

2023
Annual
Report

## Monroe Circuit Court

### **MONROE CIRCUIT COURT**

DIVISIONS I - IX
OFFICE OF COURT SERVICES
MONROE COUNTY PROBATION SERVICES
MONROE YOUTH SERVICES BUREAU

### PREPARED BY:

Staff Office of Court Services
Linda Brady Chief Probation Officer

Victoria Thevenow Executive Director, YSB

### **Table of Contents**

PERSONNEL	2
OFFICE OF COURT SERVICES	
Mission Statement	
Introduction	
Financial Management	
Security Management	
Jury Management	26
Case Management	27
Family Court	31
Court Support Program	33
Appendix	34
PROBATION	
Mission Statement	48
Introduction	
Departmental Highlights	50
Department Media Coverage & Presentations	51
Departmental Educational Activities	51
Department Leadership	52
Executive Summary	54
Organizational Chart	56
Financial Information	57
Juvenile Division	62
Adult Division	77
Presentence & Post-Sentence Investigation	
Court Alcohol & Drug Program	84
Community Corrections Program	
Community Alternative Supervision Program	
Pretrial Services Program	
Other Programs	
Problem Solving Courts	
Support Division	
Programs, Trainings, & Committees	
Evidence Based Practices Report	
Research Projects	
Appendix	
YOUTH SERVICES BUREAU	
Mission, History & Accreditations	179
Our Services	
Organizational Structure	
Executive Director Report	
Financial & Personnel Coordinator	
Binkley House Shelter Report	
YSB Service Inquiries	
YSB Services Provided & Placement types	
Program Coordinator Report	
Binkley House Shelter Program	
Safe Place Coordinator Report	
Clinical Coordinator Report	
Prevention Coordinator Report	
Trainings Attended by YSB Staff	
Trainings Given by YSB Staff	
•	
Community Collaborations	
Guardian/Placing Agency Survey Comments	
Safe Place Events	
Safe Place Site Lists	209



### MONROE CIRCUIT COURT PERSONNEL

### MONROE CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION I

Beth Reardon Official Court Reporter
Leslie Batcho Associate Court Reporter
Tayler McGlocklin Associate Court Reporter

### MONROE CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION II

Melissa Starry Official Court Reporter
Ann Lettellier Associate Court Reporter
Misty Stephens Associate Court Reporter

### MONROE CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION III

Cindi Deckard Official Court Reporter
Maggalee Oseguera Associate Court Reporter
Elizabeth Perry Associate Court Reporter

### MONROE CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION IV

Holly Helms Official Court Reporter
Haley Self Associate Court Reporter
Angela Acuff Associate Court Reporter

Payton Helms Floating Associate Court Reporter

### MONROE CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION V

Katie Oliver Official Court Reporter
Brandie Martindale Associate Court Reporter
Monica Bartlett Associate Court Reporter

### MONROE CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION VI

Amber Zike Official Court Reporter
Dana Allgood Associate Court Reporter
Kelly Landrum Associate Court Reporter

### MONROE CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION VII

Karina Brikmanis Official Court Reporter
Kari Gause Associate Court Reporter
Charity Sullivan Associate Court Reporter

### MONROE CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION VIII

Amy Erler Official Court Reporter
Rebecca Berry Associate Court Reporter
Michelle Pettit Associate Court Reporter

Kathryn Dodd Floating Associate Court Reporter

### MONROE CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION IX

Kathy Pointer Official Court Reporter
Amy Burkins Associate Court Reporter
Deana Clingerman Young Associate Court Reporter

### MONROE CIRCUIT COURT COMMISSIONER

Wendy Crohn Official Court Reporter
Andrea Nickless Associate Court Reporter
Mary Baker Associate Court Reporter

### **OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION**

### **Director of Court Services**

Lisa Abraham

### **Deputy Court Administrator**

Jama Chandler

### **Court Programs Coordinator**

Lisa Wesemann

### **Case Management Coordinator**

**Shannon Guidry** 

### **Financial Coordinator**

Melissa Patterson

### **Public Service Coordinator**

Shelli Adams

### **Associate Floating Court Reporters**

Kelly Landrum

Kathryn Dodd

### **Bailiffs**

Jeff Alwine

Trae Luck

Michael Schmaltz

Richard Stacy

John Brashaber

Melody Clark

**Brian Staggs** 

Joseph Pardue

### **Information Desk Personnel**

Glenda Campbell

### MONROE CIRCUIT COURT PROBATION DEPARTMENT

Circuit I Circuit II Circuit III Circuit IV Circuit VIII Circuit IX Title IV-D Circuit V Circuit VI Circuit VII Judge Bradley **Judge Haughton** Judge Talley Haseman **Judge Stafford** Judge Diekhoff Judge Krothe Judge Harvey Judge Salzmann **Judge Fawcett** Commissioner **Bret Raper** Civil Criminal Criminal Civil Criminal Civil Juvenile Civil Criminal Community Chief Probation Officer Corrections **Advisory Board** Linda Brady Deputy Chief Probation Officer / Community Deputy Chief Office **Corrections Executive Director Probation Officer** Administrator Becca Streit Troy Hatfield Melissa Wallace Community Alternative Problem **Pretrial Services** Court Alcohol & Adult Office Juvenile Office Supervision Program **Solving Court** Program/CQI Probation **Drug Program** Probation Manager Manager Community Corr. Director Director Director Director Director Director Curry Steve Malone Chelsea Walters **Anthony Williams** Valerie Collins Christian Carlisle Jeff Hartman Natalie Crider Keri Walden **CASP Pretrial** Adult Community Problem Supervision Adult Intake Legal Secretaries Legal Secretary Alternative Solving **Services Program** Unit High/Moderate Amanda Malonev Mollie Alldredge Court (PSC) Supervision **Kyle Marcum** Supervision Formal & Informal Program (CASP) Program Pretrial Supervision Risk Assessment. Unit Kirsten Owens **Day Reporting** Substance Abuse Home Detention, **Probation Officers** Morgan Richardson Program Electronic Monitoring (EM), Drug Court Sky Kilpatrick Assessment. Court II & IX Day Reporting (Adult) Reentry Court Jada Faith Presentence Erin Werner - CS Assessment **Drug Testing** Mental Health Court Leah Snow Amy Matney Investigations Takarta Flagg Program Veterans Court Andrew Chandler Jen Feiner Jen Burgstone Post-sentence Supervision **Brent Townsend** Clerical **KEY** Marsha Anderson **Probation Officers** Monitoring Juvenile Intake **Assistants** CS = Child Support **Probation Officers** Julie Robertson Dianna Johnson Court III & V Savannah Pauley Rachael Scott Heath Adkins Jaime Zoss CASP = Community Alternative Supervision Prog **Probation Officer PSC Assignments** Intake, CQI = Continuous Quality Improvement Katy Garriott Jack Drew DC Cailin Parsch Preliminary Inquiries, Julie Banes Jessica McCammon Assistants (POAs) LaRae Powers EBP = Evidence Based Practice Olivia Burgess Autumn West Rhonda Welp DC **Civil Court** Christy Scheid (PT) **EBP Coordinators Daniel Alcantar** EM = Electronic Monotoring Nick Hedinger Alexis Klutinoty Kara Mahuron DC Investigations Ted Berry RECP Low/Admin ESU = Enhanced Supervison Unit Zion Hulbert Leah Baker Megan Mahaffey JDAI = Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative CASP Field Officers Morgan Michalski MF Debra Wray Supervision Enhanced Levia Hunter PSC = Problem Solving Court **Edward Malooley** Chad Christensen Richard Greco VTC **Brittany Grenier** Unit Supervision \*DC Drug Court, James "Riley" Allen Unit (ESU) Yanette Martinez Cole Foster **PSC Field** Pretrial Court III & V Sex Offenders (SO). \*MH Mental Health Court. Samuel Masih Juvenile \*RECP Reentry Court Program, Michael Ball Officers POA Detention Jim Adcock - CS Domestic Violence (DV) Skyler Phillips-Grubb Jeffrey Hales Kiley Guthrie Shared with Alternatives **Eric Chambers** Other Violent (OV), \*VTC Veterans Treatment Court) Luke Rappe Serious Mental Amy Tial Tyler Parrish Day Reporting Initiatives (JDAI) Coordinator Health Issues (SMHI) Management Team Court II & IX Community **Road Crew** Jill Barnett Service Christine McAfee Nikki Faletic **Primary ESU** Program Community Corrections **Assignment Program** Public Restitution Ended Court Alcohol & Lexi Lemon DV Adult Probation Program day-to-day **Drug Program** Kim Martin DV/OV CASP Field Officers operations Classes Steve Lessard OV/SO Juvenile Probation 9/1/2018. Alcohol & Marijuana Kari Magno SO share duty (Chad Christensen) Special events only. Education Dorthy Perrotte SMHI Support Staff (Rilev Allen) Class.

PRIME for Life

Part Time POAs

3/19/2024

### **2023 Youth Services Bureau Staff**

### **Executive Director**

Victoria Thevenow, M.Ed.

### **Deputy Director**

Vanessa Schmidt

### **Finance and Personnel**

Naomi Russell, Financial and Personnel Coordinator Jessica Cox, Office Manager

### **Prevention:**

Hannah Lencheck, Prevention Coordinator Amia Eckard, Youth Prevention Specialist Marlee Case, Youth Prevention Specialist\*

### **Clinical Team:**

Monica Fleetwood Black, MA, MSW, LCSW - Clinical Coordinator
Julianna DeLano, Counselor
Gena Delos Santos, Counselor
Michael Block, Counselor
Zoe Mulkey, Counselor
Megan Moore, Counselor\*
Angela Reece, Clinical Services Case Manager
Wendy Greco, Education Case Manager
Itzel Diaz, MSW Intern
Jazlyn Rowan, MSW Intern
Carley Streeter, MSW Intern\*
Jenny Yang, MSW Intern\*

### Data

Emily Arthur, Data Specialist

### **Programming:**

Sara Jamieson, Program Coordinator

### **Project Safe Place Program**

Jessica Brown, Safe Place/YSB Outreach Manager Cassidy McCammon, Safe Place/YSB Outreach Manager\*

### **Binkley House Manager**

Jen Vaught, Binkley House Manager

### **Binkley House Staff**

Matt Cababie
Diana Robertson
Doris Bailey
Toni Palmer
Dalton Smith
Liz Spaulding
Aliya John

Dorothy Reinhard\*
Michael Roop\*

Maria Elias Ashton Hall Janet Hargrave Collin Denny Piper Majors Tatiana Salgado-Cuevas

Anna Moss\*
Philip Anyieth\*
Veronica Barber\*

Cordelia Harlow Oriane Robinson Audreanna Passwaiter Lachlan Latz Anna Green Alana Ruiz

Shaunacee Cook\* Abigail Strader\* Terry Knoy\*



## BOARD OF JUDGES

### Biography

### CIRCUIT COURT DIVISION I

Geoffrey J. Bradley

### **Dated Accepted Position:**

January 1, 2021

### **Family Members:**

Megan Ray, Wife

Katherine Bradley, Daughter

John Bradley, Son

### **Undergraduate Degrees:**

Indiana University, Bachelor of Arts (1991)

Political Science

Interdepartmental Major in Afro-American Studies

### Law School:

Indiana University School of Law, Bloomington, Doctor of Jurisprudence (1994)

### **Related Legal Experience:**

Senior Trial Attorney, Monroe County (IN) Prosecuting Attorney's Office Assistant Prosecuting Attorney, Clinton County (OH) Prosecutor's Office

### **Certifications:**

Indiana Bar

Ohio Bar (inactive)

### **Community Involvement-Present:**

Board Member, Lotus Education & Arts Foundation

Member, Real Men Read MENtor program

Board Member, Monroe County History Center

Member, African American History Committee, Monroe County History Center

Member, Bloomington Rotary Club

Member, Elks Lodge #446

Stone City Lodge #54

Honorable Order of the Kentucky Colonels

### **Professional Involvement:**

Indiana Judges Association

Indiana Probate Committee

Indiana Adult Guardianship Committee, Chair

Monroe County Bar Association

Indiana State Bar Association

National Bar Association

National Academy of Elder Law Attorneys

National College of Probate Judges

### **Community Involvement-Previous:**

### Indiana:

Board Member, Lotus Education & Arts Foundation

Member, Arlington Heights Elementary School PTO

Read 200

Assistant Softball Coach, Smithville Diamonds Little League

Coach, Upward Basketball

### Ohio.

Board Member, Clinton County YMCA

Board Member, Hot Hoops

Board Member, Rural Legal Aid Society

Member, Wilmington Rotary Club

Member, President's Partnership Council at Wilmington College

Advisor, Wilmington High School Ohio Mock Trial Team

### **Professional Involvement-Previous:**

National Black Prosecutor's Association

Indiana Prosecuting Attorneys Association

Ohio Prosecuting Attorneys Association

Ohio State Bar Association

Clinton County (OH) Bar Association

National District Attorneys Association

### **CIRCUIT COURT DIVISION II**

Valeri Haughton

Date Accepted Position: January 1, 2009

Family:

Frank Motley III, Husband

Five children

Nineteen grandchildren

<u>Undergraduate Degree:</u>

University of Iowa, Bachelor of Arts (Political Science, History)

Graduate Certificate:

Women's Studies-University of Iowa

Law School:

University of Iowa College of Law, Juris Doctorate [1992]

**Professional:** 

Mental Health Counselor (1973-1989)

Related Legal Experience(s):

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney, Marion County (1993-1997)

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney, Monroe County (1997-2005)

Consultant, Indiana University- Office of the Vice President

For Diversity & Multicultural Affairs (2005-2007)

Attorney, Office of the Monroe County Public Defender (2007-2008)

**Professional Organizations:** 

Monroe County Bar Association

Indiana Bar Association

Indiana Judges Association

National Bar Association-Judicial Council

Sheriff's Merit Board (2007-2008)

Additional Services:

Member, Bloomington Human Rights Commission (former Chair)

Board of Directors:

Bloomington Playwrights Project

Community Kitchen

Community Kitchen

NAACP Lifetime Member

**ACLU** 

Benevolent and Protective Order of Elks

Lodge #446, Bloomington, IN

### CIRCUIT COURT DIVISION III

Christine Talley Haseman

### **Date Accepted Position:**

January 1, 2019

### Undergraduate Degree:

IU Kelley School of Business, Bachelor of Science (1988, with Honors and High Distinction)

### Graduate Degree:

IU Kelley School of Business, Master of Business Administration (1991)

### Law Degree:

IU Maurer School of Law, Doctor of Jurisprudence (1995)

### Related Legal Experience:

Owner, Law Office of Christine Talley Haseman (Sole Proprietor)

Hearing Officer for the Indiana Supreme Court

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney, Lawrence County, Indiana

Judge, Monroe Circuit Court VIII (2008)

Juvenile Court Referee, Lawrence County Circuit Court

Public Defender for Lawrence County and Owen County conflict cases

Family Law Mediator

Associate Attorney, Ferguson & Ferguson

Associate Attorney, Stites & Harbison

Pro Bono Attorney for Monroe County CASA

### **Certifications**

Indiana Bar

Kentucky Bar

Federal District Court for the Northern and Southern Districts of Indiana

Licensed Family Law Mediator, Indiana (retired)

### Community Involvement

Board of Directors, Stone Belt

International OCD Foundation

St. Mark's United Methodist Church (Member)

Former Board Member, National Alliance for Mental Illness - Greater Bloomington Area

Former St. Mark's UMC Pastor-Staff-Parish Committee Member

Former Board Member, Stepping Stones, Inc.

### Professional Involvement

Indiana Judges Association

Indiana Supreme Court Child Welfare Improvement Committee

Indiana Supreme Court Records Access and Management Committee

Kentucky Bar Association

Monroe County Bar Association

Former Member, Indiana Prosecuting Attorneys Council

Former Member, Indiana Public Defender Council

Former Member, Association of Family and Conciliation Courts

### **CIRCUIT COURT Division IV**

### Catherine Stafford

### Legal Experience

Judge, Monroe Circuit Court IV, 2019 - current

Adjunct Professor, Indiana University School of Law, Bloomington, 2014 - current

Founder and Managing Attorney, Stafford Law Office, LLC, 2004 - 2018

Legal Writing Instructor, Indiana University School of Law, Bloomington, 2002

Attorney, Indiana Legal Services, Inc., Bloomington, 1999-2002

Director of Programs, Minnesota Justice Foundation, 1997-1999

Attorney, University Student Legal Services (University of Minnesota), 1997-1998

### Law School

University of Minnesota Law School, Doctor of Jurisprudence, 1997

Law Council (student body) President, 1996-1997

Recipient, 1996 Minnesota Justice Foundation Outstanding Public Service Award, awarded by Sister Helen Prejean

Wagner Labor Law Moot Court

Founder and Editor The Public Interest Dimension Newsletter

### <u>Undergraduate Degree</u>

Indiana University, Bachelor of Arts in English and Medieval Certificate, 1993

### **Certifications & Bar Admissions**

Certified Family Law Specialist, as certified by the Family Law Certification Board, 2011 – 2018 (no longer eligible due to judicial role)

Registered Domestic Relations Mediator, 2005-2018

Collaborative Law Practitioner, 2005-2018

State of Indiana, 1999

State of Minnesota, 1997 (now resigned as no longer living or practicing in Minnesota)

### **Professional Involvement**

Domestic Relations Benchbook Committee, 2021 - current

ADR Committee, 2019-current

Family Law Taskforce, 2019 - current

Association of Family and Conciliation Courts (AFCC), 2012-current

Indiana Chapter, AFCC, 2014-current

Monroe County Bench Bar Conference Planning Committee 2012-current

Family Law Taskforce, 2019-2021

Monroe County Bar Association Board of Directors, Secretary 2014-2016

Monroe County Bar Association Board of Directors, Vice President, 2016-2017

Bloomington Association of Collaborative Professionals, 2014-2018

International Association of Collaborative Professionals, 2014-2018

Minnesota State Bar Association Legal Assistance to the Disadvantaged Committee, 1997-1999

Hennepin County Bar Association Disability Sub-Committee, 1998-1999

### **Community Involvement**

Girl Scouts, Assistant Troop Leader, 2019-2021

Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA) Board of Directors, 2018-2020

Monroe County Plan Review Committee, 2017-2018

Monroe County Women's Commission, 2017-2018

Ivy Tech Criminal Justice and Paralegal Studies Advisory Council, 2015-2018

Navigators of Monroe County, Board of Directors and Scout Leader, 2013-2017

Highpoint Neighborhood Association, 2005-2007

City of Bloomington Commission on Sustainability, 2005-2007

Council of Neighborhood Associations, Board of Directors, 2005-2006

Buskirk-Chumley Theater Management, Inc. Board of Directors, 2003-2006

Old Northeast Neighborhood Association, 2001-2005

Bloomington Restorations Inc., Rehabilitation Award for The Showers Bridwell House, 2003

United Way Community Services of Monroe County, Inc. Board of Directors, 2000-2003

League of Women Voters of Minneapolis, Board of Directors, 1996-1998

Haitian Refugee Asylum Project, 1994

League of Women Voters of Bloomington-Monroe County, Inc., Board of Directors 1991-1994

### **CIRCUIT COURT, DIVISION V**

### Mary Ellen Diekhoff

Dated Accepted Position: January 1, 2005

### Presiding Judge, Monroe County Board of Judges, present

### Degrees:

Valparaiso University, Bachelor of Arts, (Honors Graduate) Mauer School of Law, Bloomington, IN – Doctor of Jurisprudence

### RelatedLegalExperience:

1<sup>st</sup> Deputy Attorney, Monroe County Prosecuting Attorney's Office Adjunct Professor, Criminal Justice Department, Indiana University Adjunct Professor, Maurer School of Law

### **Certifications:**

Admitted, Indiana Bar
Admitted, Federal District Court for the Northern and Southern District of Indiana
Certified Mediator, State of Indiana
Graduate of Indiana Judicial College

### Memberships:

Indiana Judge's Association
Monroe County Bar Association
Chair, District Ten Pro Bono
Past Chair, Indiana Judge's Association Drug and Alcohol Program Committee
Indiana Public Defender Commission
Criminal Law Instruction Committee
Pre-trial Release Committee
Problem Solving Court Committee

### Affiliations and Civil Involvement:

Monroe County Parent Aid, past board member Designated Drivers Council of Monroe County Big Brothers/Big Sisters Tulip Trace Council of Girl Scouts My Sister's Closet, present board member Center Stone Health Board of Directors, present board member

### **CIRCUIT COURT DIVISION VI**

### Kara Krothe

### **Date Accepted Position:**

January 1, 2021

### **Undergraduate Degree:**

Smith College, Bachelor of Arts, (1992) Government

### Law School:

Indiana University School of Law, Bloomington, Doctor of Jurisprudence (2000) Sherman Minton Moot Court Top 15 Brief Honor

### Related Legal Experience:

Glaser and Ebbs, Associate Attorney, Fort Wayne, IN (2000-2004) Monroe County Public Defenders Office, Deputy Public Defender (2004-2019)

### **Certifications:**

Indiana Bar

Admitted, Federal District Court for the Northern and Southern District of Indiana

### **Community Involvement-Previous:**

Monroe County Drug Treatment Court Team Member 2012-2019 Monroe County Reentry Court Team Member 2014-2019 Monroe County Veterans Court Team Member 2016-2019 Bloomington Elks Lodge 446 Habitat for Humanity Women Build 2018-present Community Justice Response Committee 2022-2023

### Community Involvement-Present:

Bloomington Elks Lodge 446 Habitat for Humanity Women Build

### **Professional Involvement:**

Monroe County Bar Association Indiana Judges Association Supreme Court Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Committee

### **CIRCUIT COURT DIVISION VII**

Holly M. Harvey

Date Accepted Position: January 1, 2017

### Family:

Matthew Harvey, Husband

Three children

### Undergraduate Degree:

Indiana University (B.A. high distinction, Criminal Justice and Psychology, 1994), Phi Beta Kappa

### Law School:

Indiana University Maurer School of Law, Juris Doctor, 1997

### Related Legal Experience(s):

Associate Attorney, Bunger & Robertson, 1997-2013 Attorney/Owner, Holly Harvey Law, LLC, 2014-2016

### <u>Certifications/Specialized Training:</u>

Certified Domestic Relations Mediator (2002-2016)

Parenting Coordinator (2014-2016)

Collaborative Professional (2013-2016)

### **Professional Organizations:**

Monroe County Bar Association (Board of Directors, 2015-present)

Indiana State Bar Association

- Board of Governors (Treasurer, Secretary, District Representative)
- Chair-Elect, House of Delegates, 2020-2021, Chair, 2021-2022
- Young Lawyers Section, District Representative
- Future of the Legal Profession Committee, 2016-present
- Revenue Enhancement Committee, 2015
- Wellness Committee, 2012-2013
- Budget and Finance Committee

Indiana Judges Association

Indiana and National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges

American Bar Association

Monroe County Bench Bar Conference Committee

### **Judicial Committees:**

- Judicial Conference of Indiana, Juvenile Justice Improvement Committee
- Indiana GAL/CASA Advisory Commission
- State of Indiana Commission on Improving the Status of Children, Commercial Sexual Exploitation of Children Subcommittee

### Additional Service:

St. Charles Borromeo School

- School Commission (2012-2015)
- Assistant Coach, 8<sup>th</sup> Grade Girls Volleyball (2015-2016), 5<sup>th</sup> Grade Boys Basketball (2015-2016)

St. Paul Catholic Center, Member

Tri Kappa Philanthropic Sorority (2007-present)

Camp Kesem Indiana University Advisory Board (2019-present)

Parent Volunteer, Bloomington High School North Swim Team

### **CIRCUIT COURT DIVISION VIII**

### Emily A. Salzmann

Date Accepted Position: January 1, 2023

### Family:

Carl Salzmann, Husband

Two children

### Degrees:

Indiana University, Bachelor of Arts (Psychology, Criminal Justice, Spanish) 2012 Indiana University Maurer School of Law, Juris Doctor 2015

### Related Legal Experience:

Legal Secretary, Salzmann Law Certified Legal Intern, Salzmann Law Associate Attorney, Salzmann Law LLC Managing Partner, Salzmann Law LLC

### Certifications:

Indiana Bar, Admitted

Federal District Court for the Northern and Southern District of Indiana, Admitted

### **Professional Organizations:**

Monroe County Bar Association (Board of Directors, 2016-present, Current Vice-President, Past President, and Past Secretary) Indiana State Bar Association **Indiana Judges Association** 

Monroe County Bench Bar Conference Committee

### Community Organizations:

Cutters Soccer Club (Former Board Member) Habitat for Humanity Women Build Sherman Minton Moot Court Judge

### **CIRCUIT COURT DIVISION IX**

Darcie L. Fawcett

### **Date Accepted Position:**

January 1, 2019

### **Undergraduate Degree:**

University of Wisconsin-Madison, Bachelor of Science (1997) Education/Psychology Certificate in Women's Studies

### **Law School:**

Indiana University School of Law, Bloomington, Doctor of Jurisprudence (2007)

### **Related Legal Experience:**

Associate Attorney, Mallor Clendening Grodner & Bohrer Deputy Prosecutor, Marion County Prosecutor's Office Deputy Prosecutor, Monroe County Prosecutor's Office

### **Certification:**

Indiana Bar

### **Community Involvement-Previous;**

Bloomington Urban Enterprise Association Monroe County Human Rights Commission Board of Park Commissioners Prospect Hill Neighborhood Association Fairview Parent-Teacher Organization

### **Community Involvement-Present:**


Exchange Club of Northside Bloomington

### **Professional Involvement:**

Monroe County Bar Association Indiana Judges Association Commission on Race and Gender Fairness Community Corrections Advisory Board Community Justice Response Committee


# OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION

### THE MONROE CIRCUIT COURT



### Mission Statement

The mission of the Monroe Circuit Court is to fairly and promptly resolve justifiable issues in a manner consistent with the mandates, directive, and guidelines of the laws of the State of Indiana and of the United States of America.



### **Charlotte Zietlow Justice Center**

301 N College Ave Bloomington, IN 47404

• Phone: (812) 349-2615

• Juror Message Line: 812-349-5879 (JURY)

### OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION

### INTRODUCTION

Each day more than 1,000 people enter the Justice Building to file a complaint, pay traffic or probation fees, gather information about a case, or serve as a lawyer, petitioner, defendant, witness or juror to a trial. The increasing complexity of life and the scope of litigation in the United States have created a non-judicial administrative burden on the courts that the judges and traditional court staff cannot handle alone. The Office of Court Administration, under the guidance of the Board of Judges, provides administrative support for the Circuit Court. The office is responsible for the daily operations in financial management, security management, jury management, case management and court support programs. The Office of Court Administration staff reviews system operations, analyzes management problems, recommends solutions to the judges, and implements efficient change. In 2023, the Office of Court Administration successfully implemented the following administrative programs and procedures.

### FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT


Thirty-Seven budgets totaling a little over \$12.1 million were prepared, monitored, and analyzed by the Office of Court Administration. Fiscal management of these budgets includes the preparation of the payroll for over 130 employees, the monitoring of grants received on federal, state, and local levels, and the procurement of office furniture, supplies and equipment. The following is a 2023 summary of the funding sources, the amount and types of generated revenue, and the budget and expenditures for the Monroe Circuit Court.

### I. <u>FUNDING SOURCES</u>

The Monroe Circuit Court receives funds from the following sources:

- (1) <u>Tax Revenue:</u> Provides funds for personnel, computers, capital outlays, supplies and operating expenses for the Court.
- (2) <u>Program Fees:</u> Provides funds generated by case filings, court costs, fines, infraction judgments, support fees, user fees and investment interest.
- (3) <u>Grants/Contracts:</u> Awarded by the State of Indiana for Community Corrections, Supreme Court Grant, CARES Grant, Interpreter Grant, Title IV-D reimbursement; Drug Court Grant awarded by the US Dept. of Justice/Office of Justice Programs, JDAI, Family Court Project, Veterans Court Grant, Court Reform Grant, Mental Health Court Grant, Community Transition Program, Pretrial Programs, Alternative Dispute Resolution.

Tax Revenue for Courts: COUNTY GENERAL Juvenile LIT (formerly COIT) Public Safety LIT	\$6,969,369 \$1,398,290 \$745,169
Program Fees: Probation User Fees	\$654,155
Grants/Contracts: Federal/State Grants/Contracts Title IV-D Court Reimbursement State Interpreter Grant (Court)	\$1,989,410 \$180,333 \$12,000
Jury Pay Fund	\$20,163



TOTAL <u>\$11,968,889</u>

As indicated on the pie graph, Monroe County provides the Court over half (77%) of their annual budget. Fees and grants make up the remaining portion (23%) of the budget. In 2022, the Monroe Circuit Court received total funding of \$11,968,889.


### II. EXPENDITURES

Expenditures for 2023 by the Monroe Circuit Court totaled \$11,602,796. The pie graph below shows the percentage and types of expenses incurred.

### **2023 Monroe Circuit Court Expenditures**


Personnel Services	\$9,458,081
Other Services and Charges	\$2,043,577
Supplies	\$101,138

TOTAL <u>\$11,602,796</u>



### III. REVENUE

In 2023, the Monroe Circuit Court generated \$2,217,084 in total revenue. The revenue generated by the Monroe Circuit Court is disbursed to three government entities. The pie graph below shows the percentage of disbursement of this revenue to state, county and local government.



### STATE--Total Revenue: \$902,873

Sources: Court costs (filing fees, traffic/criminal court costs)

Fines & forfeitures (criminal cases)

Infraction judgment (traffic)

Overweight Vehicle Fees (infraction judgments)

User Fees (25% of drug abuse, prosecution, interdiction & correction fees;

25% of alcohol & drug countermeasures fees)

Automated Record Keeping Fee Judicial Insurance Adjustment Fees

Child Abuse Prevention Fees (State Family Violence Victim Assistance Fund)

Domestic Violence Prevention Fees (State Family Violence Victim Asst. Fund)

### COUNTY--Total Revenue: \$1,290,076

Sources: Court Costs (filing fees, traffic/criminal court costs)

Support Fees, Bond Administration Fees

Late Surrender Fees, Document Storage Fees

User Fees: SADS (Substance Abuse Division--First time minor offenses program fees:

Marijuana Eradication Program Fees)

Project Income--user fees for offender programs: Job Release, Road Crew,

House Arrest & Public Restitution

Pretrial Diversion User Fees (program fees for minor offenses)

County Drug Fee (felony & misdemeanor fines)

Law Enforcement Continuing Education (felony, misdemeanor & traffic fines)

Infraction Diversion Fees (traffic)

Adult Probation User Fees (program/treatment fees for adult offenders)

Juvenile Probation User Fees (program/treatment fees for juvenile offenders)
Supplemental Public Defender Fees (offender fees for legal representation)

Miscellaneous (jury fees, miscellaneous administrative fees)

### **LOCAL (Municipal)--Total Revenue: \$24,135**

Sources: Court Costs (filing fees, traffic/criminal court costs)

### SECURITY MANAGEMENT


Violence in this country, and our county is on the rise and concomitantly, there have been recent tragic events involving the Judiciary within court facilities. Judges, bailiffs, witnesses, lawyers, parties, and the general public have been vulnerable to bombs, armed attack, and hostage situations. Inadequate courtroom security or the absence of security has been identified as causative factors. By Order of the Court, all firearms, dangerous weapons, and destructive devices are prohibited from being in the Justice Building. To take preventive measures, the Office of Court Services employs bailiffs, who are trained in handling weapons, bombs, and serious threats, to be present in the courtrooms. In 1995, the County installed a magnetometer and X-ray machine at the entrance of the Justice Building. The implementation of this comprehensive security plan has insured the safety of litigants and other citizens conducting business in the Justice Building.

In 2023, the Monroe Circuit Court Bailiffs, in addition to their regular responsibilities of security, provided an enhanced level of security in 715 Protective Order Hearings, and 3 Non-Medical Incidents. Due to the majority of juvenile hearings going zoom, Juvenile Detention Hearings have significantly decreased. Bailiff's provided security to only 27 in person Juvenile Detention Hearings in 2023. They responded to 6 separate Medical Incidents experienced by members of the public and employees at the Justice Building. The bailiffs also booked 131 offenders into the Monroe County Jail as the result of either a judicial remand of custody or the service of a warrant, which has increased from years past.

### **JURY MANAGEMENT**


The goal of the Office of Court Services is to maximize efficiency while minimizing jury system costs and inconvenience to citizens summoned for jury duty. In 2023, prospective jurors' names were randomly selected from the Bureau of Motor Vehicles and Department of Revenue lists for Monroe County. The master list contained 2,625 names and addresses. These citizens receive a juror summons for a one-month term of service. In 2023, a total of 567 citizens reported for jury duty; and 23 percent of them served on juries. By state law, a juror received \$15.00 per day for reporting for jury service and \$40.00 per day if sworn as a member of a jury prior to July 1, 2023, after July 1st, jurors received \$30.00 per day for reporting and \$80.00 per day if sworn as a member of a jury. All received \$.49 per mile to and from the Justice Building. Prospective jurors are called one time within their one-month term of service and if empaneled to serve on a jury, their service lasts around two or three days. In 2023, the average cost per trial was \$3,428.10.

In 2023, there were 13 jury trials held in Monroe Circuit Court. Of these, 46% involved felony offenses, 15% involved Murder offenses, 0% involved misdemeanor cases and 38% involved civil cases.



### CASE MANAGEMENT

The Office of Court Administration monitors case assignments to ensure the equity of caseload between judges and provides the judges with case management information in order to reduce case delay. Case statistics are provided to the State Court Administrator's Office quarterly and analyzed by the Office of Court Administration to determine case management trends and growth of judicial workload. With the assistance of an automated case tracking system, information is available for assisting the judges, court support staff and the public more efficiently.



In 2023, 30,748 cases were before the Monroe Circuit Court. These included previously pending cases, new filings, reopened cases, and venued-in cases from other counties. Fifty-six percent (56%) or 17,285 of these cases were new and venuedin cases and the remaining were reopened and previously pending cases. Reopened cases are defined as cases redocketed for further action, such as proceedings supplemental to collect money judgments, petitions to modify child custody, support or visitation, and modifications of criminal sentences. The cases included criminal, civil. domestic, protective orders, small claims, juvenile, probate, mental health, ordinance violations and infractions. The nine courts disposed of 20,827 cases in 2023.


Infractions: The staff of the Clerk and Prosecutor's Office manages infraction cases. Most of the traffic cases settle prior to court. Diversion programs are established for first time offenders. If programs are violated, infraction cases are assigned to the judges. There were 1013 previously pending cases and 4,822 new infraction cases filed in 2023. Approximately 2% were assigned to the judges.


Ordinance Violations: The City Attorney and staff of the Clerk's Office manage ordinance violation cases. Due to new collection procedures adopted by the City of Bloomington in 2012, the number of cases filed has declined significantly. There were 12 previously pending cases and 94 new ordinance violations filed in 2023.

Case Assignment per Court: Considering the number of cases pending, new filings, redocketed cases, infractions and ordinance violations filed with the Court, the average number of cases collectively assigned to the nine divisions for 2023 was 3,416.

### Case Filings and Dispositions: Criminal and Civil


During 2023, the Monroe Circuit Court consisted of nine divisions. Four divisions were randomly assigned criminal cases. Civil, Domestic Relations, Juvenile and Probate cases were randomly assigned to five other divisions. New filings increased slightly for Felony, Criminal Misdemeanor, Civil and Eviction filings while decreasing for Small Claims and Domestic Relations. Disposition rates for Felonies, Small Claims, Evictions, Domestic Relations, and Protective Orders increased for 2023, while disposition rates for Criminal Misdemeanor and Civil cases decreased. This was the third year for the new Eviction case type.








	NEW FILINGS		DECIDED CASES		DISPOSITION RATE Of New Filings + Redockets	
	(Excl. 1r 2022	(Excl. Transfers) 2022 2023		(Excl. Transfers) 2022 2023		2023
FELONIES (A,B,C,D,MR, and Levels 1-6)	1,137	1,171	1,327	1,508	2022 111%	120%
Redockets MISDEMEANORS (CM)	538 1,468	2,034	467 1,909	9 2,246	119%	87%
Redockets	228	204	523	281	11970	07 70
CIVIL (CP,PL,MF,CC,CT)	1,130	1,351	1,174	1,145	111%	93%
Redockets	2,089	1,547	1,490	1,645		
SMALL CLAIMS (SC)	546	539	524	792	93%	123%
Redockets	654	563	826	194	0070	
EVICTIONS (EV)	819	962	760	940	78%	98%
Redockets	139	171	324	124	. 0 / 0	0070
DOMESTIC RELATIONS (DR, DC, DN)	447	457	489	1,023	99%	168%
Redockets	435	381	551	0	99 /0	100/0
PROTECTIVE ORDERS (PO)	783	755	814	851	97%	111%
Redockets	189	111	206	7	51 /0	11170


Criminal, Civil, Small Claims, Evictions, Protective Orders, and Domestic Relations cases are decided by jury trial (JT), bench trial (BT), guilty plea (GP), deferral/diversion (DE), dismissal (DI), default (DF) or bench dispositions (BD). The following pie charts show how the new case filings were disposed in 2023.


















**Juvenile and Probate:** Juvenile and Probate cases include civil commitments of mentally ill, the processing of estates and trusts, adoption of children, the establishment of paternity of children born out of wedlock, juvenile delinquency, and CHINS (Children in Need of Services). CHINS cases involve the abuse and neglect of children. All cases are disposed by bench trial, bench disposition or dismissal.



The two-year graph to the left shows Juvenile and Probate new filings in 2022 compared to new filings in 2023.

New filings for Juvenile Chins, Juvenile Miscellaneous, Trusts and Guardianships have increased for 2023 and have decreased for all other case types. Redocketed cases have increased for Mental Health, Adoptions, Guardianships, Juvenile Paternity, Juvenile Miscellaneous and Juvenile Delinquencies. Redocketed cases decreased in 2023 for all other case types.

	NEW FILINGS (Excl. Transfers)		DECIDED CASES (Excl. Transfers)		DISPOSITION RATE Of New Filings + Redockets	
	2022	2023	2022	2023	2022	2023
MENTAL HEALTH	891	626	876	707	98%	112%
Redockets	3	26	16	29	0070	11270
ADOPTIONS	117	97	105	119	90%	122%
Redockets	3	5	6	0	0070	12270
ESTATES	221	187	217	215	98%	114%
Redockets	15	12	18	10	3070	11470
GUARDIANSHIPS	146	196	166	226	103%	104%
Redockets	586	606	597	582	10070	
TRUSTS	0	3	1	2	100%	67%
Redocket.s	0	0	0	0		
CHIN CASES	149	190	186	199	116%	103%
Redockets	104	98	150	98		
DELINQUENCIES	61	60	59	86	97%	130%
Redockets	12	26	5	43	37 70	
PATERNITY	168	141	186	297	104%	130%
Redockets	327	380	294	230	10470	10070
MISCELLANEOUS	128	188	165	194	128%	103%
Redockets	3	5	0	4	12070	
JUVENILE TERMINATION	82	70	104	5	114%	16%
Redockets	71	7	11	71	117/0	
JUVENILE STATUS	11	4	1	8	139%	180%
Redockets	2	1	1	0	10070	
JUV. PROTECTIVE ORDER	4	0	4	1	200%	0%
Redockets	8	0	0	0	20070	070

### MONROE COUNTY FAMILY COURT

Monroe County was selected in February, 2000 as one of three pilot counties for the Indiana Supreme Court's Family Court Project. The initial funding for Family Court was received under a two-year grant from the Indiana Supreme Court, Division of State Court Administration. The Monroe County Family Court has continued its services to families in the legal system beyond the pilot project phase. Family Court operated under the administration of Division IV of the Monroe Circuit Court in 2023.

### The Monroe County Family Court has identified the following programming components as vital to the project:

I. Mediation: The Family Court Mediation Clinic was created in 2002 at the request of Judge Viola Taliaferro. In August, 2002, the Family Court Coordinator began collaborating with the Child Advocacy Clinic of the Indiana University School of Law, and the Community Conflict Resolution Project (CCRP) to develop a method of resolving custody, visitation, and related disputes that arise within the context of paternity cases. It was envisioned that law students and other participants could be trained to provide mediation services on a volunteer basis in the paternity court. Implementation of the project began in January, 2003. This highly successful program was greatly expanded in August, 2003 to include divorce cases involving child custody and parenting time issues. Low to moderate-income families are eligible to participate in the mediation clinic if they are unable to afford private mediation services. The collaborators in the development of the Mediation Clinic believe that the children affected by these cases will best be served by providing a forum for parents to actively negotiate parenting arrangements that protect the child's best interests.

The Family Court Mediation Clinic now handles all types of disputes that may arise within the context of family law cases referred by the Court. Parties may also be referred to mediation for assistance with specific issues identified by the Court, such as completing a parenting time schedule, calculating child support, and developing co-parenting communication skills. Parties receive information and education to better understand the court process, the Indiana Parenting Time Guidelines, and the Child Support Rules and Guidelines. The Family Court Coordinator also receives referrals from the court to assist parties in providing more complete and accurate pleadings and information to the court in order to expedite their cases.

In March of 2005, the **Family Court Mediation Clinic** implemented the **Domestic Relations Alternative Dispute Resolution Fund Plan** of the Monroe Circuit Court. This plan operates under the provisions of Indiana Code 33-23-6-1 to -4. An additional twenty-dollar filing fee is collected from parties filing petitions for legal separation, paternity, or dissolution of marriage. The fee is deposited into the alternative dispute resolution (ADR) fund and is used to foster domestic relations ADR services for litigants who have the least ability to pay. Litigants receiving services covered by the fund make a modest co-payment for the services based upon the litigant's ability to pay.

The Family Court Coordinator serves as mediator for the Family Court Mediation Clinic. In 2023, 126 new referrals for services were received through this program: 117 families were

assigned to the Family Court Coordinator. Nine families were assigned to a contractual mediator or parenting coordinator. By the end of 2023, more than three thousand families had been referred to the program since its inception.

- II. <u>Counsel in the Court (Pro Se Assistance)</u>: The District 10 Pro Bono Project began providing on- site services for self-represented parties at the Justice Building in 2010. The weekly walk-in clinic is known as "Counsel in the Court." The District 10 Pro Bono Project coordinates attorney volunteers who provide limited assistance to parties in completing forms and pleadings for family law case types. In 2023, District 10 reported 88 attorney-client conferences were conducted through this program for Monroe County.
- III. <u>Investigation Services</u>: Judges making decisions regarding child custody and parenting time can receive the assistance of an experienced investigator who will gather the necessary information to help the judge make a well-informed decision regarding the child's best interests. The Juvenile Division assists the Civil Division of the Court by conducting investigations in divorce and paternity to provide the Court with information regarding parents and their children. Examples of the information that could be included is information about the child's school or living environment. In 2023, the probation department did not receive any new referrals for investigations in family law cases.

#### **Collaboration with outside agencies:**

#### **District 10 Pro Bono Project**

Address: P.O. Box 8382 Bloomington, IN 47407-8382

**Phone**: 812-339-3610 and (800) 570-1787

Contact Person: Diane Walker

**Intake:** phone intake 9 am to 4 pm, Monday through Friday.

**Services Provided:** Provides civil legal assistance to people who could not

otherwise afford it. A variety of cases accepted including family law, housing, credit

issues, and public benefits. Cost: free for income eligible

#### **District 10 Pro Bono Project:**

http://www.in.gov/judiciary/probono/attorneys/provider/dist10.html

#### COURT SUPPORT PROGRAMS

#### **CASA**

The Monroe Circuit Court has contracted with Family Service Association of Monroe County to provide volunteer Court Appointed Special Advocates to represent the best interests of children involved in CHINS cases.

#### **GUARDIAN AD LITEM**

The child advocacy clinic of the IU School of Law, opened in the Spring of 1996 to train law students to represent the best interests of children as guardian ad litems in custody and visitation cases.

#### PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATIONS

The Center for Behavior Health, by order of the Court, performs psychiatric evaluations on defendants to determine mental sanity at the time of the alleged offense, the defendant's comprehension to stand trial and assist in own defense, the defendant's ability to comprehend punishment for the crime at the time of sentencing, the defendant's need for treatment prior to sentencing, or the defendant's mental/emotional status while incarcerated. The Center for Behavioral Health performs these services at no cost.

#### **MEDIATION**

Parties recognize that litigation can be a long, tedious and expensive process for resolving disputes. At any time during the case process, the court can order, or one or both of the parties can request, that the case be settled by mediation. Mediation is a negotiation facilitated by an acceptable, impartial and neutral third-party who works with the parties to reach a mutually agreeable settlement to the dispute. The Office of Court Services maintains a list of State certified civil and family mediators.

