brigitt Nasby
6. 2/20/2019	MWH BHR Presentation at BHSN	222	1	Middle Way House
7. 2/21/2019	Greene County Alliance Meeting	0	10	Brigitt Nasby
8. 2/26/2019	Shelter Focus	5	1	Brigitt Nasby
9. 3/4/2019	MWH BHR Presentation at Batchelor	100	0	Middle Way House
10. 3/6/2019	Shelter Focus	2	1	Brigitt Nasby
11. 3/11/2019	MWH BHR Presentation at Edgewood	87	0	Middle Way House
12. 3/15/2019	Safe Place YSB Staff Training	0	8	Brigitt Nasby
13. 3/20/2019	Shelter Focus	4	1	Brigitt Nasby
14. 3/23/2019	Children's Expo	509	409	Brigitt Nasby
15. 3/25/2019	MWH BHR Presentation at BGS	35	0	Middle Way House
16. 4/4/2019	Shelter Focus	1	1	Brigitt Nasby
17. 4/8/2019	MWH BHR at Jackson Creek	179	2	Middle Way House
18. 4/12/2019	Faces of ACES Table	0	300	Brigitt Nasby
19. 4/15/2019	MWH BHR at The Academy	37	0	Middle Way House

20. 4/22/2019	MWH BHR at Harmony School	24	0	Middle Way House
21. 4/24/2019	Shelter Focus	5	1	Brigitt Nasby
22. 5/8/2019	Shelter Focus	6	1	Brigitt Nasby
23. 5/13/2019	MWH BHR at Tri-North MS	159	0	Middle Way House
24. 5/16/2019	Greene County Alliance Meeting	0	15	Brigitt Nasby
25. 5/28/2019	Girls Inc. Staff Training	0	8	Brigitt Nasby
26. 5/29/2019	Boys & Girls Clubs Staff Training	0	75	Brigitt Nasby
27. 5/29/2019	Shelter Focus	4	1	Brigitt Nasby
28. 6/1/2019	Spencer Pride	300	500	Brigitt Nasby
29. 6/3/2019	Girls Inc. Youth Presentation	31	5	Brigitt Nasby
30. 6/6/2019	Shelter Focus	1	1	Brigitt Nasby
31. 6/20/2019	Shelter Focus	1	1	Brigitt Nasby
32. 7/1/2019	Monroe County Fair	1500	1000	Brigitt Nasby & YSB Staff
33. 7/8/2019	Owen County Fair	623	500	Brigitt Nasby
34. 7/17/2019	Shelter Focus	6	2	Brigitt Nasby
35. 7/20/2019	Owen County Back to School Event	400	200	Brigitt Nasby
36. 7/31/2019	Shelter Focus	2	2	Brigitt Nasby
37. 8/3/2019	IHC Kids Bash	300	250	Brigitt Nasby
38. 8/6/2019	National Night Out	1000	1000	Brigitt Nasby
39. 8/7/2019	Shelter Focus	3	1	Brigitt Nasby
40. 8/16/2019	YSB Staff Training	0	10	Brigitt Nasby
41. 8/21/2019	Shelter Focus	2	1	Brigitt Nasby
42. 8/31/2019	Bloomington PrideFest	1000	1000	Stephanie Solomon
43. 9/3/2019	Fairview Elementary Open House	100	100	Brigitt Nasby