#### AMERICAN WITH DISABILITIES ACT

The ADA requires that the courts perform an assessment of their facilities, programs and services and eliminate both architectural and communication barriers that impede a disabled person's access to the use of a court facility. The courts must "reasonably accommodate" disabled individuals. The Office of Court Services, upon request, provides auxiliary aides to disabled individuals and will consider alternative methods of making court services and programs more accessible

# COURT APPENDIX

Authors (Anticology) All Services (Anticolog	YTD Totals 2023 Judge Geoffrey J. Bradley	4	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		Crimir		13	14	15		16	17	10	10		Juveni 21		22	24	25	ne	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	Civil	27	20	20	40	44	42	42	44	4E	46	47	40	
98   1	Judge Geomey J. Bradley	MR	CF								F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	RF	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT																				$\neg$
Methology Methods (1) (2) (3) (3) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	WC Federa	Murder	Criminal	Class A	Class B			Level 1		Level 3		Level 5	Level 6	Ь	Criminal	ΣŪ	<u>~</u>	- Infractions	All Ordinance Violations	Juvenile	Juvenile	Juvenile	Juvenile	Juvenile Miscellaneous	Juve Pare	Juvenile Protective Order	Civil Plenary (pre	Civil Plenary	Mortgage Foreclosure	0	0	Small Clair	Evic	Domestic	Domestic Rel -	Domestic Children	Reciprical	Mental	< −	Estates	Estates Unsupervised	Estates Miscellaneous	Guar	Guardianship Miscellaneous	Trusts	ď	Expungement	ă L	>	Miscell	TOTAL
Propertional pro																																												GM							
The medical manifest of the control																		1					9					67	27	95							1	1	2	47	160	1	68	1	2	3		1	2	14	641
The medical manifest of the control	B. New Filings		Χ																								Х	55	35	516	113			Х				314		18	169	59	173	3	3	2		1	4	1	1466
Control Control Fig.   Contro	C. Venued In		-,																								Ť	1						1									1	П	П	$\dashv$	Г				2
A MEMBER SINGSOLATION S. M. C. C. T. S. C. T. S.	D. Transferred In																					1	2					5	2	5	3				3			75	Н	1	1		19	П	П	1	Г	П		2	121
PART IN CRESCOSID CASCOS   MAIN   MAI																		1				1	11					128	64	616	246	3			3		1	1 389	2	66	330	60	261	4	5	6	F	2	6	17	2230
E. Pyshay Tifiel  S. Pyshay Ti		MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	СМ	мс	RF	IF	ov	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	СР	PL	MF	СС	СТ	SC	EV	DR	DC	DN	RS	мн	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	GM	TR	РО	ХР	TS	TP	МІ	
G. Sp. Bench Tital  G. Sp.	F. By Jury Trial																					X	X	Х	Х	Х					2	X		X	X	X	X	X	Х	Х	Χ	Χ	X	$\blacksquare$	X	X	X	X	X		2
1. By Bench Disposition  1. Control of the province of the pro																					<del>                                     </del>	1						14		3	2			1			Ť	39	2	3		,,		2	Ĥ	$\stackrel{\wedge}{\rightarrow}$	Ť	-		8	200
Desination of the content of the con	H. By Bench Disposition																						4					29	7	67	30	1		1				281		19	187	60	42	$\Box$	2	2		Ĥ	5	1	740
Confunction of the plane and insisten in a confunction of the plane and insisten in a confunction of the plane and insisten in a confunction of the plane and in a confunction o																					١.							27	25	112	63	1		١.			1	1 2	Н	1			16	1	П	$\dashv$		Ħ		3	254
Confunction of the plane and insisten in a confunction of the plane and insisten in a confunction of the plane and insisten in a confunction of the plane and in a confunction o	J. Default	Х	X	X	Χ	X	x	x	x	Χ	X	Х	x	Х	X	x				X	x	x	X	Х	x	X		7	11	267	12												H	$\sqcap$	$\Box$	$\dashv$	X	x	$\dashv$		297
Under the control of	K. Deferred/Diverted							<u> </u>					^			<u> </u>											Χ	X	x	Х	x	X		x	Х	Х	x	x	х	X	Χ	X	X	П	X	X			X	X	-
N. Olosed														Х						┟ᢚ	<del> </del> ^	<u> </u>						<del>                                     </del>	1		1			_	X	X	X	X	Х	X	X	X		$\Box$	-	~				^	-
N. Closed N. W. N. W.	M. Violations Bureau	Х	Χ	Х	Χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	Χ	Х	Х	Х	_	Х	X				X	X	Х	Х	Х	х	Χ	$\overline{}$	_	_	_	_			_	X	X	X	X	Х	Х	Х	X	-	П	-	X	_	-	_	_	_
Fig. 1. Fig. 1	N. Closed						_	_	Х				X	X		<u> </u>		Х	Х			_	_		-		Ė	1	2	2	2 1	, ·		<u> </u>	,		<u> </u>	48		,,	,,		2	1	Ĥ		Ť	<u> </u>		_	57
P. Olher Olh	O. FTA/FTP	_				_	-	_	Х	_			X	Х		X		Ť	,,		_	_	_	_			Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		X	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Χ	Χ	Х	Х	П	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х	
4. A Cases Represented Liligants  4. A Cases Represented Liligants  5. A Cases Represented Liligants  6. A Cases Represented Liligants  6. A Cases Represented Liligants  6. A Cases Represented Liligants  7. A Cases Represented Liligants  7. A Cases Represented Liligants  8. Cases Represented Liligants	P. Other																																											П	Ħ			Ħ			
R. Venued Out 1 Ven																						1	4					78	45	451	110	3		-			1	1 370	2	23	187	60	185	4	2	2			5	12	1550
ARTIII PENDING ATENDOF MR8																												1		8									П				H	$\sqcap$	П	$\dashv$	Г	Ħ			9
T. TOTAL (Eminus Ominus R minus S)	S. Transferred Out																						1					3		2	2									2			19	П	П	2	Г	П			32
PART IV- OTHER  MR  MR  MR  MR  MR  MR  MR  MR  MR	PART III: PENDING AT END OF	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	МС	RF	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	СР	PL	MF	CC	СТ	SC	EV	DR	DC	DN	RS	МН	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	GM	TR	РО	ХР	TS	TP	MI	
U. Cases Heard By Rep Judge, as S.J. in Court  Court  Cases Heard By Cher S In Reporting Court  Court  Cases Heard By Cher S In Reporting Court  Court  Cases Heard By Cher S In Reporting Court  Court  Cases Heard By Cher S In Reporting Court  Cases W Self Represented Litigants  Cases W Self Represented Litigants  Cases W Self Represented Litigants  Cases Represe	T. TOTAL (E minus Q minus R minus S)																	1					6					46	19	155	134			- 2	3			19		41	143		57		3	2		2	1	5	639
Other Courts	PART IV: OTHER	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	RF	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	СР	PL	MF	CC	СТ	SC	EV	DR	DC	DN	RS	МН	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	GM	TR	РО	ХР	TS	TP	MI	
V. Court				1																																							1		Π					1	3
W. Cases will self-represented Litigants  W. Cases Referred to ADR  V. Cases Referred to ADR  V. Indigent Coursel Appointed																					T		$\Box$					1		1				T					Н				2	$\dashv$	$\sqcap$	$\dashv$	H	H	$\dashv$	_	4
X. Cases Referred to ADR  V. Lindigent Counsel Appointed  V. L							H	l									H	H		╟	1		$\Box$					3	1	3	5			1				3	H	2	11		73	$\neg$	П	$\dashv$	Н	H	$\dashv$	1	102
Z. Interpreter Services Used A. GALICASA Appointed							H						$\dashv$				$\vdash$			╟	$\vdash$		$\vdash$				<u> </u>	8		2	25			$\vdash$				$\vdash$	H	1			H	$\dashv$	$\vdash$	$\dashv$	Н	$\vdash$	$\dashv$	1	37
AA GALCASA Appointed	- "																																												口			П			
																				L			Ш		Ш		L												Ш				$\sqcup$	ப	$\Box$		∟ ً	Ц	$\Box$	ot	]
							<u> </u>	<u> </u>								<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		ــــال			Ш		$\vdash \vdash$		$\vdash$	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>					_	_	Н				ш		ш		Ш.	ш		_	

YTD Totals 2023 Judge Valeri Haughton	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Crimin 11	al 12 13	14	15		16	17	18	19		uvenile 21	22	23 2	4	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34		Civil 36	37 3	18 3	39	40 4	\$1 4	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	
	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6 PC	CM	MC	RF	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM .	JT J	Q	CP	PL	MF	CC	СТ	SC	EV	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD E	S E	U I	EM G	SU G	SM .	R F	PO	XP .	TS 1	TP '	MI	
	Murder	Criminal Felony	Class A Felony	Class B Felony	Class C Felony	Class D Felony	Level 1 Felony	Level 2 Felony	Level 3 Felony	Level 4 Felony	Level 5 Felony	Level 6 Felony Post Conviction	Criminal Misdemeanor	Misc	Red F	Infractions	All Ordinance Violations	Juvenile CHINS	Juvenile Delinque	Juvenile	Juvenile Paternity	Miscellaneous Livenile Term. of	Parental Rights Juvenile Protective	Order	Civil Plenary (pre 1/1/2002)	Civil Plenary	Mortgage Foreclosure		ວົ	Small Claims	Evictions	Domestic	Domestic Children	Domestic Rel - No Children	Reciprical Support	Mental Health	,	Esta Esta	Unit I	Miscellaneous	์ วี   อี	•	Trusts	Protective C	Expr	Tax Sale	Verfied Ta	Miscellaneous	TOTAL
WCL Factors > PART I: BEFORE COURT	1038 MR	155 <b>CF</b>	359 FA		211 FC		679 <b>F1</b>	269 <b>F2</b>	232 F3	195 <b>F4</b>	149 <b>F5</b>	92 351 F6 PC					OV 2	176 JC						8 <b>Q</b>		121 PL		16 CC			12 <b>EV</b>	185 DR		39 DN	37 RS	21 MH	49 5 AD E		4 EU I		3 SU G				25 1 XP -			32 MI	
A. Previously Pending	6	1	0 0	9 12	6	36	15	18	38	36	121	278 1	5 54	_	22	18		30	JD	33	31	JIII .	J. J	*	O.			00	01	50		DIX	DC	DIN	No		AD 1				1	J.W.	× .		18		_		1211
B. NewFilings	1	Χ					2	5	11	23	93	207	6 49	9 60	07 2									$\dashv$	Х							Х								-	-	1	+	+	135	+	+	1	1592
C. Venued In										1	4	7		1	17									1	^							^								_	+		+	$\forall$	_	+	+	2	31
D. Transferred In			1			1	1	1	2	4	6	14	3	3	6 2				_					$\dashv$													+			+	-	+	+	+	3	+	+	1	75
F TOTAL CASES BEFORE COURT (add	7	1	1 (	9 12	6	37	18	24	51	64	224	506 2	1 107	3 65	52 4	18			_				$\top$	1	t							_					$^{+}$			+	1		+	+	156	+	+	15	2909
A through D)  PART II: DISPOSED CASES	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4		F6 PC				IF	ov	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM .	JT J	Q	СР	PL	MF	СС	ст	sc	EV	DR	DC	DN	RS	МН	AD E	S E	:U I	EM G	SU G	SM .	TR F	РО	XP -	TS	TP I	мі	
F. By Jury Trial	1								2					-								X			-	-				X		Х					X Z						_		X				3
G. By Bench Trial	1								1				2 :	2	1 1			^	^	^	^	^ /	^+	`						^		^	^	^	^	^	^ -	^ /	` .	^+	_	1	+	^+		X	^+	+	10
H. By Bench Disposition		1	1 (	6 10	) 4	26	5	1	4	3	8	34	2 14	4 60	)9	2								┪	1															_	+		+	+	137	<del>+</del>	+	9	1010
I. Dismissed				1			1	1	6	9	29	85	1 18	8		_								┪							- 1								+	+	+	+	+	+	3	+	+	2	326
J. Default	Х	Χ	X	x	x	x	Х	X	x	x	Х	ХХ	Х	X				X	x	x	x	X :	x >														-			-		1	+	+	X :	Х	+	+	-
K. Deferred/Diverted				<del>  ^</del>		<u> </u>		^	^	^	^	4	9	1				X				X		<u>`</u>	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Χ	Χ	Х	X :	x :	X	x I	x		X :	_	X	_	X	Х	95
L. Guilty Plea/Admission							5	2	7	7	46	96 X	12	6										Ì	_	Х	X		-	$\frac{x}{x}$		X	X	Х	Х	Х	X	X 2	X	_	χÌ	_	X	_			X		289
M. Violations Bureau	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Χ	Х	ХХ	Х	Х				Χ	Х	Х	Х	X Z	x >	(	_	_	Х	_	_	Х		_	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	X )	X	_	X		_		X			1	
N. Closed	Х	Χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	ХХ	Х	3	38	Χ	Χ	Х		Х	_	_	x >	(																			_	Х					38
O. FTA/FTP	Х	Χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Χ	Х	ХХ	Х	Х				Χ					x >	(	Χ	Х	X .	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Χ	Х	Х	X Z	X )	Χ.	x i	Χ			Х	X	X :	X	Х	
P. Other																																											T	T	T	T			
Q. TOTAL DISPOSED CASES (add F through P)	2	1	1	7 10	4	26	6	4	20	19	83	219	5 55	1 64	18 1	2																													142			11	1771
R. Venued Out																																											T			T			
S. Transferred Out						1	ı		2		3	7	10	6	1 1																																		31
PART III: PENDING AT END OF	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6 PC	CM	MC	RF	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM .	JT J	Q	СР	PL	MF	CC	СТ	SC	EV	DR	DC	DN	RS	МН	AD E	S E	:U I	EM G	SU G	SM .	R F	PO :	XP .	TS T	TP I	MI	
T. TOTAL (E minus Q minus R minus S)	5		:	2 2	2 2	10	12	20	29	45	138	280 1	6 50	6	3 2	16																									1				14			4	1107
PART IV: OTHER	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6 PC	CM	MC	RF	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM .	JT J	Q	СР	PL	MF	СС	СТ	SC	EV	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD E	S E	:U I	EM G	SU G	SM .	R F	PO	XP .	TS T	TP	MI	
U. Cases Heard By Rep Judge, as SJ, in Other Courts									1			1																																					2
V. Cases Heard By Other SJ in Reporting Court												3		1																													T	T	T	T		T	4
W. Cases w/ Self Represented Litigants			1						1	1	3	4	5 1	0	4																												T	T	33	T	T	T	62
X. Cases Referred to ADR																																							1				<u>コ</u>	コ	コ	コ	コ	I	
Y. Indigent Counsel Appointed							Ш	]			_]			┖					_[	_[				_							_]	_[								_[	_		4	_[	$\bot$	4	[	4	_
Z. Interpreter Services Used	$\vdash$		1-		-					_	_		-	+	+			$\vdash$	_	_	-		+		-		_				_		_				_	_	-	_	_	_	+	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	+	+	<u> </u>	
AA GAL/CASA Appointed			1	1	<u> </u>							_		<u> </u>								-	_	ᅫ			+	4.07	-								+						丄	ㅗ		丄	L	+	$\dashv$
YTD Totals 2023																		Court E	Busines	s Rec	ords			Ŀ	Pro Te	m Day:	s	4.07	S	enior Jud	ige Da	ys				14	C	ommis	sioner	Days/\	Neek (	(Yrly a	vg)					$\perp$	

YTD Totals 2023											iminal										Juve																Civil													
Judge Christine Talley-Haseman	1 MR	2 CF	FA	4 FB	5 FC	6 FD	7 F1				11 1 F5 F				15 MC R	16 F   IF							2 23 M .IT			25 2 CP F		27 :		29 CT		31 FV		33 DC	34 DN									43 TR		45 XP	46 TS			
	mix	- 01		10	10	10		12		<del>''</del>	J 1	٠.		/III	me is	Τ"	Ť	۲۲	,0 0	,	<u> </u>	. "	.m   0.	-	+	<del>"</del>		· ·		-	-	LV	_	ь				AU	LU		LIN	00			<u> </u>	+^-	1.0	5	T	1
	Murder	Criminal Felony	Class A Felony	Class B Felony	Class C Felony	Class D	1	Level 2	Level 3 Felony		-	Level 6 reiony	ن ت	Misdemeanor	Criminal	<u> </u>	< :		Juvenile CHINS	Delinquency Juvenile Status	Juvenile	- 5	Miscellaneous Juvenile Term. of	Parental Rights Juvenile Protective	Order Civil Plenary (pre	1/1/2	Civil Plenary	Foreclosure	Ű	ซื	Small Claims	Evictions	Domestic Relations	Domestic Rel - Children	Domestic Rel - No Children	Reciprical Support	Mental Health	Adoptions	Estates	Estates Unsupervised	Estates Miscellaneous	Guardianships	Guardianship	Trusts	Protective Orders	Ex	Tax Sale	Verfied Tax Petition	Σ	TOTAL
WCL Factors > PART I: BEFORE COURT	1038 MR	155 <b>CF</b>	359 FA		211 FC	125 6			232 19 F3 F			2 35 6 P			17 MC R	1 F IF			76 9 JC J		7 146 S JP	6 8	M JT				21 :	24 ·						259 DC		37 RS			50 FS	44 EU		93 GU		126 I TR			128 TS			
A Previously Pending	2	4	2	6	3	10	10	14	13	24	_	209	5	353	29	1	2	7	,0 0	-	<u> </u>	-		-						<u> </u>	-		- Dit	-	- Dit			7.0					-			5	i2			822
B. New Filings		Х					2	4	10	17	52	204	3	512	585									$\top$	1	X			1				Χ					П					T	+	Ι.	1 119	9		3	1512
C. Venued In															28			┪							TÉ													H					T	+		$\dagger$	1		T	29
D. Transferred In						4	1			$\top$	1	4		13	3		2							1		$\top$																	T	+	<u> </u>	1	1		10	39
E. TOTAL CASES BEFORE COURT (add	2	4	2	6	3	14	13	18	23	41	122	417	8	878	645	1	4	$\exists \vdash$			+	T			╁				1									Н					T	T	<u> </u>	1 17:	/3		18	2402
A through D)  PART II: DISPOSED CASES	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3 F	4 F	F5 F	6 P	c c	M	MC R	F IF	01	/	IC J	D JS	S JP	o Ji	м ЈТ	г јо	2 0	CP F	PL I	MF (	cc	ст	sc	EV	DR	DC	DN	RS	МН	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	GM	I TR	РО	XP	TS	ТР	мі	
F. By Jury Trial							+			+								-	x )	( )	( X	/ 3	ΧX	_			_				Х		Х	Χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	_	X		X	X	Х		
G. By Bench Trial							+	+		+	+	+	+	$\dashv$			H	#	^\ /	1	+^	+	1	+	╁	+	+		$\dashv$	1	^		^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	t	+^	<del>  ^</del>	十	X		-	1
H. By Bench Disposition	1	4	2	3	3	13					+	3	1	30	593			$\dashv$						+	-#-	+																	+	+	<del>                                     </del>	135	+		8	796
I. Dismissed							2	2	1	5	21	78	1	169			1	┪			+			╁	╅	+			1		1												十	+	1	+	t		- 1	284
J. Default	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	х	х	X >	( )	X :	( )	(	X	х				x >	<b>(</b> )	( X	( )	ХX	( )					1														t	+		X	X			
K. Deferred/Diverted	,		,,	,	,	,,				1		6	Ì	161					X >				ΧX			x   ;	X :	X Z	x	Х	х		Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	T	Х	X	-	_	Х	Х	168
L. Guilty Plea/Admission				1			4	2	2	8	17	109	(	179												-	-		_	-	Х		Χ	Χ	Х	Х	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х	_	Х		Х		_	Ť	322
M. Violations Bureau	Х	Χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	X	X >	( )	x :	<b>(</b> )	( )	X	Х				X )	<b>(</b> )	( X	( )	ΧX	( )		-	_	-	_	_	Х		Χ	Χ	Χ		Χ	Χ	Χ	Х	Х	Χ		Х			Х			
N. Closed	Χ	Χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	X :	Х	X )	( )	X )	<b>(</b> )	( )	Х	49	Х	Х		X )	<b>(</b> )	( X	( )	ΧХ	( )																					Х		Т			49
O. FTA/FTP	Χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	X	X >	_	X )		( )	X	Х				X )				ΧX	( )		x )	X :	X Z	X	Х	Х		Х	Χ	Χ	Х	Х	Х	Χ	Х	Х	Х	П	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	
P. Other																																															Г			
Q. TOTAL DISPOSED CASES (add F through P)	1	4	2	4	3	13	6	4	3	14	38	196	2	539	642		1																													135	15		13	1620
R. Venued Out																																																		
S. Transferred Out						1		1	3	1	4	14		20	1																															1	Г		1	47
PART III: PENDING AT END OF	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3 F	4 F	F5 F	6 P	c c	M	MC R	F IF	0\	/ .	JC J	D J	S JP	) JI	M JT	ſ JO	2 (	CP F	PL I	MF (	CC	СТ	sc	EV	DR	DC	DN	RS	МН	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	GM	TR	РО	XP	TS	TP	MI	
T. TOTAL (E minus Q minus R minus S)	1			2			7	13	17	26	80	207	6	319	2	1	3																													3	.8		4	735
PART IV: OTHER	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3 F	4 F	F5 F	6 P	C C	CM	MC R	F IF	0\	/ .	JC J	D J	S JP	) JI	M JT	T JO	2 (	CP F	PL I	MF (	CC	СТ	SC	EV	DR	DC	DN	RS	МН	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	GM	TR	РО	XP	TS	TP	MI	
U. Cases Heard By Rep Judge, as SJ, in Other Courts													1	1	1																																			3
V. Cases Heard By Other SJ in Reporting Court																									1				Ī		1							П					T	1	T	1	T		T	
W. Cases w/ Self Represented Litigants								T		1	1	3	1	8	5		1	╙			T	T		1	1				Ť									П					T	T	T .	1 3	л			52
X. Cases Referred to ADR								-	+	+	$\dashv$		+	+			1	╫			+			+	$\dashv$	+	1		1		+							H					T	+	$\vdash$	†	T	$\vdash$	$\vdash$	$\Box$
Y. Indigent Counsel Appointed										╧							Ĺ		1			İ		I		1			╛														I				ľ			
Z. Interpreter Services Used																																											$oldsymbol{ol}}}}}}}}}}}}}$				Г			
AA GAL/CASA Appointed																	1	⅃L						_	╜				_									Ш					丄		丄	丄	'	Щ	丄	ш
YTD Totals 2023																		Co	ourt Bus	siness l	Records	s		⅃	Ρ	ro Tem	n Days	S		s	enior Ju	dge Da	ays				6.5	1 1	Comn	nissior	ner Da	/s/We	ek (Yr	ly avg)						ш

YTD Totals 2023										c	: Crimin	al										Juveni	le														Civil													
Judge Catherine A. Stafford	1 MR	2 CF	FA	4 FB	5 EC	6 ED	7 F1	8 E2			11 E5			14 CM	15 MC	DE		17 OV	18		20 JS		22 JM	23 JT	24 JQ	25 CP	26 PL		28 CC	29 CT	30 SC	31 <b>EV</b>			34 DN	35 PS	36 MH		38 ES					43 TP	44 PO				48 MI	_
	MIL	UF	FA	гв	FC	FD	FI	FZ.	гэ	F-4	гэ	го	FC	CWI	mc	NF.	"	OV	30	30	33	JF	JM	JI	JQ	UF.	FL	IVIE	CC	- CI	30	EV	sı	ьс	DIN .	ro t	WILL	AU	ES	EU	EM	- 60	GWI	- IN	-	^-	-	_	mı	
		nal Felony	A Felony	B Felony	C Felony	lass D Felony	Level 1 Felony	Level 2 Felony	3 Felony	Level 4 Felony	5 Felony	Level 6 Felony	ost Conviction	nal	cellaneous ninal	lag	actions	dinance ions	nile CHINS	nile quency	ille Status	ile Paternit)	ile laneous	ile Term. of al Rights	ile Protective	Penary (pre	lenary	age losure	ction Cases	fort	Claims	ous	stic Relation	stic Rel - en	stic Rel - No en	ciprical Suppor	Jental Health	ions	ates	ss pervised	ates cellaneous	dians hips	dians hip Maneous		ctive Orders	gement	ale	fied Tax Petition	cellaneous	TOTAL
	Murde	Crimi	Class A	Class B	Class C	Class	Leve	Leve	Level 3	Leve	Level 5	Leve	Post (	Criminal Mis demea	Misce	Red Flag	Infrac	All Or Violat	Juver	Juver	Juvenile	Juvenile	Juven	Juven	Juven	Civil F	Civil Pler	Mortg	Collec	Civil Tort	Small	Evictions	Dome	Dome	Dome	Recip	Menta	Adopt	Estat	Estat	Estate Mis ce	Guaro	Guard	Trusts	Protective	Expur	Tax S	Verfie	Misce	
WCL Factors >		155	359			125		269			149		351	39	17		1		176			146	8	124	28		121			148	12	12	185	259	39	37				44	9	93							32	
PART I: BEFORE COURT	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	RF	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	СТ				DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	GM	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI	
A Previously Pending																	5	2		2		1/	2				/		- 1		155	112	234	54	40	3						- 5	$\vdash$	$\dashv$	85	Н	$\vdash$	$\dashv$	-11	/35
B. New Filings		Χ																								Χ					150	748	Χ	191	202							_	$\vdash$	$\dashv$	368	Н	$\vdash$	-	60	1720
C. Venued In							-																											1	1							_	Н	$\dashv$	3	Н	$\vdash$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	5
D. Transferred In  TOTAL CASES BEFORE COURT (add		1								_		_		1				- 1	2			4							1		11	7	34	25	14			1				_7	Н	$\dashv$	47	$\dashv$	$\vdash$	-	- 5	161
E. A through D)		1	<u></u>											1			5	3	2	2 2		21	2				7		2		316	867	268	271	257	3		1				13	Ш	ightharpoonup	503	ш	Ц	_	76	2621
PART II: DISPOSED CASES	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	СМ	MC	RF	IF	ov	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	СР	PL	MF	СС	СТ	sc	EV	DR	DC	DN	RS	МН	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	GM	TR	РО	ХР	TS	TP	MI	
F. By Jury Trial																			Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ		1				Χ		Х	Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ		Χ	Χ	Χ	Х	Χ		1
G. By Bench Trial																		1				4	1				1				130	296	102	57	43	3		1				4			173		Х		35	848
H. By Bench Disposition																		1				10	1				1		1		77	39	126	76	129	2						2		ıT	143	П			7	615
I. Dismissed																				2		1							1		68	307	5	20	24							1	П	П	99	П	П		4	532
J. Default	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ			1	Χ	Х	Х	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ						15	21											П	П		Χ	Χ			37
K. Deferred/Diverted																			Χ	Х	Х	Х	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	Х	Χ		Χ	Х	Х	Х	Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	П	Х	Х	Х	Х	Χ	Χ	
L. Guilty Plea/Admission													Χ													Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	Х	Χ		Χ	Х	Х	Х	Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	П	Х	$\Box$	Х	Χ	Χ		
M. Violations Bureau	Х	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	Х	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ		1		Χ	Х	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	Х	Χ		Χ	Χ	Х	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	П	Х	Χ			Χ		1
N. Closed	Χ	Χ	Х	Х	Χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ			Χ	Χ	Χ	Х	Х	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ																		П	П	Χ	П	П			
O. FTA/FTP	Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ				Χ	Х	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ		Х	Х	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	П	Χ	Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	
P. Other																																											П	П		П				
Q. TOTAL DISPOSED CASES (add F through P)																	1	3		2		15	2				3		2		290	663	233	153	196	2		1				7			415	П			46	2034
R. Venued Out																																	4										П	T	11	П	П		1	16
S. Transferred Out		1												1								1									2	8	1	20	,							3	П	T	25	П	П		12	81
PART III: PENDING AT END OF	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	RF	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	СР	PL	MF	СС	СТ	SC	EV	DR	DC	DN	RS	МН	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	GM	TR	РО	ΧР	TS	TP	MI	
T. TOTAL (E minus Q minus R minus S)																	4		2			5					4				24	196	30	98	54	1						3		П	52	П			17	490
PART IV: OTHER	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	RF	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	СР	PL	MF	CC	СТ	SC	EV	DR	DC	DN	RS	МН	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	GM	TR	РО	ΧР	TS	TP	MI	
U. Cases Heard By Rep Judge, as SJ, in Other Courts																								2							1	1	1	3										l	5	ı	l			13
V. Cases Heard By Other SJ in Reporting Court																															1		4	7	- 2	2						$\neg$	П	П	11	П	П			25
W. Cases w/ Self Represented Litigants																															52	134		106	126	5						$\exists$	$\sqcap$	T	149	П	П		25	592
X. Cases Referred to ADR																																	2	13	16	5						$\exists$		二			口		⇉	31
Y. Indigent Counsel Appointed			<u> </u>						_	_		_			-		_			1								Ш								1			_			_	Щ	$\dashv$	_	Ш	$\vdash$	$\dashv$	_	_
Z. Interpreter Services Used  AA GAL/CASA Appointed	$\vdash$					-	-		-	-		-			-		-			-				$\dashv$		-										-			+			$\dashv$	Н	$\dashv$	-	$\vdash$	$\vdash$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\dashv$
YTD Totals 2023	ш																		C	D.m.i				$\dashv$		D	C		1.5	H	Caria					$\vdash$	0	H	C		D-	-04:	1. 0/-				ш		$\dashv$	$\dashv$
1 1D 10tais 2023																			Court	Busin	ess Re	coras				Pro	Tem Da	ıyS	1.3	ı l	Senior J	uage D	ays			<u> </u>		ı l	Comm	ISSION	er Day:	i/vveel	k (Yrly	avg)						

YTD Totals 2023										Cri	imina	ıl								_	Ju	/enile	-			-	-	_									Civil													
Judge Mary Ellen Diekhoff	1	2	3	4	5	6	7					12 1			15		6 1			19					24		26	27	28	29	30	31		33	34	35			38				42			45 VD 1			48 MI	_
	MR	CF	FA	ΗВ	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3 F	4 1	-5	F6 P		,M	MC F	3F II	. 0	-	JC	JD ,	JS .	JP J	IM .	JI ,	JQ	CP	PL	MIT	CC	СТ	SC	EV	DΚ g	DC	DN	KS.	МН	ΑU	ES	EU	EM	GU	GM	IK	PO	XΡ	-		MI	
	Murder	Criminal Felony	Class A Felony	Class B Felony	Class C Felony	Class D Felony	Level 1 Felony	Level 2 Felony	Level 3 Felony	Level4	Fe	Level 6 Felony	Ċ	Misdemeanor	50 1	Red riag	All Ordinance	Viola	Juvenile CHINS	Delinque	₹.	Juvenile Paternity Juvenile	Miscel	Parental Rights	Order	Civil Plenary (pre 1/1/2002)	Civil Plenary	Mortgage Foreclosure	Collection Cases	Civil Tort	Small Claims	Evictions	Domestic Relation	Domestic Rel - Children	Domestic Rel - No Children	Reciprical Support	Mental Health	Adoptions	Estates	Unsupervised	Misc	Guardianships	Guardiansnip Miscellaneous	Trusts	Protective Orders	Expungement	F	>	Miscellaneous	TOTAL
PART I: BEFORE COURT	1038 MR	155 <b>CF</b>	359 FA	218 FB	211 FC	125 ED	679 <b>F1</b>					92 35 F6 P		_	17 MC F	F II	= 0						_		28 JQ		121 PL		16 CC	148 CT	12 SC	12 EV	185 DR	259 DC	39 <b>DN</b>	37 PS	21 MH	_	50 ES	_	_	93 <b>GU</b>		126 TR I			128 TS	21 :	32 MI	
A Previously Pending	3	33	11	29	16	97		22	23	31		328		531	21		13	+	00	3D .		, ,			Ju	OI.			00	O.	50		DIC	50	Dit	NO		AU				1	O.III			17	10	7	_	1288
B. New Filings	1	Х					2	3	4	17	44	178	1	506	587	4			T							Χ							Χ						1		1	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\top$	$\exists$	108	$\top$	$\top$	2	1457
C. Venued In										ı					32																											7	$\dashv$	$\top$		=	7	7	T	32
D. Transferred In		2						1	5	1	10	32		23	7	2		T																								7		T		T	$\top$		4	87
E. TOTAL CASES BEFORE COURT (add A through D)	4	35	11	29	16	97	10	26	32	49	149	538	4	1060	647	6	13																									1	T	7	丁	125	T	$\top$	12	2864
PART II: DISPOSED CASES	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3 F	4 F	F5	F6 P	С	CM	MC F	F IF	: 0	v .	JC .	JD .	JS J	IP J	IM .	JT .	JQ	СР	PL	MF	СС	СТ	sc	EV	DR	DC	DN	RS	мн	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	GM	TR I	РО	ХР	TS .	TP	мі	
F. By Jury Trial										7	+					+	т	T	Х	X Z	X :	x )	X .	X Z	Х						Х		Х	Χ	Χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	X	┪	X	X	Х	Х	Х	T	_
G. By Bench Trial										T							T	-				Ť	Ť		Ì												,,				-	$\stackrel{\sim}{+}$	$\exists$	1	Ť	_	X		$\exists$	┪
H. By Bench Disposition	1	34	10	27	14	84		4	6	6	12	38		202	560	2	3		T				Ť																	7	1	1	$\top$	_		103	Ť	_	4	1111
I. Dismissed									2	4	15	59		139																									T			$\exists$	$\exists$	$\top$	$\exists$	$\exists$	T	T		219
J. Default	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	X X	x   )	Χ	X >	( ]	Χ	Х				Х	X Z	X Z	x )	Χ .	X :	Χ																	T				Χ	X			
K. Deferred/Diverted												2		159						X Z		_		X :	Χ	Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ		Х	Χ	Х	Х	Χ	Χ	Х	Х	Χ	Х	П	Χ	Х	Х	X	X :	Х	161
L. Guilty Plea/Admission	1						2	3	4	7	23	120	(	177												Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ		Χ	Χ	Х	Х	Χ	Χ	Х	Х	Χ	X		Χ		Х	X	Х		337
M. Violations Bureau	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	X	X )	X	X >	( )	Χ	Х		1				X 2	x   )	X .	X [	Χ	Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ		Х	Χ	Х	Х	Χ	Χ	Х	Х	Χ	Х	$\Box$	X	Х	Χ	X	Х		1
N. Closed	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	X	X   )	X	X >	(	Χ	60	>	( )	Κ.	Χ			x   )	Χ.		Χ																				Х					60
O. FTA/FTP	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	X	( )	X	X )	(	Χ	Х				Х	$X \mid X$	X Z	x   )	Χ .	X   2	Χ	Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ		Х	Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	Х	Х	Χ	Χ		X	X	Χ	X	X	X	
P. Other																																																		
Q. (add F through P)	2	34	10	27	14	84	2	7	12	17	50	219		677	620	2	4																									1				103			4	1889
R. Venued Out																																																		
S. Transferred Out		1					2		1	3	5	10		16	9	2																														4			1	54
PART III: PENDING AT END OF	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3 F	4 F	F5	F6 P	C (	_	MC F	F IF	0	V	JC	JD .	JS .	JP J	IM .	JT .	JQ	СР	PL	MF	CC	СТ	SC	EV	DR	DC	DN	RS	МН	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	GM	TR	РО	XP	TS	TP	MI	
T. TOTAL (E minus Q minus R minus S)	2		1	2	2	13	6	19	19	29	94	309	4	367	18	2	9	_	_			$\perp$	_			Ц																$\dashv$	_	$\bot$	$\dashv$	18	$\bot$	ightharpoonup	7	921
PART IV: OTHER	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3 F	4 F	F5	F6 P	C (	CM	MC F	F II	0	V	JC	JD .	JS .	JP J	IM .	JT .	JQ	СР	PL	MF	CC	СТ	SC	EV	DR	DC	DN	RS	МН	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	GM	TR I	PO	XΡ	TS	TP	MI	
U. Cases Heard By Rep Judge, as SJ, in Other Courts												2		3																																				5
V. Cases Heard By Other SJ in Reporting Court													1																													T	$\Box$				T		T	1
W. Cases w/ Self Represented Litigants												3		2	7																									1		T	$\forall$	1	寸	27	T	寸	1	40
X. Cases Referred to ADR																																										$\equiv$	コ	<b>ゴ</b>	$\exists$	$\exists$	$\perp$	コ	士	
Y. Indigent Counsel Appointed	$oxed{\square}$						$oxed{\Box}$			4	4	4	1	4	4	$\perp$	Ļ	_ [	_	4	4	$\perp$		4			]		-1									I.	4	_[		4	4	#	$\dashv$	4	4	4	4	4
Z. Interpreter Services Used	$\vdash$		H		<b> </b>	┢	$\vdash$		+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	╬	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	$\vdash$			-		_		H		-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-	+	+	-	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	+	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	+	+	+	$\dashv$
AA GAL/CASA Appointed  YTD Totals 2023	Ш																	<u></u>	and C				+	+	—	D T		_	0.57		· · · · · ·					<del>                                     </del>	1	-	<u>ا</u>		- D				_			ㅗ	+	$\dashv$
110 101013 2023																		C	oun B	usiness	Kecor	us				Lto 16	em Day	/S	0.57	1 3	Senior J	uage D	ays				_ '	Ľ	Commis	ssione	ı Days	vveek	(Yrry a	ıvg)					_	

YTD Totals 2023											Crimina										Juve															Civil												
Judge Kara E. Krothe	MR	2 CF	FA	4 FB	5 FC		7 <b>F1</b>		9 <b>F3</b>		11 1: F5 F			15 MO		16 IF				19 2 JD J:		1 22 P JM		JQ	25 CF	26 PL	MF	28 CC	29 CT	30 SC	31 EV	32 DR	33 DC	34 DN	35 RS	36 ×	37 3 AD E	8 3 <b>S</b> E	9 4 U E	0 4 M G	.1 4:	2 43 M T/	3 4 R P	14 45 PO XF	j 46 P TS	47 TP	48 MI	$\neg$
	urder	riminal Felony	Class A Felony	Class B Felony	Class C Felony	Class D Felony	evel 1 Felony	Level 2 Felony	Level 3 Felony	Level 4 Felony	Level 5 Felony	ost Conviction	riminal	iscellaneous	Criminal Red Flag	nfractions	All Ordinance	iolations	Juvenile CHINS	belinquency	uvenile Satus luvenile Paternity	venile	Iscellan eous Ivenile Term. of Internal Rights	uvenile Protective	ivil Plenary (pre	Civil Plenary	ortgage preciosure	Collection Cases	Civil Tort	Small Claims	Evictions	Domestic Relations	omestic Rel- hildren	Domestic Rel - No Children	Reciprical Support	Aental Health	Adoptions		Insupervised	Miscellaneous	uardians hips uardians hip	Miscellaneous	Irusts Droposing Orders	Protective Orders	Apungement ax Sale	/erfied Tax Petition	Miscellaneous	TOTAL
WCL Factors >	1038	155					679	_	232		149 92		0 2	E ≥ 0		1	<b>∢</b>				, ,	6 8	124	28	12	1 121	<b>≥ i</b> £			12	ш 12		259	39		-	<b>∢ ⊔</b>		⊃ iii 4 §		93	12 12				3 21		
PART I: BEFORE COURT	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5 F	S PC	CM	Mo	C RF	IF	0	٧.	JC .	JD J:	S JP	P JM	I JT	JQ	_	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	EV	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH /	AD E	SE	U E	M G	U G	SM TF	R P	O XF	P TS	TP	MI	
A Previously Pending																9	21		46			8	1	3	3	2 95	46	261	152	6	3	30			3		6			$\perp$	24	$\perp$		15		2	20	1683
B. New Filings		Χ														12	07					38			Х	48	37	433	113		6	Χ		1	15	312											123	2333
C. Venued In																												6																				6
D. Transferred In						1																67				14		4	2			2	4		6	69					3		Т	2			6	180
E. TOTAL CASES BEFORE COURT (add A through D)						1										21	28		46		1	113	1	3	3	2 157	83	704	267	6	9	32	4	1	24	381	6			T	27		T	17		2	2 149	4202
PART II: DISPOSED CASES	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5 F	5 PC	СМ	М	C RF	IF	0	v J	ıc .	JD J	S JP	P JM	ı JT	JQ	CF	PL	MF	СС	СТ	sc	EV	DR	DC	DN	RS	мн	AD E	S E	U E	M G	SU GI	SM TF	R P	O XF	P TS	ТР	MI	
F. By Jury Trial																		1	X ·	X >	ΚX	( X	X	X	╫				1	Χ		Х	Х	Χ	Х	X	X >	( )		X >	x	Х	7	хх	ΧX	X	П	1
G. By Bench Trial																		Ť	18	Ť	+	2	. , ,	<u> </u>		2 1	2	15				1		^		3		Τ	Ť	Ť	1	Ť	Ť	+	X	+	53	98
H. By Bench Disposition															$\top$		3	- -	6		$\top$	42		5		7 18	9	92	11	1	2	25			12	280	5			+	2	$\top$	Ť	9	Ť	2	2 15	546
L Dismissed																3	77		1		+	6		l		53	33	119	76	1	3	3			4	6				+	+	+	$^{\dagger}$	4	+	+	11	697
J. Default	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	χ	X X	ίX	X	X			8	1	X :	X >	ΚX	<del>d</del> x	X	Х	1	3 16	11	278	7		1		7				$\dagger$	+	$\dagger$	+	+	+	$^{+}$	X	₹ X		H	344
K. Deferred/Diverted	,		,,	,,						^		, , ,	1		+	1	72		_	X >					ĺχ	X	Х	Х	Х	Χ		Χ	х	Χ	Χ	X	X >			<b>(</b> )	x	Х			_	X	Х	172
L. Guilty Plea/Admission												Х						T			1	+		1	_	X	Χ		_	Χ		_	_	Χ		_	_	_	+	( )	_	Χ		Х	_	_	Ħ	
M. Violations Bureau	Х	Χ	Х	Χ	Х	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	X >	_	X	X		7	02		X Z	хb	ΚX	( x	X	Х	_	X	Χ		_	X		-	-	Χ	Χ	_	X >	_	_	( )	_	Х		X X	_	_	H	702
N. Closed	Χ	X	Χ	Χ	Х	Х	Χ				XX	_	_	_		X	)			X >			ίX					1								48				Ť	Ť	Ť	_	X	Ť		2	51
O. FTA/FTP	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ			$\overline{}$	X >		_	_					X :					_		X	Х	Χ	Х	Х		Х	Х	Χ	Χ	X :	X >		( )	()	X	Х	_		ΚX	X	Х	
P. Other																					T																						T				П	
Q. TOTAL DISPOSED CASES (add F through P)																12	62		25			50		5	3	2 88	55	505	95	2	6	29			16	337	5	İ		T	3		Ť	13		2	2 81	2611
R. Venued Out																					1					2		4												1			Ť		T		П	6
S. Transferred Out						1											2		21			3		8		2	2	2	3		2	2	1	1		4				T	12		T	1		T	18	85
PART III: PENDING AT END OF	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5 F	S PC	CM	M	C RF	IF	0	v .	JC .	JD J	S JP	P JM	ı JT	JQ	CF	PL	MF	СС	СТ	SC	EV	DR	DC	DN	RS	мн	AD E	S E	U E	M G	U G	SM TF	R P	O XF	P TS	TP	MI	
T. TOTAL (E minus Q minus R minus S)																8	64					60				65	26	193	169	4	1	1	3		8	40	1				12			3			50	1500
PART IV: OTHER	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5 F	S PC	CM	Mo	C RF	IF	0	v .	JC .	JD J:	S JF	P JM	ı JT	JQ	CF	PL	MF	CC	СТ	SC	EV	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH /	AD E	S E	U E	W G	iU G	SM TF	R P	O XF	P TS	TP	MI	
U. Cases Heard By Rep Judge, as SJ, in Other Courts										Ī												1						1																			1	3
V. Cases Heard By Other SJ in Reporting Court													t	T			T	$\dashv$			T	$\top$	Ť	T	1							$\dashv$	$\dashv$			7		Ť	T	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	$\dagger$	Ť	$\top$	$\dagger$	1	$\Box$	$\exists$
W. Cases w/ Self Represented Litigants													l			7	53	1		T	+	12		T	1	2	2	1	5		1	1	1	1		1				$\dagger$	$\top$	$\top$	Ť	$\top$	$\top$	T	50	828
X. Cases Referred to ADR												1	+	+	+	1	+	$\dashv$	+	+	+	6		t	╁	$\vdash$			+	_		1	$\dashv$			$\dashv$	$\top$	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	$\forall$	6
Y. Indigent Counsel Appointed																						$\perp$																I		I	$\perp$	1	I	$\perp$	I			
Z. Interpreter Services Used											_		-	_	-	-	-	-  -	_	_	+	+		1	4								_			_	$\perp$	$\downarrow$	_	+	+	+	4	$\bot$	+	₩	Ш	_
AA GAL/CASA Appointed																		ᆚᆫ		L_	上	丄		_	4			0.53	_							-	+			丄	丄		ㅗ	丄	丄	Щ	Щ	_
YTD Totals 2023																		Co	ourt Bu	siness	Records	S		J	Pro	Tem Da	ays	0.57	S	ienior J	udge Da	ys				2	Co	mmis	sioner l	Jays/V	Veek (	Yrly avç	g)					

YTD Totals 2023					_		_				rimin		40				40					Juve																ivil			,									
Judge Holly M. Harvey	MR	CF	FA	4 FB	5 FC	6 FD	7 F1				11 F5			14 CM		RF		17 <b>OV</b>		3 19 C JE		21 3 JP					25 26 CP PI		7 28 F CC					2 3 R D	3 3 C D					38 39 S EU									48 MI	
WCL Factors a	Murder	Criminal Fe lony	Class A Felony	Class B Felony	Class C Felony	Class D Felony	Level 1 Felony	Level 2 Felony	Level 3 Felony	Level 4 Felony	Level 5 Felony	Level 6 Felony	Post Conviction	Criminal Misdemeanor	Misce llaneous Criminal	Red Flag	Infractions	All Ordinance Violations	Juvenile CHINS	Juvenile	Juvenile Status	Juvenile Paternity	Juvenile	Juvenile Term. of	Juvenile Protective	Civil Plenary (pre	1/1/2002) Civil Plenary	Mortgage	Fore clos ure Collection Cases	Civil Tort	Small Claime	Eviorione	Omeration Polistican	Domestic Rel -	Children Domestic Rel - No	Children	Reciprical Support	Mental Health	Adoptions	Estates Estates	Unsupervised Estates	Miscellaneous	Guardianship	Miscellaneous	Protective Orders	Frotective Orders	Expungement Tax Sale	Verlied Tax Petition	Miscellaneous	TOTAL
PART I: BEFORE COURT	1038 MR	155 <b>CF</b>	359 FA	218 FB	211 FC	125 FD	679 <b>F1</b>		232 F3		149 <b>F5</b>		351 PC	39 CM	17 MC		1 IF	2 <b>OV</b>	17 J0				_				21 12 CP PI			14 C C1		2 1: C E			9 3 C D				-	S EL	_					8 2	5 12 P TS	.0 21		
A Previously Pending																	9				10	1 19	7 7	70	17	1				1				13	1			141	54	5	3		75			18			_	6 695
B. New Filings		Χ													1	1			1	90	50	4	3 18	B8 :	70	1	Χ						)						97	_	+	-	22	+	+	5	1	+	+	641
C. Venued In																				3	3	2		1	1	ΤĽ	Ť						Ť							_	+	+	+	+	+	+	$\top$	+	+	10
D. Transferred In																1			1	01			3		8	╁							1		1					1	+	$\top$	22	+	+	30	$\top$	+	+	168
E. TOTAL CASES BEFORE COURT (add																2	9		3	37 1	13	7 20	3 25	59	96	1		T		1			1	13	2	T	T	141	151	6	3	+	119	T	T	53	1	$\dagger$	T	6 1514
PART II: DISPOSED CASES	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	СМ	мс	RF	IF	ov	JC	) JE	JS	jP	JM	JT	JQ	0	P PI	L MI	F CC	СТ	r s	C E	/ D	R D	C D	N F	RS I	WH A	D E	ES EL	U EN	4 G	U GI	м те	R P	о х	P T	S TP	MI	
F. By Jury Trial																			Х	( x	X	X	X	X	X	╫	+	т		+	>	(	)			7	X .	X Z	x )	ΧХ	( X	( )	$\overline{}$	7	( )	( )	ΧХ	( X		
G. By Bench Trial																				71	4		8 2		24	$\dagger$					<del>'</del>	`	+	+	+	`		^ /	71	2	+	+	5	+		13	X	_	+	222
H. By Bench Disposition																1				34	16	6	8 12	27	1	1		t					1						33	2	1	+	11	$\top$	+	8	1	+	+	305
I. Dismissed																	1			69	15	7	8 4	41 4	44	Ħ													5	+	$\top$		6	$\top$	+	6	T	1	$\top$	232
J. Default	Х	Х	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ				Х	ďχ	X	X	Х	X	X	T														+	$\top$		T	$\top$	+	)	ΧХ		+	
K. Deferred/Diverted																	1		Х	( X	_	_	-		_		ΧХ	( )	( X	( X	( )	(	)	( )		( )	Χ .	X X	X )	ХХ	ďχ	( )	<del>(</del>	7	( )	()	ΧХ	( X	ίX	1
L. Guilty Plea/Admission													Χ								17						ΧХ	( )	( X	ĺχ	( )		)	_		_		X Z	X )	ΧХ	( x	( )	₹T	X			ΧХ	( X	_	17
M. Violations Bureau	Х	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Х	Х	Х	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ				Х	( X	X	X	Х	X	X	7	ΧХ	( )	( X	( X	( )	(	)	( )	( )	( )	Χ .	X )	x )	ΧХ	( X	( )	< □	Х	( )	( )	ΧХ	( X		
N. Closed	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	1	1	Χ	Χ	Χ	( X	X	X	Х	X	X																		Т		>	<				1
O. FTA/FTP	Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	Х	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ				Χ	( X	X	X	Х	X	X	7	ΧХ	( )	( X	X	( )	(	)	( )	( )	( )	Χ .	X Z	X )	ΧХ	( X	( )	<	У	( )	( )	<   X	( X	ίX	
P. Other																																												Т						
Q. TOTAL DISPOSED CASES (add F through P)															:	2	2		1	74	32	7 8	4 19	92 (	69	1							1						109	4	1		22			27	1			778
R. Venued Out																				1	1																													2
S. Transferred Out																				2	1		8															137	2		T		7	Т		2				159
PART III: PENDING AT END OF	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	RF	IF	٥٧	JO	) JE	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	(	P PI	L MI	F CC	C C1	r s	C E	V D	R D	C D	N F	RS I	MH A	AD E	ES EL	J EN	A G	U GI	M TF	R P	0 X	P TS	3 TF	MI	
T. TOTAL (E minus Q minus R minus S)																	7		1	60	19	11	1 6	57 :	27					1				13	2			4	40	2	2		90			24				ó 575
PART IV: OTHER	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	RF	IF	ov	JO	) JE	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	(	P PI	L MI	F CC	CT CT	r s	C E	V D	R D	C D	N F	RS I	MH A	AD E	ES EL	J EN	M G	U GI	M TF	R P	0 X	P TS	3 TF	MI	
U. Cases Heard By Rep Judge, as SJ, in Other Courts																					1				6						1																			8
V. Cases Heard By Other SJ in Reporting Court																																									Т							Т		
W. Cases w/ Self Represented Litigants																	2		2	43	34	5 1	7 6	63 6	64														22	1	1	T	43	T	T	13	T	T		1 559
X. Cases Referred to ADR																							9	L		1								I	1	1	1	1	2	I	丰	I	1	丰	I	工	工	I	丰	13
Y. Indigent Counsel Appointed  Z. Interpreter Services Used	H				_		$\vdash$		$\dashv$	4	-	+				$\vdash$	-	-	-	+	+	+	$\vdash$	-	+	╬	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++
AA GAL/CASA Appointed							H		-	$\dashv$		+				$\vdash$	$\vdash$		┢	+	+	+	1	+		╫	+	+				+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	十	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
YTD Totals 2023																			Соц	ırt Busi	ness R	Records				Р	ro Tem	Days			Sen	ior Judg	e Days				1	12.93	Co	ommiss	ioner D	)ays/V	Veek (	/rly av	g)	_				

YTD Totals 2023											Crimi							_ •	_			uvenile		_	_			_									Civil													
Judge Emily Salzmann	MR	2 CF	3 FA	4 FB		6 FD					11 F5	12 13 F6 P		4 ·				17 OV	18 JC	.ID	.IS	.IP	.IM	23 .IT	.IO	25 CP	26 PI	27 MF	28 CC			31 FV				35 RS												47 TP		$\neg$
	Murder	Criminal Felony	Class A Felony	Class B Felony	Class C Felony	Class D Felony	Level 1 Felony	Level 2 Felony		Level 4 Felony	Level 5 Felony	Level 6 Felony		anor		Ked riag		Violations	Juvenile CHINS		Juvenile Status	Juvenile Paternity	Juvenile	Term. of Rights	Juvenile Protective Order	Civil Plenary (pre 1/1/2002)	Civil Plenary	Mortgage Foreclosure	Collection Cases	Civil Tort	Small Claims	Evictions	Domestic Relations	Domestic Rel -	Domestic Rel - No Children	Reciprical Support	Mental Health	Adoptions	Estates	Estates Unsupervised	Estates Miscellaneous	Guardianships	Guardianship Miscellaneous	Trusts	Protective Orders	Expungement	Tax Sale	Verfied Tax Petition	Miscellaneous	TOTAL
WCL Factors : PART I: BEFORE COURT	1038 MR	155 <b>CF</b>	359 FA	218 FB		125 FD					149 <b>F5</b>	92 35 <b>F6 P</b> 6			17 MC F		F (	2	176 JC		17 JS		8 JM		28 JQ		121 PL		16 CC	148 CT	12 SC		185	259 DC	39 DN	37 RS		49 <b>AD</b>		44	9	93		126 TR			128 TS		32 MI	_
A Previously Pending	MK	UF.	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6 P	CI	w n	WC F	ir i	14	10	JC	JD 1	JS	JP 14	JM	JI	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	CI	214				UN 41	KS	MH	ΑU	E5	EU	EM	GU	GM	IK	100	XP	15	IP	MI 22	020
		V											+	+	1		615	04	-	-		100				Χ	- 1	- 1		ı i	389		-		57				-			-		Н	379	1		$\dashv$		4903
B. New Filings		Х												+	-	3	010	94		-		100				^	'				309	20	^	0	3/									Н	3/:			_	33	4903
C. Venued In												-	+	+	_			4		-		٥											-		- 1									Н	-	-		-	-	- 8
D. Transferred In  TOTAL CASES BEFORE COURT (add										_		_	-	+			+	_				195							1		4		2 14	23	9	1		1	4			4		Н	15	5			- 8	277
E. A through D)															1	3	629	104		1	$\perp$	315					1	1	2	1	607	31	B 278	126	128	3		2				15		Ш	500	)	Ш	_	84	6116
PART II: DISPOSED CASES	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6 P	CI	M N	AC F	EF II	=	vc	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	СР	PL	MF	СС	СТ	sc	EV	DR	DC	DN	RS	МН	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	GM	TR	РО	ХР	TS	TP	MI	
F. By Jury Trial							П							T				T	Х	Х	Χ	х	Χ	Х	Х						Χ		Х	Х	Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ		Х	Χ	Х	Х	Х	$\Box$	
G. By Bench Trial																		17		T		9									101	5		19	15			2				3		П	127	7	Х		28	416
H. By Bench Disposition															1			5		1		124									185	5	4 171	61	58	2						5		Ħ	150				22	839
I. Dismissed																	729	46		1		11						1	1	1	159	11:	3 18	8	18									H	127	7			8	1240
J. Default	Х	Χ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	XX	, X		x		+	26	Х	Х	Х	Х	Y	Χ	Χ						49	4	7 1						1					H		Х	Y	$\neg$	-	123
K. Deferred/Diverted	^					^		^	^	^	^	<i>/</i>	· /	+	^		335		-+	_	_	-	-		Х	Х	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ		X	Χ	Χ	Х	Y	Y	х	Υ	Χ	Χ		Х	Х	X	-	Χ	Χ	335
L. Guilty Plea/Admission												>	,	+				$\dashv$	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	X	X	X	X	Х	X		X	X	X	X	X	Y	-	X	Х	X		Х	^	X	-	Х	^	$\dashv$
M. Violations Bureau	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	X	Χ	Х	Х	Х	XX	-		Х	1	486	$\dashv$	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Χ	X	X	X	X	Х	Х		X		X	_	-	-	-+	X	X	Х		Х	Х	_	Х	Х		1486
N. Closed	X	X	X		+	-	+	X	_	-	X	XX	_	_	$^{\sim}$	_	( )	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	-		_		-	_	X	^	^	^	^	^			<u> ^</u>	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^		<u>^</u>	X	<u> ^</u>	^	^	-	3
O. FTA/FTP	X	X	X		_	_	-	Х	_	_	Х	XX	_	_	X	+	\ <u> </u>	Ĥ	_	_	_	_	_	_		v	Χ	~	Χ	Χ	Χ		V	Х	V	~	V	Х	v	V	V	V		V		V	V	Χ	$\overline{v}$	$\dashv$
P. Other	^		^	^	^	1^	^	^	^	^	^	^ /	+	1	^+			$\dashv$	<del>^</del>	<del>^</del>	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^	^		^	^	^	^	^	^	4	^	^	^		1	^	^	^	_	^	
TOTAL DISPOSED CASES										-			+	+			+					_																	_					Н				_	_	_
(add F through P)									_		_		+		1	2	550	94	_	-1	4	144	_	4				1	1	1	497	27	229	88	91	2		2			_	8		Ш	404	4	Н	$\dashv$	58	4442
R. Venued Out														4								3											2	1										Ш	11	1				17
S. Transferred Out														_				_1				14					1		1		9		6 6	13	11	1						2		Ш	48	3		_	4	117
PART III: PENDING AT END OF	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6 P	CI	M N	MC F		$\neg$	VC	JC	JD	JS		JM	JT	JQ	СР	PL	MF	CC	СТ	SC	EV	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	GM	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI	
T. TOTAL (E minus Q minus R minus S)																	079	9				154									101	4.	2 41	24	26							5		Ш	37	/			22	1540
PART IV: OTHER	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6 P	CI	M N	MC F	EF I	F (	V	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JΤ	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	СТ	SC	EV	DR	DC	DN	RS	MH	AD	ES	EU	EM	GU	GM	TR	PO	XP	TS	TP	MI	
U. Cases Heard By Rep Judge, as SJ, in Other Courts																						3												3	2										2	2				10
V. Cases Heard By Other SJ in Reporting																						1									5		7	11	2									П	Ģ	9			1	36
W. Cases w/ Self Represented Litigants							П		$\dashv$					1	1	1	851	╗	$\exists$		1	37		1							142	2	B 6	15	39									П	180				25	2323
X. Cases Referred to ADR									$\exists \dagger$	-			$\pm$	+	$\pm$		+	-#	$\dashv$		$\dashv$	10	$\dashv$	$\dashv$											1				+					$\Box$			$\vdash$		$\dashv$	11
Y. Indigent Counsel Appointed																				$\Box$																								П			Ш		$\Box$	
Z. Interpreter Services Used  AA GAL/CASA Appointed	H				-		$\vdash$		-	$\dashv$			-	+				$\dashv$	-		$\dashv$	$\dashv$											-				-	$\vdash$	+					Н		-	$\vdash$	$\dashv$	<del> -</del>	
YTD Totals 2023						1												-	Court B	usines	s Reco	ords	$\dashv$	+		Pro T	em Da	ivs	1.43		Senior J	ludae F	Davs			$\vdash$	26.52	<b>—</b> ,	Commi	ission	er Day:	s/Wee	ek (Yrh	v avo)					$\dashv$	$\dashv$
																		Ľ	oouit D	- GOII 100	U 11000	uo				. 10 1	om De	,, 0	-	ı L	COING C	Jugo L	ruyo					1 17	Junill	iooroll	Day	., vv 60	m (111)	avy)					—	—

YTD Totals 2023										Cri	minal									J	uvenile	е														Civil													
Judge Darcie L. Fawcett	1 MR	2 CF	3 FA						9 10 F3 F4			13 PC		15 MC			17 OV	18 JC	19 .ID	20 .IS	21 .IP	22 .IM	23 .IT	.IO	25 CP	26 PI	27 MF		29 CT					34 DN	35 RS						41 42 SU GN								$\neg$
	mix	- OI				10	-		13 1.	Ϊ.		1.0	- Oil	-	101		01	00	30	-		J.H.	J.			-			01	-				o Ž			AU L			-	-	<u> </u>			Τ	<u> </u>	<u></u>	٦	
	Murder	Criminal Felony	Class A Felony	Class B Felony	Class C Felony	Class D Felony	Level 1 Felony	Level 2 Felony	Level 3 Felony	Level 5 Felony	Level 6 Felony	ost Conviction	Sriminal	Miscellaneous	Red Flag	nfractions	All Ordinance /iolations	Juvenile CHINS	Juvenile Jelinquency	Juvenile Status	Juvenile Paternity	Miscellaneous	arental Rights	Juvenile Protective Order	Sivil Plenary (pre I/1/2002)	Sivil Plenary	Mortgage Foreclosure	Collection Cases	Civil Tort	Small Claims	Evictions			Domestic Rel - N Children	Reciprical Support	Mental Health	Adoptions	Estate s	Insupervised	Miscellaneous	Suardiansnips Suardianship	Miscellaneous	Protective Orders	rotective Order	ĒΙ.	fax Sale	/erfied Tax Petiti	Miscellaneous	TOTAL
WCL Factors >	1038	155	359	218	211	125 6	379 :	269 2	232 19	5 14	9 92	351		17		1	2	176	91	17				28	121	121		16	148	12	12		259	39	37		49 5			9 9		126	6 28	8 2		28 2		32	_
PART I: BEFORE COURT	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD I	F1	F2	F3 F4	4 F:				_	RF	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	CP	PL	MF	CC	СТ	SC	EV	DR	DC	DN	RS	МН	AD E	SE	UE	M G	U GN	M TR	P	O X	KP T	ST	PI	MI	
A Previously Pending		1	4	1	2	21	8	16	12	36	81 22	+		57 2	0	20				$\dashv$	_		4			_							_				_		_	+	+	+	+	+	13	+	+	10	/40
B. New Filings	1	Χ					3	5	9	17	50 20	6	1 5	17 58	9 4					$\perp$			_		Χ							Χ							$\perp$	4	$\bot$	+	4	#	109	4	4	2	1513
C. Venued In														2	5					_																				╧	╧	╧	┸	┵	4	╙	4	L	25
D. Transferred In		1				2				1	1	3		9	6																														1			7	31
E. TOTAL CASES BEFORE COURT (add A through D)	1	2	4	1	2	29	11	21	21	54 1	32 43	8	4 7	83 64	0 4	20																													123			19	2309
PART II: DISPOSED CASES	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD I	F1	F2	F3 F4	4 F:	5 F6	PC	CM	МС	RF	IF	ov	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	СР	PL	MF	СС	СТ	sc	EV	DR	DC	DN	RS	МН	AD E	S E	U E	M G	GU GN	M TR	R PC	о х	KP T	rs T	TP !	мі	
F. By Jury Trial			П	$\neg$			1			Т		1		1				Х	Χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	Χ	П			$\neg$		Х		Х	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	X X	X :	( )	X >	ΧĪ	X	( )	₹ <u></u>	X >	X )	X	T	3
G. By Bench Trial																																										T	T	$\top$	٦)	Х	$\top$	T	
H. By Bench Disposition		2	2	1	2	19				2	2	4	2	32 59	7					T			T																	$\top$	T	$\top$	T	$\top$	116	$\top$	$\top$	10	791
I. Dismissed						1	3	2	3	4	9 8	6	1 1	47	1 1																									+	+	+	T	$\top$	$\top$	$\top$	1	T	258
J. Default	Х	Χ	Х	Х	Х	X Z	х	Х	ХХ	()	( X	X	X	X				Х	Χ	Х	Х	Χ	Х	Χ																	+	+	t	٦,	χ)	x	+		
K. Deferred/Diverted	, ·		,,			,,,,	Ì			Ť	1 !	5	_	53				Х	Χ	-	-	-		Х	Χ	Х	Χ	Х	Х	Х		Χ	Χ	Х	Х	Χ	X X	X :	x   ;	X )	х	X	( )	_	χ)	_	X X	Х	159
L. Guilty Plea/Admission					1		2	7	7	11	42 11	2 X	1	46												-	Χ	_	_	X		X	Х	Χ	Х	Χ	XX	_	-	X )	_	X	_	_	_		X	Ť	327
M. Violations Bureau	Х	Χ	Х	Х	Х	X	х	Х	XX		ĺΧ	+	_	Х				Х	Χ	Х	Х	Χ	х	Х	-	-	Χ	-	_	Х		Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	XX		_	χ)	_	X	_	_	χ)				
N. Closed	Х	Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	X Z	х	Χ	ХХ	( )	_	X	Х	3	5	Χ	Χ		Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	Х	Χ																$\top$	+	$\top$	χ	_	T	T	$\top$	4	39
O. FTA/FTP	Х	Х		-	-	_	-	Х	XX	_	_	+	_	_	_				Χ	_	-	_	_		Χ	Х	Χ	Х	Х	Χ		Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	X X	X :	( )	<i>(</i> )	x	X	( )		X >	x )	X	Χ	
P. Other																																									$\top$	$\top$	T	$\top$	$\top$	$\top$	$\top$		
Q. TOTAL DISPOSED CASES (add F through P)		2	2	1	2	20	6	9	10	17	54 20	8	3 4	79 63	3 1																											T			116		T	14	1577
R. Venued Out																				$\exists$																				$\top$		$\top$	T	$\top$	T	T	$\top$	T	
S. Transferred Out										2	8 1	7		18	5 1					$\exists$																				+	+	+	$\top$	+	1	$\top$	$\top$	1	53
PART III: PENDING AT END OF	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD I	F1	F2	F3 F4	4 F:	5 F6	PC	CM	MC	RF	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	СР	PL	MF	СС	СТ	SC	EV	DR	DC	DN	RS	МН	AD E	S E	U E	M G	GU GN	M TR	R P	о х	(P T	rs T	ГР	MI	
T. TOTAL (Eminus Q minus R minus S)	1		2			9	5	12	11	35	70 21	3	1 2	86	2 2	20																										Т	Π		6	Т		4	679
PART IV: OTHER	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD I	F1	F2	F3 F	4 F:	5 F6	PC	CM	MC	RF	IF	OV	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	СР	PL	MF	СС	СТ	SC	EV	DR	DC	DN	RS	МН	AD E	S	U E	M G	GU GN	M TR	t P	о х	KP T	S T	rP I	мі	
U. Cases Heard By Rep Judge, as SJ, in Other Courts	1										1	1		3																																			6
V. Cases Heard By Other SJ in Reporting Court				7								1		4									1																	$\dagger$	+	$\dagger$	T	$\dagger$	$\top$	$\top$	$\top$	+	5
W. Cases w/ Self Represented Litigants							1				1	5		10	5																									T		T	T	T	23	T	T	1	46
X. Cases Referred to ADR										t	╘									$\exists$																		1		士	I	士	I	土	<u>コ</u>	I	$\pm$	I	
Y. Indigent Counsel Appointed					Į		I	1	I	I										Į	I	I	1		П	I	I			I			I					Ι	I	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	Ŧ	╝
Z. Interpreter Services Used	Н			4	_		4	4		+	$\perp$	1	1	$\perp$	-	1				$\dashv$	-				Щ	_	_	_		_		_	_		H		4	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	4	4
AA GAL/CASA Appointed  YTD Totals 2023	Ш						L								1							$\dashv$	-	_	D		$\dashv$		-		olor F				H	-					<u></u>			ㅗ	ㅗ		_	+	$\dashv$
11D 10(dis 2023																		Court I	Busine	ss Reco	ords				Pro Te	em Day	/S		S	enior Ju	udge Da	iys				4	Co	ommis	sioner	_ays/V	Week (Y	ny avg	j)						

# **QCSR TOTAL COURTS**

YTD Totals 2023												Crimina	ıl						Ī	•			_ Jı	venile		_					_		•							Civ	vil													
	MR.	2 CF	3	_	5 FC	6 FD	7 F1	8 F2						13 PC	14 CM	15 MC	RF	16	17 OV,0		18 JC	19 ID			22 JM	JT			26 PL		28 CC	29 CT	30 SC			33 DC			35 3 RS M		7 38 D ES		39 EU	40 EM	41 GU		43 TR			45 XP	46 TS	47 TP	48 MI	47
	Murder	Criminal Felony	Class A Felony		Class C Felony	Class D Felony	Level 1 Felony	Level 2 Felony	3 Felony	Follow		Felony	Felony	Post Conviction 7	Criminal Misdemeanor	Miscellaneous		Infractions	All Ordinance Violations		Juvenile CHINS		Status	Juvenile Paternity 5	Juvenile Miscellaneous	Juvenile Term. of Parental Rights	Juvenile Protective	Civil Plenary (pre 7/1/2002)	Civil Plenary	Mortgage Foreclosure	Collection Cases	Civil Tort	Small Claims	Evictions		Domestic Rel-	Domestic Rel- No		Reciprical Support				Unsupervised	Estates Miscellaneous	Guardians hips	Guardianship Miscellaneous	Trusts	Protective Orders 7		Expungement	TAX SALE	Verificed Tax Petition	Miscellaneous	TOTAL
WCL Factors				218	211	125	679	_				_	_	351	39	17 MC	D.F.	1	2				_	146 JP	8	124	28	121	121 PL	24	16	148	12	12			39	_	,, -				44	9	93	OH.	126			25	128		32	
PART I: BEFORE COURT	MR	CF-	FA	18	FC	FD 170	F1	F2		86 F		$\overline{}$	F6 1044	PC	<b>CM</b> 1682	MC 9		101	0۷,0	E	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ .	CP	PL	MF	CC	CT 28	SC	EV	723 54	DC	DN	101	_	H A	D ES	f 1	EU	EM .	GU	GM	TR		226	XP	TS	TP	MI	8743
A Previously Pending  B. New Filings	11	X	8 20	48	21	1/0	41	1 /	1		_	239	795	20	2034			0 482		04	190	43	-	245	188	30	- 1	X	104	72	359	28.	5 53	16 .	962 X		2	260	1	-	07	10	163	- 1	196			-	755	422		1 4	245	17137
C. Venued In	3	^					,	, ,	1/	34	14	239	190	-11	2034	10	+	U 462	-	94	190	2	1	141	100	10		٨	104	12	949	221	33	39	702 A	17	1	200	10	020	91	10	107	39	190		3 .	,	- 100	4/2		+1	240	1/13/
	-							-		+	+	4	-1	-					-	4	3	3	2	0		-		Н	_ '		0			+	-	+	-	4	-	+	+	-		-	_ '				+	$\dashv$		$\dashv$		140
D. Transferred In  TOTAL CASES BEFORE COURT (ad			5			8	2	2	2	7	6	18	53		79	2		4	2	1	103	1	1	271		8		Н	19	2	11		5 1	15	10 5	50 5	6	23	7	144	2	2	1		55				95	5		Н	43	1139
E. A through D)	14	5	3 26	48	27	178	52	2 8	89	127	208	627	1899	37	3795	258	7 1	4 583	7 1	107	385	107	8	663	261	109	1	32	293	148	1325	514	93	32 1	195 59	R8 40	6	386	31	911 1	162	72	333	60	437		4 :	5 10	080	578		2 8	396	27167
PART II: DISPOSED CASES	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F	3 F	4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	RF	IF	0۷,0	E	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	СР	PL	MF	CC	СТ	SC	EV	DR	DC	DN	l R	S M	H AI	D ES	1	EU	EM	GU	GM	TR	PO	,	XP	TS	TP	MI	
F. By Jury Trial	1						1	1		2			1		1						Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ		1			- 1	Х	Х	X	Χ	χ		( X	( )	( X		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Х		Χ	Χ	Χ		10
G. By Bench Trial	1									1				2	2		1	1		18	89	4	1	23	25	24		2	16	2	18	- 1	23	11 3	152 14	3 7	6	58		42	76	5			138		2	3	313	2	Χ		125	1795
H. By Bench Disposition	2	5	1 20	41	23	142			5	10	11	22	79	5	408	236	1	2	В	6	40	17		248	128	6	1	7	48	16	160	4	26	15	96 32	13	7	187	16 5	61	38	21	188	60	63		- 2	2 3	312	492		7	76	6753
I. Dismissed			1			1	6	5	5	12	22	74	308	3	643		1	1 110	В	46	70	48	7	26	41	44			80	59	233	140	22	19 4	23 2	7 2	8	42	5	8	5	1			23		Χ	2	236	3		П	32	4042
J. Default	Χ	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	)	( )	(	Х	X	Χ	Χ	Χ			В	27	χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	23	23	22	545	19	6	4	69	1													7	Χ	Χ	П		801
K. Deferred/Diverted											1	1	17		564			50	В		χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	X	Χ	Х	( )	( )	( )	( X		Χ	Χ	Χ		Χ	Х		Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	1091
L. Guilty Plea/Admission	1			1			13	3 1	14	20	33	128	437	Χ	628							17						Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Х	Х	X	Χ	χ	( )	( )	( )	( X		Χ	Χ	Χ		Χ			Χ	Χ	Χ		1292
M. Violations Bureau	Χ	Х	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	)	( )	(	Х	X	Χ	Χ	Χ		219	0		χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Х	Х	X	Χ	Х	( )	( )	( )	( X		Χ	Χ	Χ		Χ	Х		Χ	Χ	Χ		2190
N. Closed	Χ	Х	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	)	( )	(	Х	X	Χ	Χ	18	3	Χ	Χ		χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ		1	2	3	1		3	Х	Χ	Χ	( )	Χ	96					2		1	Х				П	6	298
O. FTA/FTP	Χ	Х	Х	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	)	( )	(	Х	X	Χ	Χ	Χ					χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Х	X	Χ	Х	( )	( )	( )	( X		Χ	Χ	Χ		Χ	Х		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	
P. Other																																																						
Q. TOTAL DISPOSED CASES (add F through P)	5	5	1 21	42	23	143	20	2	24	45	67	225	842	10	2246	254	6	4 382	2	97	199	86	8	297	194	74	1	32	169	101	959	206	79	92	940 49	95 24	1	287	21	707 1	119	27	188	60	226		4 :	2 8	861	497		7	239	18272
R. Venued Out																					1	1		3					3		12					6	1												22			П	1	50
S. Transferred Out			2			3	2	2	1	6	6	20	48		71	1	6	4	2	1	23	1		27		8			6	2	5		1	1	16 1	0 3	4	19	1 1	141	2	2			43				79	5		П	37	659
PART III: PENDING AT END OF	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F	3 F	4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	RF	IF	0V,0	E	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	СР	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	EV	DR	DC	DN	l R	RS M	H A	D ES	i	EU	EM	GU	GM	TR	PO	1	XP	TS	TP	MI	
T. TOTAL (Eminus Q minus R minus S)	9		5	6	4	32	30	0 6	64	76	135	382	1009	27	1478	2	5	6 20	3	9	162	19		336	67	27			115	45	349	30:	12	29 :	239 8	37 13	0	80	9	63	41	43	145		168		:	3 1	118	76		2 1	119	8186
PART IV: OTHER	MR	CF	FA	FB	FC	FD	F1	F2	F	3 F	4	F5	F6	PC	CM	MC	RF	IF	0V,0	E	JC	JD	JS	JP	JM	JT	JQ	СР	PL	MF	CC	CT	SC	EV	DR	DC	DN	l R	RS M	H A	D ES	ı	EU	EM	GU	GM	TR	PO	1	ХР	TS	TP	MI	
U. Cases Heard By Rep Judge, as SJ, in Other Courts	1		1							1		1	4	1	7		1					1		4		8					1		ı	1	1	1	6	2							1				7				2	53
V. Cases Heard By Other SJ in Reportin	9												4	1	5									1					1		1			6	1	11 1	8	4							2				20			П		75
W. Cases wPro Se Litigants	L		1				1	1	l	1	2	5	15	6	30	2	1	260	7	₫t	243	84	5	66	63	64		П	5	3	4	10	19	94	163	6 12	1	166	İ	4	22	3	12		116			3	343	114		Ħ	104	4604
X. Cases Referred to ADR													I							1			Ţ	25		$\Box$			8		2	2	5			2 1	4	17			2	1		I	1				I	$\supset$		П	口	98
Y. Indigent Counsel Apptd	1	_	-	Н	_			1	-	$\perp$	4	$\perp$	$\dashv$	4			-	-	-	4		_	$\downarrow$	_		_		$\vdash$				_	-	1	-	1	1	_	_	$\perp$	$\perp$	_	_	_				-	+	$\dashv$		$\vdash$	$\vdash$	
Z. Interpreter Services Used  AA GAL/CASA Appointed	╁	$\vdash$	$\vdash$	$\vdash$				1	-	+	+	+	+	+			+	$\vdash$	-	4	-	$\dashv$	$\dashv$	-	-	$\dashv$	_	Н		Н		-	$\vdash$	+	+	+	╁	+	+	+	+	+		4			-	⊢	+	$\dashv$		$\dashv$	$\dashv$	
YTD Totals 2023	1							1									1		1	ᆘ	Name C	dans.	Descri					<u></u>	D-	Н			Canir	hudaa *		1			-	+												ш	$\dashv$	
110 10(0)3 2023																				C	Court Bu	siness	record	8	!			PTO I	em Day	/2		l	Senior .	Judge D	uyS					_													L	

# PROBATION DEPARTMENT

### MONROE CIRCUIT COURT PROBATION DEPARTMENT

#### **MISSION**

The mission of the Monroe Circuit Court Probation Department is to promote a safer community by intervening in the lives of offenders, holding them accountable, and serving as a catalyst for positive change.



**The Curry Building** 214 West 7<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 200 Bloomington, Indiana 47404 (812) 349-2645



Community Corrections Office 405 West 7th Street, Suite 2 Bloomington, Indiana 47404 (812) 349-2000

www.co.monroe.in.us/probation

#### **CHIEF'S REPORT**

#### By Linda Brady, Chief Probation Officer

The Monroe Circuit Court Probation Department (hereafter "Department") will remember the year 2023 for continuing to adjust to unprecedented staff turnover post-COVID-19 pandemic. Despite staffing challenges, the Department continued to be involved in research projects and implementing changes for the benefit of our clientele.

The year 2023 started with five (5) probation officer vacancies, two (2) field officer vacancies, and one legal secretary vacancy. Several of those full-time positions were vacant 4-5 months. As of March 2023, all full and part-time positions in the Department were filled for the first time in nearly three (3) years. However, the Department was fully staffed with all positions filled for only a three (3) week period. To combat staff turnover in County Government, the Monroe County Council instituted "retention bonuses" for full-time staff. It is difficult to determine the impact of the retention bonus as four (4) full-time probation officers and four (4) field officers left the Department in 2023. Full-time positions continued to be difficult to fill and the year ended with one (1) probation officer vacancy and one (1) field officer vacancy.

The overall COVID-19 environment contributed to 2020 new-case numbers being lower than 2019's numbers. Decreases occurred in nearly all statistical areas including drug tests conducted, breath-alcohol tests conducted, and user fees collected. These decreases continued into 2021, 2022, and 2023.

Since 2019, the Department has been involved in the **Reducing Revocations Challenge** (**RRC**) funded by Arnold Ventures and guided by the City University of New York Institute for State and Local Governance. **RRC Phase I** tasked 10 jurisdictions across the country with determining prominent pathways that lead those experiencing community supervision toward revocation resulting in serving time in jail or prison. Late in 2021, the Department and research partners at Indiana University and George Mason University were chosen as one of only five (5) sites from the RRC Phase I to continue with **Reducing Revocations Challenge** (**RRC**) **Phase II**. The focus of Phase II is to use the knowledge gained in Phase I to advance policy and practice solutions to reduce revocations and maximize community supervision success while protecting public safety. For **RRC Phase II**, the research partners and Department received grant funding to implement the following strategies:

- (1) Strategy 1: Increase fidelity to Motivational Interviewing (MI), Effective Practices in Community Supervision (EPICS), and Effective Case Planning. In 2022, national trainer Melanie Lowenkamp from Core Correctional Solutions provided EPICS training to probation officers (POs). Some experienced POs chose to participate in self-paced EPICS training in 2022 through June 30, 2023. In 2023, Ms. Lowenkamp returned to present Advanced EPICS training to all POs in the Department.
- (2) <u>Strategy 2: Revise Standard Conditions of Probation</u>. The *Conditions of Probation Committee* was assisted by consultant Dr. Brian Lovins, Justice System Partners (JSP). In August 2023, the revised conditions of probation were implemented by the Monroe County Criminal Division judges.
- (3) <u>Strategy 3: Increase the use of incentives and earned early termination from probation supervision.</u> This area is a work in progress and will continue in 2024.

In 2023, Monroe County completed its 40<sup>th</sup> year of receiving grant funding from the Indiana Department of Correction. At the annual Indiana Association of Community Corrections Act Counties conference, our Community Corrections Program was recognized for its **40-year anniversary.** 

The Problem Solving Court Program was accepted into the *Building a Multi-Track Treatment Court Training* in August 2023. The training was delivered by the National Drug Court Institute, *a division of the National Association of Drug Court Professionals*.

The Monroe County Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI) continued work with the "Race, Equity, and Inclusion Committee" in 2023. The Juvenile Division participated in a Racial Equity Impact Assessment (REIA) through our JDAI in 2022. Juvenile probation officers began using this process of inquiry to assess our juvenile probation rules/conditions with assistance from JDAI facilitators from the state. The revised juvenile probation rules/conditions were completed in 2023 and are scheduled to be implemented in 2024. The goal of this effort is to create a more equitable youth justice system and improve outcomes for all youth.

Several JDAI partners from Community Justice and Mediation (CJAM) joined our JDAI Coordinator Christine McAfee and several JDAI Steering Committee members on a "road trip" to tour the **Peace Learning Center** to learn about their restorative justice programs.

In 2023, the **Court Alcohol and Drug Program was recertified** by the Indiana Office of Court Services (IOCS).

Deputy Chief Probation Officer Troy Hatfield received the *Order of Augustus Award* at the 2023 statewide justice services conference. The Order of Augustus is an annual award presented by the Probation Officers Advisory Board to an Indiana probation officer whose commitment and personal dedication have exemplified the ideals and philosophy of John Augustus, the undisputed "father of probation" in America. Troy is the third probation officer from our Department to win this award. Later in 2023, Troy also received the *Sam Houston State University Award*, presented by the National Association of Probation Executives.

#### ADDITIONAL 2023 DEPARTMENTAL HIGHLIGHTS

- <u>Trust-Based Relational Intervention (TBRI) Consultations</u>. All POs in the Department continue to be trained in the use of TBRI. POs also continued to have the opportunity to participate in TBRI consultation sessions with consultant Amy Abell of Hope Alight until May 2023.
- <u>Swearing-in Ceremony.</u> A swearing-in ceremony was held in July 2023 for all newly hired staff members as part of *Probation*, *Parole*, *and Pretrial Awareness Week*.
- State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2023-2024 Justice Partners Addictions Response Grant. The Indiana Supreme Court awarded \$60,000 grant to improve services and outcomes for inmates reentering the community from the Monroe County Jail. The grant funded a Recovery Coach (Centerstone employee) paid contractually via this grant.
- 2023 Indiana Supreme Court Grants:
  - Veterans Court Grant: Indiana Supreme Court awarded funds for the salary and fringe benefits of a probation officer \$71,903 for the calendar year (CY) 2023.
  - o <u>Drug Court Grant (SFY 2023-2024)</u>: Indiana Supreme Court awarded **\$9,998** for Problem Solving Court incentives, chemical tests, and participant transportation (bus passes).
  - o <u>Pretrial Grant</u>: Indiana Supreme Court awarded **\$96,480** for CY 2023 to fund a pretrial probation officer and \$15,000 toward the salary and fringe benefits of a Public Defender.
  - GRAND TOTAL INDIANA SUPREME COURT GRANTS: \$178,381.
- FY 2023 2024 Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI) Grant. AWARD \$70,000.
- **FY 2023-2024 JDAI Bonus Grant.** The Indiana Department of Correction awarded our JDAI **\$62,042** bonus grant dollars, the third time Monroe County's JDAI has received a bonus grant.
- <u>2023 Indiana Department of Correction Community Corrections Grant</u>. Continued grant funding to support community corrections, pretrial services, and problem solving courts. **TOTAL** \$1,528,120.

# 2023 PROBATION DEPARTMENT MEDIA COVERAGE & PRESENTATIONS

- Presentation to the Monroe County Commissioners. Community Corrections programs are required to make a public presentation to the County Commissioners annually. Becca Streit made this year's presentation on March 1, 2023, saved on Cable Access TV (CATS) HERE <a href="https://catstv.net/m.php?q=12168">https://catstv.net/m.php?q=12168</a> Scroll to 25:47 mark.
- Presentation to the Justice Fiscal Advisory County (JFAC) Meeting. August 28<sup>th</sup>. <a href="https://catstv.net/m.php?q=12723">https://catstv.net/m.php?q=12723</a> The Probation presentation starts around the 10:00 mark of the recording. Becca Streit and Steve Malone presented information about how Probation makes referrals to treatment among other topics.
- Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI) Grant Presentation. JDAI Coordinator Christine McAfee, Judge Holly Harvey, and others presented at the Indiana Association of Community Corrections Act Counties on changes to the Formal and Informal Conditions of Probation.
- 48<sup>th</sup> Annual American Probation and Parole Association (APPA) Training Institute New York, NY. Troy Hatfield and our RRC research team presented Revising the Standard Conditions of Probation to Increase the Potential for Success.
- Arnold Ventures Reducing Revocations Challenge (RRC) Summit. Members of Monroe County's RRC Implementation Team participated in a community supervision summit in Minneapolis, MN in October. Team members also served on panels and made presentations about Monroe County's RRC Phase II projects.
- Indiana Daily Student (IDS Article. November 15, 2023. Recovery story of a Monroe County Drug Court graduate. LINK: Everybody deserves to get clean' One Bloomington woman's journey to recovery.

#### DEPARTMENTAL EDUCATIONAL ACTIVITIES

- Race, Equity, and Inclusion (REI) Community Engagement Book Club: JDAI Coordinator Christine McAfee led a book club for the Monroe County JDAI REI Committee in 2023.
- Race, Equity, and Inclusion (REI) Book Library: The JDAI grant funded a "giving library" (not lending) for REI materials, free to JDAI partners and the public. Some of the books purchased and distributed through this initiative include: America for Americans, Atlas of the Heart, Between the World and Me, Biased, Blind Spot, Childhood Disrupted, and Color of Law.
- <u>State-wide Pretrial Staff Orientation</u>: In April and October 2023, Pretrial Services Program Director Chelsea Walters served as a presenter at the state Pretrial Staff Orientation.

#### **DEPARTMENT LEADERSHIP**

<u>National Association of Probation Executives (NAPE)</u> – Chief Probation Officer Linda Brady was reelected to serve on the Board of Directors representing the Central Region of the United States (2012 to present). 2022 marked her 10<sup>th</sup> year serving in this capacity.

<u>Indiana Corrections and Criminal Code Study Committee</u> – Linda Brady was re-appointed as a member of this legislative committee. She has served as a member of this committee since 2014.

<u>Monroe County Community Corrections Advisory Board</u> – Linda Brady continued to serve as vice-chair of the board (1999 to present).

<u>Association of Women Executives in Corrections (AWEC)</u> – Linda Brady has served as a member of this association since 2019.

<u>Executives Transforming Probation and Parole (EXiT)</u> - Linda Brady has served as a member and signatory of this association since 2019.

<u>Probation Officers Professional Association of Indiana (POPAI)</u> – Deputy Chief Probation Officer Troy Hatfield served as President of the state-wide association.

<u>Justice Reinvestment Advisory Council (JRAC)</u> – As POPAI President, Troy Hatfield served as a statutory appointment to the council meetings and sub-committees.

<u>Indiana Pretrial Committee</u> – Troy Hatfield served as an appointee of Indiana Supreme Court Chief Justice Loretta Rush on the state-wide, multidisciplinary Pretrial Committee. He also chaired the pretrial data sub-committee.

<u>American Probation and Parole Association (APPA)</u> – Troy Hatfield served on the Constitution and Bylaws Review Committee of this national association.

National Institute of Corrections (NIC) – Troy Hatfield served on the Pretrial Executives Network.

<u>Indiana Association of Community Corrections Act Counties (IACCAC)</u> – Becca Streit served as chair for the IACCAC and Indiana Department of Correction Evidence Based Practice Education and Training Committee.

<u>Indiana Coalition of Court Administered Alcohol and Drug Programs (ICCADS)</u> –Court Alcohol and Drug Program Director Anthony Williams served as President of ICCADS (2018 to present).

<u>Court Alcohol and Drug Program Advisory Committee (CADPAC)</u> –Anthony Williams served as a member of CADPAC and served on the CADPAC education sub-committee (2018 to present for both).

<u>Indiana Association of Community Corrections Act Counties (IACCAC)</u> – Community Alternative Supervision Program Director Christian Carlisle served as West Central District Chair, serving on the Executive Board.

<u>Monroe County CARES Board</u> – Problem Solving Court Director Steve Malone served as President of the local CARES Board.

<u>Problem Solving Court Education Committee</u> – Steve Malone served on this state-wide committee.

<u>Community Awareness Commission (SUDAC)</u> - Steve Malone served on this local commission.

<u>Monroe County Domestic Violence Coalition</u> – Adult probation officers served on this committee.

<u>Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI) State Team</u> – JDAI Coordinator Christine McAfee served on this state leadership team.

<u>Indiana Collaborative Communication Committee</u> – Juvenile Probation Director Jeff Hartman served on this state committee.

<u>Monroe County Community School Corporation School Safety Committee</u> - Jeff Hartman served on this committee.

<u>Child Fatality Review Team and the Monroe County Child Protection Team</u> – Probation officer Brittany Greiner represented the Department on these teams.

<u>Monroe County Systems of Care</u> – Probation officer Jada Faith represented the Department at these monthly meetings.

#### A NOTE ON THE 2023 ANNUAL REPORT


To better understand information in the tables contained in this report, the word "supervision" needs to be defined. A "supervision" is a community-based supervision requirement that an individual must fulfill as part of a court order. For example, one 'supervision' could be a term of probation and another 'supervision' could be a specified length of time on court-ordered home detention. One individual could be required to complete multiple 'supervisions.' These 'supervisions' could also be required in multiple cases where the individual could be convicted of multiple crimes. Thus, one individual could be referred to the Department in multiple cases and be required to complete multiple 'supervisions' in each of these cases. Though we will include the unique number of individuals referred to each program, for this year's report, we will mostly focus on the characteristics of the supervisions.

#### PROBATION DEPARTMENT EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 2023

- ▶ 2,183 Individuals referred, supervised, monitored, and/or assessed (pre-COVID 2019 = 3,299; 2022: 2,080)
- $\triangleright$  2,862 Criminal and juvenile cases referred, supervised, monitored, and/or assessed (2019 = 4,045; 2022 = 2,727
- ➤ 17 Civil cases referred for investigation or drug testing
- > \$1,901,973 Grant monies obtained
- > \$154,262 Restitution collected on behalf of victims
- ▶ \$653,431 User fees collected; 59% overall user fee collection rate (2022: 62.3%)
- $\geq$  26% Average positive rate for drug tests (2019 = 26%; 2022 = 25%)
- ➤ 1,810 Community service hours completed. At \$7.25 minimum wage, approximately \$13,123 worth of labor was provided by the Community Service Program to local not-for-profit and governmental agencies. (2019 = 7,948 hours; 2022 = 2,625 hours)


	Individuals	Supervisions / Referrals	Offenses	Supervisions / Referrals Closed	Successful Percentage
Juvenile Referrals	268	346	480	343	-
Juvenile Probation	68	68	82	47	75%
Adult Probation	908	953	1,018	1,014	54%
Court Alcohol and Drug Program	525	533	564	564	62%
CASP Level 1 (Work Release)	7	7	9	5	20%
CASP Levels 2-5 (Electronic Monitoring/Home Detention)	130	184	257	178	77%
CASP Levels 6, 8-9 (Curfew/Exclusion Monitoring)	53	53	64	50	66%
CASP Level 7 (Alcohol Detection)	121	121	153	82	75%
CASP Level 10 (Day Reporting)	228	357	455	337	48%
CASP Levels 11-12 (Pretrial Only)	922	1,409	2,288	1,320	58%
Juvenile Home Detention	27	36	85	390	92%
Community Transition Program	8	8	11	6	100%
Community Service	152	169	170	163	85%
Drug Treatment Court	20	40	46	36	53%
Reentry Court	13	20	20	9	67%
Mental Health Court	7	15	16	5	0%
Veterans Court	6	8	11	1	0%

# DEPARTMENTAL PROGRAMS SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION RATES\*



<sup>\*</sup>Successful completions pursuant to program rules.

#### ORGANIZATIONAL CHART



### STAFFING, FUNDING SOURCES, AND BUDGETS

The Department is funded by various sources including property and income taxes, user fees, and grants. As of December 31, 2023, the Department employed 81 persons, 56 of whom were probation officers (46 full-time line probation officers, 1 part-time probation officer, and 9 supervisory/management-level probation officers). Of the 56 probation officer positions, there was one (1) vacant full-time line probation officer position at the end of 2023. In 2023, five (5) probation officers (one part-time), four (4) Community Corrections Field Officers, one (1) support staff member, and eight (8) probation officer assistants left employment with the Department.

#### 2023 Staff Summary (includes vacant full-time positions):

- 1 Chief Probation Officer
- 2 Deputy Chief Probation Officers
- 6 Probation Directors/Supervisors
- 46 Line Probation Officers and 1 Part-time Probation Officer
- 8 Community Corrections Field Officers (Community Alternative Supervision Program, Problem Solving Court)
- 8 Support Staff
- 10 Part-time Probation Officer Assistants

**TOTAL STAFF** 81 employees (71 full time; includes vacancies)

#### FINANCIAL INFORMATION

#### VICTIM RESTITUTION COLLECTED

The Department assists the court in collecting victim restitution by enforcing restitution orders. When a court places a person under the Department's supervision, the person may be ordered to reimburse the victim for any loss incurred. Probation officers ensure that this money is paid by the probationers; however, restitution is collected by the Clerk's Office and is disbursed directly to the victim. The following table indicates the amount collected and disbursed in victim restitution.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
TOTAL	\$143,538	\$153,345	\$170,713	\$131,598	\$154,262

#### PARENTAL REIMBURSEMENTS COLLECTED

The Juvenile Division enforces court orders for parental reimbursements for the cost of care provided to youth placed outside the home. This includes secure detention and other out-of-home placement costs. The total below indicates the total amount of parental reimbursements collected. For the past five (5) years, the judge who oversees juvenile delinquency cases has ordered a decreasing amount of parental reimbursement due primarily to the parents' lack of ability to pay such reimbursements.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
TOTAL	\$458	\$21	\$0	\$0	\$0

#### DEPARTMENTAL FUNDING PLAN

The Department is funded by three (3) primary sources: tax-based funds; user fees; and grants. In past years, up to 50% of the Department's total budget came from user fees.

For many years, user fee collection rates hovered near the 80% mark on average, in part due to defendants paying cash bonds and agreeing to use released bond money to make user fee payments. Since the 2008 recession in the United States, user fee collection rates have declined. The 2016 inception of the Monroe County Pretrial Program resulted in a reduction in the use of cash bonds, and in turn, user fee collection rates have declined.

Due to the reduction in user fee collections, in 2018 the difficult decision was made to end the Road Crew Program as this program was funded solely from Community Corrections User Fees and could not be sustained. The Road Crew Program's last day of operation was August 11, 2018.

For the past several years, the Monroe County Council has increased tax-based funding for Probation Department operations to reduce reliance on user fee funds for basic operation costs. This change in funding has helped to stabilize the user fee funds for the Department.

In 2022, the Board of Judges eliminated optional fees for juvenile probation services.

For the future, the Department is working with the County Council to increase tax-based funding for the Department so that reliance on user fees can be decreased.

#### DEPARTMENTAL GRANT FUNDING

For 2023, the Department was awarded many grants totaling **\$1,901,973**. Grant-award highlights include:

- <u>Indiana Department of Correction (IDOC) Community Corrections Grant</u>: Continued grant funding to support community corrections, pretrial services, and problem solving courts. **TOTAL** \$1,528,120.
- <u>Juvenile Detention Alternative Initiative (JDAI) Grants State Fiscal Year (SFY) 2023-2024</u>: Awarded \$15,000 to coordinate local JDAI efforts plus \$55,000 to fund alternatives to detention (programming). TOTAL= \$70,000.