44. 9/4/2019	MWH BHR Presentation at The Academy	34	0	Middle Way House
45. 9/4/2019	Shelter Focus	2	2	Brigitt Nasby
46. 9/9/2019	MWH BHR at Jackson Creek	141	3	Middle Way House
47. 9/17/2019	MWH BHR Presentation at BHSN	216	2	Middle Way House
48. 9/17/2019	Big Brothers Big Sisters Training	0	8	Brigitt Nasby
49. 9/18/2019	Shelter Focus	4	2	Brigitt Nasby
50. 9/21/2019	Glow in the Park	250	250	Brigitt Nasby
51. 9/25/2019	MWH BHR Presentation at BHSS	108	2	Middle Way House
52. 10/1/2019	MWH BHR Talking Tacos	16	1	Middle Way House
53. 10/2/2019	Shelter Focus	2	1	Brigitt Nasby
54. 10/5/2019	PALS Annual Fall Fest	25	10	Brigitt Nasby
55. 10/7/2019	MWH BHR Harmony	23	1	Middle Way House
56. 10/10/2019	MWH BHR Harmony	16	0	Middle Way House
57. 10/14/2019	Edgewood Intermediate	185	10	Brigitt Nasby
58. 10/16/2019	Shelter Focus	4	1	Brigitt Nasby
59. 10/21/2019	MWH BHR Edgewood HS	120	0	Middle Way House
60. 10/26/2019	Skate and Scare	235	100	Brigitt Nasby
61. 11/6/2019	Shelter Focus	4	0	Brigitt Nasby
62. 11/11/2019	MWH BHR Batchelor	143	0	Middle Way House
63. 11/14/2019	Bloomington After School Network Meeting	0	9	Brigitt Nasby
64. 11/20/2019	Shelter Focus	1	0	Brigitt Nasby
65. 12/2/2019	MWH BHR Tri North	144	0	Middle Way House
66. 12/9/2019	MWH BHR Presentation at BHSS	108	0	Middle Way House
67. 12/14/2019	Skate with Santa	300	100	Brigitt Nasby
68. 12/20/2019	Safe Place YSB Staff Training	0	6	Brigitt Nasby

Safe Place sites in Monroe County

South Monroe County		
Fire House #5	1987 S. Henderson	Bloomington
Pizza X- South	2433 S. Walnut Pike	Bloomington
School-Bachelor Middle School	900 Gordon Pike	Bloomington
School-Bloomington High School South	1965 S. Walnut Street	Bloomington
School-Childs Elementary	2211 S. High Street	Bloomington
School-Clear Creek Elementary	300 W. Clear Creek Drive	Bloomington
YMCA	2125 S. Highland Ave	Bloomington
YMCA Gymnastics Center	1917 S. Highland Ave	Bloomington
School-Jackson Creek Middle School	3980 S. Sare Road	Bloomington
School-Lakeview Elementary	9090 S. Strain Ridge Road	Bloomington
School-Templeton Elem	1400 S. Brenda Lane	Bloomington
Monroe Hospital	4011 S. Monroe Medical Park Blvd.	Bloomington
East Monroe County		
Fire House #4	2001 E. 3 rd Street	Bloomington
Pizza X- Campus	1791 E. 10 th Street	Bloomington
Pizza X- East	877 S. College Mall Road	Bloomington
School-Unionville Elementary	8144 E. State Road 45	Unionville
School-University Elementary	1111 Russell Road	Bloomington
School-Binford Elementary	2300 E. 2 nd Street	Bloomington
School-Rogers Elementary	2200 E. 2 nd Street	Bloomington
West Monroe County		
Bloomington Transit Buses	130 W. Grimes Lane	Bloomington
Bloomington Transit Station- main	130 W. Grimes Lane	Bloomington
Bloomington Township Trustee	2111 W. Fountain Dr.	Bloomington
Banneker Community Center	930 W. 7 th Street	Bloomington

Girls Inc.	1108 West 8 th Street	Bloomington
Boys and Girls Club- Crestmont	1111 W. 12 th St.	Bloomington
YMCA-Northwest	1375 N. Wellness Way	Bloomington
Pizza X –West	1610 W. 3 rd Street	Bloomington
School- Arlington Heights	700 W Parrish Road	Bloomington
School- Fairview Elem	627 W. 8 th Street	Bloomington
School- Highland Park Elem	900 Park Square Drive	Bloomington
School- Grandview Elem	2300 S. Endwright Road	Bloomington
School- Broadview Learning Center	705 W. Coolidge Drive	Bloomington
School- Tri-North Middle School	1000 W. 15 th Street	Bloomington
School- Summit Elem	1450 W. Countryside Lane	Bloomington
School- Ivy Tech (Main Campus)	200 Daniels Way	Bloomington
School- Ivy Tech (School of Nursing)	101 Daniels Way	Bloomington
School- Ivy Tech (ICLSBL)	501 N. Profile Parkway	Bloomington
Youth Services Bureau of MC	615 S. Adams Street	Bloomington
Fire Department- Van Buren	2130 Kirby Road	Bloomington
Fire Station 2	205 S Yancy Lane	Bloomington
Downtown Monroe County		
Fire House #1	300 E. 4 th Street	Bloomington
Monroe County Library	303 E. Kirkwood Ave.	Bloomington
Monroe County Library Book Mobile		
School-Bloomington Project	349 S. Walnut	Bloomington
Allison Jukebox Community Center	349 S Washington St	Bloomington
Boys and Girls Club- Lincoln	1201 W. 3 rd St.	Bloomington
WonderLab	308 W. 4 th Street	Bloomington
Rhino's All Ages Music Center	331 S. Walnut Street	Bloomington
Bloomington Transit Depot	301 S. Walnut Street	Bloomington