**Coordination Funds** (\$15,000) – \$3,000 meals for meetings; \$3,000 four (4) Quest licenses; \$2,310 travel expenses for community stakeholders to attend JDAI events/trainings; \$840 Tableau Software License; \$600 Office Supplies; \$5,250 data analysis assistance.

**Programming Funds** (\$55,000) – \$1,000 School supply community event; \$1,500 to support the City of Bloomington Commission on the Status of Child and Youth and the Commission on the Status of Black Males; \$5,000 Care packages for youth and families; \$1,250 Virtual work shop resource bags and Virtual Book Club support; \$1,250 Monroe County Childhood Conditions Summit – Accessibility Services; \$6,300 ADAPT partnership; \$3,000 Continued support of Trust-Based Relational Intervention (TBRI) implementation; \$11,390 Engagement with Laura Furr Consulting to work toward creating opportunities for youth and family engagement; \$7,250 The Warehouse for youth mentoring; \$13,800 Partnership with Girls, Inc.; \$3,260 Engagement with Center for Children's Law and Policy to continue to identify youth diversion opportunities.

- JDAI Performance Bonus Grant (SFY 2023–2024): Awarded \$62,042 in bonus funds due to our excellent progress with JDAI. Bonus grant funding included \$21,042 for TBRI implementation; \$3,500 for Truancy Termination partnership with the Youth Services Bureau; \$7,250 The Warehouse for youth mentoring; \$10,000 for Laura Furr Consulting for youth and family engagement; \$5,250 for Empact Solutions for data analysis assistance; \$10,000 for Quest modifications; \$5,000 Indiana University for survey partnership.
- <u>Veterans Court Grant</u>: The Indiana Supreme Court awarded funds for the salary and fringe benefits of a probation officer \$71,903 for the calendar year (CY) 2023.
- <u>Drug Court Grant (SFY 2023-2024)</u>: Indiana Supreme Court awarded \$9,998 for Problem Solving Court incentives, chemical tests, and participant transportation (bus passes).
- <u>Pretrial Grant</u>: Indiana Supreme Court awarded \$96,480 for CY 2023. Funds Pretrial probation officer (PO) and \$15,000 toward the salary and fringe benefits of a Public Defender.
- Monroe County CARES Grants: \$3,430 awarded for drug testing supplies to support the problem solving courts and skill-based journals for community corrections client.
- <u>Justice Partners Addictions Response Grant (SFY 2023-2024)</u>: Indiana Supreme Court awarded \$60,000 grant to improve services and outcomes for inmates reentering the community from the Monroe County Jail. The grant funds a therapist and a recovery coach who are Centerstone employees, paid contractually via this grant.

The Indiana Department of Correction (IDOC) reimburses the Department for accepting inmates from prison onto early release through the Community Transition Program (CTP). This reimbursement is not a grant per se, rather reimbursement for supervising the former IDOC inmates upon their release from prison for a certain amount of time. In 2023, the IDOC reimbursed the Department a total of \$13,400 for supervising former IDOC inmates placed on the CTP.

#### PROGRAM AND USER FEES

The Department implemented a new fee collection policy in January 2019 to increase the rate of user fee collection. Probationers who pay their fees pursuant to their ability to pay an individualized fee payment plan are eligible to earn incentives such as travel permits, tickets for "fishbowl" drawings for gift cards, and prosocial time (on Home Detention). At year-end 2019, the Department's user fee collection increased by 14% from year-end 2018.

In addition to paying probation officer (PO) salaries, user fees collected by the Department pay for many innovative rehabilitative programs that otherwise would not be possible from the limited county tax funds. A sample of public safety and rehabilitative programs funded through user fees includes:

- Barrier busters, such as bus passes for public transportation to aid clients in reducing barriers to successfully completing requirements of their supervision;
- Electronic monitoring equipment for home detention (alcohol detection units and GPS monitoring devices); and
- PRIME for Life substance abuse education classes and Alcohol and Marijuana Education classes.

Probation user fees also are used to pay for County expenses that would otherwise have to be paid from County tax-based funds, such as:

- Replacement of office equipment;
- General operating expenses such as postage and office supplies (NOTE: county tax-based funds are not a primary source of general operating expenses for the Department; such general operating expenses are supported primarily from grants and user fees); and
- Training: POs are required to have 12 hours of continuing education per year to remain certified.

#### **USER FEE COLLECTIONS**

The Department is responsible for collecting adult and juvenile probation user fees, problem solving court user fees, and Community Corrections program fees. The Monroe County Clerk collects Court Alcohol & Drug (A&D) Program fees, Alcohol and Marijuana Education School (AES) fees, PRIME for Life fees, Drug Court Fees (prior to a 2010 statute changing the fee to a Problem Solving Court fee), and Pretrial Diversion (PDP) Community Service Program fees.

In 2023, user fee collections dropped from 2022 to a total of \$653,431 (more than 9% decrease from 2022 fee collection). The table below indicates the amount of user fees collected.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Court A&D/AES/PRIME	\$206,424	\$153,835	\$118,002	\$141,556	\$129,774
Problem Solving Court	\$13,701	\$17,300	\$21,522	\$23,640	\$14,199
Adult Probation	\$282,200	\$240,510	\$221,218	\$189,862	\$192,520
Juvenile Probation	\$3,106	\$2,747	\$2,050	\$90	\$350
Community Corrections User Fees	\$385,903	\$361,457	\$376,838	\$364,354	\$316,588
TOTALS	\$891,334	\$775,849	\$739,630	\$719,502	\$653,431

#### **USER FEE COLLECTION RATES**

Despite efforts by the Department to collect all fees assessed by the court, some clients do not pay the user fees, program fees, and restitution as directed. In 2023, \$1,063,874 of various assessed fees were unpaid with most of the remaining fees ordered as a judgment against clients/program participants.

The following table represents collection rates for all cases that were closed in 2023 (includes only fees collected in the Department, does not include fees collected by the Monroe County Clerk). Of these closed cases, all assessments were totaled by account and all fees paid or waived were totaled by account to establish a collection rate for each individual account.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Adult Felony Administrative	57.5%	69.6%	63.8%	68.3%	62.7%
Adult Felony Initial and Monthly	47.6%	59.9%	58.1%	56.4%	58.4%
Adult Misdemeanor Administrative	83.5%	88.3%	83.0%	79.3%	76.9%
Adult Misdemeanor Initial and Monthly	78.2%	78.8%	79.3%	77.7%	74.4%
Juvenile Formal Administrative	31.5%	22.7%	23.7%	28.1%	100%
Juvenile Formal Initial and Monthly	26.8%	19.7%	20.8%	24.7%	100%
Juvenile Informal Monthly	51.6%	42.1%	26.5%	20.8%	0%
Problem Solving Court	74.8%	76.1%	66.7%	84.8%	73.7%
CASP Levels 2 & 3 (Old); 2-5 (New in 2016)	52.4%	58.1%	62.5%	60.9%	58.1%
CASP Level 4 (Old); 6 (New in 2016)	64.6%	10.0%	11.8%	100%	55.8%
CASP Level 5 (Old); 10 (New in 2016)	35.3%	36.5%	41.1%	54.9%	40.5%
CASP Level 7	72.4%	59.1%	56.2%	47.6%	60.1%
CASP Levels 8 & 9	27.0%	15.4%	32.9%	37.7%	34.8%
CASP Level 11	41.1%	51.7%	46.5%	51.4%	46.4%
CASP Enhancement	53.8%	65.5%	70.1%	75.6%	74.9%
CASP Initial	63.6%	74.3%	68.9%	73.3%	77.4%
Community Corrections Transfer	62.5%	75.2%	70.6%	56.3%	56.4%
Interstate Compact	91.8%	100%	100%	86.7%	100%
Intrastate Transfer Fees	65.1%	60.9%	64.4%	59.2%	64.2%
Community Service	75.6%	78.1%	93.5%	79.6%	78.3%
Drug Test (Regular Panel)	45.4%	55.4%	53.6%	60.6%	46.3%
Drug Test (Enhanced)	30.5%	45.8%	60.5%	31.4%	18.8%
Drug Test (Problem Solving Court Instant)	64.3%	73.3%	52.9%	80.0%	60.0%
Drug Test (Probation Instant)	31.3%	15.4%	77.4%	29.9%	11.8%
Drug Test (Problem Solving Court Saliva)	51.3%	76.4%	54.2%	83.3%	66.2%
Drug Test (Probation Saliva)	28.2%	34.6%	23.6%	30.3%	32.5%
OVERALL COLLECTION RATE	54.3%	61.2%	60.4%	62.3%	59.0%

#### DEPARTMENTAL FUNDING SOURCES AND BUDGETS

The Department works diligently to find innovative funding opportunities to provide programs and services without having to dip into the strapped county tax-based funds.

The table and chart below outlines the Department's budgets and sources of funds. Each item reflects the amount of funding available during the 2023 fiscal year and may include unspent grant funds awarded in previous years. User fees not spent during the budget year remain in the respective user fee account and do not revert to any other budget/account.

	Taxes (60%)	User Fees (14%)	<b>Grants (26%)</b>
County General Tax	\$2,851,079	-	-
Special Purpose Local Income Tax (Juvenile)	\$973,897	-	-
Public Safety Local Income Tax	\$487,918	-	-
Adult Probation User Fees	-	\$238,339	-
Juvenile Probation User Fees*	-	\$18,883	-
Problem Solving Court User Fees	-	\$50,507	-
Court Alcohol and Drug Program Fees	-	\$180,088	-
Community Corrections User Fees (Project Income)	-	\$545,596	-
County Offender Transportation	-	\$3,000	-
Community Corrections Grant	-	-	\$1,528,120
JDAI Coordination, Alternatives, and Performance Grants	-	-	\$132,042
Veterans Court Grant	-	-	\$71,903
Pretrial Project Grant	-	-	\$96,480
Indiana Supreme Court Grant	-	-	\$9,998
Justice Partners Grant	-	-	\$60,000
CARES Grant	-	-	\$3,430
TOTALS - \$7,251,280	\$4,312,894	\$1,036,413	\$1,901,973

<sup>\*</sup> Effective June 15, 2022, Juvenile Probation User Fees and Juvenile Community Corrections Fees no longer assessed pursuant to revised Fee Order signed by Board of Judges.

#### JUVENILE DIVISION

The Juvenile Division of the Department is responsible for the investigation and supervision of juveniles referred to the Monroe Circuit Court. A juvenile is typically a youth under age 18 at the time of the alleged offense.

Unlike the adult probation system where adult offenders are not generally introduced to the probation system until *after* a conviction, probation is the *starting* place for a juvenile's interaction with the juvenile justice system. All juvenile cases processed through the juvenile justice system begin with a written report, or referral. The Juvenile Division receives referrals from various sources, including law enforcement, parents, schools, businesses, and the public. Juveniles are referred to the Department for committing delinquent acts or status offenses. Delinquent acts are defined as acts that would be crimes if committed by an adult. Status offenses are acts of delinquency that are not crimes for adults, and include truancy, incorrigibility, curfew violation, and runaway.

After the Juvenile Division receives a new referral, a determination is made by the Prosecutor if legal action could be taken. For those referrals where legal action could be taken, the Juvenile Division completes a Preliminary Inquiry investigation into the delinquent act by formally interviewing the juvenile and parents/guardians/custodians. At the conclusion of this investigation, a Preliminary Inquiry report is filed with the Prosecutor which includes recommendations from the juvenile probation officer regarding how the referral should proceed. The recommendations could include a request to waive the case to adult court, request formal filing of a delinquency petition against the juvenile, informally adjust the case, refer the juvenile and/or family to another agency for services, or recommend dismissal of the case.

Supervision of a juvenile occurs if the juvenile's case is approved for an informal adjustment (also known as informal probation) or supervision can occur after a juvenile is found to be delinquent (admission or true finding) by a court and placed on formal probation. Additionally, the Juvenile Division supervises juveniles who have been court-ordered to a placement facility in the best interest of the juvenile. Cases are assigned based on risk, need, and responsivity.

The Juvenile Division ended 2023 with seven (7) full time juvenile line probation officer positions, an evidence based practices probation officer, and a probation supervisor. The probation officer line staff included:

- two (2) probation officers assigned to the Juvenile Intake unit;
- four (4) probation officers supervising a mixed caseload of, pre-adjudication monitoring, formal and informal supervisions at all risk levels (per Indiana Youth Assessment System, IYAS);
- one (1) evidence based practices probation officer; and
- one (1) probation officer who served as the Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI) Coordinator.

At year-end 2023, there were 39 youth under the supervision of the Juvenile Division and another five (5) youth under pre-adjudication supervision.

In 2014, the Juvenile Division became involved with the Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI). This initiative was created by the Annie E. Casey Foundation and is a bipartisan movement for juvenile justice reinvestment. The initiative involves the reallocation of government resources away from mass incarceration and toward investment in youth, families, and communities. Goals of the JDAI include promoting positive youth development and enhancing public safety by eliminating unnecessary or inappropriate confinement. Though driven primarily by the Monroe Circuit Court and the Juvenile Division of the Department, JDAI is a community initiative that requires participation from resources in the community to be effective.

The JDAI has been phenomenally successful in Monroe County. In 2023:

- Average daily detention population = 0.94. Low = 0; High = 5. Total days in 2023 with -0- in detention = 195 (entire month of June, July, and September).
- Average daily shelter population = 0.1. Low = 0; High = 1. Full months with -0- in Shelter Care = 8
- Youth Placed in Residential Placement = 1
- Youth Waived to Adult Court = -0-
- Youth Sentenced to the Indiana Department of Correction = -0-

In 2023, JDAI grant funds were used to support several community engagement opportunities, including providing nerf footballs to Monroe County Sheriff Reserve Deputies to provide to community members at their 2023 County Fair booth, financial (grant) support to The Warehouse to enhance their community center's work-out area and purchase various sporting equipment, support a community back-to-school event sponsored by a local not-for-profit, provided no cost meals and personal hygiene items to community members at Switchyard Park.

Early in the pandemic and local "lockdown," which included the court and probation offices closing for more than two months in 2020, the Juvenile Division began delivering "Care Packages for Kids." This JDAI project started as an easy and safe way to interact with probation-involved youth and their families. Monroe County and other Indiana JDAI jurisdictions received recognition in local and state-wide media for initiating these care packages as an innovative way to maintain contact with juveniles and their families. Care bags are filled with personal hygiene items, seasonally appropriate items, microwaveable meals, and more. Since the 2020 inception of this effort through 2023, more than 135 bags have been provided to system-involved youth. The impact of the Care Bags extends far beyond those 135 bags, as Care Bags are also available to those living in the home who can benefit from the items.

#### JDAI Project Committees: (all committees meet quarterly)

- <u>Steering Committee</u> Discusses progress of the entire JDAI project and the work of all JDAI committees.
- <u>Detention Alternatives and Admissions</u> Committee was formerly Purpose of Detention and Alternatives to Detention Workgroup. The Alternatives and Admissions Committee monitors the use of the Detention Risk Assessment Instrument and Alternatives to Detention.
- <u>Data Workgroup</u> Monitors statistics and provides information to the committees to make datadriven decisions.
- <u>Case Processing Workgroup</u> Works toward greater efficiency in case processing to ensure justice is served in an efficient and just manner.
- Race, Equity, and Inclusion (REI) Work is completed to advance equal opportunities for all and to improve outcomes for children, families, and the community. As part of REI, a REI data workgroup and a community engagement workgroup were formed. The REI data workgroup works to identify areas of disproportionality while the REI community engagement workgroup will assist in identifying community values through community collaboration.

#### 2023 JDAI Alternative Program Highlights:

- <u>Anti-racism Trainings</u> More than 21 hours of training were provided to staff and community members on racial justice.
- <u>Alliances to Disseminate Addiction Prevention and Treatment (ADAPT)</u> The local JDAI initiative joined a partnership with the Indiana University School of Medicine and Centerstone to develop and study the efficacy of substance abuse education through local mental health facilities.
- <u>Center for Children's Law and Policy (CCLP)</u> Collaboration to explore how our community can best support youth and families, and particularly youth and families of color, without relying on referrals to law enforcement and the juvenile justice system. This resulted in the submission of a comprehensive report in 2021 and the work continued in 2022 and 2023.
- <u>Trust-Based Relational Intervention (TBRI)</u> Provided training to leadership and staff regarding the impact of trauma on brain development and behavior.
- Race, Equity, and Inclusion (REI) Assessment of Juvenile Probation Conditions Staff participated in the creation new language for probation conditions while viewing each condition through an REI lens for impact on various populations served. Implementation of these conditions is scheduled to begin in 2024.
- <u>Truancy Termination Partnership Program</u> Provided support to the Youth Services Bureau for incentives for youth and families who demonstrate progress in the program.
- Youth Engagement with Laura Furr Efforts to create a pilot youth and family engagement workgroup moved forward. This workgroup is designed to allow for authentic engagement throughout youth justice reform efforts.
- <u>City of Bloomington Commission on the Status of Children and Youth and Commission on the Status of Black Males</u> Provided financial support (grant) for one day event for middle and high school aged youth to focus on strengths and successes of Black and Latino local and national communities to inspire, support, and motivate youth.
- <u>Monroe County Childhood Conditions Summit</u> Provided financial support to include accessibility services for the summit that includes closed captioning, American Sign Language interpretation, and other supportive options.

#### **JUVENILES REFERRED**


A referral is a written report received from various sources, including law enforcement, parents, schools, businesses, and the public. Juveniles are referred to the Department for committing delinquent acts or status offenses when they are under the age of 18 at the time of the alleged offense. Delinquent acts are defined as acts that would be crimes if committed by an adult. Status offenses are acts of delinquency that are not crimes for adults, and include truancy, incorrigibility, curfew violation, and runaway.

The table below shows the number of individual juveniles for which the Department received a referral. If a juvenile was referred more than once or in more than one case, the juvenile is categorized by the highest level (most serious) referred offense. The table below indicates the total number of referrals received during the year; 268 individual juveniles were referred for 346 referrals (delinquent acts and/or status offenses).

	INDIVIDUALS REFERRED					NUMBER OF REFERRALS				
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Delinquency	171	129	161	165	173	242	164	206	223	223
Status	127	103	138	90	95	154	128	176	109	123
TOTAL	298	232	299	255	268	396	292	382	332	346

The Department began publishing Juvenile referral statistics in 1984. That year, there were 545 referrals. Over the years, referral numbers trended upward, reaching the highest number of referrals in 2012 with 1,297 referrals. The next year, referral numbers dramatically reduced to 713 for 2013 (a 29% decrease). After peaking in 2012, referral numbers have declined gradually and reduced by more than one-half in 2014. The 292 referrals received in 2020 is the all-time lowest number of referrals received since the Department began tracking these numbers. The COVID-19 pandemic may account for low referral numbers in 2020, continuing with low referral numbers in 2021, 2022, and 2023.


#### **JUVENILE REFERRALS RECEIVED, 1984-2023**



	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997
Referrals	545	601	522	598	767	672	825	832	709	761	961	761	867	1,107
	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Referrals	1,205	1,106	1,066	999	1,069	880	962	816	855	958	1,296	1,054	1,098	1,061
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023		
Referrals	1,297	713	577	565	577	640	537	396	292	382	332	346		

# JUVENILE REFERRALS RECEIVED BY AGE AND SEX

The chart below indicates the total number of referrals received during the year broken down by age, sex, and case type.




#### OFFENSE TYPES FOR JUVENILE REFERRALS RECEIVED

Some juveniles are referred for more than one offense at the time the referral was made to the Juvenile Division. The table and chart below illustrate the types of offenses for which a juvenile was referred. A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Weapon	11	9	10	13	6
Violent/Person	111	106	93	135	140
Drug	57	49	80	61	43
Property	84	47	101	68	90
Other	92	42	102	84	68
Status	167	137	188	122	133
TOTAL	522	390	574	483	480


# DELINQUENCY AND STATUS OFFENSE TYPES FOR JUVENILE REFERRALS RECEIVED



# DISPOSITION OF JUVENILE REFERRALS RECEIVED AND PRELIMINARY INQUIRIES

Of the 346 referrals received in 2023, some will be carried over into the next year (3 referrals) depending on when the referral was received. Referrals can be disposed in several ways; some are disposed prior to action from the Juvenile Division at the discretion of the Prosecutor, some are disposed after the completion of a Preliminary Inquiry investigation. The chart below shows how the remaining 343 referrals received in 2023 were disposed.

### JUVENILE REFERRALS DISPOSED



## PRELIMINARY INQUIRIES COMPLETED

	2020	2020	2021	2022	2023
Preliminary Inquiries	214	134	195	179	181

### JUVENILE INTAKE TEAM

The Juvenile Division Intake Team is comprised of juvenile probation officers who meet weekly to review the investigative reports completed on each new referral received and discuss recommendations. The purpose of this review is to address questions or concerns about cases and to ensure consistent application of the risk assessment instrument occurs. The Intake Team review process assists and supports juvenile probation officers as they strive to utilize evidence-based, cost effective, and individualized responses to address delinquent behavior. The chart below shows the number of cases reviewed by the Intake Team.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Cases Reviewed	214	114	160	135	158

### JUVENILES RECEIVED FOR SUPERVISION


The chart below shows the number of individual juveniles placed on formal and informal probation supervision in 2023. If a juvenile was placed on probation more than once or in more than one case, the juvenile is categorized by the highest level of supervision and highest level (most serious) of delinquent offense. Juveniles may be placed under probation supervision multiple times or in multiple cases.

		INDIVID	OUALS RE	CEIVED		SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED				
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Formal Delinquency	28	15	23	14	22	28	19	25	14	22
Formal Status	2	3	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1
Informal Delinquency	20	22	21	16	31	24	22	21	16	31
Informal Status	20	15	19	9	14	20	15	19	9	14
TOTAL	74	55	64	40	68	74	59	66	40	68

## 2023 JUVENILE PROBATION SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED BY AGE AND SEX

		M	ale			Fen	nale		
	Formal		Informal Form		mal Informa		rmal		
	Delinq.	Status	Delinq.	Status	Delinq.	Status	Delinq.	Status	TOTAL
13	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	1	6
14	4	0	3	0	2	0	3	1	13
15	2	0	3	2	0	1	1	3	12
16	6	0	6	0	1	0	4	5	22
17	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	4
18	5	0	4	0	0	0	1	1	11
TOTAL	18	0	20	3	4	1	11	11	68

## 2023 JUVENILE PROBATION SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED BY SEX




## OFFENSE TYPES FOR JUVENILE SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some juveniles are found delinquent (guilty) for more than one offense at the time supervision begins. The table and chart below illustrate the types of offenses for which a juvenile was placed on formal or informal supervision. A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Weapon	1	1	5	3	3
Violent/Person	10	11	7	3	25
Drug	7	14	18	6	9
Property	18	23	19	10	17
Other	27	11	15	16	12
Status	25	19	24	12	16
TOTAL	88	79	88	50	82

# DELINQUENCY AND STATUS OFFENSE TYPES FOR JUVENILE SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED 2023




## JUVENILE PROBATION SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

The following represents the number of juvenile probation supervisions closed in 2023 by the type of discharge. Juveniles could have been discharged from multiple supervisions in multiple cases and each case could have a different type of discharge depending on the final disposition given by a court.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Formal Delinquency	27	33	29	20	18
Formal Status	5	4	0	1	1
Informal Delinquency	20	21	23	21	20
Informal Status	12	19	17	15	8
TOTAL	64	77	69	57	47

## **TOTAL JUVENILE PROBATION SUPERVISIONS CLOSED 2023**



### YEAR END OPEN JUVENILE PROBATION SUPERVISIONS

The following represents the total number of juvenile probation supervisions open at the end of 2023.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Formal Delinquency	29	14	16	9	14
Formal Status	2	1	1	1	1
Informal Delinquency	9	12	11	5	17
Informal Status	9	5	5	1	5
TOTAL	49	32	33	16	37

### YEAR END JUVENILE PROBATION CASELOADS

The following represents the average number of juveniles each juvenile probation officer was supervising or monitoring at the end of 2023.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Non-specialized General Caseload	18	11	11	10	9

### PREDISPOSITIONAL REPORTS

Predispositional Reports (PDRs) are generally completed after a finding of delinquency (guilt) to provide information to a court regarding the juvenile's risk and needs. The information included consists of: the juvenile's delinquency history; personal and family history; school involvement; physical, mental, and substance use history; and an evaluation of the risk the juvenile poses to the community. The chart below provides information on the number of PDRs completed over the past five (5) years.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Predispositional Report	27	14	29	17	31

### CIVIL DIVISION INVESTIGATIONS

The Juvenile Division assists the Civil Division of the Court by conducting investigations in divorce and paternity cases to provide the Court with information regarding parents and their child(ren). Examples of the information that could be investigated is the child's school performance/attendance or the child's living environment. The Courts did not assign any civil investigations in 2020, 2021, 2022, or 2023.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Divorce	2	0	0	0	0
Paternity	5	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	7	0	0	0	0

#### JUVENILE DETENTION

Juveniles placed in secure detention are transported to a detention facility in another Indiana county. Monroe County typically utilizes the Southwest Indiana Regional Youth Village (SWIRYV) in Vincennes. However, due to staffing and capacity issues, the use of detention facilities in Bartholomew, Johnson, and Delaware counties along with temporary housing at the Department of Correction became necessary. The costs listed in the table below were paid in 2023, however these costs could have been for services delivered the previous year due to billing times. The table below shows the total juveniles admitted to secure detention; each juvenile could have been securely detained multiple times.

## **SECURE DETENTIONS**

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Male	24	17	19	38	19
Female	8	6	7	10	4
Total Admissions	46	28	33	48	26
Total Days	688	413	521	874	344
Costs*	\$89,888	\$62,263	\$70,591	\$107,015	\$82,252

A table indicating the daily population of juveniles held in detention can be found in the appendix.

#### JUVENILE SHELTER PLACEMENT

At times the need arises to remove children from their home, but securely detaining the youth is not necessary. When these circumstances arise, the Monroe County Youth Shelter is often utilized though other shelters within Indiana are used when necessary. In 2023, the Juvenile Division authorized four (4) individual youth to be placed in a youth shelter. These four (4) youth (3 male and 1 female) represent four (4) separate placements for a total of 35 days. A table indicating the daily population of juveniles held in shelter can be found in the appendix.

#### JUVENILE RESIDENTIAL PLACEMENT

In addition to the times when a juvenile must be securely detained or placed at a youth shelter, some juveniles require longer-term care outside of their home. These placements include foster care, group homes, residential treatment centers with specialized programming, and inpatient hospital settings. Only (1) juvenile was ordered into out-of-home residential placement by the court in 2023.

#### JUVENILES WAIVED TO ADULT COURT

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
TOTAL	1	2	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	0

### JUVENILE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION COMMITMENTS

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
TOTAL	2	2	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0

<sup>\*</sup>May not include ancillary costs such as: transportation to/from detention and court hearings; medical expenses incurred while in detention; and the payment of staff to supervise youth prior to transport/court.

# INDIANA YOUTH ASSESSMENT SYSTEM AND JUVENILE PROGRAM REFERRALS

As required by the Judicial Conference of Indiana, the Juvenile Division has been utilizing the Indiana Youth Assessment System (IYAS) since 2011. The IYAS is the risk assessment system made up of six (6) instruments to be used at specific points in the juvenile justice process to identify a juvenile participant's risk to reoffend and criminogenic needs, and assist with developing an individualized case management plan. [NOTE: Criminogenic needs are attributes of offenders that are directly linked to criminal behavior. Effective correctional treatment should target criminogenic needs in the development of a comprehensive case plan. Any treatment not targeting criminogenic needs is counter-productive to efficiency and effectiveness.]

**Diversion Tool** - designed to assess a youth's risk to reoffend within the next 12 months and is best used at initial contact for the instant offense to assist in making diversion decisions.

**Detention Tool** - designed to assess a youth's risk to reoffend within the next 12 months and is best used prior to detention to assist in making hold/release decisions and can also be used in making decisions regarding releases from detention.

**Disposition Tool** - designed to assess a youth's risk to reoffend and identify criminogenic needs to assist in making decisions regarding post-adjudication supervision to assist in creating a supervision case plan for the youth. The Disposition Tool also has a screening tool to quickly identify youth who are low-risk and determine if a full risk assessment should be completed.


**Residential Tool** - designed to assess a youth's risk to reoffend and identify criminogenic needs to assist in making decisions regarding level of placement, case planning, and length of stay recommendations.

**Re-entry Tool** - designed to assess a youth's risk to reoffend and identify criminogenic needs to assist in making decisions regarding release, case planning, and length of stay in residential placements.

The following table represents IYAS assessments completed by the type of tool and the percentage of juveniles risking at each level. More than one risk assessment could have been completed on a juvenile during the time a case is open and depending upon the status of each case.

	Assessments	Percentage at Overall Risk Level				
	Assessments Completed  137  21  53  1	High	Moderate	Low		
Diversion Tool	137	5%	78%	17%		
Detention Tool	21	57%	33%	10%		
Disposition Tool	53	13%	38%	49%		
Residential Tool	1	0%	100%	0%		
Reentry Tool	5	40%	20%	40%		

Juveniles placed on supervision are assessed using the Disposition Tool. This tool provides a risk level in each of the seven life domains the tool reports. After the completion of the tool, case plans are formulated to address a juvenile's risk and needs to reduce the likelihood the juvenile will reoffend and/or violate the terms of his/her supervision. The following chart represents the number and percentage of assessments scoring in each of the risk levels – high, moderate, and low for the Disposition Tool.



Upon the completion of a case plan, juveniles, and often their families, are referred to various services and programs in our community. The following table shows the programs juveniles and their families were referred to as part of a case plan and the risk domains these programs address.

Program	Domain(s) Addressed	Referrals Made
Case Management Services (Mental Health)	6	35
Carey Guides/Change Companies (Interactive Journals)	2, 3, 5, 6, 7	12
Counseling – Family Outpatient	2	4
Counseling – Individual Outpatient	6	33
Counseling – Substance Use Outpatient	6	1
Evaluation – Inpatient / Psychological	6	4
Evaluation – Outpatient Psychiatric / Psychological	6	6
Evaluation – Outpatient Psychosexual	6	3
Evaluation – Outpatient Substance Use	6	2
Extracurricular Activity	3, 5	6
Family Preservation	2	7
Graduation Coach Services	4	1
High School Equivalency Classes	4	7
Homemaker / Parent Aid	2	1
Intensive Outpatient Program (Substance Use)	6	1
Intercept Program	4	4
Prime for Life	6	1
Seeking Safety	6	10
Sex Offender / Maladaptive Treatment	6	1
STEP – Shoplifting Theft Education Program	5, 6, 7	2
Teen Intervene	5, 6, 7	19
T.H.R.I.V.E.	6	2
Tutoring / Literacy Classes	4	9
Victim Offender Restoration Program (VORP)	5, 7	31
Wraparound Services	2, 6	1

## ADULT PROBATION DIVISION

The Adult Division of the Department is responsible for the supervision of adult offenders placed on probation and/or referred to the Court-administered Alcohol and Drug Program. Additionally, the Adult Division conducts investigations, evaluations, and assessments on offenders sentenced to supervision and when ordered by a court on defendants prior to a finding of guilt or innocence and/or sentencing.

The Adult Division is comprised of the following units: Adult Intake Unit; Adult Low/Administrative Supervision Unit; Adult High/Moderate Supervision Unit; and Enhanced Supervision Unit (ESU).

There are six (6) POs assigned to the Adult Intake Unit; two (2) Intake POs complete Presentence Investigations and the remaining four (4) Intake POs conduct formal evaluations that include a substance use assessment and risk assessment on newly sentenced offenders. The purpose of these evaluations is to determine an offender's risk and needs so that appropriate referrals for services can be made to promote an offender's successful completion of community supervision.

In August 2023, the Low/Administrative (Admin) Supervision Unit was moved under the supervision of the Court Alcohol and Drug Director from the supervision of the Adult Probation Director. The unit consists of four (4) POs, with two (2) POs assigned to each Court Team. These caseloads are comprised of a mix of clients who are assessed by the Indiana Risk Assessment System (IRAS) as low risk, and/or are being supervised by another jurisdiction as part of the Indiana Intrastate Transfer process or the Interstate Compact System.

The remainder of the general Adult Probation caseload is supervised by High/Moderate Supervision Unit, comprised of eight (8) POs, with four (4) POs assigned to each Court Team. These caseloads are comprised of a mix of clients who were assessed by the IRAS as high and moderate risk. Each Court Team has four (4) POs supervising a high/moderate caseload for two Criminal Court Divisions.

The ESU supervises high risk serious violent felons, sex offenders, clients diagnosed with a serious mental health issues (SMHI) who are not accepted in the Mental Health Court, and offenders convicted of crimes related to domestic violence (DV). The POs assigned to this unit are intended to have smaller caseloads to permit more intensive supervision.

During 2023, the Adult Division was comprised of 23 POs, with eight (8) POs assigned to the High/Moderate Supervision Unit, four (4) POs assigned to the Low/Admin Supervision Unit, five (5) POs assigned to ESU, and six (6) POs (including one part-time PO) assigned to the Adult Intake Unit.

The Adult Probation Division continues to decrease the number of clients supervised by POs to assigned to the Moderate/High Risk caseloads. This differential supervision assignment:

- Allows time for POs to complete a behavioral analysis and an individualized case plan targeting the highest risk and need areas to reduce recidivism;
- Allows POs more time with clients to target criminogenic need areas identified by the IRAS;
- Allows POs time to utilize skill-building and practice with clients;
- Allows for more time for field supervision (homes and workplaces) of high risk clients;
- Allows POs to oversee caseloads that support individual POs' strengths;
- Allows for additional coverage options (during PO absences) within teams as more POs will have working knowledge of cases within each team;
- Allows Supervisors to target coaching of POs toward the needs of each caseload;
- Allows the Department to follow evidence-based practices (EBP) principles by supervising *low* risk offenders with *low* risk supervision practices, which has been shown by research to reduce the likelihood of increasing the risk of low risk offenders;
- Allows for smaller caseloads of Moderate/High risk offenders to provide POs time for more immediate responses to violations including the use of intermediate sanctions versus filing revocation petitions; and
- Allows PO more time to reinforce/incentivize positive client changes.

At year-end 2023, the Adult Supervision Unit caseload averages (persons being supervised per PO):

Enhanced Supervision Unit (ESU) = 31

\*Low/Administrative Caseloads = **167** 

\*High/Moderate Caseloads = **39** 


\*[NOTE: If all non-ESU Court Team cases were to be combined, the average caseload per each of the 12 POs would be 82 probationers per PO.]

## ADULT PROBATION OFFENDERS AND SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

The chart below shows the number of individuals placed on probation supervision in 2023. If an individual was placed on probation more than once or in more than one case, the individual is categorized by the highest level of convicted offense. Persons may be placed under probation supervision multiple times or in multiple cases.

		INDIVID	UALS RE	CEIVED	222     2023     2019     2020     2021     2022       64     483     646     486     462     482       07     425     669     481     533     526					
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Misdemeanor	621	473	444	464	483	646	486	462	482	502
Felony	625	468	505	507	425	669	481	533	526	450
TOTAL	1,246	941	949	971	908	1,315	967	995	1,008	953

# ADULT FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR PROBATION SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED BY SEX AND AGE




# OFFENSE TYPES FOR ADULT PROBATION SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some individual placed on probation supervision are convicted of more than one offense. The table and chart below illustrate the types of offenses for which an individual was placed on probation supervision.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Weapon	28	23	22	21	23
Violent/Person	195	164	204	219	172
Drug	783	550	526	542	499
Property	236	146	166	148	151
Other	220	192	185	168	173
TOTAL	1,462	1,075	1,103	1,098	1,018

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

# MISDEMEANOR AND FELONY OFFENSE TYPES FOR PROBATION SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED 2023




## ADULT PROBATION SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

The following represents the number of adult probation supervisions closed in 2023 by the type of discharge. Offenders could have been discharged from multiple supervisions in multiple cases and each case could have a different type of discharge depending on the final disposition given by a court.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Misdemeanor	622	631	541	498	495
Felony	617	568	586	531	519
TOTAL	1,239	1,199	1,127	1,029	1,014

## **TOTAL ADULT PROBATION SUPERVISIONS CLOSED 2023**



### YEAR END OPEN ADULT PROBATION SUPERVISIONS

The following represents the total number of adult probation supervisions open at the end of 2023.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Misdemeanor	665	520	462	450	480
Felony	1,018	921	869	850	774
TOTAL	1,683	1,441	1,331	1,300	1,254

## YEAR END ADULT PROBATION SUPERVISION CASELOADS

The following represents the average number of clients each adult probation officer was supervising at the end of 2023 by the unit assigned. In 2023, the high-volume Administrative Caseload was dissolved and the cases were reassigned to the four (4) low/administrative probation officers. This allowed for a fifth probation officer to be added to the ESU.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
High / Moderate Caseload	42	40	41	38	39
Low / Administrative Caseload	176	152	115	172	167
Enhanced Supervision Unit (ESU)	41	45	33	27	31
Administrative High-volume Caseload	298	238	299	N/A	N/A

### ADULT PROBATION SUPERVISION TRANSFERS

The Adult Division provides courtesy supervision to felons as well as misdemeanant probationers sentenced in other counties or states and transfers cases to other jurisdictions for courtesy supervision. The Division also accepts transferred cases and send cases to other Indiana Court Alcohol and Drug Programs.

The following represents the number transfer cases by type received or sent during 2023.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Intrastate Transfer Out	211	131	166	125	161
Interstate Transfer Out	17	16	13	11	16
Intrastate Transfer In	190	145	157	182	159
Interstate Transfer In	14	9	14	15	13

### PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATIONS

Presentence investigations (PSI) are conducted when ordered by a court. A PSI can be completed prior to a finding of guilt or innocence or may be conducted after a finding of guilt. PSI reports are required to be completed prior to sentencing in all felony cases except the lowest level felonies, Level 6 (for offenses committed after June 30, 2014) and D Felony (for offenses committed prior to July 1, 2014).

A PSI report is a formal report that provides pertinent information to a court regarding the defendant's risk and needs. The information in the PSI report includes: the defendant's criminal history; personal and family history; physical, mental, and substance use history; and an evaluation of the defendant's risk using the Indiana Risk Assessment System (IRAS).

## PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATIONS CONDUCTED

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Misdemeanor	0	0	0	0	0
Felony	159	97	147	147	107
TOTAL	159	97	147	147	107

### POST-SENTENCE INTAKES EVALUATIONS CONDUCTED

Post-sentence intake evaluations are conducted by Adult Intake Probation Officers after an individual has been sentenced to some form of community supervision by the court. These formal evaluations include a substance use assessment and risk assessment utilizing the IRAS. The purpose of these evaluations is to determine an individual's risk and needs so that appropriate referrals for services can be made to promote successful completion of supervision.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Misdemeanor	664	547	484	467	572
Felony	415	318	336	340	404
TOTAL	1,079	865	820	807	976

## COURT ALCOHOL & DRUG PROGRAM

The Monroe Circuit Court Alcohol and Drug Program is an integral part of the Adult Division of the Probation Department. The Court Alcohol and Drug Program is certified by the Indiana Office of Court Services (IOCS). In 2023, the Program was granted a 4-year re-certification by the IOCS.

The Court Alcohol and Drug Program is administered by the Director who is responsible for the daily operation of the Adult Intake Unit and for ensuring that all staff members receive ongoing training regarding substance related issues. All adult probation officers within the Department are certified as either substance abuse professionals or maintain a Certified Substance Abuse Management (CSAMS) credential and must complete a minimum of 12 hours of continuing education every year to maintain their certification.

Probation officers hired after January 1, 2005 who supervise adult offenders as part of the Court Alcohol and Drug Program must obtain and maintain a Court Substance Abuse Management Specialist credential (CSAMS) within two years of hiring. To obtain the credential, the staff member must have a baccalaureate degree from an accredited university; be at least 21 years of age; have at least nine (9) months of full-time employment experience related to assessment, referral, and case management of clients with substance abuse problems; must complete and document at least 1,500 hours of experience in the assessment of people with substance abuse problems; complete at least 500 hours of a supervised practicum in the areas of assessment, referral and case management of substance abuse clients; complete required training; submit a signed statement to adhere to a code of ethics; must be at least 21 years of age; and take and pass a written exam.

Adult probation officers conduct substance abuse screenings on all new cases referred by the courts for probation, regardless of case type. If the referring offense involved drugs or alcohol, or the offense was somehow related to the use or abuse of such substances, the adult probation officer will perform a more extensive substance abuse assessment; it is these cases that are considered referrals to the Court Alcohol and Drug Program.

Following the completion of the substance abuse assessment, the probation officer develops a case plan for each client. This case plan typically includes a referral to a substance abuse education program or an agency that provides treatment services. The probation officer then monitors the client's compliance with the terms of their individualized case plan.

The Court Alcohol and Drug Program does provide substance abuse education programming, but does not provide any direct treatment services.

# ADULT COURT ALCOHOL & DRUG PROGRAM INDIVIDUALS AND SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

The Court Alcohol and Drug Program is integrated into the Adult Division of the Probation Department. Thus, most adults on probation supervision are also considered referrals to the Court Alcohol and Drug Program for supervision. Some individuals may be placed on supervision multiple times or in multiple cases.

Some cases transferred into Monroe County are only referred for court alcohol and drug program services and are not under probation supervision; in 2023, 11 such cases were received by the Department.


The chart below shows the number of individuals referred for Court Alcohol and Drug Program supervision in 2023. If an individual was placed on Court Alcohol and Drug Program supervision more than once or in more than one case, the individual is categorized by the highest level of convicted offense.

	INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED			SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED						
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Misdemeanor	279	358	291	321	345	481	363	301	325	348
Felony	476	222	228	214	180	288	227	236	220	185
TOTAL	755	580	519	535	525	769	590	537	545	533

<sup>\*</sup>Includes 11 individuals and 11 supervisions for court alcohol and drug program supervision only.

## ADULT FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR COURT ALCOHOL AND DRUG PROGRAM SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED BY SEX AND AGE

The table and chart below indicates the number of Court Alcohol and Drug Program clients received and supervisions received in 2023, both felony and misdemeanor, broken down by sex and age. This represents the characteristics of the client at the time supervision began, which may be reported more than once if the client was placed on probation supervision multiple times or in multiple cases.




# OFFENSE TYPES FOR COURT ALCOHOL AND DRUG PROGRAM SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some clients placed on court alcohol and drug program supervision are convicted of more than one offense. The table and chart below illustrate the types of offenses for which a client was placed on court alcohol and drug program supervision.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Weapon	6	6	7	3	5
Violent/Person	17	24	18	17	18
Drug	710	538	511	518	490
Property	19	11	11	7	8
Other	84	66	49	37	43
TOTAL	836	645	596	582	564

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

# MISDEMEANOR AND FELONY OFFENSE TYPES FOR COURT ALCOHOL AND DRUG PROGRAM SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED




## COURT ALCOHOL AND DRUG PROGRAM SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

The following represents the number of Court Alcohol and Drug Program supervisions closed in 2023 by the type of discharge. Clients could have been discharged in multiple cases and each case could have a different type of discharge depending on the final disposition given by a court.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Misdemeanor	400	475	394	334	328
Felony	278	272	280	242	236
TOTAL	678	747	674	576	564

## TOTAL COURT ALCOHOL AND DRUG PROGRAM SUPERVISIONS CLOSED



## ALCOHOL AND MARIJUANA EDUCATION SCHOOL

The Court Alcohol and Drug Program operates a six-hour substance abuse information class, Alcohol and Marijuana Education School, known as AES. The AES curriculum targets minor first-time alcohol and marijuana offenders and is utilized by the Prosecutor's Office for Pre-Trial Diversion Program participants.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
IU Student	346	195	184	524	367
Non-IU Student	108	40	68	91	102
TOTAL	454	235	252	615	469

## PRIME FOR LIFE

The Department provides a 12-hour substance abuse education program utilizing the cognitive-based Prime for Life Indiana (PRI) curriculum. PRI is offered to second-time Pre-Trial Diversion participants being charged with minor marijuana offenses, minor alcohol-related offenses, and probationers who have been determined to need substance education. The program began in September 2003.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Prosecutor Referrals	95	22	19	26	30
Probation Referrals	100	77	78	94	105
TOTAL	195	99	97	120	135

## **COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PROGRAM**

The Community Corrections Program is a division of the Probation Department. The Community Corrections Executive Director is also a Deputy Chief Probation Officer. The Community Corrections Division (hereafter "Division") is primarily responsible for pretrial monitoring and post-sentence supervision of individuals placed on electronic monitoring (adult and juvenile), home detention, day reporting, and work release (transfers out-of-county). The Division also includes the Community Transition Program, Community Service Program, and the Drug Testing Program. Additionally, Monroe County's Problem Solving Court Program falls under the Division.

The Division employs probation officers who serve as case managers, supervising caseloads of individuals who are court-ordered to complete home detention and/or day reporting through the Community Alternative Supervision Program (CASP). Additionally, the Division employs field officers to conduct field supervision of individuals at their homes, workplaces, and elsewhere.

Funding for the Division comes from several sources including the Indiana Department of Correction (IDOC) community corrections grants, user fees, local taxes, and other grants. A total of \$1,528,120 was awarded to Monroe County by the IDOC for 2023 to fund home detention, day reporting, community service, and pretrial services as well as Mental Health Court and Drug Treatment Court.

In 2023, Monroe County completed its 40<sup>th</sup> year of receiving grant funding from the IDOC. At the annual Indiana Association of Community Corrections Act Counties conference, our Community Corrections Program was recognized for its **40-year anniversary.** The IDOC is a significant funding source through the annual Community Corrections Program grant. As a condition of grant funding, the Community Corrections Program must undergo program audits by the IDOC to determine if the program meets criteria as an "evidence-based organization." Our program scored 99 out of 100 in our most recent audit in 2018. In October 2022, IDOC conducted a new assessment of our Community Corrections Program using the Evidence-Based Correctional Program Checklist-Community Supervision Agency (CPC-CSA) assessment tool developed by the University of Cincinnati Corrections Institute. The assessment took place over one day, on site at Community Corrections, and included file reviews, policy reviews, client appointment observations, and interviews by CPC-CSA assessors with staff and clients. The Community Corrections Program has yet to receive the results of this 2022 assessment.

### COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS ADVISORY BOARD

The Monroe County Community Corrections Advisory Board (CCAB) was established in 1982. In 2021, Judge Mary Ellen Diekhoff was re-elected as CCAB chair and Chief Probation Officer Linda Brady was re-elected as vice chair. The CCAB meets quarterly (January, April, August, and October).

The CCAB consists of the statutorily required members (per IC 11-12-1-2 such as judges, prosecuting attorney, public defender, etc.) as well as appointed members representing local law enforcement, schools, social service organizations, victim, and former offenders.

The CCAB monitors and approves Community Corrections funding, programs, and services. Copies of the minutes from all CCAB meetings are posted on the Department's website and may be requested from the Community Corrections Executive Director.