Ivy Tech (JWAC)	122 S. Walnut Street	Bloomington
Big Brother Big Sisters	501 N Walnut Street	Bloomington
North Monroe County		
Bloomington Township Fire Dept.	5081 N. Old State Rd 37	Bloomington
School-Bloomington High School North	3901 N. Kinser Pike	Bloomington
CVS	4444 W. State Road 46	Bloomington
Fire House #3	900 N. Woodlawn	Bloomington
Fire House #8	900 N. Curry Pike	Bloomington
School- Marlin Elementary	1655 E. Bethel Lane	Bloomington
Harley Davidson	522 W. Gourley Pike	Bloomington
Meadows Hospital	3600 N. Prow Rd	Bloomington
Ellettsville Fire Dept. HQ	5080 W. State Rd. 46	Bloomington
Monroe County Library-Ellettsville branch	600 W. Temperance Street	Ellettsville
Pizza X	4621 W. Richland Plaza Dr.	Bloomington
School-The Edge Alternative School	319 W. Temperance St	Ellettsville
School-Edgewood Primary	7700 W. Reeves Rd.	Ellettsville
School-Edgewood Intermediate Elementary	7600 W. Reeves Rd	Ellettsville
School-Edgewood Junior High	851 W. Edgewood Dr.	Ellettsville
School-Edgewood High School	601 S. Edgewood Dr.	Ellettsville
Boys & Girls Club Ellettsville	7600 W Reeves Rd	Ellettsville
Safe Place Sites in Greene County		
Bloomfield-Eastern Greene Co. Library Eastern branch	11453 East State Road 54	Bloomfield
Bloomfield-Eastern Greene Co. Library Main branch	125 S. Franklin Street	Bloomfield
Eastern Greene Elementary	10503 E State Road 54	Bloomfield
Linton Fire Department	230 NW A Street	Linton
Linton-Stockton Elementary	900 NE 4 th Street NE	Linton

Welch & Cornett Funeral Home	140 E. Vincennes St.	Linton
Shakamak Elementary	9233 Shakamak School Road	Jasonville
Shakamak Jr & High School	9233 Shakamak School Road	Jasonville
White River Valley Middle School	2926 IN-67	Lyons
White River Valley High School	5644 IN-54	Switz City
White River Valley Elementary	484 W. Main Street	Worthington
Welch & Cornett Funeral Home	23 S. Main Street	Worthington

Safe Place Sites in Owen County

Gosport Elementary	201 North 9 th Street	Gosport
Patrickburg Elementary	9883 State Road 246	Patrickburg
Post Office	3218 S Street	Quincy
Cathleen's Gymnastics	145 S Washington St	Spencer
CommUnity Center	17 E. Market Street	Spencer
McCormick's Creek Elementary	1601 Flatwoods Road	Spencer
New Beginnings Pregnancy Resource Center	189 S. Main Street	Spencer
Owen County Chamber of Commerce	119 S Main Street	Spencer
Owen Valley Christian Fellowship	338 State Highway 43	Spencer
Owen Valley Middle School	626 West State Highway 46	Spencer
Owen Valley High School	622 West State Highway 46	Spencer
Spencer Elementary	151 East Hillside Ave.	Spencer
West & Parrish & Pedigo Funeral Home	105 N. Montgomery St.	Spencer
YMCA	1111 West State Highway 46	Spencer