The Monroe County CCAB also serves at the local Justice Reinvestment Advisory Council (local JRAC). Jurisdictions are required to establish local JRACs per House Bill 1068 (2021) Local or Regional Justice Reinvestment Advisory Councils. The purpose of local JRACs is to review and evaluate system-wide justice services and implement evidence-based practices at each point in the justice system.

# **COMMUNITY ALTERNATIVE SUPERVISION PROGRAM (CASP)**

The Community Alternative Supervision Program (CASP) incorporates a continuum of incentives and sanctions approach to supervision. This continuum allows program staff to administratively move program participants through various levels of supervision intensity, allowing participants to experience immediate rewards for appropriate conduct and immediate consequences for violation of program and probation rules. Such immediate incentives and sanctions help to motivate individuals to successfully complete the required programming in less time, thereby maximizing the staff resources available to supervise existing caseloads.

Historically the CASP was comprised of six (6) levels of supervision. Due to the revised Indiana criminal code and with additional staffing proved by IDOC grant funds, in 2016 the CASP was expanded to 12 levels.

The CASP levels were modified in 2017. All CASP supervision levels listed below are informed by the risk scores as determined by the Indiana Risk Assessment System (IRAS).

Only CASP levels one (1) through five (5), are eligible for participants to earn time credit against their sentence.

#### CASP LEVELS

- <u>Level 1 (Work Release)</u> Out-of-county Work Release may be Court-ordered on a limited preapproved basis. **Targeted Risk:** Moderate to High. Participants must provide own transportation to employment and must pay program fees. Greene County & Morgan County Work Release programs are available for pre-screen acceptance.
- <u>Level 2 (Therapeutic Home Detention with Residential Placement)</u> Targeted Risk: Moderate to High. Placement Factors: Need for residential treatment; need for sober living environment; homeless or unsuitable housing; sanction for CASP noncompliance; prior CASP noncompliance history. Considerations for Level Reduction: Treatment completion; specified by Court Order; upon suitable residence being secured. Housing: Facility costs are participant responsibility; fee assistance may be possible through health insurance and/or state-sponsored programs.
- <u>Level 3 (Enhanced Home Detention with Day Reporting)</u> Targeted Risk: Moderate to High. Placement Factors: Alcohol abuser; chronic unemployed; job search; multiple work/school locations; sanction for CASP noncompliance; prior CASP noncompliance history. Considerations for Level Reduction: Secured employment/enrolled in school; negative substance tests; case plan progress. Day Reporting: Participants report Monday through Friday between 7 am and 9 am or as directed (see Level 10). Level Status Review: High Risk = every 30 days; Moderate Risk = every 2 weeks; Low Risk = weekly.
- <u>Level 4 (Intermediate Home Detention)</u> <u>Level 4 = PRESUMPTIVE starting level for Home Detention (HD).</u> Targeted Risk: Moderate to High. Considerations for Level Reduction: Maintaining employment; maintaining school enrollment; negative substance tests; case plan progress. Level Status Review: First review after participant completes 1/2 executed HD sentence; thereafter, reviews conducted every 30 days.
- <u>Level 5 (Basic Home Detention)</u> **Targeted Risk:** Low to Moderate. **Placement Factors**: In lieu of incarceration; condition of probation; community corrections/ probation violator. **Level Status Review:** If on court-ordered HD, cannot move to lower level to receive credit time.
- <u>Level 6 (Electronic Monitored Home Curfew)</u> Targeted Risk: Low to Moderate. Placement Factors: Condition of probation or pre-trial release; sanction for probation violation. **Presumptive Curfew:** between 9 pm and 6 am or as directed. **Equipment:** Radio Frequency (RF) monitoring with landline or cellular phone.
- <u>Level 7 (Alcohol Detect Electronic Monitoring Soberlink)</u> Targeted Risk: Moderate to High. **Placement Factors**: Alcohol abuser; Condition of probation or pre-trial release; sanction for a probation violation involving alcohol consumption.
- <u>Level 8 (Electronic Monitored Exclusion Zones)</u> <u>Targeted Risk:</u> Moderate to High. <u>Placement Factors</u>: Condition of probation or pre-trial release; sanction for probation violation. <u>Exclusion Zones:</u> Participants ordered to not travel to or be at designated exclusion locations. <u>Equipment</u>: GPS.
- <u>Level 9 (Drive-by Curfew)</u> **Targeted Risk:** Low to Moderate. **Placement Factors**: Sanction for probation violation or as a condition of pre-trial release. **Presumptive Curfew:** Participants are placed on curfew between 9 pm and 6 am (or as directed). **Equipment:** RF electronic monitoring anklet with randomized drive-by checks.
- <u>Level 10 (Day Reporting)</u> Targeted Risk: Low to Moderate. Placement Factors: Sanction for probation violation or as a condition of pre-trial release.
- Level 11 (Pre-Trial Case Management) Targeted Risk: Moderate to high.
- <u>Level 12 (Kiosk Reporting)</u> Targeted Risk: Low. Placement Factors: Condition of probation or pretrial release. Check-in: Participants report to Kiosk as directed, answer set of standard questions.

## ADULT WORK RELEASE INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Misdemeanor	0	0	0	0	1
Felony	1	2	1	7	6
TOTAL	1	2	1	7	7

## ADULT WORK RELEASE SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

<b>∆</b> ge	M	ale	Female		
Age	Felony	Misdemeanor	Felony	Misdemeanor	
20-29	3	0	0	0	
30-39	1	0	0	0	
40-49	0	0	2	1	
TOTAL	4	0	2	1	

## OFFENSE TYPES FOR ADULT WORK RELEASE SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some individuals placed on work release supervision are convicted of or charged with more than one offense.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Weapon	0	0	0	0	2
Violent/Person	0	1	0	3	0
Drug	5	1	2	3	4
Property	0	0	0	2	2
Other	0	0	1	0	1
TOTAL	5	2	3	8	9

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

## ADULT WORK RELEASE SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

	Felony				Misdemeanor					
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Successful Completion	3	1	1	5	1	0	0	0	0	0
Revoked Due to Technical Violations	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	1
Revoked Due to New Offense	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	4	1	1	6	4	0	0	0	0	1


### ADULT CASP LEVELS 2-5 INDIVIDUALS AND SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

The chart below shows the number of individuals placed on CASP Levels 2-5 (electronic monitoring/home detention where credit time could be earned) supervision. If an individual was placed on CASP Levels 2-5 more than once or in more than one case, the individual is categorized by the highest level of convicted offense. Some individuals placed on CASP Levels 2-5 supervision are under supervision for more than one case.

	INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED					SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED				
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Misdemeanor	23	17	36	25	24	67	44	52	34	36
Felony	181	128	128	102	106	276	192	185	126	148
TOTAL	204	145	164	127	130	343	236	237	160	184

## ADULT FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR CASP LEVELS 2-5 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED BY SEX AND AGE

The table below indicates the number of CASP Levels 2-5 supervisions received in 2023 broken down by sex and age. This represents the characteristics of the individual at the time supervision began, which may be reported more than once if the individual was placed on CASP Levels 2-5 multiple times or in multiple cases.




## OFFENSE TYPES FOR ADULT CASP LEVELS 2-5 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some persons placed on CASP Levels 2-5 are convicted of or charged with more than one offense. The table below illustrates the types of offenses for which an individual was placed on CASP Levels 2-5.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Weapon	25	24	11	15	13
Violent/Person	154	108	86	80	74
Drug	187	127	130	70	94
Property	122	73	71	31	22
Other	108	76	61	39	54
TOTAL	596	408	359	235	257

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

# MISDEMEANOR AND FELONY OFFENSE TYPES FOR CASP LEVELS 2-5 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED




## **ADULT CASP LEVELS 2-5 SUPERVISIONS CLOSED**

The following represents the number of adult CASP Levels 2-5 supervisions closed in 2023 by the type of discharge. Individuals could have been discharged from multiple supervisions in multiple cases and each case could have a different type of discharge depending on the final disposition ordered by a court.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Misdemeanor	70	46	43	30	38
Felony	246	198	169	126	140
TOTAL	316	244	212	156	178

## TOTAL ADULT CASP LEVELS 2-5 SUPERVISIONS CLOSED



# ADULT CASP LEVELS 6, 8, 9 INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Civil	0	0	0	0	2
Misdemeanor	3	0	5	8	16
Felony	29	13	14	22	35
TOTAL	32	13	19	30	53

# ADULT CASP LEVELS 6, 8, 9 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

A 00		Male		Female		
Age	Felony	Misdemeanor	Civil	Felony	Misdemeanor	
20-29	9	0	0	1	0	
30-39	12	9	2	1	2	
40-49	7	1	0	3	3	
50-59	1	1	0	0	0	
60 and Above	1	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL	30	11	2	5	5	

# OFFENSE TYPES FOR CASP LEVELS 6, 8, 9 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Offenders placed on CASP Levels 6, 8, and 9 may be convicted of/charged with more than one offense.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Weapon	0	0	2	5	2
Violent/Person	67	23	13	39	29
Drug	27	4	17	8	17
Property	14	2	9	14	3
Other	29	15	6	16	13
TOTAL	137	44	47	82	64

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

# ADULT CASP LEVELS 6, 8, 9 SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

			Felony			Misdemeanor				
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Absconded	5	0	0	0	6	1	1	0	0	0
Successful Completion	13	22	18	18	27	4	1	5	6	6
Unsuccessful Completion	7	11	4	5	5	7	4	1	3	3
Revoked Due to Technical Violations	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0
Revoked Due to New Offense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	25	33	22	24	41	12	6	6	9	9

Two (2) civil cases were closed in 2023. One (1) was closed successfully and one (1) was closed unsuccessfully.

## ADULT CASP LEVEL 7 INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Civil	0	0	0	0	2
Misdemeanor	17	11	23	30	50
Felony	43	33	29	45	69
TOTAL	60	44	52	75	121

## ADULT CASP LEVEL 7 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Aga		Male		Female		
Age	Felony	Misdemeanor	Civil	Felony	Misdemeanor	
20-29	21	15	0	0	0	
30-39	16	6	2	6	2	
40-49	16	10	0	3	4	
50-59	1	7	0	1	2	
60 & Above	5	3	0	0	1	
TOTAL	59	41	2	10	9	

## OFFENSE TYPES FOR CASP LEVEL 7 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Individuals placed on CASP Level 7 may be convicted of/charged with more than one offense.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Weapon	0	0	0	2	2
Violent/Person	35	27	14	28	43
Drug	67	54	59	74	77
Property	8	12	3	7	6
Other	32	31	31	26	25
TOTAL	142	124	107	137	153

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

## ADULT CASP LEVEL 7 SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

			Felony		Misdemeanor					
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Absconded	0	6	0	1	4	3	1	2	3	2
Successful Completion	23	30	31	33	53	22	27	27	29	29
Unsuccessful Completion	9	12	8	10	12	2	9	7	4	4
Revoked Due to Technical Violations	2	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1
Revoked Due to New Offense	1	2	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	2
TOTAL	35	52	39	46	72	27	38	36	36	38

Two (2) civil cases were closed in 2023. Both were closed successfully.

#### ADULT CASP LEVEL 10 INDIVIDUALS AND SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED


CASP Level 10 (day reporting) participants must report to the Community Corrections office daily, Monday through Friday, to check in and be tested for alcohol. CASP Level 10 participants are also subject to drug tests, but have no required curfew or other restrictions on their day-to-day freedom. Courts may place individuals directly on CASP Level 10 supervision. CASP Level 10 supervision is most often used as a condition of pre-trial release or a condition of probation supervision.

The chart below shows the number of individuals placed on CASP Level 10 supervision in 2023. If an individual was placed on CASP Level 10 more than once or in more than one case, the individual is categorized by the highest level of referred offense. Individuals may be placed on CASP Level 10 multiple times or in multiple cases.

	INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED					SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED				
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Misdemeanor	101	36	87	82	80	438	203	150	118	133
Felony	412	221	177	155	148	735	385	302	269	224
TOTAL	513	257	264	237	228	1,173	588	452	387	357

## ADULT FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR CASP LEVEL 10 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED BY SEX AND AGE

The table and chart below indicates the number of CASP Level 10 supervisions received in 2023, both felony and misdemeanor, broken down by sex and age. This represents the characteristics of the individual at the time supervision began, which may be reported more than once if the individual was placed on CASP Level 10 multiple times or in multiple cases.




# OFFENSE TYPES FOR ADULT CASP LEVEL 10 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some individuals placed on CASP Level 10 supervision are convicted of or charged with more than one offense. The table and chart below illustrate the types of offenses for which an individual was placed on CASP Level 10 supervision.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Weapon	24	15	15	5	8
Violent/Person	268	162	110	97	105
Drug	669	293	304	186	151
Property	382	186	131	96	103
Other	369	187	125	110	88
TOTAL	1,712	843	685	494	455

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

# MISDEMEANOR AND FELONY OFFENSE TYPES FOR CASP LEVEL 10 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED




## ADULT CASP LEVEL 10 SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

The following represents the number of adult CASP Level 10 supervisions closed in 2023 by the type of discharge. Individuals could have been discharged from multiple supervisions in multiple cases and each case could have a different type of discharge depending on the final disposition given by a court.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Misdemeanor	396	233	151	120	122
Felony	647	401	319	275	215
TOTAL	1,043	634	470	395	337

## TOTAL ADULT CASP LEVEL 10 SUPERVISIONS CLOSED



#### ADULT CASP LEVELS 11-12 INDIVIDUALS AND SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED


CASP Levels 11-12 (pretrial monitoring) participants must report as needed for case management or kiosk reporting. Courts typically place individuals on CASP Levels 11-12 during the pretrial period while their case is being processed.

The chart below shows the number of individuals placed on CASP Levels 11-12 in 2023. If an individual was placed on CASP Levels 11-12 more than once or in more than one case, the individual is categorized by the highest level of referred offense. Individuals may be placed on CASP Levels 11-12 multiple times or in multiple cases.

	INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED					SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED				
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Misdemeanor	139	76	164	213	287	533	265	302	358	506
Felony	817	451	528	613	635	1,304	666	771	873	903
TOTAL	956	527	692	826	922	1,837	931	1,073	1,231	1,409

## ADULT FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR CASP LEVELS 11-12 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED BY SEX AND AGE

The table and chart below indicates the number of CASP Levels 11-12 supervisions received in 2023, both felony and misdemeanor, broken down by sex and age. This represents the characteristics of the individual at the time supervision began, which may be reported more than once if the individual was placed on CASP Levels 11-12 multiple times or in multiple cases.




# OFFENSE TYPES FOR ADULT CASP LEVELS 11-12 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some individuals placed on CASP Levels 11-12 supervision charged with more than one offense. The table and chart below illustrate the types of offenses for which an individual was placed on CASP Levels 11-12 supervision.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Weapon	59	61	67	58	58
Violent/Person	673	505	558	595	688
Drug	1,071	629	719	650	668
Property	504	305	374	390	377
Other	575	398	479	477	497
TOTAL	2,882	1,898	2,197	2,170	2,288

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

# MISDEMEANOR AND FELONY OFFENSE TYPES FOR CASP LEVELS 11-12 SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED




## ADULT CASP LEVELS 11-12 SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

The following represents the number of adult CASP Levels 11-12 supervisions closed in 2023 by the type of discharge. Individuals could have been discharged from multiple supervisions in multiple cases and each case could have a different type of discharge depending on the final disposition ordered by a court.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Misdemeanor	481	307	285	332	465
Felony	1,131	707	714	743	855
TOTAL	1,612	1,014	999	1,075	1,320

## TOTAL ADULT CASP LEVELS 11-12 SUPERVISIONS CLOSED



### JUVENILE HOME DETENTION INDIVIDUALS & SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Community Corrections supervises juveniles placed on home detention (electronic monitoring). The juvenile's whereabouts are restricted by the supervising probation officer or by a court's order. The chart below shows the number of individual juveniles placed on home detention supervision. Juveniles may have been placed on home detention multiple times or in multiple cases.

		INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED					SUPERV	ISIONS RE	ECEIVED	
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Delinquency	21	11	7	22	25	31	15	8	35	34
Status	1	0	0	2	2	1	0	0	2	2
TOTAL	22	11	7	24	27	32	15	8	37	36

# JUVENILE DELINQUENCY AND STATUS HOME DETENTION SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED BY SEX AND AGE

	M	ale	Female		
	Delinquency	Status	Delinquency	Status	
13	0	0	1	0	
14	1	0	2	0	
15	6	0	2	0	
16	15	1	1	1	
17	1	0	0	0	
18 and Up	5	0	0	0	
TOTAL	28	1	6	1	

# OFFENSE TYPES FOR JUVENILE HOME DETENTION SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Weapon	0	2	1	9	5
Violent/Person	12	3	2	8	30
Drug	6	11	2	3	1
Property	11	10	2	15	32
Other	17	6	7	10	11
Status	5	2	0	5	6
TOTAL	51	34	14	50	85


A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

### JUVENILE HOME DETENTION SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

The following represents the number of juvenile home detention supervisions closed in 2023 by the type of discharge. Juveniles could have been discharged from multiple supervisions in multiple cases and each case could have a different type of discharge depending on the final disposition given by a court.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Delinquency	34	20	6	33	37
Status	1	0	0	2	2
TOTAL	35	20	6	35	39

### TOTAL JUVENILE HOME DETENTION SUPERVISIONS CLOSED



### PRETRIAL SERVICES PROGRAM

In 2014, the Indiana Supreme Court authorized the development of a pretrial pilot project. Eleven (11) Indiana counties, including Monroe County, were part of the pretrial pilot project. The Monroe County Pretrial Pilot Project officially started October 1, 2016.

Indiana Criminal Rule 26 was the foundation for the 11 Indiana counties participating in the Pretrial Pilot Project. Criminal Rule 26 was codified in Indiana law (IC 35-31.5-2-121.5 et. seq.) and became effective for all Indiana courts January 1, 2020. Criminal Rule (CR) 26 is intended to improve pretrial practices in Indiana by encouraging trial judges to engage in evidence-based decision making at the pretrial stage. The Rule encourages trial courts to use risk assessment results and other relevant information about arrestees to determine if the individual presents a substantial risk of flight or danger to self or others in the community; thereby, informing release decisions and release conditions. With the statewide implementation of Criminal Rule 26 on January 1, 2020, Monroe County changed the name of the program to reflect that it is no longer a "pilot program." The program is now entitled the "Monroe County Pretrial Services Program." Monroe County's Pretrial Services Program is integrated into the Community Corrections division of the Probation Department.

The mission of the Pretrial Services Program is to assist the court in making pretrial release decisions that are prompt and equitable for all defendants regardless of ability to pay bail and provide information to the court that will maximize the court's ability to determine effective release conditions that promote community safety.

Prior to the commencement of the Monroe County Pretrial Services Program, individuals who were arrested and booked into the county jail were only released subject to an established bail bond schedule set by the local courts. This meant that those who could afford to pay monetary bail were released immediately and those that could not afford to pay remained in jail until they appeared before a judge for an Initial Hearing. Prior to the program, the courts had limited information when making release decisions. These practices resulted in a jail that was primarily housing pretrial defendants and these same defendants were at risk of losing, or had already lost, jobs, family and peer relationships, prosocial connections, and financial support.

With the establishment of the Pretrial Services Program (hereafter "Program"), Monroe County has not only implemented pretrial best practices but has defined the pretrial target population as broadly as possible. One unique aspect of the Program is that even those defendants released from jail on a bond prior to appearing before the judge for the Initial Hearing are still subject to a pretrial assessment so that all defendants are treated the same regardless of ability to pay. The target population for the Program includes all defendants that are booked into the Monroe County Jail with a new criminal offense and are not currently under any type of community supervision in Monroe County.

The Program utilizes many evidence-based practices in order to provide defendants with appropriate and effective interventions. The Program has adopted the Essential Elements of an Effective Pretrial Release Agency as defined by the National Institute of Corrections which are research-based principles that have been incorporated into local pretrial services policy. One of the essential elements of the Program is the use of risk-based conditions and differential pretrial supervision. Monroe County uses the Indiana Risk Assessment System-Pretrial Assessment Tool (IRAS-PAT) which has been validated by researchers to our local target population and demonstrates an overall good to excellent predictive rate for pretrial misconduct. Monroe County judges use the IRAS-PAT result as well as the pretrial probation officers' assessment summary to make release decisions that are evidence driven.

Monroe County monitors pretrial defendants based on assessed risk level and provides a range of monitoring options including non-reporting status, face-to-face appointments with a pretrial probation officer, and electronic monitoring.

The morning of the defendant's Initial Hearing (IH) before the court, Pretrial Services probation officers meet with the defendants to gather information for the Pretrial Services Report (PSR). The PSR is filed with the court Monday through Friday by 12:00 noon so that the report is available to the judge, as well as Prosecuting Attorney and Public Defender (who are both present for the IH) prior to the 2:00 PM daily IHs so that a meaningful first appearance for the defendants can be conducted.

At the IH, the court may order a pretrial defendant to be monitored on a level of community corrections programming while awaiting the disposition of the defendant's criminal case. All defendants who are assessed by the pretrial probation officers receive telephonic and text court reminders. Defendants receive a phone call reminder two days prior to every court hearing and a text message one day prior to every hearing. All defendants receive telephonic and text court reminders until disposition of their case.

Pretrial probation officers are responsible for monitoring any defendants the court orders to pretrial release through a variety of services such as case management, daily reporting, and/or electronic monitoring. Defendants' supervision level determines the length of time they are subject to additional conditions beyond just court reminder calls and texts. The supervision level is determined by the IRAS-PAT risk and the type of offense. Defendants who have a low supervision level are subject to additional conditions for 30 days; moderate supervision level lasts 60 days; and high supervision level lasts 90 days. At any time, the court could order early termination of case management, modification of case management conditions, or extend a defendant's case management conditions.

Defendants who are ordered to active monitoring receive a variety of services including voluntary referrals to social services agencies such as substance abuse treatment facilities, education and employment assistance, and physical/mental health treatment. Pretrial probation officers are also trained in the use of evidence-based practice cognitive interventions which are utilized during monitoring appointments. Interventions include Carey Guides and Bits, Change Companies interactive journaling, thinking reports, Effective Practices in Community Supervision (EPICS), and sanctions and incentives.

In 2023, 1,495 individuals in 1,765 cases began receiving some type of pretrial service. All were receiving telephone calls/texts to remind them of their next court appearance and other appointments. Pretrial monitoring was ordered in 1,073 instances for 825 individuals and staff conducted court ordered drug testing on 79 of these individuals. Only 30 individuals were ordered to pretrial home detention, which is only 1.7% of the pretrial population receiving services in 2023.

Performance measures from October 1, 2016 (start of Monroe County Pretrial Services Program) to December 31, 2023 (most recent data analysis):

- Pretrial Services Program has assessed **12,461** defendants.
- Defendants have an overall appearance rate of **92.4%** to all court hearings in each case.
- The overall safety rate (based on the percentage of monitored defendants who have completed their pretrial period and were not charged with a new offense during their entire pretrial period) is **76.8%**.
- The overall success rate (based on the percentage of monitored defendants who have completed their pretrial period and did not have a court filed technical violation, did not fail to appear for court, and were not arrested for a new offense during their pretrial period) is **63.7%**.

Since the Pretrial Services Program's inception, there has been a local stakeholder committee that meets regularly with representation from a variety of disciplines including judges, probation officers, community corrections staff, prosecutors, public defenders, jail leadership, local law enforcement, and members of the county council.

In September 2020, the Monroe Circuit Court Pretrial Services Program was granted certification through the Indiana Office of Court Services (IOCS) to deliver services as a certified pretrial program. The IOCS awarded the Pretrial Services Program full certification in April 2021. This certification is valid for three (3) years.

Monroe County Pretrial Services has been involved in multiple research projects over the last several years. As part of Indiana's Pretrial Pilot Project, Monroe County Pretrial Services Program participated in research to help validate the use of the IRAS-Pretrial Assessment Tool and the pretrial process in general. In 2023 specifically, Monroe County Pretrial Services was involved in two research projects, both conducted through George Mason University. The goal of the first study was to examine the effectiveness of drug testing requirements on pretrial defendants and examine the effectiveness of supervision strategies for defendants with self-reported substance use issues. The findings indicated that clients ordered to drug testing had a lower probability of pretrial success with a higher likelihood of any new arrest and a new arrest for a drug offense. The second study explored what factors help defendants succeed during pretrial supervision and how pretrial services can better address defendants' needs. The research found that voluntary participation in substance abuse treatment did have some effectiveness.

At the end of 2023, the Pretrial Services Program was comprised of a director and five (5) line probation officers.

The information in the following sections represents the suggested measures from *A Framework for Pretrial Justice: Essential Elements of an Effective Pretrial System and Agency* published by the National Institute of Corrections in February 2017.

### PRETRIAL ASSESSMENTS COMPLETED

The chart below shows the number of individuals assessed for pretrial risk in the target population. Risk level is measured by the Indiana Risk Assessment System – Pretrial Assessment Tool (IRAS-PAT). The target population consists of any individual booked into the Monroe County Jail who is not currently under community supervision (probation/community corrections) at the time of book-in. The target population includes persons charged with committing felony or misdemeanor offenses. The target population also includes defendants who bond out of jail before meeting with a Pretrial Probation Officer for assessment. Prior to bonding out of jail, such defendants sign a promise to appear in the Probation Department office the next business day to complete a pretrial assessment which is the same assessment used for defendants who were not able to bond out of jail.

	ASSESSMENTS COMPLETED						
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023		
Misdemeanor	1,462	844	737	871	1,004		
Felony	849	678	652	730	762		
TOTAL	2,311	1,522	1,389	1,601	1,766		

<sup>\*</sup>The Pretrial Service Program started October 1, 2016.

### RECOMMENDATION RATE

The table below indicates the recommendation rate, which is based on a Pretrial Probation Officer's information gathering after initial arrest of a defendant. An interview of the defendant is conducted to obtain information to score a pretrial risk assessment. The result of the risk assessment is applied to the locally approved release protocol that creates a recommendation based on the defendant's pretrial risk and the instant offense. The recommendation rate indicates the percentage of instances the Pretrial Probation Officer's recommendation aligns with the established release protocol. Typically, no recommendation is given when a defendant fails to attend the interview, is unable to provide necessary information during the interview, or refuses to participate in an interview.

	RECOMMENDATION RATE					
	2019 2020 2021 2022 <b>2023</b>					
Recommendation Rate	99.0%	96.9%	96.8%	95.9%	87.1%	

### **CONCURRENCE RATE**

The following table shows the concurrence rate that is calculated by comparing if the initial pretrial monitoring level ordered by a court upon release corresponds with the Pretrial Probation Officer's recommendation (the recommendation is based on the local approved protocol according to assessed risk and level of instant offense).

	CONCURRENCE RATE					
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	
Monitoring Level Agrees with Recommendation	83.2%	74.9%	81.6%	83.5%	79.7%	
Monitoring Level Lower than Recommendation	3.7%	17.6%	9.2%	7.1%	7.2%	
Monitoring Level Higher than Recommendation	12.4%	6.8%	8.3%	8.6%	12.6%	
Other (Pled Guilty, No Charge, Dismissed, etc.)	0.7%	0.7%	0.9%	0.8%	0.5%	

### APPEARANCE RATE BY RISK LEVEL

The following indicates the appearance rate for defendants by risk level. The appearance rate is calculated based on the year in which the hearing occurred for those who have completed their pretrial period. The percentage is based on the number of court appearances attended by pretrial defendants.

		APPEARANCE RATE					
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023		
High Risk	86.5%	91.1%	88.0%	85.0%	89.3%		
Moderate Risk	91.0%	94.3%	92.5%	90.8%	93.4%		
Low Risk	96.5%	97.1%	97.3%	93.2%	96.8%		
OVERALL	92.0%	94.6%	92.7%	90.3%	93.8%		

### SAFETY RATE BY RISK LEVEL

The chart below shows the safety rate for defendants by risk level. The safety rate is based on the percentage of monitored defendants who have completed their pretrial period in the year listed below and were not charged with a new offense over the entire pretrial period.

		SAFETY RATE					
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023		
High Risk	54.2%	56.2%	60.1%	52.6%	54.7%		
Moderate Risk	69.1%	72.1%	68.2%	67.2%	74.4%		
Low Risk	91.2%	87.7%	89.2%	88.8%	90.7%		
OVERALL	76.5%	76.7%	74.5%	72.1%	77.9%		

### SUCCESS RATE BY RISK LEVEL

The chart below shows the success rate for defendants by risk level. The success rate is based on the percentage of monitored defendants who have completed their pretrial period in the year listed below and who: (1) do not have court-filed technical violations of the conditions of their release, (2) appear for all scheduled court appearances; and (3) are not arrested for a new offense during the pretrial period.

		SUCCESS RATE					
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023		
High Risk	30.2%	39.6%	37.2%	31.2%	29.5%		
Moderate Risk	54.5%	53.4%	50.8%	48.0%	58.0%		
Low Risk	84.5%	81.5%	82.6%	78.6%	84.2%		
OVERALL	63.9%	63.8%	60.2%	55.7%	64.1%		

### AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY IN JAIL BY RISK LEVEL

The following shows the average length of stay in jail for defendants by risk level. The length of stay is based on the initial jail stay after arrest and only includes released defendants.

Length of stay is calculated by date booked in and date booked out of jail. For example, a defendant may have been booked in at 11:00 PM on a Tuesday and bonded out of jail at 2:00 AM the next day Wednesday, but the jail stay will be calculated as two (2) days even through the time spent in jail custody was three (3) actual hours.

	AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY IN DAYS					
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	
High Risk	16.9	23.0	26.3	25.8	22.6	
Moderate Risk	13.1	16.9	15.3	13.6	23.2	
Low Risk	3.5	7.0	10.6	9.7	4.9	
OVERALL	9.3	14.3	15.4	14.0	15.1	

### RELEASE RATE

The table below indicates the release rate by risk level. The release rate is based on the percentage of defendants who are released prior to disposition of their case. The rate is reported in the year in which their case is disposed and the pretrial period has ended.

		RELEASE RATE					
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023		
High Risk	86.1%	91.9%	91.3%	88.5%	89.6%		
Moderate Risk	94.6%	92.2%	95.3%	94.5%	92.7%		
Low Risk	98.4%	98.2%	97.6%	97.5%	98.5%		
OVERALL	95.5%	95.1%	95.6%	94.7%	95.1%		

### ADULT COMMUNITY TRANSITION PROGRAM OFFENDERS RECEIVED

The Community Transition Program (CTP), as defined in Indiana law (IC 11- 8-1-5.) is the assignment by the court of a court-committed individual from the Indiana Department of Correction (IDOC) to a Community Corrections program. The purpose of the CTP is to facilitate the successful reintegration of individuals returning to the community after serving a sentence in a state prison. The individual may be placed on CTP for 60 to 180 days, depending on the individual's highest level (most serious) convicted offense, to complete the individual's prison sentence in the person's county of residence. This early transition from prison provides structure, supervision, and support for the individual to encourage successful reentry to the community.

In Monroe County, individuals assigned to CTP are generally placed on community corrections supervision, typically Community Alternative Supervision Program (CASP) Levels 2-5 (Home Detention). Some are also accepted into the Reentry Court Program.

Only felony offenders may be sent to the IDOC, thus the highest level of offense for each offender participating in CTP will be a felony. To be eligible for CTP, the offender must first be willing to participate in the program and agree to abide by all program rules. For IDOC inmates who are eligible for CTP and are willing to participate in the program, the IDOC sends a request to the sentencing court to ask that a soon-to-be-released inmate be released early on CTP. The sentencing court makes the final determination regarding acceptance or rejection for CTP. Most often, the sentencing court requests that a probation officer screen the application for CTP and make a report to the court. In 2023, the Department screened **29** IDOC inmates for CTP and the courts accepted only one (1) for early release on CTP. Two (2) referrals were cancelled by the IDOC, which means **26** IDOC inmates were rejected by the courts for early release on CTP.

There were eight (8) individuals on CTP supervision in 2023.

# OFFENSE TYPES FOR ADULT COMMUNITY TRANSITION PROGRAM SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some individuals placed on Community Transition Program (CTP) supervision are convicted of or charged with more than one offense. The table and chart below illustrate the types of offenses for which an individual was placed on CTP supervision.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Weapon	0	0	1	2	2
Violent/Person	1	0	0	1	1
Drug	3	9	2	2	4
Property	4	2	6	3	2
Other	0	4	0	0	2
TOTAL	9	15	9	8	11

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

### ADULT COMMUNITY TRANSITION PROGRAM SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

Individuals completing the CTP could have been discharged from multiple supervisions in multiple cases and each case could have a different type of discharge depending on the final disposition given by a court. There were six (6) felony supervisions closed in 2023 and all six (6) were closed successfully.

### COMMUNITY SERVICE PROGRAM


The Community Service Program is comprised of the Public Restitution Program. The Road Crew Program was formerly a part of the Community Services Program, however the Road Crew Program officially ended August 11, 2018 due to budget constraints. Special Road Crew sessions may be held for events such as the annual Little 500 event.

Public Restitution Program participants are assigned to a local non-profit or government agency to complete the community service hours required by a court and/or required as a condition of community supervision.

The chart below shows the number of individuals referred for community service in 2023 (Public Restitution only, no Road Crew events were held). Individuals may have been referred multiple times or in multiple cases.

	INDIVIDUALS REFERRED				REFERRALS RECEIVED					
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Misdemeanor	380	170	138	77	92	404	188	143	80	94
Felony	175	84	86	71	60	222	116	131	106	75
TOTAL	555	154	224	148	152	626	304	274	186	169

### FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR COMMUNITY SERVICE REFERRALS RECEIVED BY SEX AND AGE



### OFFENSE TYPES FOR COMMUNITY SERVICE REFERRALS RECEIVED

Some individuals are convicted of or charged with more than one offense.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Weapon	9	8	3	6	7
Violent/Person	35	31	36	19	13
Drug	446	225	178	117	98
Property	84	31	49	27	23
Other	117	76	45	37	29
TOTAL	691	371	311	206	170

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix

### COMMUNITY SERVICE REFERRALS CLOSED

Individuals may have been discharged from multiple community service referrals in multiple cases.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Misdemeanor	358	234	179	87	84
Felony	212	122	120	102	79
TOTAL	570	356	299	189	163

### COMMUNITY SERVICE HOURS ASSESSED AND COMPLETED

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Hours Assessed	16,731	7,974	6,655	5,565	5,053
Hours Completed	7,948	3,666	3,024	2,625	1,810

### COMMUNITY SERVICE HOURS COMPLETION DETAILS

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Local Non-profit Organizations	2,008	118	35	0	98
Local Government Entities	493	23	5	0	35
Indiana University – Bloomington	157	0	40	0	0
Other Agencies	5,290	3,525	2,944	2,625	1,677
TOTAL	7,948	3,666	3,024	2,625	1,810

### DRUG TESTING PROGRAM

The Community Corrections Program operates the Department's Drug Testing Program. Currently, the Department employs three (3) methods of testing for substances in the body: urine, saliva, and breath.

The most frequent method of testing is through Portable Breath Tests (PBT) which test only for the presence of alcohol. To test for the presence of substances in addition to alcohol, the Department utilizes various methods to test urine and saliva. Because testing urine provides an extended window of time for detecting substances in a person's body, it is used more frequently than saliva. The Department utilizes 'instant' tests along with lab testing for the most frequently abused substances. Probation officers also have the discretion to request enhanced testing for substances not routinely tested for in the regular panels provided.

In 2023 the Department completed 24,824 portable breath tests, 2,847 instant drug tests, 2,371 saliva tests, and 8,935 lab drug tests. This includes tests in some civil cases where a party may be ordered by the court to complete drug testing. The tables below show the substance testing by supervision areas within the Department. Individuals tested could be counted in more than one category, for example a person could be in a problem solving court program and on a community corrections supervision level at the same time.

### DRUG TEST TYPES CONDUCTED BY MAJOR SUPERVISION AREAS

	Juvenile Probation	Adult Probation / Community Corrections  Adult Problem Solving Courts		TOTALS*
Urine Instant	0	1,368	2,714	2,847
Urine Lab	68	6,117	4,096	8,598
Saliva Lab	145	1,412	1,458	2,336
TOTAL	213	8,897	8,268	13,781

<sup>\*</sup>Total column represents the number of tests conducted in the Department. The total column does not equal the total by major supervision area as individuals tested could be counted in more than one category.

# PORTABLE BREATH TESTS (PBT) FOR ALCOHOL

	Juvenile Probation	Adult Probation / Community Corrections	Problem Solving Courts	TOTALS*
Negative	161	16,189	15,846	24,809
Positive	0	15	2	15
TOTAL	161	16,204	15,848	24,824


<sup>\*</sup>Total column represents the number of tests conducted in the department. The total column does not equal the total by major supervision area as individuals tested could be counted in more than one category.

### NEGATIVE AND POSITIVE DRUG TESTS BY MAJOR SUPERVISION AREA

	Juvenile Probation	Adult Probation / Community Corrections  Problem Solving Courts		TOTAL*
Negative	94	5,818	7,518	10,239
Positive	119	3,079	750	3,542
TOTAL	213	8,897	8,268	13,781

<sup>\*</sup>Total column represents the number of tests conducted in the department. The total column does not equal the total by major supervision area as individuals tested could be counted in more than one category.

# PERCENTAGE OF NEGATIVE AND POSITIVE DRUG TESTS BY MAJOR SUPERVISION AREA



### NEGATIVE AND POSITIVE DRUG TESTS BY PROBLEM SOLVING COURT


	Drug Treatment Court	Reentry Court	Veterans Court	Mental Health Court
Negative	3,708 (90%)	2,204 (95%)	796 (88%)	810 (87%)
Positive	409 (10%)	106 (5%)	109 (12%)	126 (13%)
TOTAL	4,117	2,310	905	936

# PERCENTAGE OF DRUGS DETECTED IN LAB CONFIRMED POSITIVE TESTS BY MAJOR SUPERVISION AREA

The charts below represent the percentage of drugs detected in the positive drug tests for each supervision level. Positive test samples may have been positive for more than one substance.


### JUVENILE PROBATION

# ADULT PROBATION/ COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS



### PROBLEM SOLVING COURTS

### DEPARTMENT TOTAL



# PERCENTAGE OF DRUGS DETECTED IN LAB CONFIRMED POSITIVE TESTS BY PROBLEM SOLVING COURT

	Drug Treatment Court	Reentry Court	Veterans Court	Mental Health Court
Marijuana	15.6%	6.8%	1.6%	4.3%
Amphetamine/Methamphetamine	7.4%	6.8%	1.6%	0%
Alcohol	8.9%	9.1%	0%	4.3%
Opiates	61.5%	75.0%	96.7%	89.9%
Benzodiazepines	4.4%	0%	0%	0%
Cocaine	0.7%	2.3%	0%	0%
Other	1.5%	0%	0%	1.4%

### PROBLEM SOLVING COURT PROGRAM

Problem solving courts in the United States began in the 1990s to accommodate individuals with specific needs and problems that were not or could not be adequately addressed in traditional courts. Problem solving courts seek to promote outcomes that will benefit not only the offender, but the victim and society as well.

Among the ways problem solving courts differ from regular courts are focus, collaboration, and judicial involvement. For example, a problem solving court typically has a team of individuals including a judge, prosecutor, public defender, probation, law enforcement, and treatment providers who routinely collaborate on each case throughout the duration the offender is involved as a participant. The team discusses many issues regarding each case and works to reduce barriers to an offender's success.

The Monroe Circuit Court developed a drug court in 1999 as the county's first problem solving court. The local Drug Treatment Court has been certified by the Indiana Office of Court Services (IOCS) as a problem solving court. In 2023, the Drug Treatment Court celebrated its 24-year anniversary.

The Drug Treatment Court is organized around the <u>10 Key Components of Drug Courts</u> <sup>1</sup>which research has shown provide the basic elements that define drug courts. The program is a minimum of two years and involves the following components:

- A plea of guilty to a felony offense with no agreement to sentencing should the participant fail to successfully complete drug court. Should the participant complete drug court successfully, the charge(s) are dismissed or reduced.
- Program participants must attend weekly court/status hearings as directed by the Problem Solving Court Team.
- Participants are required to obtain and maintain appropriate employment for the duration of the program.
- Participants will be required to complete high school/GED/TASC or vocational training if they have no apparent marketable job skills.
- Participants are required to submit to frequent random drug/alcohol tests.
- Participants must complete substance abuse treatment and any additional counseling/programming that is deemed necessary by the treatment provider.
- Participants must pay all program fees, drug test costs, and treatment costs associated with completion of this program.
- Program participants must have one year of documented sobriety to be eligible for successful program completion.

The local Problem Solving Court (PSC) Program added three (3) program components:

- <u>2014</u> Reentry Court Program (RECP). The majority of RECP participants served time with the Indiana Department of Correction immediately prior to beginning the program. RECP applies many of the key components of drug courts to promote positive behavior change and aid in reintegration to the community.
- <u>2015</u> Mental Health Court (MHC). MHC addresses the unique needs of people diagnosed with a serious mental illness who are involved in the criminal justice system.
- <u>2016</u> Veterans Treatment Court (VTC). A grant was obtained from the Indiana Supreme Court to begin the program. The VTC is a district court that can accept participants from Monroe, Owen, and Lawrence Counties.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> U.S. Department of Justice Office of Justice Programs Bureau of Justice Assistance

In 2018, IOCS conducted a site audit of the PSC Program and re-certified the Drug Treatment Court Program and granted initial certification to all three of the new PSC components - Reentry, Veterans, and Mental Health courts - effective March 2018 for three years. In February 2022, all four (4) PSC components were recertified by IOCS and will once again go through recertification in 2024.

Indiana certified problem solving court programs must undergo program evaluations on a regular basis. In 2019, Dr. John R. Gallagher, Indiana University School of Social Work, completed the updated evaluation of the Drug Court Program. Another evaluation of all four (4) PSC components will take place in 2024.

### **Highlights from the evaluation Executive Summary:**

- o The Monroe County drug court is an effective program at reducing recidivism and a valuable resource for individuals who have substance use disorders, the community, and other stakeholders.
- Drug court participants were significantly less likely to recidivate than participants in the comparison group. Only 18% of drug court participants recidivated, whereas the recidivism rate for the comparison group was 54%.
- O Differences between the 2014 and 2019 program evaluations: when comparing the evaluations, the drug court increased its graduation rate (54% in 2014 to 66% in 2019) and decreased its recidivism rate (32% in 2014 to 18% in 2019).
- o Regarding graduation, drug court participants who were unemployed at the time they were deemed eligible for the program were more likely to graduate than participants who were employed, a student, on disability, or retired at the time they were deemed eligible for the program.
- O Drug court participants who were married at the time of eligibility determination were more likely to graduate than participants who were not married at the time they were deemed eligible.
- o Male drug court participants were more likely to recidivate than female participants.
- O Drug court participants who had a mental health diagnosis were more likely to recidivate than participants who did not have a mental health diagnosis.
- o Participants who had a violation within the first 30 days after admission to drug court were more likely to recidivate than participants who did not have a violation during that timeframe.
- Overall, participants viewed the drug court team as supportive, and they felt that praise from the judge was one of the most helpful incentives they received.
- O Some participants noted that the frequent and random drug testing system deterred them from using drugs and resulted in positive, cognitive changes that supported their recovery.

### MONROE COUNTY PROBLEM SOLVING COURT PROGRAM INFORMATION

- The local Drug Treatment Court Program started in November 1999.
- During the 10-year Anniversary celebration in December 2009, the program became 1 of only 10 of the over 2,300 Drug Courts in the nation to receive the Community Transformation award from the National Association of Drug Court Professionals for "tireless efforts to foster community transformation through reducing drug addiction and crime, restoring hope and reuniting families."
- As of December 31, 2023, 530 participants have completed the Drug Court Program; 52 have completed Reentry Court; 23 have completed Veterans Treatment Court; and 24 have completed Mental Health Court.
- Overall graduation rate of 62% for Drug Court compared to national average of close to 50%.
- As of December 31, 2023, 97 participants currently enrolled in all the four (4) Problem Solving Court Program components.
- Seventy-eight (78) drug free babies born to Problem Solving Court Program participants (all four program components combined, from November 1999 through year-end 2023).
- In 2023, of all drug tests completed on Problem Solving Court Program participants, only 3% positive drug tests compared to about 32% positive drug test rate for "traditional" adult probation in Monroe County.

### RECIDIVISM DATA FOR MONROE COUNTY DRUG TREATMENT COURT


- In 2006, the Drug Treatment Court Program participated in a state-wide outcome evaluation and cost benefit analysis research project conducted by Northwest Professional Consortium (NPC) of Portland Oregon.
- This outcome study found that the Monroe County Drug Treatment Court (DTC) Program **reduces recidivism by 67%** and saves taxpayer money.
- NPC research showed that recidivism rate for DTC <u>participants</u> (including dropouts) was **17%** while the rate for the comparison group was **33%**.
- DTC participants (regardless of graduation status) were found to be **half as likely to have had any arrests** in the 2-year follow-up period relative to the comparison group.
- DTC graduates had an even lower recidivism rate of 11%.

### DRUG TREATMENT COURT INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED

The chart below shows the number of individuals placed on drug treatment court supervision in 2023. Individuals may be placed on drug treatment court supervision more than once or in more than one case.

	INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED				SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED					
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Misdemeanor	0	0	0	1	0	12	9	3	13	4
Felony	35	29	26	20	20	47	56	44	29	36
TOTAL	35	29	26	21	20	59	65	47	42	40

# FELONY AND MISDEMEANOR DRUG TREATMENT COURT SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED BY SEX AND AGE




# OFFENSE TYPES FOR DRUG TREATMENT COURT SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some individuals placed on drug treatment court supervision are convicted of or charged with more than one offense. The table below illustrates the types of offenses for which an individual was placed on drug treatment court supervision.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Weapon	1	0	1	2	0
Violent/Person	6	7	2	6	2
Drug	35	20	23	46	25
Property	16	29	20	18	11
Other	5	11	4	11	8
TOTAL	63	67	50	83	46

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

# MISDEMEANOR AND FELONY OFFENSE TYPES FOR DRUG TREATMENT COURT SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED




### DRUG TREATMENT COURT SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

The following represents the number of drug treatment court supervisions closed in 2023 by the type of discharge. Participants could have been discharged from multiple supervisions in multiple cases and each case could have a different type of discharge depending on the final disposition given by a court.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Misdemeanor	15	18	10	14	4
Felony	58	67	55	48	32
TOTAL	73	85	65	62	36

### TOTAL DRUG TREATMENT COURT SUPERVISIONS CLOSED



### REENTRY COURT INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED

The chart below shows the number of individuals placed on reentry court supervision in 2023. If an individual was placed on reentry court more than once or in more than one case, the individual is categorized by the highest level of convicted offense.

	INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED				SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED					
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Misdemeanor	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	6	1	1
Felony	23	13	19	10	13	35	20	29	13	19
TOTAL	23	13	19	10	13	37	22	35	14	20

### REENTRY COURT SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

The table and chart below indicates the number of reentry court supervisions received in 2023.

Age	Ma	ale	Female		
Age	Felony	Misdemeanor	Felony	Misdemeanor	
20-29	6	0	3	0	
30-39	4	1	0	0	
40-49	4	0	0	0	
50-59	2	0	0	0	
TOTAL	16	1	3	0	

### OFFENSE TYPES FOR REENTRY COURT SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some participants placed on reentry court supervision are convicted of or charged with more than one offense. The table below illustrate the types of offenses for which a participant was placed on reentry court supervision in 2023.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Weapon	3	3	2	2	2
Violent/Person	6	7	6	0	3
Drug	18	13	7	8	7
Property	15	1	15	5	5
Other	2	4	7	3	3
TOTAL	44	28	37	18	20

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

### REENTRY COURT SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

There were nine (9) reentry court supervisions closed in 2023. Six (6) were closed successfully, two (2) were unsuccessful, and one (1) withdrew from participation.

### MENTAL HEALTH COURT INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED

The chart below shows the number of individuals placed on Mental Health Court supervision in 2023. If an individual was placed on Mental Health Court more than once or in more than one case, the individual is categorized by the highest level of convicted offense.

	INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED				SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED					
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Misdemeanor	1	0	0	0	0	5	1	1	1	4
Felony	6	7	2	7	7	9	9	3	11	11
TOTAL	7	7	2	7	7	14	10	4	12	15

### MENTAL HEALTH COURT SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

The table and chart below indicates the number of Mental Health Court supervisions received in 2023.

Ago	Ma	ale	Female		
Age	Felony	Misdemeanor	Felony	Misdemeanor	
20-29	3	0	0	0	
30-39	1	2	3	0	
40-49	1	0	1	2	
50-59	2	0	0	0	
TOTAL	7	2	4	2	

# OFFENSE TYPES FOR MENTAL HEALTH COURT SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some participants placed on Mental Health Court supervision are convicted of or charged with more than one offense. The table below illustrate the types of offenses for which a participant was placed on Mental Health Court supervision in 2023.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Weapon	1	0	0	0	0
Violent/Person	4	3	0	10	4
Drug	2	3	2	5	3
Property	7	11	1	7	5
Other	2	2	1	13	4
TOTAL	16	19	4	35	16

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

#### MENTAL HEALTH COURT SUPERVISIONS CLOSED

There were five (5) mental health court supervisions closed in 2023. Three (3) were closed unsuccessfully and two (2) absconded.

### VETERANS COURT INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED

The chart below shows the number of individuals placed on Veterans Court supervision in 2023. If an individual was placed on Veterans Court more than once or in more than one case, the individual is categorized by the highest level of convicted offense.

	INDIVIDUALS RECEIVED				SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED					
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Misdemeanor	1	2	0	2	0	1	5	0	4	0
Felony	5	6	2	3	6	5	11	5	4	8
TOTAL	6	8	2	5	6	6	16	5	8	8

### VETERANS COURT SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

The table and chart below indicates the number of Veterans Court supervisions received in 2023.

A	Ma	ale	Female		
Age	Felony	Misdemeanor	Felony	Misdemeanor	
20-29	1	0	0	0	
30-29	1	0	0	0	
40-49	3	0	0	0	
50-59	2	0	0	0	
60-69	1	0	0	0	
TOTAL	8	0	0	0	

### OFFENSE TYPES FOR VETERANS COURT SUPERVISIONS RECEIVED

Some participants placed on Veterans Court supervision are convicted of or charged with more than one offense. The table below illustrate the types of offenses for which a participant was placed on Veterans Court supervision in 2023.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Weapon	1	0	0	0	0
Violent/Person	1	3	0	2	1
Drug	4	8	1	7	10
Property	1	2	2	0	0
Other	0	3	3	3	0
TOTAL	7	16	6	12	11

A full list of the offenses can be found in the appendix.

### **VETERANS COURT SUPERVISIONS CLOSED**

There was one (1) veterans court supervision closed in 2023 and it was closed unsuccessfully.

# INDIANA RISK ASSESSMENT SYSTEM AND ADULT PROGRAM REFERRALS

In 2010, the Judicial Conference of Indiana adopted policies that required all probation departments in the state to use a newly adopted risk assessment system for adult offenders in the criminal justice system. In 2011, all appropriate adult risk tools were fully integrated into departmental practices.

The adult risk assessment instrument is called the Indiana Risk Assessment System (IRAS). The IRAS is the risk assessment system made up of five (5) instruments to be used at specific points in the criminal justice process to identify an adult participant's risk to reoffend and criminogenic needs, and assist with developing an individualized case management plan. [NOTE: Criminogenic needs are attributes of offenders that are directly linked to criminal behavior. Effective correctional treatment should target criminogenic needs in the development of a comprehensive case plan. Any treatment not targeting criminogenic needs is counter-productive to efficiency and effectiveness.]

**Community Supervision Screening Tool** - designed to quickly identify low risk offenders and determine if a full risk assessment should be completed.

**Community Supervision Tool** - designed to assess an offender's risk to reoffend and identify criminogenic needs to assess in making decisions regarding community supervision.

**Pretrial Tool -** designed to assess an offender's risk for failure to appear and risk to reoffender while on pretrial supervision.

**Prison Intake Tool** - designed to assess an offender's risk to reoffend and identify criminogenic needs to assist in making decisions regarding services.

**Static Tool** - designed to assess an offender's risk to reoffend based solely on static factors.


**Supplemental Reentry Tool** - designed to reassess an offender's risk to reoffend prior to an offender's release from prison.

The following table represents IRAS assessments completed by the type of tool used by the Department and the percentage of adults risking at each level. More than one risk assessment could have been completed on an adult during the time a case is open and depending upon the status of each case.

### 2023 IRAS ASSESSMENTS COMPLETED

	Assessments	Percentage at Overall Risk Level				
	Completed	High	Moderate	Low		
Community Supervision Screening Tool	412	47	53%			
Community Supervision Tool	1,089	35%	32%	33%		
Pretrial Tool	1,608	16%	40%	44%		
Static Tool	6	66%	17%	17%		
Supplemental Reentry Tool	2	0%	100%	0%		

Adults placed on post-sentence supervision are assessed using the Community Supervision Tool. This tool provides a risk level in each of the seven life domains the tool reports. After the completion of the tool, case plans are formulated to address an offender's risk and needs to reduce the likelihood the adult will reoffend and/or violate the terms of his/her supervision. The following chart represents the number and percentage of assessments scoring in each of the risk levels – high, moderate, and low for the Community Supervision Tool.



# PROGRAMS REFERRED TO & DOMAINS THESE PROGRAMS ADDRESS

Program	Domain(s) Addressed	Referrals Made
Anger Management Counseling	7	21
Batterers / Domestic Violence Group	3, 7	57
Case Management Services	2, 3, 4, 7	19
Change Companies / Carey Guides	3, 5, 6, 7	110
Community Support Services and Treatment (Mental Health)	3, 5, 6, 7	29
Counseling (Family)	3, 7	5
Counseling (General Individual)	7	126
Dual Diagnosis / Co-occurring Treatment	5, 7	39
Employment (Classes, Coaching, and/or Obtaining)	2	29
High School Equivalency and Other Education Programs	2	7
Impaired Driving Impact Panel	5	7
Moral Reconation Therapy (MRT) / Behavior Awareness & Modification Program	6, 7	73
PRIME for Life	5	112
Psychiatric Assessment (Medication Evaluation)	7	24
Psychological Assessment	7	214
Recovery Coach	5	54
Residential – Halfway House (Substance Use)	5	59
Residential – Housing/Shelter	4	3
Residential – Recovery Residence	4, 5	54
Sex Offender Assessment and Treatment	7	26
STEP – Shoplifting Theft Education Program	7	11
Substance Use Education Programs	5	5
Substance Use Evaluation	5	650
Substance Use Medication Assisted Treatment	5	138
Substance Use Treatment (Groups and Aftercare)	5	368
Substance Use Treatment (Individual)	5	135
Substance Use Treatment (Inpatient)	5	227
Substance Use Treatment (Transferred Out)	5	40
Support / Self Help Groups	5 ,7	156
Thinking for a Change	6, 7	1
Veterans Administration Services	2, 3, 4	5

### SUPPORT DIVISION

The Support Division provides service that is vital to the efficient functioning of the Department. Support staff members provide receptionist services, bookkeeping, cashiering, e-filing, data entry, and numerous other functions.

Support staff is typically the first contact for clients and the public. In this role, support staff members serve a unique function of setting the tone for how clients and the public will be served by the Department. In recognition of this unique position, support staff members participate in training to enhance positive experiences for clients and the public. Starting in 2016, support staff members are trained in evidence based practices (EBP) and Effective Practices in Community Supervision (EPICS).

Because the Department's offices occupy two separate locations, the Curry Building and the Community Corrections office, support staff functions must be highly coordinated to effectively serve both locations. The primary location of most of the Department's functions is the Curry Building, directly adjacent to the Justice Building. The Community Corrections office is located at 405 West 7<sup>th</sup> Street in Bloomington.

The Community Corrections office has been in operation at the location above since 1995. The Community Corrections support staff consists of an office manager, receptionist, and part-time probation officer assistants. With such a small support staff, all Community Corrections staff members are cross-trained to substitute for absent support staff when needed.

The Curry Building support staff consists of an office administrator, an office manager, a bookkeeper/cashier, adult probation secretary, juvenile probation secretary, and receptionist.

Support staff experienced two vacancies during 2023 with the legal secretaries due to promotion and relocation to another city.

Most misdemeanor offenders and Level 6 felons are sentenced by the court without presentence investigation reports. These sentenced offenders report to the Department for their first contact immediately after sentencing. These "walk-in" probationers are dealt with first and foremost by support staff members who obtain demographic information, create electronic client files/records, and provide basic information to these "walk ins." In 2023, there were **571** "walk-ins" processed by support staff.

# OTHER PROBATION PROGRAMS, TRAINING, & COMMITTEES

### **INTERN PROGRAM**

The Department typically operates an internship program in cooperation with Indiana University (IU) and other colleges and universities from around the state of Indiana. Although these internships are unpaid, the students receive college credit. The Department has supervised student interns from various departments at IU including Criminal Justice, School of Social Work, School of Public and Environmental Affairs, and general studies. In 2023, the Department supervised six (6) interns for a total of 900 hours of work through the year.

#### STAFF TRAINING

The Judicial Conference of Indiana mandates that certified probation officers complete a minimum of 12 hours of continuing education per year, with six (6) of these hours related to evidence-based practices. Court Alcohol and Drug Program and Problem-Solving Court staff members are also required to complete a minimum of 12 hours of continuing education each year.

Effective January 1, 2019, Indiana probation officers are required by the Judicial Conference of Indiana to complete suicide awareness and prevention training. Every probation officer must attend suicide prevention training on an annual basis.

Starting in 2019, the Probation Department began partnering with the Monroe County Youth Service Bureau for training purposes. The Youth Services Bureau (YSB) is supervised by the Monroe Circuit Court. YSB includes probation staff members in their trainings where space permits. YSB trainings that probation staff may attend include: Red Cross training (CPR, AED, and First Aid).

The Probation Supervisors set the following training priorities for 2023: improving Effective Practices in Community Supervision (EPICS) skills; consistency in administering Indiana Risk Assessment System (IRAS) and Indiana Youth Assessment System (IYAS); Evidence Based Practices (EBP) Overview for all new staff members; Effective Communication and Motivation Skills (ECMS) for all new staff that have not been trained; case planning; suicide prevention; Trust Based Relational Intervention (TBRI) and pepper spray training.

In 2023, Monroe County Sheriff's Deputy Timothy Pittman conducted a training for Probation Search Team members. Deputy Chief Prosecuting Attorney Jeff Kerr gave a presentation for the Search Team regarding the laws and case law regarding probation searches.

### National Trainings attended:

- 2023 APPA Conference, New York, NY. Funded by unspent and re-appropriated grant monies, a team of 10 probation officers (POs) attended this conference.
- 2023 National Association of Pretrial Services Agencies (NAPSA), New Orleans. Funded by grant funds, two (2) Pretrial POs attended this conference.
- <u>2023 National Association of Drug Court Professionals.</u> Funded by grant funds, three (3) POs attended this conference.

During 2023, staff completed 5,262 hours of training with 3,516 of those hours related to evidence-based correctional practices.

#### **FUN COMMITTEE**

The Fun Committee was formed in 2006 to coordinate departmental in-service trainings and other activities for the department throughout each year.

The Fun Committee organized several activities and celebrations in 2023. One of the two highlights of 2023 was *Probation, Parole & Community Supervision Week* in July of 2023, which included goodie bags for staff, the annual corn hole tournament with ice cream from Jiffy Treat, pizza party, scavenger hunt, rock decorating, service project day with Saint Jude, collecting drink tabs from aluminum cans to fundraise, and a swearing-in ceremony for newer staff that included cake and punch.

The second 2023 highlight resulted in the committee being able to organize the annual departmental inservice finally and once again on October 25, 2023 at Switchyard Park with numerous activities, games, food, Halloween costume contest and a guest speaker (Michael Whiteside) that spoke with staff on self-care and mental health for us all.

#### **GREEN COMMITTEE**

In 2010, the Green Committee was created in response to employee efforts to promote recycling at both departmental offices. In 2023 the committee gained larger recycling bins due to the volume of materials being recycled. The committee continued to implement recycling procedures for separating plastic, glass, aluminum, paper, and battery refuse.

### EVIDENCE BASED PRACTICES ORGANIZATION REPORT

The National Institute of Corrections defines evidence-based practice (EBP) as the objective, balanced, and responsible use of current research and the best available data to guide policy and practice decisions, such that outcomes for consumers are improved. Used originally in the health care and social science fields, evidence-based practice focuses on approaches demonstrated to be effective through empirical research rather than through anecdote or professional experience alone.

The Probation Department began utilizing evidence-based practices (EBP) in 1998. Research has shown that when probation, parole, and community corrections programs are evidence-based organizations, they are more likely to be successful in reducing recidivism. However, using evidence-based programs and practices alone does not make an organization an "evidence-based organization." The Indiana Department of Correction (IDOC) audits all programs that receive IDOC grant funding to ensure that they meet criteria/standards as an evidence-based practices organization. Although the IDOC audits only the Community Corrections division of the Department, the Chief Probation Officer decided that all units, divisions, and staff members of the Department will participate in the implementation of EBP organization practices.

### 2023 SUMMARY OF EBP ORGANIZATION ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- **EBP Coordinator** The Department added a second EBP Coordinator position with a focus on juvenile services. Both EBP Coordinators report to the Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) Director and were responsible for facilitating new and ongoing EBP trainings, coaching staff by watching videos or observing appointments, and assisting with the facilitation of Learning Teams.
- **EPICS Training for New Staff** The CQI Director and EBP Coordinators facilitated an EPICS skills training for new departmental staff which included learning the steps to all the EPICS skills.
- <u>EPICS Training for All Probation Officers</u> -The Department contracted with Core Correctional Solutions (CCS) to provide advanced EPICS training to all probation officers (POs). Each probation officer received 32 hours of training in these skills.
- <u>EPICS Coaching with Core Correctional Solutions</u> The Department contracted with CCS to provide individualized coaching to all POs who supervise high and moderate risk clients. The coaches from CCS conducted a pre-coaching session before the client appointment, observed the appointment in real time, and immediately conducted a coaching session following the appointment. POs who participated in CCS coaching received a total of four (4) sessions each.
- Effective Practices in Community Supervision (EPICS) Skills Video Library All POs recorded videos of appointments and submitted them to the EBP Coordinators for individualized coaching. These videos are available in a shared video library accessible to all Probation employees. Newer POs submitted videos more often as they worked through each EPICS skill to become proficient while other POs submitted a follow-up video after each coaching session with CCS to practice using the feedback they received from CCS.
- <u>EBP Training for New Staff</u> One PO was trained to facilitate discussion with new staff to explain the purpose of Evidence-Based Practice and how it is applied in the Department.
- <u>Learning Teams</u> The Department implemented Learning Teams at the beginning of 2023. Each departmental division was an independent Learning Team. Learning Teams were led by POs, not supervisors, and the teams focused on EBP-related topics to expand their knowledge and practice their skills. The CQI team which consists of the probation officer supervisors and EBP Coordinators also met as a Learning Team to discuss how to support and coach POs.
- <u>Indiana Risk Assessment System (IRAS/IYAS) Boosters</u> All POs attended an IRAS/IYAS refresher session which reviewed the scoring rules and offered some examples/scenarios in the more subjective areas of the IRAS/IYAS. POs also watched an IRAS/IYAS reassessment video and independently scored the IRAS/IYAS. Any POs identified by the IRAS/IYAS trainers as needing additional support worked individually with a trainer.

### RESEARCH PROJECTS

### **Reducing Revocations Challenge**

Indiana University (IU) and the Department were selected to participate in Phase I of the Reducing Revocations Challenge. The Reducing Revocations Challenge (RRC) is a national initiative of Arnold Ventures and the CUNY Institute for State and Local Governance (ISLG) dedicated to understanding the drivers of probation revocations and identifying ways to reduce the community supervision failures that send almost 350,000 people to jails and prisons each year.

For Phase I, IU researchers received a \$198,312 grant to conduct on the ground, in-depth research and data analysis on the drivers of probation failures in Monroe County. During Phase I, IU was a part of a learning network alongside the nine (9) other jurisdictions selected to participate in the Challenge, including participation in a cross-site summit, where findings and potential solutions were shared and discussed. Members of the learning network also received guidance and technical assistance from ISLG and an Advisory Board of experts in the field at each step along the way. Dr. Miriam Northcutt Bohmert was the Principal Investigator at IU along with Dr. Eric Grommon of Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis, and Dr. Evan Lowder of George Mason University. Assisting throughout Phase I was Project Manager Michelle Ying. A full report from the Phase I findings can be found on the Probation Department website here:

https://www.co.monroe.in.us/egov/documents/1624997136\_04056.pdf.

The Phase I findings, which were released in 2021, were used to propose evidence-based solutions for policy and practice for Phase II of the initiative. Of the ten jurisdictions participating in Phase I, only five (5) jurisdictions were selected to continue Phase II of the Challenge, including Monroe County.

For Phase II of the RRC, Monroe County was approved to use grant funding from Arnold Ventures to implement three strategies:

- (1) Increase fidelity to motivational interviewing (MI), effective practices in community supervision (EPICS), and case planning;
- (2) Revise the standard conditions of probation to be fewer in number as well as positive and goaloriented in tone; and
- (3) Increase use of earned early termination from probation and incentivize positive behaviors.

IU and the Department were awarded a grant from Arnold Ventures totaling \$298,000 to support Phase II of the Challenge, with \$170,000 of the grant awarded to the Department for implementation and the balance to provide research support for the initiative. Phase II of the Challenge officially kicked off on October 1, 2021 and continued until September 30, 2023.

Throughout 2022 and 2023, the Department focused on training of staff to increase fidelity to MI, EPICS, and case planning. This included multiple trainings for staff as well as access to online learning modules to increase skills in the utilization of EPICS.

To implement other strategies from Phase II, the Department formed numerous committees and workgroups to focus on case planning, probation officer incentives/reinforcements, client incentives/reinforcements, earned early termination of probation, and a probation officer dashboard of performance metrics. Though the project formally ended at the end of September 2023, work in each of these areas continues.

Work on revising the probation conditions kicked off in the latter part of 2022 with a large criminal justice stakeholder group. This work was facilitated by an outside consultant, Dr. Brian Lovins from Justice Systems Partners, to guide the process of revising the conditions. A final product was completed and implemented in August 2023. Standard conditions were reduced from 13 to only nine (9) standard conditions, with most compliance-oriented language revised to behavior change-based language.

The Department's RRC Implementation Team is comprised of Chief PO Linda Brady, Deputy Chief PO Troy Hatfield, Deputy Chief PO/Community Corrections Executive Director Becca Streit, Pretrial Services Program Director/Continuous Quality Improvement Director Chelsea Walters, and Evidence Based Practices Coordinator Leah Baker. In October 2023, several members of the Action Research Team and Department's RRC Implementation Team traveled to Minneapolis with the other RRC sites from around the country to present our findings from implementation.

Monroe County's RRC Phase II project officially ended September 30, 2023. <u>Our final report</u> covers what we learned in Phase II, including both the "how to" of driving policy change through action—research, and some interesting preliminary data.

- (1) Link to the full report: Indiana Probation Policy Brief
- (2) Link to a short summary of the lessons learned: <u>Indiana Probation Policy Brief</u> <u>Takeaways</u>

### **Pretrial Services Research**

Chelsea M.A. Foudray, a doctoral student at George Mason University under the direction of Dr. Evan Lowder, began working with Monroe County in 2022 to study the effectiveness of pretrial supervision strategies for defendants with self-reported substance use. The research examined the effectiveness of drug testing requirements on pretrial defendants and examined the effectiveness of a needs-based approach to supervising individuals with substance use culminating in a successful dissertation defense (*Problematic Substance Use and the Pretrial Period: Risk- and Needs-Based Supervision Strategies*).

In the first study leading up to the dissertation project, Dr. Foudray examined the effectiveness of pretrial drug testing on pretrial outcomes for defendants with problematic substance use. Findings showed that pretrial defendants with a drug testing condition during their supervision period were at a higher risk of pretrial failure compared to defendants without a drug testing condition. Further, defendants with a drug testing condition showed a higher likelihood of rearrest, rearrest on drug-specific charges, and any failure compared to defendants without drug testing.

In examining the effectiveness of a needs-based approach to supervising individuals with substance use, overall findings point to the lack of existing suitable strategies for managing defendants with problematic substance use during the pretrial period. A needs-based approach to addressing substance use issues shows promise and future research is needed on a larger scale which may indicate an improvement in experiences and outcomes for pretrial defendants with problematic substance use.

### **Juvenile Services Research**

Since 2020, the Department has been involved in the Alliances to Disseminate Addiction Prevention and Treatment (ADAPT) study funded by the National Institutes of Health and led by Principal Investigator Matthew Aalsma, PhD, associated with Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis (IUPUI).

The ADAPT project takes a two-pronged approach. First, the project employed a Learning Health System (LHS) to develop collaborative alliances between juvenile justice agencies and community mental health centers, organizations that traditionally operate independently. Second, the project aims to present local data within the LHS alliances. By offering agency representatives an opportunity to view and discuss, for example, the local rate at which youth in the juvenile justice system at risk of substance use disorders are initiating services, the project team will facilitate development of tailored, local solutions to improve services for each county.

In this project, Departmental staff attend training, completed various surveys, and tracked a variety of data elements during the implementation. The project is expected to wrap-up in 2025.

# PROBATION APPENDIX

## STATE STATISTICAL REPORTS SUBMITTED FOR 2023

# YEAR END STATISTICS JUVENILE PROBATION REPORT

COUNTY: Monroe THIS REPORT COVERS THE PERIOD

COURT(S): Juvenile FROM: 01-01-23 TO: 12-31-23

COURT I.D. NUMBERS: 53C07

PART I (A) REFERRALS	Non-Status Delinquents	Status Delinquents	Other (Specify)	Total
A. Referrals Previously Pending	11	4	0	15
B. New Referrals	224	124	0	348
C. Total Referrals before Probation Department (A & B)	235	128	0	363

PART I (B) DISPOSITION OF REFERRALS	Non-Status Delinquents	Status Delinquents	Other (Specify)	Total
D. Preliminary Inquiry with Recommendation to File Petition	51	4	0	55
E. Preliminary Inquiry with Recommendation to File Petition and Refer for Dual Status Assessment	0	1	0	1
F. Preliminary Inquiry with Recommendation for Informal Adjustment	18	12	0	30
G. Preliminary Inquiry with Recommendation for Informal Adjustment and Refer for Dual Status Assessment	0	0	0	0
H. Preliminary Inquiry with Recommendation to Refer Another Agency/County	61	34	0	95
I. Preliminary Inquiry with Recommendation to Dismiss	0	0	0	0
J. Preliminary Inquiry with Recommendation for Waiver	0	0	0	0
K. Other Disposition of Referral: No Action/No Further Action	94	67	0	161
L. Total Referrals Disposed (Add Lines D through K)	224	118	0	342
M. Referrals Pending (line C minus line L)	11	10	0	21

	Post Adjudication		Informal Adjustment					
PART II: SUPERVISIONS	Non-Status Delinquents	Status Delinquents	Non-Status Delinquent	Status Delinquent	Intra-State Accepted	Inter-State Accepted	Other (Specify)	Total Supervisions
A. Supervisions Previously Pending	8	1	6	1	1	1	0	18
B. Supervisions Received	17	0	31	14	2	0	0	64
C. Supervisions Re-Opened	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
D. Total Supervisions Before You (Add Line A through C)	33	1	37	15	3	1	0	90

	_	Post Adjudication		Informal Adjustment				
PART III: CLOSED AND INACTIVE SUPERVISIONS	Non-Status Delinquents	Status Delinquents	Non-Status Delinquent	Status Delinquent	Intra-State Accepted	Inter-State Accepted	Other (Specify)	Total Supervisions
E. Discharged (Closed Supervision)	16	1	20	8	1	1	0	47
F. Modified & Committed Corrections Facility (DOC) (Technical Violation)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G. Modified & Committed to Correctional Facility (DOC) (New Offense)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
H. Removed from Supervision Because of New Offense	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
I. Absconded	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
J. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
K. Total Closed / Inactive Supervisions	20	1	20	8	1	1	0	51
L. Supervisions Pending (Line D minus K)	13	0	17	7	2	0	0	39

	Po Adjudi		Info Adjus	rmal tment				
PART IV: STATUS OF SUPERVISIONS	Non-Status Delinquents	Status Delinquents	Non-Status Delinquent	Status Delinquent	Intra-State Accepted	Inter-State Accepted	Other (Specify)	Total Supervisions
M. Standard Supervision (Probation)	12	0	17	7	2	0	0	38
N. Modified & Placed in an In-State Residential Facility (Technical Violation)	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
O. Modified & Placed in an In-State Residential Facility (New Offense)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
P. Modified & Placed in an Out-of-State Residential Facility (Technical Violation)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Q. Modified & Placed in an Out-of-State Residential Facility (New Offense)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
R. Placed in Community Transition Program (Actively Providing Services)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S. Intrastate Transferred Out	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
T. Interstate Transferred Out	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U. Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
V. Total Supervised (should equal line L)	13	0	17	7	2	0	0	39

Note – The above report represents data submitted to the State of Indiana and differs slightly in the data reported elsewhere in the annual report due to collection methods and dates in time when the data were calculated.

## YEAR END STATISTICS ADULT MISDEMEANOR PROBATION REPORT

COUNTY: Monroe THIS REPORT COVERS THE PERIOD

COURT(S): Adult FROM: 01-01-23 TO: 12-31-23

COURT I.D. NUMBERS: 53C02, 53C03, 53C05, 53C09

#### **PART I – SUPERVISIONS**

	Post-sentence Supervision	Split Sentence Supervisions	Inter-State Accepted	Intra-State Accepted	Supervision In Lieu of Prosecution	Judgment Withheld	Other (Specify)	Total Supervisions
A. Supervisions Previously Pending	409	1	2	42	0	0	1	455
B. New Supervisions Received	442	0	2	41	0	0	11	496
C. Supervisions Re-Opened	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
D. Total Supervised Cases Before You (Add Lines A to C)	852	1	4	83	0	0	12	952

#### PART II – CLOSED AND INACTIVE SUPERVISIONS

E. Discharged								
(Completed	334	0	2	35	0	0	12	383
Probation)								
F. Revoked Because	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
of New Offense	23	U	O	U	U	U	U	23
G. Revoked for	31	0	0	0	0	0	0	31
Technical Violation	31	U	U	U	U	U	U	31
H. Absconded and/or	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
Warrant Active	19	U	O	U	U	U	U	19
I. Other Closed /								
Inactive	4	0	2	9	0	0	0	15
Supervisions								
J. Subtotal Closed /								
Inactive	411	0	4	4.4	0	0	10	471
Supervisions (Add	411	0	4	44	0	0	12	471
Lines E through I)								
K. Supervisions								
Pending (Line D	441	1	0	39	0	0	0	481
Minus Line J)								

### PART III – STATUS ON PENDING SUPERVISIONS

	Post-sentence Supervision	Split Sentence Supervisions	Inter-State Accepted	Intra-State Accepted	Supervision In Lieu of Prosecution	Judgment Withheld	Other (Specify)	Total Supervisions
L. Under Supervision	406	1	0	38	0	0	0	445
M. Intra-State Transferred Out	35	0	0	1	0	0	0	36
N. Inter-State Transferred Out	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
O. Other Supervisions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
P. Total (Equals Line K)	441	1	0	39	0	0	0	481

Note – The above report represents data submitted to the State of Indiana and differs slightly in the data reported elsewhere in the annual report due to collection methods and dates in time when the data was calculated.

## YEAR END STATISTICS ADULT FELONY PROBATION REPORT

COUNTY: Monroe THIS REPORT COVERS THE PERIOD

COURT(S): Adult FROM: 01-01-23 TO: 12-31-23

COURT I.D. NUMBERS: 53C02, 53C03, 53C05, 53C09

#### **PART I – SUPERVISIONS**

	Post-sentence Supervision	Split Sentence Supervisions	Inter-State Accepted	Intra-State Accepted	Supervision In Lieu of Prosecution	Judgment Withheld	Other (Specify)	Total Supervisions
A. Supervisions Previously Pending	576	152	22	112	0	0	0	862
B. New Supervisions Received	381	37	12	130	0	0	0	560
C. Supervisions Re-Opened	1	4	1	2	0	0	0	8
D. Total Supervised Cases Before You (Add Lines A & C)	958	193	35	244	0	0	0	1,430

#### PART II – CLOSED AND INACTIVE SUPERVISIONS

E. Discharged (Completed Probation)	193	36	3	35	0	0	0	267
F. Revoked Because of New Offense	38	8	0	0	0	0	0	46
G. Revoked for Technical Violation	43	6	1	3	0	0	0	53
H. Absconded and/or Warrant Active	54	5	0	0	0	0	0	59
I. Other Closed / Inactive Supervisions	80	16	11	94	0	0	0	201
J. Subtotal Closed / Inactive Supervisions (Add Lines E through I)	408	71	15	132	0	0	0	626
K. Supervisions Pending (Line D Minus Line J)	550	122	20	112	0	0	0	804

### PART III – STATUS ON PENDING SUPERVISIONS

	Post-sentence Supervision	Split Sentence Supervisions	Inter-State Accepted	Intra-State Accepted	Supervision In Lieu of Prosecution	Judgment Withheld	Other (Specify)	Total Supervisions
L. Under Supervision	495	103	20	109	0	0	0	727
M. Intra-State Transferred Out	44	14	0	2	0	0	0	60
N. Inter-State Transferred Out	11	5	0	1	0	0	0	17
O. Other Supervisions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
P. Total (Equals Line K)	550	122	20	112	0	0	0	804

Note – The above report represents data submitted to the State of Indiana and differs slightly in the data reported elsewhere in the annual report due to collection methods and dates in time when the data was calculated.

### COURT ALCOHOL AND DRUG PROGRAM DATA REPORT

Note – The report represents data submitted to the State of Indiana and differs slightly in the data reported elsewhere in the annual report due to collection methods and dates in time when the data was calculated. Items with zeros are not reported.

#### 1. Reporting Period: January 1, 2023 to December 31, 2023

#### 2. Race

- **A.** 3 American Indian or Alaskan Native
- **B.** 2 Asian
- C. 38 Black or African-American
- **D.** 42 Multiracial
- **E.** 6 Unknown
- **F.** 458 White

#### 3. Gender

- **A.** 142 Female
- **B.** 406 Male
- C. 1 Not Specified

#### 4. Age

- **A.** 63 18-21
- **B.** 92 22-25
- **C.** 79 26 30
- **D.** 75 31-35
- **E.** 70 36-40
- **F.** 65 41-45
- **G.** 29 46-50
- **H.** 27 51-55
- **I.** 29 56-60
- **J.** 13 61-65
- $\mathbf{K}$ . 7 66 and above

#### 5. Income (Status at Intake)

- **A.** 76 Unknown/Unavailable
- **B.** 351 Less than \$10,000
- **C.** 9 \$10,000 \$14,999
- **D.** 20 \$15,000 \$24,999
- **E.** 17 \$25,000 \$34,999
- **F.** 23 \$35,000 \$49,999
- **G.** 32 \$50,000 \$74,999
- **H.** 21 \$75,000 or more

#### **6.** Education (Status at Intake)

- **A.** 80 Less than High School
- **B.** 191 High School Diploma / GED
- C. 33 Trade / Technical School
- **D.** 121 Some College
- E. 110 College Graduate
- **F.** 14 Unknown/Unavailable

# COURT ALCOHOL AND DRUG PROGRAM DATA REPORT (continued)

#### 7. Employment (Status at Intake)

- A. 249 Full-time Employment
- **B.** 61 Part-time Employment
- C. 166 Unemployed
- **D.** 23 Disabled
- **E.** 9 Retired
- **F.** 27 Student
- **G.** 14 Unknown/Unavailable

#### 8. Referral

- **A.** 78 Basic Substance Abuse Education
- **B.** 31 Self-help
- C. 342 Substance Abuse Treatment Evaluation

#### 9. Compliance / Disposition

- A. 29 Absconded / FTA
- **B.** 13 Deceased
- C. 419 Successfully Completed
- **D.** 115 Terminated Unsuccessful / Revoked

#### 10. Risk Assessment

- **A.** 259 Low
- **B.** 108 Moderate
- **C.** 107 High
- **D.** 25 Very High

#### 11. Charge

- **A.** Level 2 Felony
  - 2 Offenses relating to controlled substances under 35-48
- **B.** Level 3 Felony
  - 5 Offenses relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48
- C. Level 4 Felony
  - 1 Offenses involving a motor vehicle under IC 9
  - 10 Offenses relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48
  - 1 Offense relating to regulations of weapons and instruments of violence under IC 35-47
- **D.** Class C Felony
  - 1 Offense relating to regulations of weapons and instruments of violence under IC 35-47
- E. Level 5 Felony
  - 1 Offenses against property under IC 35-43
  - 1 Offenses against public health, order and decency under IC 35-45
  - 2 Offenses against the person under IC 35-42
  - 5 Offenses involving a motor vehicle under IC 9
  - 19 Offenses relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48
  - 1 Offense relating to regulations of weapons and instruments of violence under IC 35-47

# COURT ALCOHOL AND DRUG PROGRAM DATA REPORT (continued)

#### **F.** Level 6 Felony

- 2 Miscellaneous offenses under 35-46
- 7 Offenses against general public administration under IC 35-44.1
- 7 Offenses against property under IC 35-43
- 4 Offenses against public health, order and decency under IC 35-45
- 3 Offenses against the person under IC 35-42
- 90 Offenses involving a motor vehicle under IC 9
- 77 Offenses relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48

#### G. Class A Misdemeanor

- 2 Offenses against the person under IC 35-42
- 168 Offenses involving a motor vehicle under IC 9
- 4 Offenses relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48

#### **H.** Class B Misdemeanor

- 1 Offenses against public health, order and decency under IC 35-45
- 2 Offenses involving a motor vehicle under IC 9
- 5 Offenses involving alcohol under IC 7.1
- 7 Offenses relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48

#### I. Class C Misdemeanor

- 108 Offenses involving a motor vehicle under IC 9
- 7 Offenses involving alcohol under IC 7.1
- 1 Offenses relating to controlled substances under IC 35-48

## PROBLEM SOLVING COURTS PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Note – The report represents data submitted to the State of Indiana and differs slightly in the data reported elsewhere in the annual report due to collection methods and dates in time when the data was calculated.

	Drug Treatment Court	Reentry Court	Mental Health Court	Veterans Court
Demographics				
A. Sex – number of participants in each category during the reporting period.				
1. Female	19	7	2	0
2. Male	44	27	12	13
B. Race – number of participants in each category during the reporting period.				
1. White	53	26	9	11
2. Black or African American	3	6	3	2
3. American Indian or Alaska Native	3	0	0	0
4. Asian	0	0	1	0
5. Other	1	0	0	0
6. Two or more races	3	2	1	0
C. Ethnicity – number of participants in each category during the reporting period.				
1. Of Hispanic, Latino or Spanish origin	2	1	1	0
2. Not of Hispanic, Latino or Spanish origin	61	33	13	13
D. Age – number of participants in each age range at intake during the reporting period.				
1. 18-21	0	0	1	0
2. 22-25	6	2	1	0
3. 26-30	9	10	0	2
4. 31-35	15	6	2	1
5. 36-40	8	2	3	2
6. 41-45	11	6	2	2
7. 46-50	5	5	0	2
8. 51-55	3	3	4	1
9. 56-60	2	0	1	2
10. 61-65	3	0	0	1
11. 66 and Above	1	0	0	0
E. Military status – number of participants reporting current or past military service at intake during the reporting period.	3	0	0	13

			ı	
	Drug Treatment Court	Reentry Court	Mental Health Court	Veterans Court
F. Education – number of participants with each of the following education levels at intake during the reporting period.			1	l
1. Less than a high school education	8	10	1	4
2. High school equivalency	4	3	0	0
3. High school	25	14	8	5
4. College	26	7	5	4
G. Employment – count only those participants who are legally employed and work either 30 hours or more per week (full-time), are verifiably disabled and unable to work, are retired and existing on a pension, or are attending school full-time on the last day of the reporting period.				
1. Number of participants who were employed full-time	45	24	9	10
2. Number of participants who were full-time students	1	0	0	0
3. Number of disabled participants	1	0	1	1
4. Number of participants who were retired	0	0	0	1
5. Other	0	10	4	1
H. Current offense – number of participants admitted during the reporting period with the most serious offense for which each individual is participating in the problem-solving court, prioritized by offense level and type of offense as listed below. Count each participant only once.				
1. Level 3 Felony	1	4	0	0
2. Level 4 Felony	3	8	2	2
3. Class C Felony	0	1	0	0
4. Level 5 Felony	16	13	3	1
5. Class D Felony	1	0	0	0
6. Level 6 Felony	39	8	6	8
7. Class A Misdemeanor	0	0	0	1
8. Other Uncategorized	0	0	0	1
I. Treatment history – number of participants admitted during the reporting period with past or current treatment at intake in the following categories. Data in this section shall be supported by documentation in the court's possession and collected at the time of admission. Each participant should only fall within one category.				
Mental health disorder	10	2	14	1
2. Substance disorder	23	9	7	1
3. Co-occurring disorders	3	3	7	0
J. Treatment Services – number of participants who received the following services during the reporting period. A participant may be counted in both categories but should not be counted more than once in a service category.				
1. Substance abuse treatment	22	16	8	5
2. Mental health treatment	2	10	5	3

	ı	1	1	
	Drug Treatment Court	Reentry Court	Mental Health Court	Veterans Court
K. Risk Assessment				
1. Number of participants scored at intake using the IRAS/IYAS at each of the following risk levels during the reporting period.				
a. Low	5	0	3	0
b. Moderate	7	3	0	4
c. High	6	7	0	2
d. Very High	2	1	3	0
2. Number of graduated participants scored at discharge using the IRAS/IYAS in each of the following risk levels during the reporting period.				
a. Decrease from intake	1	0	0	0
L. Drug(s) of choice – number of participants admitted during the reporting period who report their drug of choice as one or more of the following:				
1. Alcohol	29	5	2	3
2. Amphetamines	0	1	0	0
3. Benzodiazepines	0	0	2	0
4. Crack/Cocaine	2	0	1	0
5. Heroin	6	8	1	0
6. Marijuana	13	4	3	3
7. Methamphetamines	10	6	6	0
8. Prescription opioids (e.g. Buprenorphine/Suboxone, Methadone)	2	2	3	0
9. Synthetic substances (e.g. Ecstasy, Spice/K2, bath salts)	1	0	2	0
M. Program participant status				
1. Admitted	20	13	7	6
2. Graduated	12	4	0	0
3. Terminated (removal for noncompliance with program requirements)	6	2	2	1
4. Withdrawn (removal for something other than noncompliance with program requirements)	1	0	0	0
5. Active (the total number of participants)	44	27	12	12
N. Legal status of participants – number of participants in each of the following categories as determined at the time of intake during the reporting period. A participant may be counted in more than one category only if the individual enters the court under more than one case number with different legal statuses.				
Judgment of conviction withheld pending successful completion of the problem solving court	63	34	14	13
	_	_	_	

	Drug Treatment Court	Reentry Court	Mental Health Court	Veterans Court
Abstinence and Use		<u> </u>		
A. Number of chemical tests administered during the reporting period	11,831	6,956	2,703	2,747
B. Number of chemical tests administered during the reporting period with a positive test result	465	127	138	129
C. Number of chemical tests administered during the reporting period with dilute results	22	12	4	3
D. Number of chemical tests administered in each of the following categories during the reporting period				
1. Breath	7,675	4,631	1,765	1,827
2. Saliva	755	409	137	187
3. Urine	3,401	1,916	801	733
E. Participant substance use during the reporting period				
1. Number of participants who tested positive during the reporting period	53	24	10	11
2. Number of participants with dilute test results during the reporting period	12	8	4	3
<ol><li>Number of times participants tested positive for each of the following substances during the reporting period</li></ol>				
a. Alcohol	13	4	3	0
b. Amphetamines	9	3	0	0
c. Benzodiazepines	8	0	0	0
d. Crack / Cocaine	1	1	0	0
e. Marijuana	21	3	4	1
f. Methamphetamines	10	3	0	1
g. Prescription opioids (used without prescription or contrary to prescription directives) (e.g. Buprenorphine/Suboxone, Methadone)	91	35	64	59
Adult Participant Data				
A. Number of adult participants who received a jail sanction	25	18	7	3
B. Number of jail days served by adult participants for sanctions	455	354	167	29
C. Number of jail days served by adult participants awaiting treatment placement (to include detoxification, sober living, inpatient, etc.)	71	0	0	0
D. Number of jail days served by adult participants awaiting termination	2,405	297	478	64
Graduation Rate – The percentage of participants who graduated from the problem-solving court, derived by dividing the total number of problem-solving court graduates since initial problem-solving court certification by the total number of graduates since initial problem-solving court certification plus the total number of participants terminated since initial problem-solving court certification, calculated on the final day of the reporting period.	60%	55%	55%	60%

	Drug Treatment Court	Reentry Court	Mental Health Court	Veterans Court
Recidivism		•	•	
A. During problem-solving court participation, the number of adult participants charged with a new felony or misdemeanor, and the number of juvenile participants charged with a new act that would be a felony or misdemeanor if committed by an adult or waived to criminal court in each of the following offense levels during the reporting period. Report only the most serious offense charged to the participant under the appropriate offense level.				
1. Level 5 Felony	0	1	0	0
2. Level A Misdemeanor	1	0	0	0
B. Number of former adult participants charged with a new local (defined as within the same county as the problem-solving court) felony or misdemeanor and former juvenile participants charged with a new local (defined as within the same county as the problem-solving court) act that would be a felony or misdemeanor if committed by an adult or waived to criminal court in each of the following offense levels within 36 months of problem-solving court discharge during the reporting period. Count only the most serious offense charged to the former participant under the appropriate offense level.				
Graduated participants				
a. Level 6 Felony	1	0	0	0
2. Terminated participants				•
a. Level 5 Felony	1	0	0	0
Retention Rate – The percentage of participants who have either graduated or are still active in the problem-solving court out of the total number of participants admitted since initial problem-solving court certification (active + graduated / total number admitted), calculated on the final day of the reporting period.	62%	57%	56%	61%

## JUVENILE DAILY POPULATIONS SECURE DETENTION DAILY POPULATION

	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December
1	3	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	2	2
2	3	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	2 2	2
3	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2 2	2 2
4	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		2
5	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2
6	3	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1
7	3	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1
8	3	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1
9	3	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1
10	3	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1
11	4	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	1
12	4	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	1
13	4	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	1
14	4	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	2	1
15	4	2	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	1
16	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	3	2	1
17	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	2	1
18	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	2 2 2 2	0
19	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	0
20	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	0
21	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	0
22	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	2 2	0
23	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2		1
24	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	2 2 2	1
25	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1
26	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2		1
27	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1
28	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1
29	3	-	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1
30	3	-	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1
31	3	-	0	-	0	-	0	0	-	2	-	1
Total	114	37	1	25	10	0	0	11	0	55	60	31
Days @ -0-	0	10	30	14	25	30	31	20	30	0	0	5

<sup>\*2023</sup> average daily detention population = 0.94

Low = 0

High = 5

TOTAL days with -0- in detention = **195** (entire month of June, July, and September)

## SHELTER CARE DAILY POPULATION

	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December
1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
11	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
12	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
13	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
14	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
15	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
16	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
31	0	_	0	-	0	_	0	0	_	0	_	0
Total *2022	3	0	0	6	0	0	0	20	0	0	6	0

<sup>\*2023</sup> average daily shelter population = 0.1

Low = 0

High = 1

**Full Months with -0- in Shelter Care = 8** 

# LIST OF OFFENSES FOR SUPERVISIONS AND PROGRAMS

### JUVENILE OFFENSES FOR REFERRALS AND SUPERVISIONS

	Juvenile Referrals	Juvenile Supervisions
Aggravated Battery (Felony)	2	0
Armed Robbery (Felony)	1	0
Arson (Felony)	1	0
Auto Theft (Felony)	12	2
Battery (Misdemeanor)	28	8
Battery Against a Public Safety Official (Felony)	4	0
Battery by Bodily Waste (Misdemeanor)	2	0
Battery by Means of a Deadly Weapon (Felony)	1	0
Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury (Misdemeanor)	7	3
Battery Resulting in Moderate Bodily Injury (Felony)	1	3
Battery Resulting in Serious Bodily Injury (Felony)	0	1
Burglary (Felony)	5	1
Child Molesting (Felony)	3	0
Contributing to the Delinquency of a Minor (Misdemeanor)	1	0
Conversion (Misdemeanor)	1	2
Criminal Mischief (Misdemeanor)	35	10
Criminal Recklessness (Felony)	1	0
Criminal Trespass (Misdemeanor)	12	0
Curfew Violation (Status)	12	0
Dangerous Possession of a Firearm (Misdemeanor)	2	3
Disorderly Conduct (Misdemeanor)	5	5
Domestic Battery (Felony)	1	0
Domestic Battery (Misdemeanor)	44	2
Domestic Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury to a Pregnant Woman (Felony)	1	0
Domestic Battery Resulting in Serious Bodily Injury (Felony)	1	0
False Identification Card (Misdemeanor)	2	0
False Identity Statement (Misdemeanor)	2	0
False Informing (Misdemeanor)	4	0
Fraud (Misdemeanor)	1	0
Habitual Disobedience of Parent, Guardian, or Custodian (Status)	11	1
Harassment (Misdemeanor)	4	0
Interference with the Reporting of a Crime (Misdemeanor)	2	0
Intimidation (Felony)	13	6
Intimidation (Misdemeanor)	12	0
Invasion of Privacy (Misdemeanor)	1	0