Appendix B

2018 Community Partners / Shared Programming Resources

Thank you for supporting AND connecting youth within our community

ACHIEVE Coalition	Middle Way House
Anthem	Monroe County Community School Corporation
Banneker Center	Monroe County Department of Children Services
Bloomington After School Network	Monroe County Health Department
Bloomington Commission on the Status of Children & Youth	Monroe County History Center
Bloomington Parks and Recreation	Monroe County Juvenile Probation
Bloomington Police Department	Monroe County Parks & Recreation
Bloomington Transit	Monroe County Public Library
Bloomington Township Trustee	Monroe County Recycling Center
Bloomington Volunteer Network	Monroe County Sheriff's Department
Boys and Girls Clubs of Bloomington	Monroe County United Ministries
Building A Thriving Compassionate Community (BTCC)	Monroe County Women's Commission
Center for Collaborative Systems change (IU)	Monroe County YMCA
Centerstone	Monroe County Youth Council
City of Bloomington Community & Family Resources Department	Mother Hubbard's Cupboard
CODA, Terre Haute	New Hope Family Shelter
Community Justice and mediation	NonProfit Alliance
El Centro Comunal Latino	Nurse Family Partnership
Family Solutions	O'Neill School of Public Environmental Affairs Office of Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion
Girls' Inc.	Peace Learning Center
Herald Times	People and Animal Learning Services
Hoosier Hills Food Bank	Planned Parenthood
Indiana Association of Residential Child Care Agencies	Prism Youth Community
Indiana Coalition Against Domestic Violence	Purdue Extension-Monroe County
Indiana Housing & Community Development	Richland Bean Blossom Schools
Indiana Trafficking Victims Assistance Program	Rural Transit
Indiana University Auditorium	Safe Passage, (Batesville)
Indiana University School of Admissions	South Central Community Action (S.C.C.A.P.)

Indiana University School of Education and Counseling Psychology	Stearns Music Therapy LLC
Indiana University School of Public Health	Stepping Stones
Indiana University School of Social Work	Suicide Prevention Coalition
IU Health Bloomington-Coordinated School Health	Susie's Place
IU Health – Riley Physicians	Systems of Care (Monroe County)
Indiana Youth Institute	The Academy of Science & Entrepreneurship
Indiana Youth Services Association	The Warehouse
Ivy Tech Community College	Thriving Connections- Monroe County
Jill Reitmeyer, DDS	United Way of Monroe County
La Casa Latino Cultural Center	Women Writing for a change Bloomington
League of Women Voters of Bloomington and Monroe County	WonderLab
Meadows Behavioral Health Hospital	WorkOne

What the Youth Want Others to Know

"The shelter has helped me not only take time to think on my actions but also reflect. And the staff are very supportive."

"I appreciate that all the staff members made me feel important. All of them were very kind and understanding."

"It's a safe space with nice people. They provide lots of help and support."

"They take care of you and help you through hard times while having fun."

"I appreciate that all the staff members made me feel important. All of them were very kind and understanding."

"Because the shelter offers good help to youth that actually need the help"

"It's a safe place for youth and other youth."

"If you need to be safe, they make sure you're safe. They make you as comfortable as possible."

"It's a good place for youth to get help and also to get help with problems at home and it gives teens a break from any problems they facing"

"It's a safe place that makes sure you have everything you need"

“The staff here at the youth shelter help and try there hardest to provide help and support to the residents that are here for help.”

“Thank you all for taking to me and helping me through everything.”

What Parents/Guardians Say

“It truly can be one of the best and safest options for a child. It is full of acceptance and people who want the very best for the youth.”

“The staff was very nice and were quick to offer any help they could.”

“Very open line of communication. I feel our counselor was very patient, understanding and helpful.”

“The shelter is an amazing place and, in this crisis, an indispensable resource for our family.”

“The counselor was great about listening to our concerns and problems and worked to help find solutions.”

“Our counselor was very helpful in trying to meet all of my child’s needs and the needs of our family. I really appreciate the help.”