	Juvenile Referrals	Juvenile Supervisions
Leaving Home without Permission of Parent, Guardian, or Custodian (Status)	86	13
Leaving the Scene of an Accident (Misdemeanor)	3	2
Minor Consuming Alcohol (Misdemeanor)	20	3
Minor in Possession of Alcohol (Misdemeanor)	3	0
Operating a Motor Vehicle without ever Receiving a License (Misdemeanor)	8	1
Operating a Vehicle While Intoxicated (Misdemeanor)	1	4
Operating a Vehicle While Intoxicated Endangering a Person (Misdemeanor)	2	0
Operating a Vehicle With a Schedule I or II Controlled Substance or its Metabolite in the Blood (Misdemeanor)	1	0
Operating a Vehicle With an ACE of .08 or More (Misdemeanor)	1	0
Pointing a Firearm (Felony)	1	0
Possession of a Controlled Substance (Felony)	1	0
Possession of a Controlled Substance (Misdemeanor)	1	0
Possession of a Knife on School Property (Misdemeanor)	1	0
Possession of Marijuana (Misdemeanor)	7	2
Possession of Paraphernalia (Misdemeanor)	3	0
Public Intoxication (Misdemeanor)	3	0
Railroad Trespass (Misdemeanor)	2	2
Rape (Felony)	3	0
Reckless Driving (Misdemeanor)	4	0
Residential Entry (Felony)	7	0
Resisting Law Enforcement (Felony)	2	0
Resisting Law Enforcement (Misdemeanor)	20	2
Robbery Resulting in Bodily Injury (Felony)	1	0
Sexual Battery (Felony)	7	2
Speed Contest (Misdemeanor)	1	0
Strangulation (Felony)	3	0
Theft (Felony)	3	0
Theft (Misdemeanor)	18	2
Truancy (Status)	24	2
Unauthorized Entry of a Motor Vehicle (Misdemeanor)	5	0
Unlawful Carrying of a Handgun (Misdemeanor)	1	0
Unlawful Use of 911 Service (Misdemeanor)	2	0
TOTAL	480	82

# ADULT PROBATION AND COURT ALCOHOL & DRUG PROGRAM SUPERVISION OFFENSES

	Adult Probation Supervisions	Court Alcohol & Drug Program Supervisions
Activity Related to Obscene Performance (Felony)	1	0
Aggravated Battery (Felony)	1	0
Armed Robbery (Felony)	2	0
Arson (Felony)	5	0
Auto Theft (Felony)	15	1
Battery (Misdemeanor)	2	0
Battery Against a Public Safety Official (Felony)	5	0
Battery by Bodily Waste (Felony)	3	0
Battery by Bodily Waste (Misdemeanor)	1	0
Battery by Means of a Deadly Weapon (Felony)	3	0
Battery on a Person Less than 14 Years Old (Felony)	3	0
Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury (Misdemeanor)	17	0
Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury to a Person Less than 14 Years of Age (Felony)	1	0
Battery Resulting in Moderate Bodily Injury (Felony)	5	0
Battery Resulting in Serious Bodily Injury (Felony)	1	0
Burglary (Felony)	11	1
Carrying a Handgun without a License (Felony)	3	1
Carrying a Handgun without a License (Misdemeanor)	2	1
Causing Serious Bodily Injury When Operating a Motor Vehicle While Intoxicated (Felony)	5	5
Causing Serious Bodily Injury When Operating a Motor Vehicle with a Schedule I or II Substance in the Body (Felony)	1	0
Cemetery Mischief (Felony)	1	0
Check Deception (Misdemeanor)	1	0
Child Exploitation (Felony)	2	0
Child Molesting (Felony)	2	0
Child Solicitation (Felony)	1	0
Confinement (Felony)	2	0
Conversion (Misdemeanor)	6	1
Counterfeiting (Felony)	2	0
Criminal Confinement (Felony)	1	0
Criminal Mischief (Felony)	2	1
Criminal Mischief (Misdemeanor)	13	0
Criminal Recklessness (Felony)	10	0
Criminal Recklessness (Misdemeanor)	1	0
Criminal Trespass (Felony)	1	1
Criminal Trespass (Misdemeanor)	8	0

	Adult Probation Supervisions	Court Alcohol & Drug Program Supervisions
Dealing in a Narcotic Drug (Felony)	3	3
Dealing in Marijuana (Felony)	3	3
Dealing in Marijuana (Misdemeanor)	1	1
Dealing in Methamphetamine (Felony)	16	16
Disorderly Conduct (Misdemeanor)	6	0
Dissemination of Matter Harmful to Minors (Felony)	2	0
Domestic Battery (Felony)	22	3
Domestic Battery (Misdemeanor)	26	2
Domestic Battery by Means of a Deadly Weapon (Felony)	2	0
Domestic Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury to a Pregnant Woman (Felony)	2	1
Driving while Suspended (Misdemeanor)	1	0
Escape (Felony)	2	0
Exploitation of an Endangered Adult (Felony)	1	0
Exploitation of an Endangered Adult (Misdemeanor)	1	0
Failure to Make Report (Misdemeanor)	1	0
Failure to Register as a Sex or Violent Offender (Felony)	8	0
Failure to Report/Perform Duties Following Boating Accident – Injury to a Person (Misdemeanor)	1	1
Failure to Return to Lawful Detention (Felony)	1	0
False Identity Statement (Misdemeanor)	1	0
False Informing (Misdemeanor)	5	0
Felon Carrying a Handgun (Felony)	1	1
Forgery (Felony)	2	1
Fraud (Felony)	7	0
Fraud (Misdemeanor)	2	0
Fraud on a Financial Institution (Felony)	3	0
Furnishing Alcohol to a Minor (Misdemeanor)	1	0
Home Improvement Fraud (Felony)	1	0
Identity Deception (Felony)	2	1
Inhaling Toxic Vapors (Misdemeanor)	1	0
Interference with the Reporting of a Crime (Misdemeanor)	5	0
Intimidation (Felony)	12	2
Intimidation (Misdemeanor)	3	0
Invasion of Privacy (Felony)	2	0
Invasion of Privacy (Misdemeanor)	17	0
Kidnapping (Felony)	1	0
Leaving the Scene of an Accident (Felony)	1	0
Leaving the Scene of an Accident (Misdemeanor)	7	4
Maintaining a Common Nuisance – Alcohol (Felony)	1	1

	Adult Probation Supervisions	Court Alcohol & Drug Program Supervisions
Maintaining a Common Nuisance – Controlled Substances (Felony)	2	2
Medicaid Fraud (Felony)	1	0
Minor Consuming Alcohol (Misdemeanor)	5	7
Minor in Possession of Alcohol (Misdemeanor)	0	1
Neglect of a Dependent (Felony)	6	2
Neglect of a Dependent Resulting in Serious Bodily Injury (Felony)	1	0
Nonsupport of a Dependent Child (Felony)	2	0
Obstruction of Justice (Felony)	1	0
Obtaining a Controlled Substance by Fraud or Deceit (Felony)	1	1
Official Misconduct (Felony)	1	1
Operating a Motor Vehicle after Forfeiture of License for Life (Felony)	3	0
Operating a Motorboat while Intoxicated (Felony)	1	1
Operating a Motorboat while Intoxicated (Misdemeanor)	2	2
Operating a Vehicle as a Habitual Traffic Violator (Felony)	7	3
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated (Felony)	41	40
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated (Misdemeanor)	31	30
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person (Felony)	9	8
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person (Misdemeanor)	151	148
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person with a Passenger Less than 18 Years of Age (Felony)	1	1
Operating a Vehicle with a Schedule I or II Controlled Substance or its Metabolite in the Blood (Felony)	1	1
Operating a Vehicle with a Schedule I or II Controlled Substance or its Metabolite in the Blood (Misdemeanor)	6	6
Operating a Vehicle with a Schedule I or II Controlled Substance or its Metabolite in the Body (Misdemeanor)	1	1
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .08 or More (Felony)	3	3
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .08 or More (Misdemeanor)	48	48
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .15 or More (Felony)	8	8
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .15 or More (Misdemeanor)	22	22
Perjury (Felony)	1	0
Pointing a Firearm (Misdemeanor)	1	0
Possession of a Controlled Substance (Felony)	2	2
Possession of a Controlled Substance (Misdemeanor)	4	4
Possession of a Narcotic Drug (Felony)	17	17
Possession of Child Pornography (Felony)	1	0
Possession of Cocaine (Felony)	3	3
Possession of Marijuana (Felony)	1	1
Possession of Marijuana (Misdemeanor)	8	9
Possession of Methamphetamine (Felony)	77	72
Possession of Paraphernalia (Misdemeanor)	2	2

	Adult Probation Supervisions	Court Alcohol & Drug Program Supervisions
Public Intoxication (Misdemeanor)	5	5
Rape (Felony)	1	0
Reckless Driving (Misdemeanor)	31	27
Reckless Homicide (Felony)	1	1
Residential Entry (Felony)	14	1
Resisting Law Enforcement (Felony)	24	5
Resisting Law Enforcement (Misdemeanor)	18	2
Robbery (Felony)	4	0
Robbery Resulting in Bodily Injury (Felony)	3	0
Sexual Misconduct with a Minor (Felony)	3	0
Stalking (Felony)	3	0
Strangulation (Felony)	7	0
Theft (Felony)	50	2
Theft (Misdemeanor)	24	0
Theft of a Firearm (Felony)	5	0
Torturing or Mutilating a Vertebrate Animal (Felony)	1	0
Unauthorized Entry of a Motor Vehicle (Misdemeanor)	2	0
Unlawful Carrying of a Handgun (Felony)	2	0
Unlawful Carrying of a Handgun (Misdemeanor)	2	1
Unlawful Possession of a Firearm by a Serious Violent Felon (Felony)	8	1
Unlawful Possession of Syringe (Felony)	14	13
Unlawful Possession or Use of a Legend Drug (Felony)	7	7
Vicarious Sexual Gratification (Felony)	1	0
Visiting a Common Nuisance – Controlled Substances (Misdemeanor)	1	1
Voyeurism (Felony)	1	0
TOTAL	1,018	564

## **CASP LEVELS 1-12 SUPERVISION OFFENSES**

	CASP Level 1 (Work Release)	CASP Levels 2-5 (Electronic Monitoring)	CASP Levels 6, 8, 9 (Curfew/Exclusion Monitoring)	CASP Level 7 (Alcohol Detection)	CASP Level 10 (Day Reporting)	CASP Levels 11-12 (Pretrial Supervision)
Activity Related to Obscene Performance (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Aggravated Battery (Felony)	0	1	1	0	0	3
Armed Robbery (Felony)	1	4	0	0	1	2
Arson (Felony)	0	0	0	0	1	8
Attempted Murder (Felony)	0	2	1	0	0	5
Auto Theft (Felony)	0	3	0	0	8	23
Battery (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	4	18
Battery Against a Disabled Person (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Battery Against a Public Safety Official (Felony)	0	1	0	0	8	22
Battery by Bodily Waste (Felony)	0	1	0	1	1	4
Battery by Bodily Waste (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	1	2
Battery by Means of a Deadly Weapon (Felony)	0	4	1	3	6	21
Battery on a Person Less than 14 Years Old (Felony)	0	2	0	0	1	5
Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury (Misdemeanor)	0	2	0	2	7	61
Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury to a Person Less than 14 Years of Age (Felony)	0	1	0	1	1	5
Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury to a Pregnant Woman (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	2
Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury to a Public Safety Officer (Felony)	0	1	0	1	1	4
Battery Resulting in Moderate Bodily Injury (Felony)	0	0	0	1	0	4
Battery Resulting in Serious Bodily Injury (Felony)	0	1	0	0	1	4
Battery Resulting in Serious Bodily Injury to a Person Less Than 14 Years Old (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Burglary (Felony)	0	8	1	2	12	26
Carrying a Handgun without a License (Felony)	0	2	0	0	2	1
Carrying a Handgun without a License (Misdemeanor)	1	2	0	0	2	0
Causing Serious Bodily Injury when Operating a Motor Vehicle While Intoxicated (Felony)	0	0	0	0	2	0
Causing Serious Bodily Injury when Operating a Motor Vehicle with an ACE of .08 or More (Felony)	0	1	0	1	0	1
Causing Serious Bodily Injury when Operating a Motor Vehicle while Intoxicated (Felony)	0	2	0	3	0	4
Child Exploitation (Felony)	0	1	0	2	2	11

	CASP Level 1 (Work Release)	CASP Levels 2-5 (Electronic Monitoring)	CASP Levels 6, 8, 9 (Curfew/Exclusion Monitoring)	CASP Level 7 (Alcohol Detection)	CASP Level 10 (Day Reporting)	CASP Levels 11-12 (Pretrial Supervision)
Child Molesting (Felony)	0	4	1	4	5	8
Child Solicitation (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	2
Confinement (Felony)	0	1	0	0	1	13
Conspiracy to Deal Schedule I, II, or III Controlled Substance (Felony)	0	1	0	0	0	0
Contributing to the Delinquency of a Minor (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	2
Contributing to the Delinquency of a Minor (Misdemeanor)	0	2	0	0	0	1
Conversion (Misdemeanor)	0	1	0	0	1	4
Counterfeiting (Felony)	0	0	0	0	2	9
Criminal Confinement (Felony)	0	1	1	1	3	11
Criminal Mischief (Misdemeanor)	0	1	0	2	14	68
Criminal Recklessness (Felony)	0	0	1	0	1	17
Criminal Recklessness (Misdemeanor)	0	1	0	0	0	4
Criminal Trespass (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Criminal Trespass (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	1	18	70
Cruelty to an Animal (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Dangerous Control of a Firearm by a Child (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	2
Dangerous Possession of a Firearm (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Dealing in a Narcotic Drug (Felony)	0	1	0	0	6	5
Dealing in a Schedule I Controlled Substance (Felony)	0	1	0	0	2	1
Dealing in a Schedule II Controlled Substance (Felony)	0	2	0	0	0	0
Dealing in a Schedule IV Controlled Substance (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	2
Dealing in a Schedule IV Controlled Substance (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Dealing in Cocaine (Felony)	0	0	0	0	1	1
Dealing in Marijuana (Felony)	0	0	0	0	3	1
Dealing in Methamphetamine (Felony)	2	12	2	0	3	19
Disarming a Law Enforcement Officer (Felony)	0	0	1	0	0	0
Disorderly Conduct (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	2	4	28
Dissemination of Matter Harmful to Minors (Felony)	0	1	0	0	0	0
Domestic Battery (Felony)	0	12	2	10	14	110
Domestic Battery (Misdemeanor)	0	6	2	3	11	60
Domestic Battery by Bodily Waste (Misdemeanor)	0	1	0	0	0	3
Domestic Battery by Means of a Deadly Weapon (Felony)	0	3	0	0	0	7
Domestic Battery on a Person Less than 14 Years Old (Felony)	0	1	0	0	0	0

	CASP Level 1 (Work Release)	CASP Levels 2-5 (Electronic Monitoring)	CASP Levels 6, 8, 9 (Curfew/Exclusion Monitoring)	CASP Level 7 (Alcohol Detection)	CASP Level 10 (Day Reporting)	CASP Levels 11-12 (Pretrial Supervision)
Domestic Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury to a Person Less Than 14 Years of Age (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Domestic Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury to a Pregnant Woman (Felony)	0	0	0	1	1	3
Domestic Battery Resulting in Moderate Bodily Injury (Felony)	0	0	0	0	3	6
Domestic Battery Resulting in Serious Bodily Injury (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	4
Driving while Suspended (Misdemeanor)	0	1	0	1	0	17
Escape (Felony)	0	1	0	0	1	1
Failure to Register as a Sex or Violent Offender (Felony)	0	0	0	0	1	6
Failure to Remain at the Scene of an Accident (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	1	1	4
Failure to Report/Perform Duties Following Boating Accident – Injury to a Person (Misdemeanor)	0	1	0	0	0	0
False Driver's License or Permit (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	0	1
False Government Identification (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	0	1
False Identity Statement (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	1	5
False Informing (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	6	12
Felon Carrying a Handgun (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Forgery (Felony)	0	0	0	0	3	11
Fraud (Felony)	0	0	1	0	2	5
Fraud (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	0	6
Fraud on a Financial Institution (Felony)	0	0	0	0	2	2
Furnishing Alcohol to a Minor (Misdemeanor)	0	1	0	0	0	0
Habitual Offender	0	0	0	0	1	2
Harassment (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Identity Deception (Felony)	0	0	1	0	2	5
Incest (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Inhaling Toxic Vapors (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	0	2
Institutional Criminal Mischief (Felony)	0	0	0	0	1	0
Interference with the Reporting of a Crime (Misdemeanor)	0	3	0	2	1	15
Intimidation (Felony)	0	8	7	4	12	94
Intimidation (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	1	10
Invasion of Privacy (Felony)	0	1	1	0	1	4
Invasion of Privacy (Misdemeanor)	0	18	10	2	14	102
Kidnapping (Felony)	0	0	3	0	2	6
Leaving the Scene of an Accident (Felony)	0	0	0	0	1	3

	CASP Level 1 (Work Release)	CASP Levels 2-5 (Electronic Monitoring)	CASP Levels 6, 8, 9 (Curfew/Exclusion Monitoring)	CASP Level 7 (Alcohol Detection)	CASP Level 10 (Day Reporting)	CASP Levels 11-12 (Pretrial Supervision)
Leaving the Scene of an Accident (Misdemeanor)	0	3	0	3	5	21
Leaving the Scene of an Accident with Bodily Injury (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Maintaining a Common Nuisance – Alcohol (Felony)	0	1	0	0	0	0
Minor Consuming Alcohol (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	0	5
Neglect of a Dependent (Felony)	0	1	1	0	0	24
Neglect of a Dependent Resulting in Serious Bodily Injury (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Obstructing Traffic (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	0	6
Obstruction of Justice (Felony)	0	1	0	0	1	4
Operating a Boat Unnecessarily Endangers Person/Property (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Operating a Motor Vehicle after Forfeiture of License for Life (Felony)	0	1	0	1	1	5
Operating a Motor Vehicle without ever Receiving a License (Misdemeanor)	0	2	0	2	1	14
Operating a Motorboat while Intoxicated (Felony)	0	1	0	0	0	0
Operating a Motorboat while Intoxicated (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Operating a Vehicle as a Habitual Traffic Offender (Misdemeanor)	0	1	0	0	0	0
Operating a Vehicle as a Habitual Traffic Violator (Felony)	0	3	0	2	1	10
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated (Felony)	0	10	4	21	7	32
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated (Misdemeanor)	0	2	0	4	1	15
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person (Felony)	0	3	1	4	0	5
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person (Misdemeanor)	1	11	3	22	18	85
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person with a Passenger Less than 18 Years of Age (Felony)	0	0	1	1	1	5
Operating a Vehicle with a Schedule I or II Controlled Substance or its Metabolite in the Blood (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Operating a Vehicle with a Schedule I or II Controlled Substance or its Metabolite in the Blood (Misdemeanor)	0	1	0	0	0	1
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .08 or More (Felony)	0	0	0	2	0	4
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .08 or More (Misdemeanor)	0	2	0	4	1	23
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .15 or More (Felony)	0	2	1	5	1	7
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .15 or More (Misdemeanor)	0	1	0	7	2	23
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .15 or More with a Passenger Less than 18 Years of Age (Felony)	0	0	0	0	1	2
Organized Theft (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	3
Passing School Bus when Arm Signal is Extended (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	1	1	1
Performing Sexual Conduct in the Presence of a Minor (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1

	CASP Level 1 (Work Release)	CASP Levels 2-5 (Electronic Monitoring)	CASP Levels 6, 8, 9 (Curfew/Exclusion Monitoring)	CASP Level 7 (Alcohol Detection)	CASP Level 10 (Day Reporting)	CASP Levels 11-12 (Pretrial Supervision)
Perjury (Felony)	0	0	0	0	1	0
Pointing a Firearm (Felony)	0	1	0	1	0	15
Pointing a Firearm (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	0	2
Possession of a Controlled Substance (Felony)	0	0	0	0	5	1
Possession of a Controlled Substance (Misdemeanor)	0	2	0	1	0	16
Possession of a Narcotic Drug (Felony)	0	7	1	0	9	52
Possession of Child Pornography (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	21
Possession of Cocaine or Narcotic Drug (Felony)	0	0	0	1	2	9
Possession of Marijuana (Misdemeanor)	0	3	0	0	5	41
Possession of Methamphetamine (Felony)	1	23	3	2	52	160
Possession of Paraphernalia (Misdemeanor)	0	2	0	0	13	66
Public Indecency (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	0	2
Public Intoxication (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	2	4	20
Public Nudity (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	0	8
Rape (Felony)	0	1	0	0	1	10
Reckless Driving (Misdemeanor)	0	2	0	2	2	17
Reckless Homicide (Felony)	0	1	0	0	0	0
Refusing to Leave Emergency Incident Area (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Residential Entry (Felony)	1	2	0	2	6	41
Resisting Law Enforcement (Felony)	1	9	0	3	4	44
Resisting Law Enforcement (Misdemeanor)	0	6	1	1	15	78
Robbery (Felony)	0	2	2	0	2	3
Robbery Resulting in Bodily Injury (Felony)	0	1	1	0	0	7
Robbery Resulting in Serious Bodily Injury (Felony)	0	1	0	0	0	0
Sexual Battery (Felony)	0	0	0	0	1	8
Sexual Misconduct with a Minor (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	3
Stalking (Felony)	0	2	1	1	1	8
Strangulation (Felony)	0	3	3	4	9	51
Theft (Felony)	1	6	2	0	18	91
Theft (Misdemeanor)	0	1	0	0	34	73
Theft of a Firearm (Felony)	0	0	1	0	2	7
Theft of a Motor Vehicle Component Part (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Unauthorized Entry of a Motor Vehicle (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	2	9

	CASP Level 1 (Work Release)	CASP Levels 2-5 (Electronic Monitoring)	CASP Levels 6, 8, 9 (Curfew/Exclusion Monitoring)	CASP Level 7 (Alcohol Detection)	CASP Level 10 (Day Reporting)	CASP Levels 11-12 (Pretrial Supervision)
Unlawful Carrying of a Handgun (Felony)	0	1	0	1	1	13
Unlawful Carrying of a Handgun (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	1	3
Unlawful Possession of a Firearm by a Domestic Batterer (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	0	1
Unlawful Possession of a Firearm by a Serious Violent Felon (Felony)	0	3	0	0	0	15
Unlawful Possession of a Syringe (Felony)	0	6	0	0	8	31
Unlawful Possession or Use of a Legend Drug (Felony)	0	0	1	1	6	31
Unlawful Use of 911 Service (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	1	2	2
Vicarious Sexual Gratification (Felony)	0	0	0	0	0	2
Voyeurism (Felony)	0	1	0	0	0	1
Voyeurism (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	0	0	1
TOTAL	9	257	64	153	455	2,288

# JUVENILE HOME DETENTION, COMMUNITY TRANSITION PROGRAM, AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

	Juvenile Home Detention	Community Transition Program	Community Service
Aggravated Battery (Felony)	2	0	0
Armed Robbery (Felony)	0	2	0
Arson (Felony)	1	0	0
Battery (Misdemeanor)	7	0	0
Battery Against a Public Safety Official (Felony)	0	0	1
Battery by Means of a Deadly Weapon (Felony)	4	0	0
Battery on a Person Less than 14 Years Old (Felony)	0	0	1
Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury (Misdemeanor)	1	0	1
Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury to a Person Less than 14 Years of Age (Felony)	0	0	1
Battery Resulting in Bodily Injury to a Public Safety Officer (Felony)	0	0	1
Battery Resulting in Moderate Bodily Injury (Felony)	2	0	0
Battery Resulting in Serious Bodily Injury (Felony)	1	0	0
Burglary (Felony)	5	1	3
Carrying a Handgun without a License (Felony)	0	0	1
Carrying a Handgun without a License (Misdemeanor)	1	0	1
Child Molesting (Felony)	2	0	0
Conversion (Misdemeanor)	5	0	0
Criminal Mischief (Felony)	0	0	1
Criminal Mischief (Misdemeanor)	11	0	0
Criminal Recklessness (Felony)	0	0	1
Criminal Trespass (Misdemeanor)	1	0	0
Curfew Violation (Status)	1	0	0
Dangerous Possession of a Firearm (Misdemeanor)	3	0	0
Dealing in Methamphetamine (Felony)	0	1	4
Disarming a Law Enforcement Officer (Felony)	0	0	1
Disorderly Conduct (Misdemeanor)	5	0	1
Domestic Battery (Felony)	0	0	1
Domestic Battery (Misdemeanor)	0	0	1
Driving while Suspended (Misdemeanor)	0	0	1
Escape (Felony)	1	1	1
Failure to Make Report (Misdemeanor)	0	0	2
False Identity Statement (Misdemeanor)	0	0	1

	Juvenile Home Detention	Community Transition Program	Community Service
False Informing (Misdemeanor)	0	0	1
Forgery (Felony)	0	0	2
Fraud on a Financial Institution (Felony)	0	0	1
Habitual Disobedience of Parent, Guardian, or Custodian (Status)	1	0	0
Incest (Felony)	1	0	0
Inhaling Toxic Vapors (Misdemeanor)	0	0	1
Intimidation (Felony)	4	0	1
Intimidation (Misdemeanor)	1	0	0
Invasion of Privacy (Felony)	0	0	1
Leaving Home without Permission of Parent, Guardian, or Custodian (Status)	4	0	0
Leaving the Scene of an Accident (Misdemeanor)	2	0	3
Minor Consuming Alcohol (Misdemeanor)	1	0	1
Obstruction of Justice (Felony)	0	0	1
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated (Felony)	0	0	17
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated (Misdemeanor)	0	0	5
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person (Felony)	0	0	2
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person (Misdemeanor)	0	0	31
Operating a Vehicle with a Schedule I or II Controlled Substance or its Metabolite in the Blood (Misdemeanor)	0	0	2
Operating a Vehicle with a Schedule I or II Controlled Substance or its Metabolite in the Body (Felony)	0	0	1
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .08 or More (Felony)	0	0	3
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .08 or More (Misdemeanor)	0	0	9
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .15 or More (Felony)	0	0	2
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .15 or More (Misdemeanor)	0	0	6
Pointing a Firearm (Misdemeanor)	1	0	0
Possession of a Controlled Substance (Felony)	0	0	1
Possession of a Schedule V Controlled Substance (Misdemeanor)	0	0	1
Possession of Marijuana (Misdemeanor)	0	0	2
Possession of Methamphetamine (Felony)	0	3	5
Possession of Paraphernalia (Misdemeanor)	0	0	1
Public Intoxication (Misdemeanor)	0	0	2
Rape (Felony)	2	0	0
Reckless Driving (Misdemeanor)	0	0	5
Residential Entry (Felony)	1	0	5
Resisting Law Enforcement (Felony)	0	1	8

	Juvenile Home Detention	Community Transition Program	Community Service
Resisting Law Enforcement (Misdemeanor)	2	0	3
Robbery (Felony)	0	0	2
Robbery Resulting in Bodily Injury (Felony)	1	1	0
Sexual Battery (Felony)	2	0	0
Sexual Misconduct with a Minor (Felony)	0	0	1
Strangulation (Felony)	0	0	1
Theft (Felony)	2	1	8
Theft (Misdemeanor)	3	0	4
Theft of Firearm (Felony)	1	0	1
Unauthorized Entry of a Motor Vehicle (Misdemeanor)	3	0	0
Unlawful Carrying of a Handgun (Felony)	0	0	1
Unlawful Carrying of a Handgun (Misdemeanor)	0	0	1
Unlawful Possession of a Firearm by a Serious Violent Felon (Felony)	0	0	1
Unlawful Possession of a Syringe (Felony)	0	0	1
Visiting a Common Nuisance – Controlled Substances (Misdemeanor)	0	0	1
TOTAL	85	11	170

## PROBLEM SOLVING COURT OFFENSES

	Drug Treatment Court Supervisions	Reentry Court Supervisions	Mental Health Court Supervisions	Veterans Court Supervisions
Armed Robbery (Felony)	0	2	0	0
Battery Against a Safety Official (Felony)	0	0	1	0
Burglary (Felony)	2	2	0	0
Causing Serious Bodily Injury when Operating a Vehicle While Intoxicated (Felony)	0	1	0	0
Criminal Trespass (Misdemeanor)	1	0	1	0
Dealing in Marijuana (Felony)	0	1	0	0
Dealing in Methamphetamine (Felony)	0	1	0	0
Escape (Felony)	1	1	0	0
Forgery (Felony)	0	1	1	0
Fraud (Felony)	2	0	0	0
Intimidation (Felony)	2	0	1	0
Invasion of Privacy (Misdemeanor)	2	0	3	0
Leaving the Scene of an Accident (Misdemeanor)	1	0	0	0
Neglect of a Dependent (Felony)	0	0	0	1
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated (Felony)	11	1	0	4
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated (Misdemeanor)	2	0	0	0
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person (Felony)	1	0	0	1
Operating a Vehicle while Intoxicated Endangering a Person (Misdemeanor)	1	1	1	0
Operating a Vehicle with an ACE of .08 or More (Felony)	1	0	0	0
Possession of a Narcotic Drug (Felony)	1	0	1	0
Possession of Marijuana (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	1
Possession of Methamphetamine (Felony)	7	3	0	2
Possession of Paraphernalia (Misdemeanor)	0	0	0	1
Reckless Driving (Misdemeanor)	1	0	0	0
Residential Entry (Felony)	0	1	0	0
Resisting Law Enforcement (Felony)	1	2	0	0
Resisting Law Enforcement (Misdemeanor)	0	0	1	0
Robbery (Felony)	0	0	2	0
Robbery Resulting in Bodily Injury (Felony)	0	1	0	0
Strangulation (Felony)	0	1	0	0
Theft (Felony)	7	1	3	0
Theft (Misdemeanor)	1	0	0	0
Unlawful Possession of a Syringe (Felony)	0	0	0	1

	Drug Treatment Court Supervisions	Reentry Court Supervisions	Mental Health Court Supervisions	Veterans Court Supervisions
Unlawful Possession or Use of a Legend Drug (Felony)	1	0	1	0
TOTAL	46	20	16	11

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

The Monroe Circuit Court Probation Department Annual Report is a collaborative effort that could only be accomplished with the hard work and dedication of staff. The Chief Probation Officer Linda Brady expresses deep appreciation to all those who contributed to this project.

Contributors
Troy Hatfield
Becca Streit
Anthony Williams
Valerie Collins
Jeff Hartman
Steve Malone
Christian Carlisle
Chelsea Walters
Melissa Wallace

# YOUTH SERVICES BUREAU



# 2023 Annual Report



615 South Adams Street, Bloomington, Indiana, 47403

Phone (812) 349-2506

Fax (812) 349-2892

#### Introduction

#### **Mission Statement:**

The mission of Youth Services Bureau is to support youth and families through advocacy, education, collaboration, and fostering community connections.

#### **Vision Statement:**

Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County envisions a thriving community, rooted in compassion, rich in opportunity, where youth and families are empowered and resilient.

#### **Agency Values:**

Integrity, Advocacy & Awareness, Service, Importance of Human Relationships, Honoring Dignity of Person, Investment

#### **History:**

Since 1972, Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County has provided services to strengthen families, divert youth from the juvenile justice system, and to foster positive youth development. Family support and structure are necessary for the development of our community's youth. YSB offers services that foster positive family functioning and help lay the groundwork to build healthy, productive individuals.

#### **Accreditation & Memberships:**



YSB is an accredited Indiana Youth Services Association (IYSA) member. We fulfill the 4 core roles of delinquency prevention, advocacy, community education and information & referral with our programs.  $^1$ 



YSB is a member of Indiana Association of Resources and Child Advocacy (IARCA). It is an association of concerned agencies who not only care for children and families, but also care about them.<sup>2</sup>




YSB is a member of National Safe Place Network (NSPN). This organization provides quality training and technical support for youth and family service organizations across the country. <sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> http://www.indysb.org/parents-youth/programs "four core roles"


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://www.iarca.org/index.php/about-us

<sup>3</sup> https://www.nspnetwork.org/

# **Our Services**



# **Our Organizational Structure**



Revised Feb. 2023

#### **Executive Director's Report**

The Youth Services Bureau operated 24/7 to provide services a total of 138 times to youth who were placed in the Binkley House emergency shelter. The average length of stay for youth increased to over 17 days from 14.5 days in 2022. The number of referrals increased significantly from 528 to 562 this year. This increase could be attributed to staffing shortages resulting in fewer available beds in other emergency shelters around the state, as well as COVID-related mental health issues. YSB identified 40 cases of physical or sexual abuse and/or neglect to the Indiana Department of Child Services, totaling 32% of the youth served.

Program expansion and development was the focus of 2023 at YSB. With the appropriation of five new staff including a data specialist, 2 counselors, an education case manager, and a Youth Prevention Specialist in 2022, the challenge was hiring, training, and onboarding staff while continuing to provide our ongoing emergency shelter programming. Piloting evidence-based programs and responding to community youth expressed needs resulted in positive program feedback promoting additional program enhancement goals for 2024. With the additional counselors, our clinical team also increased the number of community-based counseling clients to double the number served in 2022.

The Truancy Termination Partnership Program pilot started in October 2022 and was expanded this year to include both Monroe County Community and Richland Bean Blossom School Corporations. The program received a total of 81 referrals of both middle and high school youth for the spring & fall semesters. The Youth Advisory Board began meeting in May 2023, held 7 monthly meetings, and provided valuable feedback to the YSB administration identifying key issues for consideration. Seeking Safety, a program to provide support for youth with substance abuse, trauma, and related issues began its pilot in September 2023. Our Safe Place Program expanded to include Brown County, and YSB's Brigitt Nasby won National Safe Place Coordinator of the Year for 2023 for her prior wok completed at the agency.

Prevention and Outreach work through YSB subcommittees and Safe Place continued in the community. The eighth annual Monroe County Childhood Conditions (MC3) Summit was in person at the Convention Center in November 2023 with over 150 community members attending representing over 70 agencies. Global Youth Service Day involved service projects with over 15 high school youth from both school corporations, MCCSC and RBBSC. Prevention provided over 45 trainings on various topics in the community throughout the year.

Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County staff maintained a safe, nurturing environment for youth in the shelter and strived to develop new programs to provide services to families in our community continued to pass consistent scrutiny through various required audits including Indiana Department of Child Services, Family and Youth Services Bureau, and Indiana Youth Services Association in 2023. In 2024, we look forward to continuing the implementation of the expanded juvenile services program capacity, and potentially increasing non-residential diagnostic evaluations for youth. We look forward to the continued challenge of our mission to support youth and families.

Viki Thevenow, Executive Director

#### **Finance & Personnel Coordinator**

The Youth Services Bureau remained fiscally responsible by utilizing existing funds, seeking grant opportunities, and only requesting minimal increases to the annual budget to fund new programming or mitigate inflation. Despite price surges and inflation of shelter supplies and food, we remained diligent and decreased costs in other areas to meet the needs of the residents with minimal needs for additional funding. There was a considerable drop in travel and training expenses in 2022 due to conferences being held on digital platforms, although in 2023 we have observed an increase again as in-person conferencing has resumed.

YSB maintained a strong relationship with the Department of Child Services and secured a per diem rate of \$613.88 per child per day for the first half of 2023 and \$630.46 per child per day for the second half of 2023. This is an increase of \$143.54 and \$160.12 respectively from the 2022 rate of \$470.34. The per diem revenue is directed into the Monroe County General Fund. The agency continues to receive the Runaway & Homeless Youth Basic Center Grant. The current grant budget period began in the fall of 2021 and will run for three years. The agency was also awarded state funding from the Indiana Youth Services Association (IYSA) which helps to fund Safe Place program outreach, partially fund a case manager and supplement direct-care staff costs.

In 2023, personnel changes included welcoming a new Safe Place and YSB Outreach Manager, a new Youth Prevention Specialist, and a new Counselor as well as several new direct-care staff within Binkley House. There were also internal transitions, as part-time direct care staff moved up into open full-time roles. YSB also launched another program in 2023, Seeking Safety. We have continued to facilitate the Truancy Termination Program and Youth Advisory Board. The agency was able to stabilize its staff base in 2023 and the turnover rate reflects a significant decline from 42.8% in 2021 to 33.4% in 2022 to 32.3% in 2023. The agency continues to use hiring platforms to help seek out qualified staff, and advocates for competitive wages and a rewarding workplace. YSB will continue to remain fiscally responsible in 2024 while providing exceptional services to the youth and families we serve.

#### 2023 YSB Funding Sources

2020 The Talland Sources					
Funding Name	Source	Amount	% of total		
Local Income Tax (LIT)- Special Purpose	Monroe County	\$2,332,633	90.76%		
Federal RHY Grant	Federal Reimbursement	\$169,245	6.56%		
State DCS 1503 Youth Services Bureau Grant	Grant – State Reimbursement	\$42,860	1.67%		
State DCS 1504 Safe Place	Grant – State Reimbursement	\$8,639	0.67%		
Grant 1504 Safe Place DCS Pilot 1504 Safe Place FSSA		\$4,531 \$3,977			
Prevention Funds	Former Asset Building	\$7.313.50	0.28%		

0%

TOTAL: \$2,570,044.50

\$846

Coalition Funds

**Private Donations** 

YSB Donation Fund

In-Kind Contributions				
Donated Items	Toiletries, shelter supplies, clothing	\$505		
MSW Intern (valued at \$18.00/hour)	1500 hours	\$27,000.00		
		TOTAL: \$27,505.00		

<b>Generated Revenue</b>	
2023 Per Diems	TOTAL: \$815,603.54

#### Local Income Tax Special Purpose (LIT)

• LIT Special Purpose is YSB's main funding source, funding the majority of operating and personnel costs for the organization.

#### Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY) Grant

• The Runaway and Homeless Youth Grant is through the Federal Department of Health and Human Services, and fully funds the YSB Shelter Outreach and Safe Place Coordinator and one of the four counselors, along with a small stipend for training and programmatic expenses.

#### 1503 Youth Services Bureau Grant

• The 1503 YSB Grant is administered through the Indiana Youth Services Association, and funds approximately half of the fulltime Case Manager and a supplement to hourly shelter staff, with a small stipend for training and travel.

#### 1504 Safe Place Grant

• The 1504 Safe Place grant is administered through the Indiana Youth Services Association, and funds operational and outreach costs for the Safe Place Program.

#### **Prevention Funds**

• This funding is associated with the absorption of the four subcommittees formerly associated with the Asset Building Coalition: the Monroe County Youth Council, Building a Thriving Compassionate Community, the Bloomington Afterschool Network, and the Prevention General Fund.

#### YSB Donation Fund

• This donation fund is where all private donations made to YSB are deposited.

#### **DCS** Per Diems

DCS per diems are a state reimbursement for all court ordered and DCS placed children. In 2023, YSB generated \$613.88 per child per day January to June and \$630.46 per child per day July to December.
 This source funds Local Income Tax (LIT) and is deposited directly into the general-purpose account for the county.

#### Naomi Russell, Financial & Personnel Coordinator

#### **Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter Program Description**

Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter is the largest division of YSB. It provides short-term emergency residential care and crisis intervention for youth ages 10-17. The shelter offers emergency shelter for runaways, homeless youth, and youth in crisis or abusive situations at home. Binkley House is a licensed Emergency Shelter Care Facility and follows the guidelines set forth by the Indiana Department of Child Services. Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter remains the only shelter program for youth in the region of Monroe and surrounding counties.

Binkley House is accessible for youth in need 24 hours a day. The exterior outer doors are locked to ensure the safety of staff and residents. However, our internal doors are never locked. We do not utilize locked rooms, or seclusions and restraints on our shelter residents. The shelter program, known for its "Five Finger Agreement" (Safety, Responsibility, Respect, Following Directions, and Effort) relies on an incentive-based, trauma informed approach to support and encourage positive behavior choices while ensuring safety for all. Our staff can enforce the behavior modification program by recognizing and rewarding positive behavior and helping residents find ways to work on areas of behavior that may need to improvement without the fear of punitive restrictions.

Binkley House provides services such as counseling, educational support time, supervised recreation, transportation to and from school and appointments, as well as referrals to a variety of agencies for related services. YSB also assists youth in transitional services during their stay at the Binkley House Youth Shelter. These include daily living skills, transition to long-term residential care, transition from long-term residential care back home, and short-term aftercare counseling. The youth shelter program also offers services to youth in the foster-care system to reduce multiple foster-care placements.


Referrals to the youth shelter program can occur in several ways including from social service agencies, parents, or directly from the youth themselves. The Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County never charges a fee for the services provided for youth accessing Safe Place or parental (voluntary by youth agreement) admissions.

#### **Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter Referrals**

In 2023, we received a total of 562 inquiries (referrals) for service, an average of 47 calls per month, and an increase of 6% from the previous year. The calls we receive are usually during a time of crisis for which the callers (youth, family member, and legal guardian) are seeking immediate emergency shelter services.

Inquiries for Service by Referral Type	Total
Safe Place	31
Parental	205
Probation	27
DCS	299
Police	0
Total Referrals	562

In 2023, YSB of Monroe County experienced a significant increase in Parental referrals. Safe Place referrals remained the same and there was a slight decline from all other referral sources. Parental referrals increased by 30% and Safe Place referrals remained 6% of total referrals. DCS referrals decreased by 1% and probation referrals decreased by 27%.



**Services Provided in Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter** 

In 2023, we were able to provide safe shelter accommodations a total of 138 times to 126 individual youth. Binkley House served 83 youth who had never before had contact or placement with Binkley House Youth Shelter, a 2% increase from 2022. The number of non-recidivists served is approximately 66% of our total population served. When counting the total number of service days given to all youth in 2023, we provided 2,381 real-time<sup>5</sup> days of service.

MONTH	Total Service Days
January	188
February	210
March	222
April	199
May	264
June	171
July	173
August	154
September	174
October	231
November	225
December	170
Total	2,381

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> "Real-time" means that day in and day out are counted.

During 2023, the average length of stay for a youth in the shelter was approximately 17.25 days, an increase from 2022 when the average length of stay was 14.67 days. In 2012, a legislative change occurred limiting the length of stay for a youth at a licensed emergency youth shelter in the state of Indiana to a maximum of 20 days<sup>6</sup> (without a waiver), regardless of placement type.

The Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter program serves youth from various counties across the state. Since we are located in Monroe County, it is of no surprise that the majority of the youth we serve reside in Monroe County. It is important to note that many of the families we serve are transient, having lived in Monroe County either in the past or currently. Monroe County is known for its many resources and families often gravitate to this exceptional community.

Youth County of Residence					
Monroe County	Lawrence County	Morgan County	Other Indiana County	Out of State	Total
66	9	9	41	1	126

Our Counselors and Case Managers provide clinical and supportive services daily for each Binkley House resident. In some circumstances, the contact with youth is much more extensive, based on individual needs and support for success.

Youth Services Bureau staff identified and reported 40 suspected cases of physical abuse, sexual abuse, and/or neglect to the Indiana Department of Child Services-Child Protective Services unit. This is approximately 32% of youth served in 2023. The suspected reports of abuse and/or neglect are a result of youth self-report, questionable marks/bruises, as well as any observed abuse by a guardian or others towards the youth while in our care.

We know it is best practice and vital for youth and families to engage in counseling while experiencing family crises. The (clinical) behavioral health service component (clinical counseling/case management) is not funded through the Indiana Department of Child Services contract for Emergency Shelter Care. While we seek grants to aid this critical link in services to facilitate improved family functioning, we would be remiss if we did not thank Monroe County for continuing to support our services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Per Dept. of Child Svc. rules, day out does not count, therefore real-time days are 21 in length.

#### **Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter Placement Types**

<u>Placement Types</u> – Binkley House codes placements by "types," reflecting who is responsible for placing the youth in the shelter program. In some instances, the youth's placement type may change during their stay, which indicates a shift in the party responsible for the youth's stay in the program. In 2023, **12** youth changed placement type during a single stay.

1. **Safe Place** – Youth initiate the desire to come for services at Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter. There is no cost to the family for this service type. Length of this placement cannot exceed 72 hours but may become another placement type if continued services are requested.

#### 16 youth; 12% of the total shelter population (31 service days).

2. **Parental** – A parent or legal guardian contacts Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter requesting youth services. In this instance, the youth must voluntarily agree to come to Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter for short term placement. Federal and state funding cover parental placement costs regardless of the youths' county of origin. There is no cost to the family for this service type.

#### 74 youth; 54% of the total shelter population (947 service days).

3. **Probation** – Through a court order, a youth is placed at Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter to prevent delinquent behavior and promote pro-social behavior. Youth are accepted as court ordered placements only if they pose no safety risk or harm to self or others. Results of court involvement typically come from truancy (not attending school consistently), return to the community from another environment, or preventative (assist youth in remaining free from negative influences until the youth can make better choices). YSB submits per diem claims to Indiana Department of Child Services (per assigned rate). This is not billed to the family by YSB.

#### 9 youth; 7% of the total shelter population (151 service days).

4. **Department of Child Services** – When a youth is a ward of DCS or is in an emergency situation in which the DCS Case Worker determines that removal from a home is needed, a youth can be placed at Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter. Typically, we host youth who are waiting for their homes to return to a safe level (after DCS interventions have been put in place), are awaiting foster care placement, or are in transition between homes. YSB submits per diem claims to Indiana Department of Child Services (per the assigned rate). This is not billed to the family by YSB.


#### 39 youth; 28% of the shelter population (1252 service days).

5. **Police Hold -** To assist local law enforcement in returning to serve the public, there are occasions where Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter will house a youth until a parent can be located to take custody of their child. These instances typically occur when law enforcement has come into contact with a youth and a parent/guardian cannot immediately respond to law enforcement to retrieve their

child. These placements are typically less than 24 hours in duration. If a parent cannot be located within 24 hours, Binkley House contacts the Department of Child Services to assist in family locating.

0 youth; 0% of the total Shelter Population (0 service days).

### 2023 Placement Types



#### **Placement Types**


Parental and Safe Place (at-risk or actively RHY youth) totaled 66% of youth serviced in Binkley House in 2023. Safe Place youth had an average length of stay of 2 days. Parentally placed youth had an average length of stay of 12.8 days. Youth placed in Binkley House by the Department of Child Services (DCS) totaled to 28% of youth served in 2023. DCS placed youth totaled 1,252 service days, an average length of stay of 32 days, indicating longer stays for this placement type. Court-Ordered youth placed in 2023 totaled to 7% of the population served, for a total of 151 service days, and an average length of stay of 16.8 days.

#### **Gender of Youth in Program**

Binkley house is a co-ed shelter with separate male and female sleeping wings attached to the common areas. Regardless of biological sex, youth may choose how they identify at YSB. We served a total of 56 female and 60 male identifying youth, and 10 youth identifying as transgender.

#### **Age of Youth Receiving Services**

Binkley House serves youth from 10 to 17 years of age. In 2023, the majority of our youth were age 14-17, consistent with previous years.



#### **Program Coordinator Report**

In 2023, the Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County has continued to meet the needs of those we serve. YSB seeks best practices to offer quality services to our local community youth and families. Our largest program, offering support 365 days a year to youth and families, is the Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter. The Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County also hosts a local Safe Place program serving youth in multiple counties providing a safe alternative to running away and a way to access to help while in crisis. In 2023, our programs have served over 125 youth and their families while providing safety, stability, and crisis support.

In 2023, the Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter continued to operate essential services without interruption. Programming for our Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter is centered on supporting the current evidence-based practice used at the Youth Services Bureau, the ARC Framework (Attachment, Regulation, and Competency). ARC focuses on normative childhood development, traumatic stress, attachment, assessing risk, and utilizing resilience. In 2023, the Program Coordinator created a Programming Review Committee to review and update the behavior modification program which is used daily with all residents. Over six weeks the committee reviewed daily community outings, point sheets used to promote positive behavior choices, and the best ways to provide residents with clear behavior expectations while staying at the shelter. The Binkley House Emergency Shelter program provided daily routines, service learning, physical recreation, creative exploration, educational development, and competency and skill-building for all residents. Daytime programming is structured to provide residents with experiences that enhance and build social competencies and focus on their existing strengths.

The Binkley House shelter focused on first ensuring basic needs were met, provided normalcy in routine, and a safe trauma-informed environment for residents. Residents began the daytime programming schedule by visiting local parks or internal activities, such as physical games in the YSB gymnasium as physical recreation is integral to the daily programming in the Binkley House emergency shelter. Residents are encouraged to remain active outside of set physical activity calendar events, as well as over weekends and holiday breaks through community outings and outdoor games. Direct-care staff also facilitated programming weekly with varying components, such as exploring careers, current events, educational films, and creative activities.

Throughout 2023, residents enjoyed visits to local museums like the Monroe County History Center, Wonderlab Science Museum, and the IU Eskenazi Museum of Art. Residents also engaged weekly in both service learning

with Mother Hubbard's Cupboard food pantry, and learning how to utilize our local Monroe County Public Library and all the services it offers. In the spring and summer of 2023, clinical and direct-care staff worked to continue the YSB garden and gardening program to teach both hands-on skills and teamwork to keep up with all the daily tasks. In the fall of 2023, residents were able to continue to attend soft skill sessions working with horses at PALS (People and Animal Learning Services) learning how to put patience, following directions, and basic animal care into practice once a week.

Binkley House residents who are enrolled in local schools can maintain their attendance while shelter residents who are not able to attend local schools, participate in daily educational programming led by our YSB Case Manager. During this time, residents work on assigned homework from their school system or are provided with skill level-appropriate curriculum. Guidance and assistance for completing assignments are provided by both direct-care staff and the YSB Education Case Manager. Residents who have graduated or completed the Indiana HSE (High School Equivalency), are provided secondary education options. Depending on the resident, this may be supporting them in acquiring practical experience, seeking to secure employment while reintegrating back into the community, or prepping for other educational programs they might be interested in pursuing. Educational periods during summer and school breaks include experiential learning, such as science-related projects, creative activities, and educational field trips. This summer residents were able to visit a honeybee farm, the Indianapolis Children's Museum, the Feline Rescue Center, and many local nature centers.

In 2023, the YSB Clinical team and direct-care staff facilitated the after-school psycho-educational component, "Focus" providing residents with pro-social and positive youth development-focused programming throughout the year. This shelter component covers topics such as nutrition, self-esteem building, healthy relationships, anger management, conflict resolution, ATOD (alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs), and the Safe Place program. Clinical staff presented topics through creative activities such as vision boards, cooking meals, and team skill-building. Our YSB Clinical staff also led a daily life education hour during the week. Our clinical team provides education, learning, and exposure to local supports and agencies like Work One job support and local Fire Stations residents can access, supporting skills develop toward independence and resiliency.

For direct-care staff, the agency continues to advance the training and orientation for incoming and existing staff. Educational opportunities and training took place through the year to enhance skills and encourage team members to embrace learning opportunities. The program continues to be a recognized model for emergency shelter care under the standards of the Department of Child Services, Indiana Youth Services Association, and the Family and Youth Services Bureau (FYSB).

Since 1987, the Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County has operated a local Safe Place program. This crisis intervention program opens the doors and expands access to the Binkley House emergency shelter as well as educates local community members and youth on how to get help when a child is in crisis. In 2023, the local Safe Place program expanded to cover Brown County while continuing to serve Monroe, Owen, and Greene Counties as well. This past year, the local Safe Place program attended many local events hosted by the City of Bloomington Parks and Recreation Department, sponsored local community events, provided resources to schools and family service providers, as well as raised awareness both through digital and in-person outreach efforts. Overall, our outreach efforts connected to more than 260,000 individuals. We continue to increase education and awareness surrounding the dangers of running away while sharing alternative options through providing materials and training to local schools and youth professionals. The program continues to exceed the standards set forth by the Indiana Youth Services Association (IYSA), National Safe Place Network, and the federal Runaway and Homeless Youth agency.

The Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County has also continued to participant in the Juvenile Detention Alternatives Initiative (JDAI). The objectives of the JDAI workgroups are to collectively safely reduce the number of youths ordered to juvenile dentition facilities. The Program Coordinator was actively involved in the

Alternatives to Detention workgroup serving Vigo County's juvenile detention center. Other designated YSB staff participated in other JDAI committees including the Data and Steering Committee groups throughout 2023.

Looking forward to 2024, the Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County will continue to provide support to youth and families in need while continually working to break down barriers for youth to achieve their goals and remain in stable environments. YSB will continue to advocate for the youth population we serve, provide best practices, and research programming options that meet the needs of the community to expand the services we offer to all families in our community.

#### Sara Jamieson, Program Coordinator

#### **Binkley House Emergency Youth Shelter Report**

In 2023, the Binkley House Manager focused on a variety of goals to ensure quality services were provided to the youth residing in the emergency shelter. These goals center on the quality of daily shelter operations, strengthening lines of communication, and improving employee training. As an agency, we worked together to maintain consistency and to ensure all daytime programming, educational, and psycho-educational components were met. Binkley House staff, Prevention, and Clinical teams continued to collaborate on special on-site projects residents could enjoy such as continued development of the summer garden project, cooking and menu planning activities, intensive art projects, resident-inspired Focus groups, and daily physical activity.

The agency has worked to streamline the hiring and training process focusing on consistency, thoroughness, and ensuring employees feel competent and adequately trained. We have identified training mentors among the existing full-time staff to lead the new-hire floor training process. As an agency, we have resumed in-person training for all staff followed by competency quizzes to ensure retention of materials. Direct care staff continued to embrace the ARC framework (Attachment, Regulation, and Competency) as our evidence-based practice, as well as incorporating Non-Violent Crisis Prevention Intervention (CPI) skills for daily milieu management. As shift supervisors, the full-time Residential Coordinators continue to hold monthly meetings with the Binkley House Manager and Program Coordinator. In addition to regular supervision meetings for direct care, organized group meetings specifically for direct care staff to address shift work process, communication, crisis intervention, programming, and milieu management also occur monthly. These meetings have allowed direct care staff to come together as a team, learn, and problem-solve with the leadership team.

In 2023, we continue to use our reward-based behavior modification system, alongside our revised daily point sheet, to increase incentives promoting positive outcomes for all residents. Every day residents work towards reaching up to level 4 resulting in earning daily tokens to purchase items from the shelter store or earn a token outing with staff. Token outings can vary from going to the movie theater, getting a haircut, or enjoying a meal off-site from a local restaurant. In 2023, the shelter store was revamped with new and improved items for "purchase" with daily earned tokens. Many residents enjoyed the opportunity to shop with their tokens for fun tangible items such as a new wallet, watch, cologne, craft kits, fidget toys, journals, hair accessories, or jewelry. Many residents choose to shop for family members or friends that they may not be able to see during their shelter stay.

In addition to structured daytime programming and daily psycho-educational component, the residents enjoy off-site group recreational outings. We were able to visit Indiana Memorial Union for bowling and billiards and attend Indiana University sporting events such as the Nationally ranked Men's & Women's Basketball teams, YMCA, Indiana University Cook Hall, Monroe County Public Library, The Warehouse, the WonderLab, fishing excursions, and numerous local parks.

In 2023, the agency provided many items for residents ranging from clothing, shoes, toiletry kits, duffle bags, blankets, holiday gifts, book bags, and school supplies. Our staff worked enthusiastically to provide impressive holiday meals, decorations, and gifts to help residents feel more at home during difficult times in the year. In 2024, the program will continue to refine practices to best meet the needs of the populations we serve.

#### Jennifer Vaught, Binkley House Manager

#### YSB/Safe Place Outreach Manager Report

Safe Place is a nationwide youth outreach and prevention initiative dedicated to providing immediate assistance and safety for young individuals in need. Functioning as a community-based program, Safe Place designates various businesses and organizations as official Safe Place locations, ensuring the availability of help for youth in communities across the United States. Locally, this model is implemented and overseen by the Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County (YSB), specifically catering to the youth in Monroe, Owen, Greene, and recently Brown Counties.

Our local Safe Place program was accessed by 31 youth in 2023 via a Safe Place site. Based on the specific situation, the youth may be provided with referrals, counseling, shelter placement, and/or follow-up care. Of those 31 youths initiating Safe Place services, 24 youth-initiated shelter services, resulted in 12 overnight placements. Additionally, four youth called the shelter asking about Safe Place services but did not access shelter care, one youth resolved the issue at a Safe Place site, one youth was referred to another agency, and one youth reunited with a guardian without services.

Annually, the Safe Place/YSB Outreach Manager conducts educational initiatives for both youth and adults. This includes delivering presentations and participating in local schools, community events, and training sessions. Additionally, the coordinator hosts bi-weekly educational hours at the youth shelter, sponsors prosocial activities, and engages in community and online advertising to promote awareness and understanding. In 2023, we were able to reach 29,826 youth through in-person and online presentations. Our Safe Place Programs school announcement and video public service announcements (PSA) reached 37,220 youth. The outreach opportunities educate youth on what the Safe Place program is, how it can help, how to access the program, and the services a youth can receive. Safe Place continues to partner with Middle Way House in the Building Healthy Relationships curriculum in local schools. Community members and guardians are also educated on the program and encouraged to continue a cooperating role in helping youth in crisis. In 2023, a total of 23,563 Safe Place Outreach cards were distributed to youth in Monroe, Owen, Greene, and Brown Counties.

YSB works with EffectTV (formerly Comcast) to air a National Safe Place commercial tagged with local YSB information. The commercial airs on networks with youth and families as target audiences. The Safe Place commercial was viewed at a rate of 95% of 54,454 viewers. Safe Place also advertised with National CineMedia (NCM), LLC in both Bloomington AMC movie theaters in addition to online ads through streaming services. A Safe Place commercial with local Youth Services Bureau information played before every movie rated PG or higher and, in the lobby, every 12 minutes. In 2023, YSB ran two campaigns during the summer and holiday months. The combined campaigns delivered a total view-through rate of 98% of 85,179 impressions.

Safe Place procures billboard advertising through Lamar Outdoor Advertising as part of our diverse outreach strategy. Safe Place scheduled one billboard in Monroe and Greene County for the full duration of 2023, weekly projected impressions totaled 57,500. In Owen County, Safe Place sponsored two movies at Tivoli Theater. In

Monroe County, Safe Place sponsored two seasonal banners that were located at two public pools during the summer months.

In 2024, the Safe Place program will continue expanding outreach and education efforts with youth and the communities it serves. Opportunities to participate in community events and sponsorships continue to be explored. The YSB Shelter Outreach and Safe Place Manager will be working to increase our social media presence and to make more local connections and sponsorships in rural Brown County.

#### Jessica Brown, Safe Place Coordinator

- \* For a list of Safe Place Events and Presentations see pg. 30
- \* For a list of Safe Place Sites and locations see pg. 33

#### **Clinical Coordinator's Report**

YSB Clinical team members delivered short-term counseling and case management to the 138 placements in Binkley House Emergency Shelter in 2023. In addition to providing aftercare sessions to 24 youth, all residents received follow-up phone calls at regular intervals from the Educational Case Manager. We received 72 inquires in 2023 for community-based counseling services. The team was also able to provide counseling to a total of 45 community-based clients, over a 300% increase from 2022. Clinical team members were also utilized in the Safe Place program to meet with youth, offer support and make appropriate referrals. Within Binkley House shelter, Clinical staff facilitated periods of daytime programming, focused on mindfulness, life skills, nutrition and wellness, and college and career opportunities. After-school psycho-educational programming is also facilitated by Clinical staff three days a week, which covers topics such as conflict resolution, self-esteem, and healthy relationships. The entire YSB Clinical team increased their ability to serve community-based clients, worked to streamline referral processes, and focused on macro-level work for the agency to produce quality data.

Our ability to provide care for youth and families is enhanced when we have strong relationships with community partners. The team continued partnerships with the Department of Child Services and Monroe County Juvenile Probation in 2023. YSB counselors accompanied residents to court and team meetings as needed. Members of the team also interfaced with local and regional school systems and staff about individual residents and larger community trends. It should be noted that the Clinical team also interacted with numerous DCS offices across the state to assist youths from many different counties in Indiana. The agency, typically Clinical staff, continued to also utilize Bloomington Meadows Hospital for services when mental health needs were beyond the scope of YSB services. Community partnerships were also instrumental in helping the Clinical team conduct educational and engaging programming for residents. Some of these community partners include Mother Hubbard's Cupboard, IU Biology Department, City of Bloomington Fire Department, Work One, and Positive Link.

YSB continued to utilize graduate interns during 2023 as well. This year, YSB hosted four graduate-level interns. Three of these interns were from the IU School of Social Work, and one was a Ph.D. student from the IU Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences. Graduate interns not only gain a solid understanding of how YSB functions and provide services to youth and families, but they also participate in observation, shadowing, and processing YSB counseling services. Graduate interns also spend time place in Binkley House, assisting in programming, milieu management and administrative work. These Clinical interns work with individual residents, conduct family sessions, and run groups for programming as well. All graduate intern responsibilities are reviewed by the Clinical Coordinator.

Three YSB Counselors obtained their Licensed Social Worker (LSW) certification in 2023 and continue to obtain the necessary amount of continuing education units (CEUs) to maintain licenses. Additionally, the Clinical team participated in and facilitated a variety of professional development opportunities. In 2023, the team participated in several conferences and trainings, both virtually and in-person, to help further their learning and engagement with the surrounding community. The Clinical Team participated, presented, and/or facilitated at the following conferences and events: the 2023 RHY National Grantee Training, the 2023 Monroe County Childhood Conditions Summit (MC3), Seeking Safety training, Positive Action Curriculum for the Truancy program, 2023 CPI Certified Instructor's Conference and Training, a Five-Day Motivational Interviewing training, numerous virtual and in-person CEU trainings, as well as the Indiana Association of Resources and Child Advocacy (IARCA) Conference.

#### **Programming Facilitated by Clinical Staff**


The Seeking Safety program is a skills and support group for teenagers who have experienced trauma or substance use in any form. YSB continues to adjust the program after running two rounds of group to increase enrollment and recruitment into the Seeking Safety group. YSB assigned counselor and the Clinical Coordinator also launched the Youth Advisory Board in May of 2023, under the guidance of YSB Executive Director. This board, populated by youth who have previously stayed in the Binkley House Shelter, met for seven months from May 2023 through November of 2023. Youth who participated received an incentive in the form of a gift card for their time in helping to provide valuable feedback on how YSB can improve its shelter services. The assigned YSB counselor and the Clinical Coordinator worked with this board to put together a PowerPoint presentation of their feedback which was presented to the YSB leadership and admin team.

In the second year of the Truancy Termination Partnership Program (TTPP), structural changes were made to operate in a group format, instead of individual meetings and interventions. This new format has allowed for a significant increase in the number of children and families who were referred to and could participate in the program. In addition to the TTPP groups, one for middle schoolers and one for high school students, the TTPP program also offers therapy and case management services to those families in need. In the fall of 2023, the Richland Bean Blossom School Corporation (RBBSC) was included, in addition to the Monroe County Community School Corporation (MCCSC). Two YSB counselors also acted as facilitators for the Strengthening Families Coping Resources (SFCR) family group. This family therapy intervention was conducted in conjunction with South Central Community Action Program (SCCAP) facilitators and saw two families complete the entire program. The Clinical Services Case Manager worked closely with YSB counselor assigned to the TTPP program to assist in managing referrals, scheduling intakes and facilitating the two groups. The Clinical Services Case Manager also assisted the YSB assigned counselor in running the Seeking Safety groups this year as well.

In 2024, the Clinical team will continue to provide crisis intervention services, and counseling services and seek to minimize harm and prevent re-traumatization. Looking forward, we hope to continue our efforts to expand the services available to community youth and families. Clinical staff will continue be an integral part of seeing the further development of YSB programming, including addressing youth substance abuse through the Seeking Safety program, truancy, family engagement and a parenting group. It continues to be a mission for the Clinical staff to advocate for changes within and across organizations, communities, and systems that affect the children and families we serve.

Monica Fleetwood Black, Clinical Coordinator


#### SEEKING SAFETY PROGRAM CYCLE DATA 9/26/2023 TO 12/12/2023



#### YOUTH ADVISORY BOARD (YAB) PROGRAM CYCLE DATA 5/25/2023 TO 11/29/2023

This particular group of youth will be advising the county on the conditions of, within, and surrounding the Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County in order to:

- •align the priorities of YSB with the priorities of the young people it serves
- •provide professional development opportunities for participating youth such as training and resume building.
  - •ensure youth inform and influence YSB programs, services, and communication strategies
- •promote civic engagement and local connection for young people that may lack access to these opportunities



"I would love to suggest things to make life at YSB better in some ways."

> "I want to help advocate for youth staying at YSB and fix issues within the shelter and the greater Bloomington community."

"I love YSB! I'm excited for this opportunity."

### **Program Highlight**

Board members were able to present their identified key issues to YSB Administration for consideration and feedback.


Youth-Led Priorities: Identifying and Addressing Key Issues				
Noted that all YSB staff should be trauma informed. Youth also identified a need for staff to be more equipped with tools to prevent elopement.	Feedback was given on Behavior Modification system, programming, and policies	Suggestions were made for personal items allowed/not allowed in shelter care		
Requests were made for recreational outings while in shelter care	Feedback was given on the shelter Nutrition Program and menu	Youth gave critique on building structure/layout		


MEMBERS EARNED \$25
GIFTCARD STIPENDS FOR
SERVING AT BOARD
MEETINGS-ALLOWING \$850
TOTAL TO BE FUNNELED BACK
TO COMMUNITY YOUTH.

#### TRUANCY TERMINATION PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM (TTPP)


**PILOT PROGRAM DATA: 9/2022 TO 5/2023** 

TTPP providers will be using the following evidence-based practices when working with the youth and families: ARC framework (Attachment, Regulation, and Competency), Motivational Interviewing skills, and Positive Action Curriculum, accredited by U.S. Department of Education What Works Clearinghouse (WWC).





#### **PARTICIPATION OUTCOMES**



#### 2023 FALL SEMESTER DATA: 9/2023 TO 12/2023

53 TOTAL REFERRALS RECEIVED

# REFERRALS BY MONTH

SEPTEMBER: 18 OCTOBER: 18 NOVEMBER: 6 DECEMBER: 11 IN THE FALL, THERE WERE 121
COMPLETED EVENTS FOR STUDENTS,
INCLUDING:

- CASE MANAGEMENT MEETINGS
- INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING SESSIONS
- INTAKES
- TTPP GROUP SESSIONS
- MONTHLY CHECK-INS

<sup>\*\*</sup>CURRENT TTPP GROUP CYCLE WILL CONCLUDE MAY 2024

#### **Prevention Coordinator Report**

In 2023, the Youth Services Bureau offered Prevention programming for the 7th year, serving Monroe and surrounding counties. YSB's Prevention Program plays two roles – mitigating negative childhood conditions across the county, and strengthening safe, stable, nurturing relationships and environments (SSNREs) for youth and adults. This dual work connects all of YSB's Prevention Subcommittees: Building a Thriving Compassionate Community (BTCC), Monroe County Youth Council (MCYC), the Bloomington AfterSchool Network (BASN), Indiana Youth Institute (IYI) Monroe County Youth Worker Cafes, the Monroe County Childhood Conditions Summit (MC3), and the YSB Youth Advisory Board.

#### **Community Collaborations**

Each of YSB's Prevention Subcommittees utilizes a collaborative model, bringing together individuals and organizations to share their work, and to create something greater than the sum of their parts. Cultivating partnerships across sectors, experiences, identities, organizations, ages, and more, ensures that YSB's Prevention is grounded in a diverse set of skills, resources, and capacities. While partnership efforts are broadly sown, the greatest focus remains on connections with youth, youth workers, and cross-sector partners who share YSB's values.

In youth-led partnerships during 2023, the Youth Services Bureau stewarded the Monroe County Youth Council (MCYC), the YSB Youth Advisory Board (YAB), and in-shelter Prevention Programming with residents. MCYC – a cohort of high-school-age teens across MCCSC & RBB schools – met bimonthly during the school year, hosted sessions on building youth leadership skills and opportunities for community engagement and established Policy and Mental Health Subcommittees to pursue specific areas of interest. Additionally, MCYC's annual Global Youth Service Day project supported the Binkley House shelter, with more than 15 Monroe County teens coming together to rebuild garden beds and plant a variety of herbs, flowers, and vegetables to make the shelter grounds more welcoming for residents. Informally, 2023 also saw the youth council thrive as a space fostering caring relationships across different schools and grades. It was not unusual to see upperclassmen supporting underclassmen, or to hear youth from different schools comparing experiences and sharing their approaches for navigating stress, conflict, or harm. While MCYC created space for youth across the community, Prevention invested in specifically supporting YSB residents by laying the programmatic foundations of the Youth Advisory Board, collaborating with YSB's Clinical Team to implement it, and coordinating weekly Prevention programming within Binkley House. These collaborations helped bridge the gap between intervention & prevention programming at YSB and provided residents an opportunity to engage with topics like housing, bystander intervention, job searching, and healthy hobbies.

Throughout 2023, YSB's Prevention Program also continued investing in supportive spaces for Monroe County youth workers so they are well-equipped to support Monroe County youth. Monthly Bloomington Afterschool Network meetings brought together staff representing community organizations including Kid City, Monroe County Public Library, Boys and Girls Club, Girls Inc, WonderLab, Banneker Center, and more. During meetings, individuals shared resources on everything from hiring practices to communications, program curriculum, policy & practice, and de-escalation techniques. Similarly, YSB's ongoing partnership with Indiana Youth Institute to host local Youth Worker Cafes offered space in 2023 for youth workers to come together, learn from one another, and dig into exciting topics like 'Collective Care.' Ultimately, the resource sharing present in both youth worker-focused subcommittees represents a meaningful break with norms of isolation, scarcity, and competition that all too often get in the way of supporting youth and families.

Lastly, YSB Prevention continued to steward Building a Thriving Compassionate Community (BTCC), a cross-sector network of organizations and individuals working to build safe, stable, nurturing relationships and environments together. In 2023, BTCC held Quarterly Gatherings, hosted communities of practice with focuses

like Primary Prevention, Power Analysis, & Implicit Bias, supported advocacy efforts of community partners, and worked to change local norms & narratives. Recognizing that YSB's work of improving childhood conditions, while grounded in Monroe County, is also impacted by state-level conditions, BTCC became a State Leadership Team partner of Indiana's DELTA Grant from the CDC in 2023 and expanded to offer training and resources to surrounding counties.

#### **Community Education**

Alongside community collaboration, in 2023 YSB's Prevention program continued to recognize the importance of a robust web of community education. Enabling individuals to share their skills and knowledge builds a supportive environment where staff, youth, and families are all recognized for their valuable experience and expertise and are equipped to handle whatever may arise. Training, resource sharing, and other educational opportunities were offered all year within YSB, and to external partners and community members.

For Youth Services Bureau staff, Prevention ran monthly Values Day meetings, facilitated quarterly trainings on "Bias, Values, and YSB", and brought resources from conferences and trainings back to staff.

In the community at large, Building A Thriving Compassionate Community ran more than 45 trainings and workshops throughout the year, including sessions on implicit bias, trauma, collective care, dominant narrative, de-escalation, primary prevention, and more. Several of the trainings also included 'training-of-trainers,' modules, allowing community partners in Terre Haute, Michigan, and Marion County to bring Monroe County's valuable work more broadly to their organizations and communities as well. BTCC also expanded education and engagement by building "102s" that more deeply focused on implementation, and by committing to sharing other organizations' training opportunities when unable to offer our own. In addition to training and workshops, Prevention Subcommittees shared countless resources over a variety of communication platforms to work on changing community narratives and norms.

Lastly, YSB hosted the 8th annual Monroe County Childhood Conditions Summit (MC3), exploring the theme of *Mattering and Belonging*. In 2023, MC3 returned to the Convention Center for the first time since the pandemic began, offered more than 15 in-person sessions, included representation from more than 70 organizations, and hosted over 150 participants. With a strong focus on accessibility, MC3 offered attendance free of charge, provided meals throughout the day, offered Continuing Education Credits, ensured audio-visual support during sessions, and more. With an eye on equity, MC3 focused on centering presenters of color, individuals with disabilities, Monroe County youth, community members with lived experience of poverty, and individuals from different organizations and sectors. Additionally, knowing that the work of improving childhood conditions doesn't end when the Summit does, MC3 partnered with Indiana Youth Institute to offer a follow-up Youth Worker Café in February of 2024 and has continued sharing resources from MC3 with participants in months following the Summit, and has extended invitations for community members to continue helping shape the Summit for the coming year.

#### **Prevention's Role in Monroe County**

The past several years have been challenging in our community – managing the impacts of COVID-19, learning disruptions in schools, inflation and economic instability, challenges to safety for LGBTQ+ youth and youth of color, and increased norms of tolerance for violence. In this moment, we must simultaneously honor that crisis intervention provides safety in the immediate *and* that we are responsible for moving upstream and preventing harm to youth and families in our community. Youth Services Bureau's robust and unique pairing of intervention and prevention services offers a comprehensive model for supporting youth and families across Monroe County, and the state at large.

#### Hannah Lencheck, Prevention Coordinator

# **2023 Trainings Attended by YSB Staff**

2023/24 HUD HMIS Data Standards Training	
A Survivor Centered Approach to Anti-Trafficking Policy Formation in	n Indiana and Beyond
Black and Poor and SO Much More: Insights From a Mom on Raising Kids i	n a Society that Stigmatizes
BTCC Maximizing Our Impact: The E4 Strategy Selection F	ramework
Child and Youth Care: Foundations	
Coffee with the Coalition-Self Care for Leadership and Vicar	ious Trauma
Collective Mind Network	
Crisis Prevention Institute Trainer Training	
Crafting and Practicing Commitments to Care	
Crowdsourced Coaching: Leadership Development C	ohort
Digital Citizenship and Ethics-Advancing Practice into the O	Inline World
Digital Safety Skills for Youth-Supporting Adults	3
EGov County Website Training	
Excel: The Basics Presented by USIS IT Training I	U
Grant Writing Seminar	
Identifying & Transformational Secondary Traumatic	Stress
Interrupting Racism for Children	
It's Me: I'm the Problem: The Role of Personal Reflection in Ar	ntiracism Work
IYSA Developmental Retreat	
League of Women Voters	
MC3 Summit	
National Safe Place Network Focus 2023 Conferer	nce
National Sexual Assault Conference	
QPR Pathfinder Training Youth Edition (2024)	
Quest Annual User Group Meeting by Gottlieb & W	/ertz
Red Cross Trainer Training	
Regional Human Trafficking Training	
Responding to Commercialized Violence Against Male	Youth
RHY National Grantee Training	
Safe Place Coordinator Trainings (IYSA)	
Seeking Safety: Adolescent Toolkit Training	
Self-Care for Leadership & Vicarious Trauma	
Serv Safe-Manager Training	
Strengthening Family Coping Resources	
Youth with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities Deserve Sexual and	d Reproductive Health Edu.

# 2023 trainings Provided by YSB Staff

*Attachment, Competency and Regulation (ARC)
*Bias, Values and YSB
BTCC & Community Conditions
Btcc Quarterly Gathering
*Crisis Prevention Intervention De-escalation Training
De-Escalation Tips for Youth Workers
*Dominant Narrative
Ending Violence Conference
*Human Trafficking
*Implicit Bias
Implicit Bias Training of Trainers
Mental Health 101
*Positive Youth Development
*Power Analysis
*Primary Prevention
*Question, Persuade, Refer (QPR)
Quarterly Gathering: E4 Strategy Assessment Implementation
Quarterly Gathering: E4 Strategy Assessment Primer
*Queer Talk RPG "Gayme Night"
*Red Cross First Aid Training
*Safe Place Program training
State Advocacy 101
*Trauma & Community Resilience
*Understanding Youth Impacted by Trauma and Verbal De-Escalation Techniques
Using Evaluation to Create Protective Environments

<sup>\*</sup>Denotes training given more than once.

# 2023 Community Partners / Shared Programming Resources Thank you for supporting AND connecting youth within our community

Anthem	La Casa Latino Cultural Center	
Banneker Center	League of Women Voters of Blgtn and Monroe County	
Bloomington After School Network	Meadows Behavioral Health Hospital	
Bloomington Commission on the Status of Children & Youth	Middle Way House	
Bloomington Health Foundation	Monroe County Community School Corporation	
Bloomington Parks and Recreation	Monroe County Convention Center	
Bloomington Police Department Monroe County Department of Children Se		
Bloomington Transit	Monroe County Fire Departments	
<del>_</del>	· ·	
Bloomington Township Trustee	Monroe County Health Department	
Bloomington Volunteer Network	Monroe County History Center	
Boys and Girls Clubs of Bloomington	Monroe County Juvenile Probation	
Building A Thriving Compassionate Community (BTCC)	Monroe County Parks & Recreation	
Centerstone	Monroe County Public Library	
City of Bloomington Community & Family Resources Department	Monroe County Sheriff's Department	
Community Justice and mediation	Monroe County United Ministries	
DELTA	Monroe County YMCA	
El Centro Communal Latino	Monroe County Youth Council	
Family Solutions	Mother Hubbard's Cupboard	
Girl Co	New Hope Family Shelter	
Greene County Alliance	NonProfit Alliance	
Head Start	Nurse Family Partnership	
HealthNet People and Animal Learning Services		
Indiana Association of Residential Child Care Agencies Planned Parenthood		
Indiana Association of Resources and Child Advocacy	Positive Link	
Indiana Coalition Against Domestic Violence Prism Youth Community		
Indiana Disability Justice Purdue Extension-Monroe County		
Indiana Housing & Community Development	Richland Bean Blossom Schools	
Indiana University School of Admissions	Rural Transit	
Indiana University School of Education and Counseling Psychology	South Central Community Action (S.C.C.A.P.)	
Indiana University School of Public Health	Suicide Prevention Coalition	
Indiana University School of Social Work	Susie's Place	
Indiana University Service Learning Program	Systems of Care (Monroe County)	
IU Health Bloomington-Coordinated School Health	The Dental Care Center	
IU Health – Riley Physicians The Warehouse		
Indiana Youth Institute	United Way of Monroe County	
Indiana Youth Services Association Women Writing for a Change Bloc		
Ivy Tech Community College	WonderLab	
Jill Reitmeyer, DDS	WorkOne	
Job Corps (Atterbury)		

#### **Snapshot of Resident Exit Survey's:**

#### **Youth Voices:**

"This was the best and most helpful stay I've ever had anywhere. Thank You." "The staff was friendly, I enjoyed the outings we went on."

"I really got to know people and it helped distract me."

"The staff, people who were here, other residents were amazing and loving. And really helped me." "Staff was very respectful. They also really helped me. While I was here, I felt safe and comfortable. I would come back."

#### **Youth Recommendations:**

"More types of craft stuff and try not to get water based paints again. Other than that this place rocks!!" "An aquarium would be cool somewhere! A pool table too but maybe that's a bit much."

"Change bed time and wake up time schedule."

"You guys don't need to improve anything here. Your services are good and I like it here."

### **Guardian/Placing Agency Survey Comments:**

"I can't think of anything but positive things. I'm glad this place exists."

"It has been a life saver for our family during this difficult time with my child's behavior. It has helped him + me."

> "Everyone who works here is very kind and understanding. My child's and I communication has improved with counseling."

"The youth I place here do well and ask to come back."

"Great communications, and good program!"

# **2023 Safe Place Events and Presentations**

Data	In Page 5 State Place Events and Presentations	Variable	A dulka
Date	In-Person Events	Youth Reached	Adults Reached
01/06/2023	YSB Focus	3	1
01/06/2023	Middle Way House (MWH) Building Healthy Relationships (BHR) at BGS	66	2
01/12/2023	MWH BHR at BHSS	105	1
	YSB Focus	4	2
01/20/2023			
01/24/2023	Mariner Finance	0	5
02/03/2023	YSB Focus	3	2
02/06/2023	MWH BHR JCMS	150	0
02/09/2023	Bloomington After School Network	0	12
02/13/2023	MWH BHR BHSN	105	0
02/15/2023	Bloomington After School Network	0	35
02/15/2023	Commissioners Meeting	0	5
2/17/2023	YSB Focus	6	2
02/21/2023	MWH BHR at Tri-North MS	99	0
03/01/2023	MWH BHR at Tri- North MS	99	2
03/06/2023	MWH BHR at BMS	125	2
03/06/2023	Greene County PTO	550	1
03/07/2023	MWH BHR at BMS	125	2
03/08/2023	MWH BHR at BMS	125	2
03/08/2023	Commissioners Meeting	9	10
03/09/2023	MWH BHR at BMS	125	21
03/09/2023	MCPL	0	3
03/10/2023	MWH BHS BMS	125	2
03/17/2023	YSB Safe Place Training	0	6
03/17/2023	YSB Human Trafficking 101	0	6
03/22/2023	Commissioners Meeting	0	10
04/11/2023	MWH BHR BHSS	124	2
04/12/2023	Commissioners Meeting	0	10
04/14/2023	YSB Focus	6	4
04/16/2023	International Festival	21	50
04/17/2023	MWH BHR Harmony School	28	2
04/19/2023	Bloomington Trustees	0	6
04/20/2023	Healthapalooza	90	100
04/23/2023	United Methodist Resource Fair	0	150
04/25/2023	MWH BHR Edgewood High School	116	5
04/26/2023	MWH BHR Edgewood High School	48	2
04/27/2023	MWH BHR Edgewood High School	68	3
04/29/2023	Children's Expo	210	250
05/01/2023	MWH BHR Edgewood High School	116	2
05/04/2023	MWH BHR BHSS	116	2
05/04/2023	MWH BHR BHSS	116	2
05/04/2023	EHS Club	10	1
05/20/2023	Greene County PTO Resource Fair	0	20
06/15/2023	MWH BHR Summer School	232	0
06/25/2025	Summer Solstice	25	50
06/29/2023	Brown County Sheriffs Dept	0	25
06/29/2023	Brown County YMCA	0	5
06/29/2023	Brown County Public Library	0	3
06/29/2023	Brown County Schools Admin Office	0	1
06/29/2023	Brown County Community Resource Center	0	2
06/29/2023	Brown County High School	0	3
06/29/2023	WayCross Camp & Conference Center	0	2

07/03-07/07/2023	Monroe County Fair	1485	2227
07/10-07/14/2023	Owen County Fair	898	1347
07/10/2023	Owen County Chamber of Commerce	0	2
07/17/2023	MWH BHR Summer School	0	232
07/17/2023	Back to School Event – Crestmont	100	150
07/22/2023	Back to School Event – Owen County	600	900
07/22/2023	Summer Bash – Owen County Health Dept	298	447
08/01/2023	National Night Out – Greene County	532	798
08/03/2023	Eastern Greene High School	300	25
08/03/2023	Eastern Greene Middle School	275	25
08/03/2023	Eastern Greene Elementary School	325	25
08/03/2023	White River Valley High School	225	25
08/03/2023	White River Valley Middle School	125	25
08/03/2023	White River Valley Elementary School	125	25
08/04/2023	Ellettsville Fire Dept	0	5
08/04/2023	Edgewood High School	300	25
08/04/2023	Edgewood Junior High School	300	25
08/04/2023	Edgewood Intermediate School	625	25
08/04/2023	Edgewood Primary School	375	25
08/09/2023	Patricksburg Elementary School	150	25
08/09/2023	Gosport Elementary School	150	25
08/09/2023	Owen County High School	675	25
		400	25
08/09/2023	Owen County Middle School		
08/09/2023	Spencer Elementary School	400	25
08/09/2023	McCormick's Creek Elementary School	400	25
08/21/2023	Shelter Focus	4	2
08/23/2023	Bloomington Pride	677	1016
09/05/2023	Fairview Elementary School	400	25
09/05/2023	Tri- North Middle School	600	25
09/05/2023	Bloomington High School North	1600	25
09/05/2023	Arlington Elementary School	475	25
09/05/2023	Highland Park Elementary School	375	25
09/05/2023	Grandview Elementary School	425	25
09/06/2023	Bloomington High School South	1800	25
09/06/2023	Templeton Elementary School	400	25
09/06/2023	Child's Elementary School	490	25
09/06/2023	Rogers Elementary School	150	25
09/06/2023	Binford Elementary School	525	25
09/06/2023	Jackson Creek Middle School	650	25
09/06/2023	Batchelor Middle School	500	25
09/06/2023	Clear Creek Elementary School	435	25
09/06/2023	Summit Elementary School	580	25
09/07/2023	Edgewood Showcase	299	448
09/11/2023	Lakeview Elementary School	500	25
09/14/2023	MWH BHR BHSN	150	2
09/16/2023	Glow in the Park	100	113
09/18/2023	Shelter Focus	4	3
09/18/2023	MWH BHR BHSN	150	2
09/21 - 9/23/2023	Monroe County Fall Festival	303	454
09/25/2023	MWH BHR Harmony	27	1
09/26/2023	University Elementary	600	25
09/26/2023	Unionville Elementary	225	25
09/27/2023	Harmony School	27	1
09/28/2023	Harmony School	27	0

09/29/2023	Harmony School	27	1
	·	100	150
09/30/2023	Owen County Soil and Water		
10/04/2023	MWH BHR BHSS	133	2
10/05/2023	MWH BHR BHSS	133	2
10/06/2023	MWH BHR BHSS	133	2
10/07/2023	Spencer Pride	254	381
10/07/2023	Trick Or Treat Trails	350	525
10/16/2023	MWH BHR Academy of Science & Entrepreneurship	27	1
10/17/2023	MWH BHR Academy of Science & Entrepreneurship	28	1
10/22/2023	Trick or Treat at Monroe County Fairgrounds	700	1050
10/23/2023	MWH BHR Edgewood High School	83	2
10/24/2023	MWH BHR Edgewood High School	81	2
10/25/2023	MWH BHR Edgewood High School	82	2
10/26/2023	MWH BHR Edgewood High School	81	2
10/27/2023	MWH BHR Edgewood High School	81	2
10/28/2023	Skate and Scare	170	225
10/28/2023	Danny Smith Trick or Treat	175	262
10/30/2023	Shelter Focus	4	2
11/06/2023	MWH BHR Tri-North Middle School	156	2
11/08/2023	MWH BHR Tri-North Middle School	156	2
11/08/2023	Brown County Middle School	390	25
11/08/2023	Brown County High School	500	25
11/08/2023	Helmsburg Elementary School	260	25
11/08/2023	Sprunica Elementary School	300	25
11/08/2023	Van Buren Elementary School	300	25
11/09/2023	Cookies and Canvas	75	75
11/09/2023	MWH BHR Tri-North Middle School	156	2
11/10/2023	MWH BHR Tri-North Middle School	156	2
11/13/2023	MWH BHR Batchelor Middle School	174	2
11/13/2023	Shelter Focus	4	2
11/27/2023	Shelter Focus	4	2
11/27/2023	MWH BHR Jackson Creek Middle School	137	2
12/01/2023	MWH BHR Jackson Creek Middle School	137	2
12/07/2023	TTPP	4	2
12/09/2023	Skate with Santa	175	175
12/11/2023	MCPL – Southwest Branch	0	8
12/11/2023	Shelter Focus	8	3
12/13/2023	MCPL – Downtown	0	20
12/23/2023	TTPP	3	2

### **2023 Safe Place Sites**

South Monroe County		
Batchelor Middle School	900 Gordon Pike	Bloomington
Bloomington High School South	1965 S. Walnut Street	Bloomington
Childs Elementary	2211 S. High Street	Bloomington
City Fire Station #5	1987 S. Henderson	Bloomington
Clear Creek Elementary	300 W. Clear Creek Drive	Bloomington
Jackson Creek Middle School	3980 S. Sare Road	Bloomington
Lakeview Elementary	9090 S. Strain Ridge Road	Bloomington
MFPD Fire Station 21	9094 S Strain Ridge Road	Bloomington
MFPD Fire Station 22	3953 S Kennedy Drive	Bloomington
MFPD Fire Station 23	8019 S Rockport Rd	Bloomington
Monroe County Public Library – Southwest Branch	809 W. Gordon Pike	Bloomington
Monroe Hospital	4011 S. Monroe Medical Park Blvd.	Bloomington
Pizza X South	2433 S. Walnut Pike	Bloomington
Southeast – YMCA	2125 S. Highland Ave	Bloomington
Templeton Elem	1400 S. Brenda Lane	Bloomington
YMCA Gymnastics Center	1917 S. Highland Ave	Bloomington
East Monroe County		1
Binford Elementary	2300 E. 2 <sup>nd</sup> Street	Bloomington
City Fire Station #4	2001 E. 3 <sup>rd</sup> Street	Bloomington
MFPD Fire Station 24	7606 E State Road 45	Bloomington
Pizza X Campus	1791 E. 10 <sup>th</sup> Street	Bloomington
Pizza X East	877 S. College Mall Road	Bloomington
Rogers Elementary	2200 E. 2 <sup>nd</sup> Street	Bloomington
Unionville Elementary	8144 E. State Road 45	Unionville
University Elementary	1111 Russell Road	Bloomington
West Monroe County		-
Arlington Heights Elementary	700 W Parrish Road	Bloomington
Banneker Community Center	930 W. 7 <sup>th</sup> Street	Bloomington
Bloomington Township Trustee	924 W. 17 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite C	Bloomington
Bloomington Transit Buses	130 W. Grimes Lane	Bloomington
Bloomington Transit Main Station	130 W. Grimes Lane	Bloomington
Boys and Girls Club Crestmont	1111 W. 12 <sup>th</sup> St.	Bloomington
Broadview Learning Center	705 W. Coolidge Drive	Bloomington
City Fire Station #2	205 S Yancy Lane	Bloomington
Fairview Elementary	627 W. 8 <sup>th</sup> Street	Bloomington
Grandview Elementary	2300 S. Endwright Road	Bloomington

Highland Park Elementary	900 Park Square Drive	Bloomington
Ivy Tech (ICLSBL)	501 N. Profile Parkway	Bloomington
Ivy Tech (Main Campus)	200 Daniels Way	Bloomington
Ivy Tech (School of Nursing)	101 Daniels Way	Bloomington
MFPD Fire Station 29	2130 S Kirby Road	Bloomington
MFPD Fire Station 39	9039 W Hinds Rd	Bloomington
NorthWest YMCA	1375 N. Wellness Way	Bloomington
Pizza X West	1610 W. 3 <sup>rd</sup> Street	Bloomington
Summit Elementary	1450 W. Countryside Lane	Bloomington
Tri-North Middle School	1000 W. 15 <sup>th</sup> Street	Bloomington
Youth Services Bureau of Monroe County	615 S. Adams Street	Bloomington
Downtown Monroe County		
Allison Jukebox Community Center	349 S Washington St	Bloomington
Big Brother Big Sisters	501 N Walnut Street	Bloomington
Bloomington Project School	349 S. Walnut	Bloomington
Bloomington Transit Depot	301 S. Walnut Street	Bloomington
Boys and Girls Club Lincoln	311 S. Lincoln Street	Bloomington
City Fire Station #1	300 E. 4 <sup>th</sup> Street	Bloomington
Ivy Tech (JWAC)	122 S. Walnut Street	Bloomington
Monroe County Library	303 E. Kirkwood Ave.	Bloomington
Monroe County Library Book Mobile		
WonderLab	308 W. 4 <sup>th</sup> Street	Bloomington
North Monroe County		1
Bloomington High School North	3901 N. Kinser Pike	Bloomington
Boys & Girls Club Ellettsville	8045 State Road 46	Ellettsville
City Fire Station #3	900 N. Woodlawn	Bloomington
CVS	4444 W. State Road 46	Bloomington
Edgewood High School	601 S. Edgewood Dr.	Ellettsville
Edgewood Intermediate Elementary	7600 W. Reeves Rd	Ellettsville
Edgewood Junior High	851 W. Edgewood Dr.	Ellettsville
Edgewood Primary Elementary	7700 W. Reeves Rd.	Ellettsville
Ellettsville Fire Department HQ	5080 W. State Rd. 46	Bloomington
Ellettsville Fire Station	900 N. Curry Pike	Bloomington
Harley Davidson	522 W. Gourley Pike	Bloomington
Marlin Elementary	1655 E. Bethel Lane	Bloomington
Meadows Hospital	3600 N. Prow Rd	Bloomington
MFPD Station 25	5081 N. Old State Rd 37	Bloomington
Monroe County Library Ellettsville	600 W. Temperance Street	Ellettsville
Pizza X Ellettsville	4621 W. Richland Plaza Dr.	Bloomington

Safe Place Sites in Brown County			
Brown County Community Resource Center	260 School House Lane P.O. Box 68	Nashville	
Brown County High School	235 School House Lane P.O. Box 578	Nashville	
Brown County Public Library	205 Locust Lane	Nashville	
Brown County Schools Admin Office	357 E Main Street P.O. Box 38	Nashville	
Brown County Sheriff's Dept	55 State Road 46	Nashville	
Brown County YMCA – Nashville	105 Willow Street	Nashville	
Helmsburg Elementary School	5378 Helmsburg School Road	Morgantown	
Sprunica Elementary School	3611 Spunica Road	Nashville	
Van Buren Elementary School	4045 State Road 135 South	Nashville	
WayCross Camp and Conference Center	4879 Richards Road	Morgantown	

Safe Place Sites in Greene County			
Bloomfield-Eastern Greene Co. Library Eastern branch	11453 East State Road 54	Bloomfield	
Bloomfield-Eastern Greene Co. Library Main branch	125 S. Franklin Street	Bloomfield	
Eastern Greene Elementary & Middle School	10503 E State Road 54	Bloomfield	
Linton Fire Department	230 NW A Street	Linton	
Welch & Cornett Funeral Home	140 E. Vincennes St.	Linton	
Welch & Cornett Funeral Home	23 S. Main Street	Worthington	
White River Valley Elementary	484 W. Main Street	Worthington	
White River Valley High School	5644 IN-54	Switz City	
White River Valley Middle School	2926 IN-67	Lyons	

Safe Place Sites in Owen County		
Cathleen's Gymnastic	145 S Washington St	Spencer
Gosport Elementary School	201 North 9 <sup>th</sup>	Gosport
McCormick's Creek Elementary School	1601 Flatwoods Road	Spencer
New Beginnings Pregnancy Resource	189 S. Main Street	Spencer
Center		
Owen County Chamber of Commerce	119 S Main Street	Spencer
Owen County Soil & Water Conservation	788 Pottersville Road	Spencer
District		
Owen County YMCA	1111 West State Highway 46	Spencer
Owen Valley Christian Fellowship	338 State Highway 43	Spencer
Owen Valley High School	622 West State Highway 46	Spencer
Owen Valley Middle School	626 West State Highway 46	Spencer
Patricksburg Elementary School	9883 State Road 246	Patricksburg
Spencer Elementary School	151 East Hillside Ave.	Spencer
Spencer Pride Inc.	17 E. Market Street	Spencer
West & Parrish & Pedigo Funeral Home	105 N. Montgomery St.	Spencer